Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

| | | | OSEVELT MONMO Year 201 | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | | | | nce Indicat | | · August 2 | 2016) |
| Percent of school with | | | | | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| Data Colle | | | | | | | |
| NA – Indi | cates not | a High Sc | hool Distr | ict | NA | 78.0% | NA |
| | | | | n ce Indica Date: June | | | |
| Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school | | | | | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection | | | | | | 13.0% | |
| NA – Indicates not a High School District | | | | | NA | 13.076 | NA |
| | 3: Assess ce: <u>ESEA A</u> | | | Indicator | | | |
| accommoo assessme | dations; reg | gular asses grade level | sment with standards | in a regula n accommo ; alternate | dations; a | lternate |) |
| Subject | LEA Data | State Target | Met State Target | Subject | LEA Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| LAL | - % | 95.0% | | Math | - | 95.0% | |
| | ncy rate fo achievemer | | | igainst grad | de level sta | indards an | d |
| Subject LAL | LEA Data | LEA Target | Met LEA Target | Subject MATH | LEA Data | LEA Target | Met LEA Target |

| | | 05 40/ | | | | 70.001 | |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Grade 3 | **% | 65.4% | ** | Grade 3 | 0% | 70.3% | 0 |
| Grade 4 | - | 60.5% | - | Grade 4 | - | 66.1% | |
| Grade 5 | - | 60.5% | - | Grade 5 | - | 66.1% | |
| Grade 6 | - | 60.5% | - | Grade 6 | - | 66.1% | - |
| Grade 7 | NA | 60.5% | NA | Grade 7 | NA | 66.1% | NA |
| Grade 8 | NA | 60.5% | NA | Grade 8 | NA | 66.1% | NA |
| Grade HS | NA | 60.5% | NA | Grade HS | NA | 66.1% | NA |
| at grac - No tar ** No da | de level get as the d ta reported | district has | no minin | for participat num 'N' size | for previou | is year | nance |
| Data Sour | | nic Violenc | | Performane andalism Re | | | 1, |
| in the rate | s of susper | | expulsio | having a signs of childre | 0 | | N |
| Data Sour 2014 - Jui | ce: Electro ne 30, 201 | nic Violenc 5) | e and V | Compliance andalism Re | eporting Sy | stem(July | 1, |
| in the rate school yea | of suspens ar for childr | sions and e en with IEP | xpulsion s ; and | s of greater | than 10 da | ys in a | N |
| discrepand developme | cy and do r ent and imp | not comply volumentatio | with requ n of IEP: | contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguar | lating to the positive be | Э | N |
| Data Sour | ce: <u>Annual</u> | Data Report | (Collecti | n <mark>ance Indica on Date: Oct</mark> 15th collecti | <u>ober 15, 20</u> | <u>15)</u> | |
| * Indicate | s no report | ed data on | 6-21 age | e group | | | |
| | | for public re ublic Schoo | | were collecto its. | ed on Octo | ber 15 201 | 5 and |
| | | | | | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| | | n with IEPs ss 80% or r | - | - | 85.7% | 49.0% | Y |

| B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day. | 14.3% | 15.5% | Y |
|---|-------|-------|---|
| C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital | | | |
| placements. | 0% | 7.4% | Y |

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

| | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100. | 0% | 43.5% | Ν |
| B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] | | | |
| times 100 | 100% | 35.0% | N |
| Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data | too low to | yield mear | ningful |
| Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. | NIC | 72.0% | NIC |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program. | NIC | 77.0% | NIC |

| Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy) | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. | NIC | 67.0% | NIC |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program. | NIC | 51.0% | NIC |
| Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| 1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. | NIC | 70.0% | NIC |
| 2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program. | NIC | 59.0% | NIC |
| Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report | dicator | | |
| Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100. | | | |
| NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the | | | |
| data | NIC | 85.0% | NIC |
| Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015) | ator (15) and F | all Surveys | 5 |
| Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification? | | | N |
| Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind | | | |

| Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification? | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016) | | | uly 1, | |
| Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target | |
| Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate | 100% | 100.0% | Y | |
| 2015 to June 30, 2016) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an | l in the pub | lic reportin | | |
| IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on November 15 th collection | Data | Target | Targe | |
| NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students | *** | 100.0% | **** | |
| Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System | Indicator | | | |
| Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and | Local Data | State Target | Met State Targe | |
| based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the | | | | |
| student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where | NA | 100.0% | NA | |

| transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100. | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" | | | |
| Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" | Local Data | State Target | Met State Target |
| A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. | ΝΑ | 46.5% | NA |
| B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left | | | |
| school)] times 100. C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. | NA | 86.0% | NA |