Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			DGEWOOD BERG Year 201	EN			
				nce Indicat port (Colle		•: August 2	2016)
Percent of school with	youth with ha regular	IEPs grad diploma	uating fror	n high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	95.6%	78.0%	Y
				n ce Indica Date: June			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	f high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle							
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	0%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	v Data with IEPs sment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo s; alternate	odations; a	lternate)
	LEA Data	State Target	Met State	Subject	LEA Data	State	Met State
Subject	66.6%	95.0%	Target	Subject Math	65.9%	Target 95.0%	Target
	ncy rate fo achievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3	20.7%	65.4%	N	Grade 3	49.2%	70.3%	N
Grade 4	39.7% 50.8%	60.5%	N	Grade 4	49.2% 50%	66.1%	
Grade 5	50.8%	60.5%	N	Grade 5	37.9%	66.1%	
Grade 6	44.6%	60.5%	N	Grade 6	40.6%	66.1%	N
Grade 7		60.5%		Grade 7		66.1%	N
Grade 7 Grade 8	25.4%	60.5%	N	Grade 8	24.2%	66.1%	
Grade	46.4%	00.376	N	Grade	40.7%	00.176	N
HS	21%	60.5%	N	HS	18.9%	66.1%	N
at grad - No tan ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Jun Was the d in the rate greater tha	de level get as the o ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u> ce: Electro ne 30, 201 istrict ident s of susper an 10 days	district has in nsion/Exp nic Violence 5) ified by the nsions and e in a school	no minin ulsion - e and Va State as expulsion year?	for participation for participation (N' size) Performance andalism Research (Strangle) s having a signs of childres Compliance	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy gnificant di n with IEPs	or vstem(July screpancy s for	
Data Sour 2014 - Ju Does the o in the rate	ce: Electro ne 30, 201 district have of suspens	nic Violenc 5) e: (a) a sign	e and V ificant di xpulsion	andalism Re iscrepancy, I s of greater	porting Sy	ethnicity,	1, N
(b) policies discrepand developme	s, procedur cy and do r ent and imp	es or practi ot comply v plementation	ces that vith requ	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	ating to the positive be	Э	N
Data Sour Indicate Indicate Note: The	ce: <u>Annual</u> s no report s no report LRE data	Data Report ed data on (ed data on (for public re	<u>(Collecti</u> October 6-21 age	were collecte	<u>ober 15, 20</u> on		5 and
		ublic Schoo			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
		n with IEPs ss 80% or n	-	-	49.6%	49.0%	Y

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	7.4%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	8.7%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

they exited the program.

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	17.5%	43.5%	Ν
B. Percent = $[(\# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]$			
times 100	12.3%	35.0%	Y
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time			

77.0% NIC

NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categori of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate 	89.8%	100.0%	N
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an	in the pub	lic reportin	
 IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15th 	Data	Target	Targe
collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B		100.00/	
**** No Eligible students Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	100%	100.0%	Y
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	NIC	100.0%	NIC

<u>S</u> Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Local		State
NIC	46.5%	NIC
NIC	75.5%	NIC
NIC	10.070	
	NIC	NIC 75.5%