Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

		FF	RANKLIN T GLOUCE Year 201	STER			
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data or	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	NA	78.0%	NA
				nce Indica Date: June			
Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							
NA – Indicates not a High School District					NA	13.0%	NA
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo s; alternate	odations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	90.5%	95.0%		Math	89.9%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo achievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3	27.6%	65.4%	N	Grade 3	20.7%	70.3%	N
Grade 4	5.6%	60.5%	N	Grade 4	8.3%	66.1%	
Grade 5	25.6%	60.5%	N	Grade 5	20.5%	66.1%	
Grade 6	13.3%	60.5%	N	Grade 6	20.3%	66.1%	
Grade 7	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 7	20.7% NA	66.1%	
Grade 7 Grade 8		60.5 <i>%</i>		Grade 7		66.1%	
Grade 8	NA	00.5%	NA	Grade	NA	00.176	NA
HS	NA	60.5%	NA	HS	NA	66.1%	NA
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Jun Was the d in the rate greater tha	de level get as the o ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u> ce: Electro ne 30, 201 istrict ident s of susper an 10 days	district has msion/Exp inic Violence 5) ified by the nsions and in a school	no minin ulsion - ce and Va State as expulsion year?	for participation num 'N' size Performance andalism Re having a signs of childre Compliance	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy gnificant di n with IEPs	or vstem(July screpancy s for	
2014 - Ju Does the o in the rate	ne 30, 201 district have of suspens	5) e: (a) a sigr	nificant di xpulsion	andalism Re screpancy, l s of greater	by race or	ethnicity,	^r 1, N
(b) policies discrepand developm	s, procedur cy and do r ent and imp	res or practi not comply plementatio	ices that with requin of IEPs	contribute to lirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	lating to the positive be	Э	N
Data Sour Indicate Indicate Note: The	s no report s no report s no report	<u>Data Report</u> ed data on ed data on	<u>: (Collecti</u> October 6-21 age	were collect	<u>ober 15, 20</u> ion		5 and
					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
		n with IEPs ss 80% or r	-	-	49%	49.0%	N

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	17%	15.5%	N
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	8.2%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	52.9%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]			
times 100	44.1%	35.0%	N
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program. 	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. The percent of children who were functioning 	NIC	67.0%	NIC
within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	87.2%	85.0%	Y
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)		all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N

Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?				
		uly 1,		
Local Data	State Target	Met State Target		
92.1%	100.0%	N		
66 7%	100.0%	N		
00.170	1001070			
ndicator				
		Met		
Local	State	State		
Data	Target	Targe		
	92.1% 92.1% 92.1% 92.1% ance Indic 016 for th indicator in the pub rification p Local Data 66.7%	State Jocal State Data State 92.1% 100.0% ance Indicator Juccal 016 for the period Juccator Juccator 016 for the period Juccator Juccator 016 for the period Juccator Juccator Juccator Juccator		

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	ΝΑ	46.5%	NA
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
 school)] times 100. C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. 	NA	86.0%	NA