Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

		SPRING	LAKE HEIC MONMC Year 201		DUGH		
Indicator Data Sour	1: <u>Graduat</u> ce: High So	ion Rates · chool Grad	- Performa luation Re	<u>nce Indicat</u> port (Colle	or ction Date	: August 2	2016)
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report ction	ed data or	End of the	e Year			
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	NA	78.0%	NA
				nce Indica Date: June			
Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NA – Indicates not a High School District 				NA	13.0%	NA	
	3: Assess ce: <u>ESEA A</u>			Indicator			
accommoo assessme	dations; reg	gular asses grade level	sment with standards	in a regula n accommo s; alternate	dations; a	lternate)
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	- %	95.0%	-	Math	-	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 4 . 60.5% . Grade 4 . 66.1% . Grade 5 . 60.5% . Grade 5 . 66.1% . Grade 6 . 60.5% . Grade 6 . 66.1% . Grade 7 . 60.5% . Grade 7 . 66.1% . Grade 8 . 60.5% . Grade 8 . 66.1% . Grade HS . 60.5% . HS NA 66.1% . Orade HS . 60.5% . HS NA 66.1% NA Orade HS . 60.5% . HS NA 66.1% NA Orade a grade level . No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year ** No data reported Indicator AA: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Masthe district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and		1						
Grade 5 . 60.5% . Grade 5 . 66.1% . Grade 7 . 60.5% . Grade 6 . 66.1% . Grade 8 .	Grade 3	-	65.4%	-	Grade 3	-	70.3%	-
Grade 6 - 60.5% . Grade 7 - 66.1% - Grade 7 - 60.5% . Grade 8 . 66.1% - Grade 8 - 60.5% . Grade 8 . 66.1% - Grade 8 - 60.5% . HS NA 66.1% - Todade 8 - 60.5% . HS NA 66.1% - - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year * - No data reported - No data reported - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator - N - N greater than 10 days in a school year? - N - N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator N N N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrep	Grade 4	-	60.5%	-	Grade 4	-	66.1%	-
Grade 7 - 60.5% - Grade 7 - 66.1% - Grade 8 - 60.5% - Grade 8 - 66.1% - Grade HS - 60.5% - HS NA 66.1% - - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N In	Grade 5	-	60.5%	-	Grade 5	-	66.1%	-
Grade 8 - 60.5% - Grade 8 - 66.1% - Grade HS - 60.5% - HS NA 66.1% NA - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - The control of the conter control of the cont	Grade 6	-	60.5%	-	Grade 6	-	66.1%	-
Grade HS - 60.5% - HS NA 66.1% NA - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year - No data reported - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group State Target Met State Ta	Grade 7	-	60.5%	-	Grade 7	-	66.1%	-
HS 60.5% HS NA 66.1% NA - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year * No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Ket State Target Met State Target Target	Grade 8	-	60.5%	-	Grade 8	-	66.1%	-
at grade level No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year * No data reported Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year? N Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) N Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and N (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Met Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Local Data State Target Met State Target	Grade HS	-	60.5%	-		NA	66.1%	NA
Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015) Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21	at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Jun Was the d in the rate	de level get as the ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u> ce: Electro ne 30, 201 listrict ident s of susper	district has msion/Exp onic Violence 5) ified by the nsions and	no minim ulsion - I ce and Va State as expulsion	um 'N' size Performano Indalism Re having a sig	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy gnificant di	is year or ystem(July screpancy	1,
(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards. N Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21	Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Jun Does the o	4B: <u>Suspe</u> ce: Electro ne 30, 201 district have	ension/Exp onic Violenc 5) e: (a) a sigr	ulsion - (e and Va	screpancy, l	eporting Sy	ethnicity,	
Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015) * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target Met State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image: Collected on Collected on Collected on Collected School students.	(b) policies discrepand developm	s, procedur cy and do r ent and imp	res or practi not comply plementatio	ces that with requ n of IEPs	irements rel , the use of	lating to the positive be	Э	N
* Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image State Image State	Indicator Data Sour	5: <u>School</u> ce: <u>Annual</u>	Age LRE - Data Report	Perform (Collection	ance Indica on Date: Oct	<mark>ator</mark> ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local State Met Local State Target Mate A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Met State State	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	October	15th collecti	ion		
do not include Non-Public School students. Local State Met Local Data State State A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 Image Image	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	6-21 age	group			
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 State						ed on Octo	ber 15 201	
								Met State Target
				-	-	51.4%	49.0%	Y

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	5.4%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	2.7%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	100%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100		25.00/	
	0%	35.0%	Y
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			Ν
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories			N
of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate 	100%	100.0%	Y
2015 to June 30, 2016) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3,	l in the pub rification p	olic reportin urposes or	Ny and Met
who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	State Targe
 Indicates no reported data on November 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B 			
**** No Eligible students	100%	100.0%	Y
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	Indicator		
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the			
student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	NA	100.0%	NA

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	ΝΑ	46.5%	NA
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
 school)] times 100. C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100. 	NA	86.0%	NA