## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

|  |   | SOU                        | THAMPTON<br>BURLING<br>Year 201 |  | IP               |                 |                        |
|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Indicator<br>Data Sour   | 1: <u>Graduat</u><br>ce: High So  | ion Rates -<br>chool Grad  | - Performa<br>luation Re        | <u>nce Indicat</u><br>port (Colle        | or<br>ction Date | : August 2      | 2016)                  |
| school with  | ercent of youth with IEPs graduating from high chool with a regular diploma |                            |                                 |  | Local<br>Data    | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| ** Indicate<br>Data Colle  | s no report<br>ction  | ed data on                 | End of the                      | e Year                                   |                  |                 |                        |
| NA – Indi  | cates not   | a High Sc                  | hool Distr                      | ict                                      | NA               | 78.0%           | NA                     |
|  |   |                            |                                 | n <b>ce Indica</b><br>Date: June         |                  |                 |                        |
| Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June<br>Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high<br>school                              |   |                            |                                 |  | Local<br>Data    | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| <ul> <li>** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year</li> <li>Data Collection</li> <li>NA – Indicates not a High School District</li> </ul> |   |                            |                                 |  | NA               | 13.0%           | NA                     |
|  | 3: Assess<br>ce: ESEA A   |                            |                                 | Indicator                                |                  |                 |                        |
| accommoo<br>assessme   | dations; reg  | gular asses<br>grade level | sment with<br>standards         | in a regula<br>n accommo<br>;; alternate | dations; a       | lternate        |                        |
| Subject  | LEA<br>Data   | State<br>Target            | Met<br>State<br>Target          | Subject                                  | LEA<br>Data      | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| LAL  | 98%   | 95.0%                      | Y                               | Math                                     | 98%              | 95.0%           | Y                      |
|  | ncy rate fo<br>ichievemer   |                            |                                 | igainst grad                             | de level sta     | andards an      | d                      |
| Subject<br>LAL   | LEA<br>Data   | LEA<br>Target              | Met LEA<br>Target               | Subject<br>MATH                          | LEA<br>Data      | LEA<br>Target   | Met<br>LEA<br>Target   |

| Grade 3   | -  | 65.4%                               | -                                | Grade 3   | -                               | 70.3%                         | -            |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Grade 4   | 11.1%  | 60.5%                               | N                                | Grade 4   | 11.1%                           | 66.1%                         | N            |
| Grade 5   | 38.5%  | 60.5%                               | N                                | Grade 5   | 7.7%                            | 66.1%                         | N            |
| Grade 6   | 12%  | 60.5%                               | N                                | Grade 6   | 8%                              | 66.1%                         | N            |
| Grade 7   | 23.1%  | 60.5%                               | Ν                                | Grade 7   | 7.7%                            | 66.1%                         | N            |
| Grade 8   | 16.7%  | 60.5%                               | N                                | Grade 8   | 33.3%                           | 66.1%                         | N            |
| Grade<br>HS   | -  | 60.5%                               | -                                | Grade<br>HS   | NA                              | 66.1%                         | NA           |
| at grad<br>- No tan<br>** No da                     | de level<br>get as the d<br>ta reported                  | district has r                      | no minin                         | for participat<br>num 'N' size                                    | for previou                     | is year                       | nance        |
| Data Sour   | 4A: <u>Suspe</u><br>ce: Electro<br>ne 30, 201            | nic Violenc                         | e and V                          | Performand<br>andalism Re   | porting Sy                      | or<br>stem(July               | 1,           |
| Was the d<br>in the rate                            | istrict ident<br>s of susper                             | ified by the                        | expulsio                         | s having a signs of childre                                       |                                 |                               | N            |
| Data Sour<br>2014 - Ju<br>Does the c<br>in the rate | ce: Electro<br>ne 30, 201<br>district have<br>of suspens | nic Violenc<br>5)<br>e: (a) a signi | e and V<br>ificant d<br>cpulsion | Compliance<br>andalism Re<br>iscrepancy, I<br>s of greater        | porting Sy                      | ethnicity,                    | 1,<br>N      |
| discrepand<br>developme                             | cy and do r<br>ent and imp                               | not comply volumentation            | vith requ                        | contribute to<br>uirements rel<br>s, the use of<br>ral safeguare  | ating to the positive <b>be</b> | Э                             | N            |
| Data Sour * Indicate                                | r <b>ce: <u>Annual</u><br/>s no report</b> a             | Data Report                         | <u>(Collect</u> )<br>October     | n <b>ance Indica</b><br>ion Date: Oct<br>15th collecti<br>e group | ober 15, 20                     | <u>15)</u>                    |              |
|   |  | for public re<br>ublic Schoo        |                                  | were collecto<br>hts.   | ed on Octo<br>Local<br>Data     | ber 15 201<br>State<br>Target | Met<br>State |
|   |  | n with IEPs a<br>ss 80% or n        | -                                | -   | 56%                             | 49.0%                         | Target<br>Y  |

| B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.   | 9.5% | 15.5% | Y |
|---|------|-------|---|
| C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital |      |       |   |
| placements.   | 7.8% | 7.4%  | Ν |

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

|   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with<br>IEPs attending a regular early childhood program<br>and receiving the majority of special education and<br>related services in the regular early childhood<br>program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3<br>through 5 with IEPs)] times 100. | 0%            | 43.5%           | N                      |
| B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with<br>IEPs attending a separate special education class,<br>separate school or residential facility) divided by the<br>(total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]  |               |                 |                        |
| times 100   | 95%           | 35.0%           | N                      |
| Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u><br>Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager<br>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"<br>- Indicates the number of survey responses were<br>interpretation of the data  |               | yield mear      | ningful                |
| Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved positive social-emotional skills<br>(including social relationships)   | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.   | NIC           | 72.0%           | NIC                    |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.   | NIC           | 77.0%           | NIC                    |

| Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved acquisition and use of knowledge and<br>skills (including early language/ communication<br>and early literacy) | Local<br>Data      | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.                     | NIC                | 67.0%           | NIC                    |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.   | NIC                | 51.0%           | NIC                    |
| Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged<br>3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate<br>improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet<br>their needs.   | Local<br>Data      | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.                     | NIC                | 70.0%           | NIC                    |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.   | NIC                | 59.0%           | NIC                    |
| Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u><br>Data Source: Survey Report   | dicator            |                 |                        |
| Percent = # of respondent parents who report<br>schools facilitated parent involvement as a means<br>of improving services and results for children with<br>disabilities divided by the total number of           | Local<br>Data      | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.   |                    |                 |                        |
| <ul> <li>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"</li> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses<br/>were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>  |                    |                 |                        |
| data  | NIC                | 85.0%           | NIC                    |
| Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u><br>Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20<br>(October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)   | ator<br>(15) and F | all Surveys     | 5                      |
| Has the district been identified for disproportionate re<br>racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela<br>the result of inappropriate identification?   |                    |                 | Ν                      |
| Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind   |                    |                 |                        |

| Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories   |                              |                             | N                     |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| of inappropriate identification?  |                              |                             |                       |
| Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u><br>Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2<br>2015 to June 30, 2016)   |                              |                             | uly 1,                |
| Percent of children who were evaluated within the<br>State established time line of receiving parental<br>consent for initial evaluation or, if the State<br>establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation<br>must be conducted, within that timeframe  | Local<br>Data                | State<br>Target             | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| <ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate</li> </ul>   | 100%                         | 100.0%                      | Y                     |
| 2015 to June 30, 2016)<br>Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this<br>submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected<br>Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve<br>were not for revising the original data submission.<br>Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, | l in the pub<br>rification p | olic reportin<br>urposes or | Ny and Met            |
| who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.  | Local<br>Data                | State<br>Target             | State<br>Targe        |
| <ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> </ul>   |                              |                             |                       |
| **** No Eligible students   | 100%                         | 100.0%                      | Y                     |
| Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u><br>Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System  | Indicator                    |                             |                       |
| Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and   | Local<br>Data                | State<br>Target             | Met<br>State<br>Targe |
| based upon an age appropriate transition<br>assessment, transition services, including courses<br>of study, that will reasonably enable the student to<br>meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP<br>goals related to the student's transition services<br>needs. There also must be evidence that the   |                              |                             |                       |
| student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where   | NA                           | 100.0%                      | NA                    |

| transition services are to be discussed and<br>evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any<br>participating agency was invited to the IEP Team<br>meeting with the prior consent of the parent or<br>student who has reached the age of majority)<br>divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and<br>above)] times 100.  |               |                 |                        |
|--|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"   |               |                 |                        |
| Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome<br>Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey<br>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"  | Local<br>Data | State<br>Target | Met<br>State<br>Target |
| <b>A.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.  | ΝΑ            | 46.5%           | NA                     |
| <b>B.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education or<br>competitively employed within one year of leaving<br>high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in<br>secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time<br>they left school and were enrolled in higher<br>education or competitively employed within one<br>year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of<br>respondent youth who are no longer in secondary<br>school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left   |               |                 |                        |
| <ul> <li>school)] times 100.</li> <li>C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.</li> </ul> | NA            | 86.0%           | NA                     |