## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

|  |                           |   | ELMWOOI<br>BERG<br>Year 201          | EN  |               |                 |                        |
|--|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
|  |                           |   |                                      | nce Indicat<br>port (Colle                            |               | : August 2      | 2016)                  |
| Percent of school with                           | youth with<br>n a regular | IEPs grad<br>diploma                      | uating fror                          | n high  | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| ** Indicate<br>Data Colle                        | s no report               | ed data on                                | End of the                           | e Year  |               |                 |                        |
|  | cates not                 | a High Sc                                 | hool Distr                           | ict   | 90.1%         | 78.0%           | Y                      |
|  |                           |   |                                      | nce Indica<br>Date: June                              |               |                 |                        |
| Percent of school                                | youth with                | IEPs drop                                 | ping out of                          | f high  | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| Data Colle                                       |                           |   |                                      |   |               |                 |                        |
| NA – Indi  | cates not                 | a High Sc                                 | hool Distr                           | ict   | 0%            | 13.0%           | Y                      |
| Data Sour<br>B. Particip<br>accommod<br>assessme | dations; reg              | or children<br>gular asses<br>grade level | with IEPs<br>sment with<br>standards | Indicator<br>in a regula<br>n accommo<br>s; alternate | odations; a   | lternate        |                        |
| Subject  | LEA<br>Data               | State<br>Target                           | Met<br>State<br>Target               | Subject   | LEA<br>Data   | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| LAL  | 97.1%                     | 95.0%                                     | Y                                    | Math  | 97.9%         | 95.0%           | Y                      |
|  | ncy rate fo<br>ichievemer |   |                                      | igainst grad  | de level sta  | andards an      | d                      |
| Subject<br>LAL                                   | LEA<br>Data               | LEA<br>Target                             | Met LEA<br>Target                    | Subject<br>MATH                                       | LEA<br>Data   | LEA<br>Target   | Met<br>LEA<br>Target   |

| Grade 3  | <b>22</b> 22(  | 65.4%  |  | Grade 3  | 00 <b>5</b> %  | 70.3%                                    |                        |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------|
| Grade 3  | 22.9%  | 60.5%  | N  | Grade 3  | 23.5%  | 66.1%                                    |                        |
| Grade 4<br>Grade 5   | 10%  |  | N  |  | 16.7%  | 66.1%                                    |                        |
| Grade 5<br>Grade 6   | 17.9%  | 60.5%  | N  | Grade 5<br>Grade 6   | 7.7%   |  |                        |
|  | 7.7%   | 60.5%  | N  |  | 5.9%   | 66.1%                                    |                        |
| Grade 7  | 21.4%  | 60.5%  | Ν  | Grade 7  | 11.9%  | 66.1%                                    |                        |
| Grade 8  | 21.3%  | 60.5%  | N  | Grade 8  | 6.4%   | 66.1%                                    | N                      |
| Grade<br>HS  | 17.4%  | 60.5%  | N  | Grade<br>HS  | 3.5%   | 66.1%                                    | N                      |
| at grad<br>- No tar<br>** No da<br>Indicator<br>Data Sour<br>2014 - Jun<br>Was the d | de level<br>get as the o<br>ta reported<br>4A: <u>Suspe</u><br>ce: Electro<br>ne 30, 201 | district has r<br>nsion/Expt<br>nic Violenc<br>5)<br>ified by the  | no minin<br>ulsion -<br>e and Va<br>State as | for participat<br>num 'N' size<br>Performand<br>andalism Re<br>s having a sig<br>ns of childre | for previou<br>ce Indicato<br>porting Sy<br>gnificant di | is year<br>or<br>ystem(July<br>screpancy |                        |
| Data Sour<br>2014 - Jun<br>Does the o<br>in the rate                                 | ce: Electro<br>ne 30, 201<br>district have<br>of suspens                                 | nic Violenc<br>5)<br>e: (a) a sign<br>sions and e  | e and V<br>ificant di<br>cpulsion            | Compliance<br>andalism Re<br>iscrepancy, I<br>s of greater                                     | porting Sy   | ethnicity,                               | 1,<br>N                |
| (b) policies<br>discrepand<br>developme  | s, procedur<br>cy and do r<br>ent and imp  | not comply work of the second se | ces that<br>vith requ                        | contribute to<br>uirements rel<br>s, the use of<br>ral safeguare                               | ating to the positive <b>be</b>                          | Э  | N                      |
| Data Sour <ul> <li>Indicate</li> <li>Indicate</li> </ul> Note: The                   | s no report<br>s no report<br>s no report  | Data Report<br>ed data on (<br>ed data on (<br>for public re   | <u>(Collecti</u><br>October<br>6-21 age      | were collecte  | <u>ober 15, 20</u><br>on                                 |  | 5 and                  |
| do not incl  | ude Non-P  | ublic Schoc  | l studen                                     | its.   | Local<br>Data  | State<br>Target                          | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|  |  | n with IEPs<br>ss 80% or n   | -  | -  | 38.2%  | 49.0%                                    | N                      |

| B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.   | 17.8% | 15.5% | N |
|---|-------|-------|---|
| C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital |       |       |   |
| placements.   | 11.9% | 7.4%  | Ν |

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

|   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with<br>IEPs attending a regular early childhood program<br>and receiving the majority of special education and<br>related services in the regular early childhood<br>program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3<br>through 5 with IEPs)] times 100. | 10.2%         | 43.5%           | N                      |
| B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with<br>IEPs attending a separate special education class,<br>separate school or residential facility) divided by the<br>(total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]  | 101270        |                 |                        |
| times 100   | 87.8%         | 35.0%           | N                      |
| Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u><br>Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager<br>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"<br>- Indicates the number of survey responses were<br>interpretation of the data  |               | yield mear      | ningful                |
| Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved positive social-emotional skills<br>(including social relationships)   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.   | -             | 72.0%           | -                      |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.   | -             | 77.0%           | -                      |

| Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved acquisition and use of knowledge and<br>skills (including early language/ communication<br>and early literacy)   | Local<br>Data       | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.   |                     | 67.0%           |                        |
| <ol> <li>The percent of children who were functioning<br/>within age expectations in Outcome B by the time<br/>they exited the program.</li> </ol>  | -                   | 51.0%           | -                      |
| Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet<br>their needs.   | Local<br>Data       | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.   | -                   | 70.0%           | -                      |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.   | -                   | 59.0%           | -                      |
| Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance Ind</u><br>Data Source: Survey Report  | dicator             |                 |                        |
| Percent = # of respondent parents who report<br>schools facilitated parent involvement as a means<br>of improving services and results for children with<br>disabilities divided by the total number of<br>respondent parents of children with disabilities times | Local<br>Data       | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| <ul> <li>100.</li> <li>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"</li> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>  |                     |                 |                        |
| data  | NIC                 | 85.0%           | NIC                    |
| Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u><br>Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20<br>(October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)   | cator<br>015) and F | all Surveys     | 5                      |
| Has the district been identified for disproportionate re<br>racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela  |                     |                 | N                      |
| the result of inappropriate identification?   |                     |                 |                        |

| Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categorie  |  |                  | N                     |
|---|--|------------------|-----------------------|
| of inappropriate identification?  |  |                  |                       |
| Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part E<br>Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2<br>2015 to June 30, 2016)  | <mark>3/Child Fir</mark><br>016 for th | nd<br>e period J | uly 1,                |
| Percent of children who were evaluated within the<br>State established time line of receiving parental<br>consent for initial evaluation or, if the State<br>establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation<br>must be conducted, within that timeframe  | Local<br>Data                          | State<br>Target  | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| <ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate</li> </ul>   | 78.4%                                  | 100.0%           | N                     |
| 2015 to June 30, 2016)<br>Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this<br>submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected<br>Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve<br>were not for revising the original data submission.<br>Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, | in the pub                             | lic reportin     |                       |
| who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an<br>IEP developed and implemented by their third<br>birthdays.  | Local<br>Data                          | State<br>Target  | State<br>Targe        |
| <ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> </ul>   |  |                  |                       |
| **** No Eligible students   | 75%                                    | 100.0%           | N                     |
| Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance   | Indicator                              |                  |                       |
| Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System  |  | <u> </u>         |                       |
| Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above<br>with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable<br>postsecondary goals that are annually updated and   | Local<br>Data                          | State<br>Target  | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| based upon an age appropriate transition<br>assessment, transition services, including courses<br>of study, that will reasonably enable the student to<br>meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP<br>goals related to the student's transition services<br>needs. There also must be evidence that the   |  |                  |                       |
| student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where   | 9%                                     | 100.0%           | N                     |

| <u>S</u><br>Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Local                     |                 | State                  |
|                           |                 |                        |
| NIC                       | 46.5%           | NIC                    |
| NIC                       | 75.5%           | NIC                    |
| NIC                       | 10.070          |                        |
|                           | NIC             | NIC 75.5%              |