## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

			MILLVI CUMBER Year 201	LAND			
				nce Indicat		: August 2	2016)
<b>Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle</b> Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	90.8%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
<b>Data Source:</b> End of Year Report (Collection Date: June Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
Data Colle							
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	19.7%	13.0%	N
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo ; alternate	dations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	91.5%	95.0%	N	Math	88.8%	95.0%	N
	ncy rate fo ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 4       6.8%       60.5%       N       Grade 4       8%       66.1%       N         Grade 5       5.3%       60.5%       N       Grade 5       3.5%       66.1%       N         Grade 6       5.7%       60.5%       N       Grade 6       6.7%       66.1%       N         Grade 7       6.4%       60.5%       N       Grade 7       1.1%       66.1%       N         Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 4       7.5%       60.5%       N       HS       3.5%       66.1%       N         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       .       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No data reported       Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Complian								
Grade 5       5.3%       60.5%       N       Grade 5       3.5%       66.1%       N         Grade 6       5.7%       60.5%       N       Grade 7       6.1%       N         Grade 7       6.4%       60.5%       N       Grade 7       1.1%       66.1%       N         Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 9       Grade 1       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 18       r.5%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Orade 18       r.5%       60.5%       N       HS       3.5%       66.1%       N         • Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       .       No target as the district thas no minimum 'N' size for previous year       N         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Was the district thavic (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year	Grade 3	8.2%	65.4%	Ν	Grade 3	7.1%	70.3%	
Grade 3       3.3%       00.17%       N       Grade 5       3.5%       00.17%       N         Grade 4       60.5%       N       Grade 7       6.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 7       6.4%       60.5%       N       Grade 7       1.1%       66.1%       N         Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 1	Grade 4	6.8%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 4	8%	66.1%	N
Grade 0       5.7%       00:376       N       Grade 7       00:17%       00:17%       N         Grade 7       6.4%       60.5%       N       Grade 7       1.1%       66.1%       N         Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade 48       7.5%       60.5%       N       HS       3.5%       66.1%       N         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       .       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       .       N         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Was the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       Y         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural saf	Grade 5	5.3%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 5	3.5%	66.1%	N
Grade 8       7.1%       60.5%       N       Grade 8       2.4%       66.1%       N         Grade HS       7.5%       60.5%       N       HS       3.5%       66.1%       N         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       3.5%       66.1%       N         -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       For previous year         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Date: October 15, 2015) <td< td=""><td>Grade 6</td><td>5.7%</td><td>60.5%</td><td>Ν</td><td>Grade 6</td><td>6.7%</td><td>66.1%</td><td>N</td></td<>	Grade 6	5.7%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 6	6.7%	66.1%	N
Grade HS       7.5%       60.5%       N       Grade HS       3.5%       66.1%       N         - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         ** No data reported         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       Y         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *       Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       State Target       State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21	Grade 7	6.4%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 7	1.1%	66.1%	N
HS       7.5%       60.5%       N       HS       3.5%       66.1%       N         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         **       No data reported         Indicator 4A:       Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator         Data Source:       Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator         Data Source:       Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Na       Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator         Data Source:       Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Does the district have:       (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       v         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5:       School Age	Grade 8	7.1%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 8	2.4%	66.1%	N
at grade level       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         ** No data reported         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and       Y         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: State Target       Met State Target		7.5%	60.5%	N		3.5%	66.1%	N
in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       Y         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       Y         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Met	at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour	de level get as the o ta reported <b>4A: <u>Suspe</u> ce: Electro</b>	district has i msion/Exp nic Violenc	no minin ulsion -	num 'N' size	for previou	is year	
Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       Y         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015).       * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Met	in the rate	s of susper	nsions and e	expulsio		0		N
discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Met	2014 - Ju Does the o in the rate	ne 30, 201 district have of suspens	<b>5)</b> e: (a) a sign sions and e:	ificant di xpulsion	iscrepancy, l	by race or	ethnicity,	-
Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: Collection Date: October 15, 2015	discrepand	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentation	with requ	uirements rel s, the use of	lating to the positive <b>be</b>	Э	N
do not include Non-Public School students.           Local         State         Met           Data         State         State         State           A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21         Image         Image         Image	Data Sour * Indicate	r <b>ce: <u>Annual</u> s no report</b>	Data Report ed data on	<u>(Collecti</u> October	on Date: Oct 15th collecti	ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21						Local	State	5 and Met State
				-	-			Targe <sup>®</sup>

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	21.5%	15.5%	N
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	5.8%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	89.5%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	1.9%	35.0%	Y
Indicator 7: Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data		yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	-	72.0%	-
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	-	77.0%	-

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.		67.0%	
<ol> <li>The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.</li> </ol>	-	51.0%	-
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	-	70.0%	-
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	-	59.0%	-
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance Ind</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<ul> <li>100.</li> <li>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"</li> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	cator ()15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela			N
the result of inappropriate identification?			

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categori of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>*** 0 students received parental consent to</li> </ul>			
evaluate	89.7%	100.0%	N
<b>Note:</b> Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data vewere not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an	in the pub rification p Local	lic reportin urposes or State	Met State
IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Data	Target	Target
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> </ul>			
**** No Eligible students	66.7%	100.0%	N
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	Indicator		
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above			Met
with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	State Target
based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the	Dula	Target	
student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	100%	100.0%	Y

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome	<u>s</u>		
Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey			
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"			Met
	Local Data	State Target	State Target
<b>A.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	20.4%	46.5%	N
<b>B.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	63.9%	75.5%	N
<b>C.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time	03.9%	10.070	