Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

			EAST NE HUDS Year 201	ON			
				nce Indicat		: August 2	2016)
Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	NA	78.0%	NA
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							
NA – Indicates not a High School District					NA	13.0%	NA
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo ;; alternate	dations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	- %	95.0%		Math	-	95.0%	
C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards							d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 3	-	65.4%	-	Grade 3	-	70.3%	-
Grade 4	-	60.5%	-	Grade 4	-	66.1%	-
Grade 5	-	60.5%	-	Grade 5	-	66.1%	-
Grade 6	-	60.5%	-	Grade 6	-	66.1%	-
Grade 7	-	60.5%	-	Grade 7	-	66.1%	-
Grade 8	-	60.5%	-	Grade 8	-	66.1%	-
Grade HS	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade HS	NA	66.1%	NA
	t meet the	state "n" siz	ze of 40	for participat	tion and 10	for perform	nance
	get as the o ta reported		no minin	um 'N' size	for previou	is year	
				Performance			
	ce: Electro ne 30, 201		e and Va	andalism Re	eporting Sy	stem(July	1,
			State as	having a si	anificant di	screnancy	
				ns of childre			N
		in a school	•				
			-				<u> </u>
Indicator	4B: Suspe	ension/Exp	ulsion -	Complianc	e Indicato	r	
Data Sour	ce: Electro	nic Violenc		andalism Re			1,
	ne 30, 201		····		1	4	1
				screpancy, l s of greater			N
		en with IEP		s of greater	linari 10 ua	ysiira	N
				contribute to	o the signif	icant	
				irements rel			N
development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral						IN	
interventio	ons and sup	oports, and	procedu	ral safeguar	ds.		
Indicator	5: <u>School</u>	Age LRE -	Perform	ance Indica	ator		
Data Sour	ce: <u>Annual</u>	Data Report	(Collecti	on Date: Oct	<u>ober 15, 20</u>	<u>15)</u>	
* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	October	15th collecti	ion		
* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	6-21 age	e group			
		for public re ublic Schoo		were collecte	ed on Octo	ber 15 201	5 and
					Local	State	Met
					Data	Target	State
					- 414		Target
		n with IEPs	-	-		10.05	
inside the	regular cla	ss 80% or r	nore of t	he day.	71.9%	49.0%	Y

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	15.6%	15.5%	N
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	9.4%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	80%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	20%	35.0%	Y
Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data		yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program. 	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

		N
		uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
90%	100.0%	N
in the pub	lic reportin	
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
100%	100.0%	Y
ndicator		
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
	90% 90% 90% ance Indic 016 for th 90% ance Indic 016 for th in the pub rification p Local Data	DataTarget90%100.0%ance Indicator100.0%ance Indicator100.0%ance Indicator that were in the public reportinnification purposes orLocal DataState Target100%100.0%Indicator100.0%

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	ΝΑ	46.5%	NA
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
 school)] times 100. C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. 	NA	86.0%	NA