Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

	MERIT P	REPARATO	ORY CHAR CHAR Year 201		OL OF NEV	VARK	
				nce Indicat		•: August 2	016)
Percent of school with	ata Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle ercent of youth with IEPs graduating from high chool with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle	** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NA – Indicates not a High School District					78.0%	NA
				nce Indica Date: June			
Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NA – Indicates not a High School District					NA	13.0%	NA
Data Sour	3: Assess ce: ESEA A	ccountabilit	<u>y Data</u>				
accommo assessme	dations; reg	gular asses grade level	ssment with standards	in a regula n accommo s; alternate	odations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	- %	95.0%		Math	-	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo achievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3		65.4%	N N	Grade 3		70.3%	
Grade 3	NA	60.5%	Y	Grade 3	NA	66.1%	
Grade 5	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 5	NA	66.1%	
Grade 5	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 5	NA	66.1%	
	-		-		-		
Grade 7	-	60.5%	-	Grade 7	-	66.1%	
Grade 8	**%	60.5%	**	Grade 8	-	66.1%	-
Grade HS	-	60.5%	-	Grade HS	NA	66.1%	NA
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Ju	de level get as the o ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u> rce: Electro ne 30, 201	district has msion/Exp nic Violenc 5)	no minin ulsion - e and Va	for participat num 'N' size <u>Performan</u> andalism Re	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy	is year <u>or</u> /stem(July	
in the rate	s of susper		expulsio	having a signs of childre			N
2014 - Ju Does the o in the rate	ne 30, 201 district have of suspens	5) e: (a) a sign	ificant di xpulsion	andalism Re iscrepancy, l s of greater	by race or	ethnicity,	_, N
discrepan developm	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentatio	with requ n of IEPs	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	ating to the positive be	e	N
Data Sour * Indicate	r ce: <u>Annual</u> s no report	Data Report	<u>(Collecti</u> October	nance Indica on Date: Oct 15th collecti e group	ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
		for public re ublic Schoo		were collecto its.	ed on Octo	ber 15 201	
					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
		n with IEPs ss 80% or r	-	-	**	49.0%	**

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	**	15.5%	**
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	**	7.4%	**

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	NA	43.5%	NA
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	NA	35.0%	NA
	NA	55.070	NA
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	72.0%	NA
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program. 	NA	77.0%	NA

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	67.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	51.0%	
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	70.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	59.0%	NA
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	<u>dicator</u>		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)		all Surveys	5
			N
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?	ated servic		

es that is tr	tion of ne result	N
<mark>/Child Fin</mark> 016 for th	l <mark>d</mark> e period Ju	uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
76.9%	100.0%	N
in the pub	lic reportin	nly and
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
NA	100.0%	NA
	100.070	NA
		1
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
Dutu		i ai go
	D16 for the Local Data 76.9% Ance Indic D16 for the indicator finithe pub ification pub ification pub ification pub ificator finithe pub ificator finithe pub ificator finithe pub ificator finithe pub ificator finithe pub	Data Target 76.9% 100.0% ance Indicator 100.0% ance Indicator 100.0% ance Indicator that were in the public reportinition purposes or 100.0% Local Data State Target NA 100.0%

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	ΝΑ	46.5%	NA
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
 school)] times 100. C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. 	NA	86.0%	NA