Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			BERLIN TO CAMD Year 201	EN			
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Percent of school with	Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	NA	78.0%	NA
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							
NA – Indicates not a High School District					NA	13.0%	NA
B. Particip accommod assessme	ce: <u>ESEA A</u> ation rate f dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo s; alternate	odations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	98.8%	95.0%	-	Math	98.8%	95.0%	Y
	ncy rate fo ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3	0.00/	65.4%		Grade 3	050/	70.3%	
Grade 3	6.3%	60.5%	<u>N</u>	Grade 3	25%	66.1%	
Grade 4 Grade 5	13.3%		N	Grade 4 Grade 5	6.7%	66.1%	
Grade 5 Grade 6	18.2%	60.5%	N	Grade 5 Grade 6	27.3%	66.1%	
	14.3%	60.5%	N		21.4%		
Grade 7	33.3%	60.5%	N	Grade 7	41.7%	66.1%	
Grade 8	15.4%	60.5%	N	Grade 8	0%	66.1%	0
Grade HS	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade HS	NA	66.1%	NA
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator	de level get as the d ta reported 4A: <u>Susp</u>e	district has	no minim ulsion -	or participat um 'N' size Performanc andalism Re	for previou	is year	
Was the d in the rate greater tha	s of susper an 10 days	ified by the nsions and in a school	expulsior year?	having a signs of childre	n with IEPs	s for	N
Data Sour 2014 - Jui	ce: Electro ne 30, 201	nic Violenc 5)	e and Va	indalism Re	porting Sy	stem(July	1,
in the rate school yea	of suspens ar for childr	sions and e en with IEP	xpulsions s ; and	s of greater	than 10 da	ys in a	N
discrepand	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentatio	with requ n of IEPs	contribute to irements rel , the use of al safeguare	ating to the positive be	e	N
Data Sour * Indicate	r ce: <u>Annual</u> s no report	Data Report	<u>Collectio</u> October	ance Indica on Date: Oct 15th collecti group	ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
		for public re ublic Schoo		vere collecto ts.	ed on Octo Local Data	ber 15 201 State Target	5 and Met State Target
		n with IEPs ss 80% or r	-	-	29.1%	49.0%	

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	9.1%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	4.5%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	35.3%	43.5%	N
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	01/	35.0%	Y
	0%	55.076	Ť
Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data		yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

		N
<mark>/Child Fin</mark> 016 for th	l <mark>d</mark> e period Ju	uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
84.6%	100.0%	N
in the pub	lic reportin	nly and
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
100%	100.0%	Y
	100.070	•
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
	A child Fin Child Fin 016 for th Local Data 84.6% ance Indic 016 for th indicator in the pub rification pub Local Data 100% ndicator Local	DataTarget84.6%100.0%ance Indicator100.0%ance Indicator100.0%ance Indicator that were in the public reporting rification purposes orLocalState Target100%100.0%ndicator100.0%

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	ΝΑ	46.5%	NA
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
 school)] times 100. C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. 	NA	86.0%	NA