Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

	BURLING		NTY VOCA BURLING Year 201		HOOL DIS	TRICT	
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Percent of	youth with a regular	IEPs grad			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	97.2%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	f high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle							_
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	1%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y Data with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo s; alternate	odations; a	lternate)
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	95.8%	95.0%		Math	99.6%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo Ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3 Grade 4 Grade 5 Grade 6	NA						
Grade 5	1	65.4%	Y	Grade 3	NA	70.3%	NA
	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 4	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 6	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 5	NA	66.1%	NA
	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 6	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 7	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 7	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 8	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 8	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade HS	6.9%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	5.4%	66.1%	N
- No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Ju	4A: <u>Suspe</u> rce: Electro ne 30, 201	<mark>nsion/Expu</mark> nic Violenc 5)	<u>ulsion -</u> e and V	num 'N' size Performano andalism Re	ce Indicato porting Sy	or vstem(July	1,
in the rate		sions and e	expulsio	s having a signs of childre	0		N
Data Sou 2014 - Ju	rce: Electro ne 30, 201!	nic Violenc 5)	e and V	Compliance andalism Re iscrepancy, I	eporting Sy	stem(July	1,
	e of suspens ar for childre			s of greater	than 10 da	ys in a	N
discrepan developm	icy and do n ent and imp	not comply volumentation	vith requ	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	lating to the positive be	e	N
Data Sour	rce: <u>Annual</u>	Data Report	<u>(Collecti</u> October	nance Indica on Date: Oct 15th collecti e group	<u>ober 15, 20</u>	<u>15)</u>	
maioait							
Note: The	e LRE data t slude Non-P			were collecto its.	ed on Octo Local Data	ber 15 201 State Target	5 and Met State

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	0.2%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	0%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3			
through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	NA	43.5%	NA
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]			
times 100	NA	35.0%	NA
Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data		yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	72.0%	NA
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program. 	NA	77.0%	NA

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	67.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	51.0%	
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	70.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	59.0%	NA
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	<u>dicator</u>		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)		all Surveys	5
			N
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?	ated servic		

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categorie			N
of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part E Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)	<mark>/Child Fir</mark> 016 for th	<mark>ld</mark> e period J	uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate 	83.3%	100.0%	N
•	in the pub	lic reportin	
Resubmitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an			
IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Data	Target	Targe
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B 			
**** No Eligible students	NA	100.0%	NA
Indianter 42: Concerdant Transition Compliance	Indiaator		
	mulcalor		
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above	mulcator		Met
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable	Local	State	State
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and		State Target	State
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses	Local		State
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to	Local		State
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP	Local		Met State Targe
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to	Local		State

<u>S</u> Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Local		State
NIC	46.5%	NIC
NIC	75.5%	NIC
NIC	10.070	
	NIC	NIC 75.5%