Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

	C	entral Jerso	ey College CHAR ⁻ Year 201		er School		
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Percent of	youth with h a regular	IEPs grad			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	100%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	^t high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle							_
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	0%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo ; alternate	odations; a	lternate	,
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	- %	95.0%		Math	-	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo achievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

				- F	1	L	
Grade 3	NA	65.4%	Y	Grade 3	NA	70.3%	NA
Grade 4	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 4	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 5	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 5	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 6	-	60.5%	-	Grade 6	-	66.1%	-
Grade 7	-	60.5%	-	Grade 7	-	66.1%	-
Grade 8	-	60.5%	-	Grade 8	-	66.1%	-
Grade HS	35.3%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	23.5%	66.1%	N
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator	de level get as the d ta reported 4A: <u>Susp</u>e	district has n	no minin ulsion -	for participa num 'N' size <u>Performan</u> andalism Re	for previou	is year	
	ne 30, 201				porting Sy	stem(July	1,
in the rate	s of susper		expulsio	s having a signs of childre			N
2014 - Ju Does the o in the rate	ne 30, 201 district have of suspens	5) e: (a) a sign	ificant di xpulsion	andalism Re iscrepancy, s of greater	by race or	ethnicity,	1, N
discrepan developm	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentation	with requ	contribute to uirements re s, the use of ral safeguar	lating to the positive be	Э	N
Data Sour * Indicate	r ce: <u>Annual</u> s no report	Data Report	<u>(Collecti</u> October	nance Indica on Date: Oct 15th collect e group	ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
		for public re ublic Schoo		were collect	ed on Octo Local Data	ber 15 201 State Target	5 and Met State Targe
	t of childrer regular cla	n with IEPs ss 80% or r	-	-	77.8%	49.0%	Y

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	0%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	0%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	100%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]			
times 100	0%	35.0%	Y
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	72.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NA	77.0%	NA

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	67.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	51.0%	
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	70.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	59.0%	NA
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	<u>dicator</u>		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)		all Surveys	5
			N
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?	ated servic		

epresenta es that is th	tion of ne result	N
		uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
100%	100.0%	Y
in the pub	lic reportin	
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
NA	100.0%	NA
NA ndicator	100.0%	NA
	100.0% State Target	NA Met State Targe
	2/Child Fin 016 for th Local Data 100% ance Indic 016 for th a indicator in the pub rification p Local	J/Child Find 016 for the period Junc Local Data State Target 100% 100.0% ance Indicator 100.0% one indicator that were in the public reporting rification purposes or State Local State Local State State State 100% State

<u>S</u> Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Local		State
NIC	46.5%	NIC
NIC	75.5%	NIC
NIC	10.070	
	NIC	NIC 75.5%