## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

			HACKEN BERG Year 201	EN			
				nce Indicat		August 7	016)
Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	90.4%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	1.7%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	<u>y Data</u> with IEPs sment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo ;; alternate	dations; al	lternate	)
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	96.6%	95.0%	Y	Math	91.4%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo chievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3		65.4%		Grade 3	40.00/	70.3%	
Grade 3	17.5%	60.5%	N	Grade 3	18.8%	66.1%	
Grade 4 Grade 5	20%		N		12.2%	66.1%	
Grade 5 Grade 6	8.3%	60.5%	N	Grade 5 Grade 6	11.7%	66.1%	
	4.9%	60.5%	N		3.7%		
Grade 7	9.9%	60.5%	N	Grade 7	7.1%	66.1%	
Grade 8	20.8%	60.5%	N	Grade 8	8.5%	66.1%	N
Grade HS	8.3%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	4.5%	66.1%	N
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Jun Was the d	de level get as the o ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u> ce: Electro ne 30, 201 istrict ident	district has in nsion/Exp nic Violence 5)	no minin ulsion - e and Va State as	for participat num 'N' size Performand andalism Re s having a signs of childre	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy gnificant di	is year or ystem(July screpancy	
Data Sour 2014 - Jun Does the o in the rate	ce: Electro ne 30, 201 district have of suspens	nic Violenc 5) e: (a) a sign sions and e:	e and V ificant di xpulsion	Compliance andalism Re iscrepancy, I s of greater	porting Sy	ethnicity,	1, N
(b) policies discrepand developme	s, procedur cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentation	ces that with requin of IEPs	contribute to lirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	ating to the positive <b>be</b>	Э	N
Data Sour <ul> <li>Indicate</li> <li>Indicate</li> </ul> Note: The	s no report s no report s no report	Data Report ed data on ed data on for public re	<u>(Collecti</u> October 6-21 age	were collecte	<u>ober 15, 20</u> on		5 and
		ublic Schoo			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
		n with IEPs ss 80% or r	-	-	21.9%	49.0%	N

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	14.2%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	12.2%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	7.9%	43.5%	Ν
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	91.5%	35.0%	N
Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Indicator		
<ul> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data</li> </ul>	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance Ind</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
<ul> <li>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"</li> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses</li> <li>were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>			
data	87.8%	85.0%	Y
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20		all Surveys	5
(October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)			
			N

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories			N
of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)	<mark>3/Child Fir</mark> 016 for th	nd e period J	uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate</li> </ul>	88.9%	100.0%	N
2015 to June 30, 2016) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3,	in the pub	lic reportin	
who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	State Targe
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> <li>**** No Eligible students</li> </ul>		400.007	
**** No Eligible students	100%	100.0%	Y
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance	Indicator		
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System		1	
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the			
student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	NIC	100.0%	NIC

<u>es</u>		
Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
45.5%	46.5%	N
	75 50/	
72.7%	/5.5%	<u>N</u>
	Local Data	Local Data State Target