Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

		_	OUTH PLA MIDDLE Year 201	SEX			
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Percent of school with	youth with a regular	IEPs grad diploma	uating fror	n high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ed data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	95.5%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	f high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle							
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	6.1%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	with IEPs sment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo ; alternate	odations; a	lternate	, ,
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	95.2%	95.0%	Y	Math	95.7%	95.0%	Y
	ncy rate fo ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Local State State State	0		0- 404					
Grade 5 10.2% 60.5% N Grade 5 12.2% 66.1% N Grade 6 5.6% 60.5% N Grade 7 5% 66.1% N Grade 7 10% 60.5% N Grade 7 5% 66.1% N Grade 8 28.8% 60.5% N Grade 8 14.6% 66.1% N Grade HS 19.4% 60.5% N Grade 7 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - N Indicator 4R: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator - N N N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator - Dasis and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for chil		21.7%		Ν		37%		
Grade 3 10.2% 00.3/8 N Grade 6 0% 66.1% 0 Grade 4 5.6% 60.5% N Grade 7 5% 66.1% N Grade 7 10% 60.5% N Grade 7 5% 66.1% N Grade 8 2.6.% 60.5% N Grade 7 5% 66.1% N Grade 1 19.4% 60.5% N HS 2.7% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district bas no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by		28.9%		Ν		29.7%		
Grade 7 10% 60.5% N Grade 7 5% 66.1% N Grade 8 26.8% 60.5% N Grade 8 14.6% 66.1% N Grade 8 26.8% 60.5% N Grade 8 14.6% 66.1% N Grade 8 19.4% 60.5% N Grade 8 14.6% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level . 66.1% N - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year . . . - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year . . . - No data reported . No data reported . . . Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator 		10.2%		Ν		12.2%		
Grade 8 26.8% 60.5% N Grade 8 14.6% 66.1% N Grade HS 19.4% 60.5% N HS 2.7% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level . No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Matter than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Ind	Grade 6	5.6%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 6	0%	66.1%	0
Grade HS 19.4% 60.5% N Grade HS 2.7% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level . No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local	Grade 7	10%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 7	5%	66.1%	N
HS 19.4% 60.5% N HS 2.7% 66.1% N - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year * No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were coll	Grade 8	26.8%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 8	14.6%	66.1%	N
at grade level No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year * No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local State Target Met Stat Target		19.4%	60.5%	N		2.7%	66.1%	N
2014 - June 30, 2015) Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Met State Target	at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator	de level get as the d ta reported 4A: <u>Susp</u>e	district has	no minin ulsion -	num 'N' size	for previou	is year	
in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Met State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Local Data State Target Met State Target	2014 - Ju	ne 30, 201	5)					1,
Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Met State Target Met On the Include Non-Public School students. Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Met State Target	in the rate	s of susper	nsions and o	expulsio				N
Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Met State Target Met On the Include Non-Public School students. Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Met State Target								
in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group N Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Met State Target	Data Sour	ce: Electro	nic Violenc					1,
discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21	in the rate	of suspens	sions and e	xpulsion				N
Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target Met State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image State Sta	discrepan	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply volumentation	with requ n of IEPs	uirements rel s, the use of	ating to the positive be	Э	N
* Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21							<u>15)</u>	r
Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local State Met State Local State Target State Target Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image: Collected on October 15 2015 Image: Collected on October 15 2015 <t< td=""><td>* Indicate</td><td>s no report</td><td>ed data on</td><td>October</td><td>15th collecti</td><td>on</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	October	15th collecti	on		
do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image: Comparison of the state Target Image: Comparison of the state Target	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	6-21 age	e group			
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21						ed on Octo	ber 15 201	
						_		Met State Target
				-	-	61%	49.0%	Y

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	9.3%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	5.2%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	72.3%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	8.5%	35.0%	Y
Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data		yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories			N
of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)	<mark>3/Child Fir</mark> 016 for th	nd e period J	uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate 	66.1%	100.0%	N
2015 to June 30, 2016) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission.	in the pub	lic reportin	
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students 	100%	100.0%	Y
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance	Indicator		
Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System		1	
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the			
student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	NIC	100.0%	NIC

<u>S</u> Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Local		State
NIC	46.5%	NIC
NIC	75.5%	NIC
NIC	10.070	
	NIC	NIC 75.5%