Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			DENWOLD CAMD Year 201		I		
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Percent of school with	youth with n a regular	IEPs grad diploma	luating fror	n high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	77.2%	78.0%	N
				n ce Indica Date: June			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	f high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle						40.00/	
INA – INDI	cates not	a High Sc	nooi Distr	ICT	12%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour	3: Assessi ce: ESEA A	ccountabilit	y Data	Indicator	r 2000000	opt with po	
accommoo assessme	dations; reg	gular asses grade level	sment with standards	n accommo ; alternate	dations; a	lternate	,
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	92.4%	95.0%		Math	91.2%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo Ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3	2.7%	65.4%	Ν	Grade 3	2.7%	70.3%	
Grade 4	4.9%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 4	9.8%	66.1%	
Grade 5	7.7%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 5	2.6%	66.1%	N
Grade 6	4%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 6	8%	66.1%	N
Grade 7	8.3%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 7	4.2%	66.1%	N
Grade 8	0%	60.5%	0	Grade 8	4%	66.1%	N
Grade HS	3.8%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	0%	66.1%	N
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator	de level get as the o ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u>	district has r	io minir Ilsion -	for participation for participation for participation for the second state of the seco	for previou	is year	
Was the d in the rate greater tha	s of susper an 10 days	ified by the s nsions and e in a school	xpulsio year?	s having a signs of childre	n with IEPs	s for	Y
Data Sour 2014 - Ju	ce: Electro ne 30, 201	nic Violenco 5)	e and V	andalism Re	eporting Sy	stem(July	1,
in the rate	of suspens	., .	pulsion	liscrepancy, l is of greater	•	•	Y
discrepan developm	cy and do n ent and imp	not comply work of the second se	/ith requ	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of Iral safeguare	lating to the positive be	e	N
Data Sour * Indicate	r ce: <u>Annual</u> s no reporte	Data Report	<u>(Collect</u> October	nance Indica ion Date: Oct 15th collecti e group	<u>ober 15, 20</u>	<u>15)</u>	
		for public re ublic Schoo		were collectonts.	ed on Octo	ber 15 201 State	Met
					Data	Target	State Target
A. Percen	t of childrer	with IEPs a	aged 6 t	through 21			

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	6.1%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	11.4%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	90.4%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	5.8%	35.0%	Y
	5.076	00.070	<u> </u>
Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data		yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. The percent of children who were functioning 	NIC	67.0%	NIC
within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were tea low to viold meaningful interpretation of the			
were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data	84.6%	85.0%	N
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)		all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N

		N
<mark>/Child Fin</mark> 016 for th	<mark>d</mark> e period Ju	uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
94.6%	100.0%	N
indicator in the pub	that were lic reportin	g.
ification p	urposes or	ly and
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
1009/	100.0%	Y
100%	100.078	T
ndicator		
ndicator Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
	Child Fin Child Fin D16 for th Local Data 94.6% 94.6% ance Indic D16 for th indicator in the pub ification pub ification pub	Data Target 94.6% 100.0% ance Indicator 100.0% ance Indicator that were in the public reporting if in the public re

<u>S</u> Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Local		State
NIC	46.5%	NIC
NIC	75.5%	NIC
NIC	10.070	
	NIC	NIC 75.5%