## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

			NETCO MORE Year 201	RIS			
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	016)
<b>Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Colle</b> Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicate Data Colle		ed data on	End of the	e Year			
		a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	NA	78.0%	NA
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school				<sup>+</sup> high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	NA	13.0%	NA
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	ce: <u>ESEA A</u> ation rate f dations; reg nt against g	gular asses	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula n accommo ; alternate	dations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	- %	95.0%	-	Math	-	95.0%	-
		r children v nt standard		igainst grad	de level sta	andards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

Grade 4       -       60.5%       -       Grade 5       -       66.1%       -         Grade 5       -       60.5%       -       Grade 5       -       66.1%       -         Grade 7       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       Na       HS       Na       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       Na       HS       Na       66.1%       -         Grade 4       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       Na       -       66.1%       -         Grade 4       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       Na       -       66.1%       -       -       66.1%       Na       -       06.1%       Na <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>								
Grade 5       .       60.5%       .       Grade 5       .       66.1%       .         Grade 7       .       60.5%       .       Grade 7       .       66.1%       .         Grade 8       .       60.5%       .       Grade 8       .       66.1%       .         Grade 8       .       60.5%       .       Grade 8       .       66.1%       .         Grade 8       .       60.5%       NA       HS       NA       66.1%       .         Grade 14S       .       60.5%       NA       HS       NA       66.1%       .         Toid not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       .       No target as the district thas no minimum 'N' size for previous year       .         No target as the district thas no minimum 'N' size for previous year       .       No data reported       .       .         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       .       .       .       .       .         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator       .       .       .       .       .         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator       .       .       .       .       .         Indi	Grade 3	-	65.4%	-	Grade 3	-	70.3%	-
Grade 6       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 7       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade 143       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade 48       -       60.5%       NA       HS       NA       66.1%       -         Grade 49       -       0.05%       NA       HS       NA       66.1%       -         No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       -         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       Data       -       Data       -       N         greater than 10 days in a school year?       -       Orbitant BEPs for       N       N       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator       Data       N       N       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N       N       N         Op olcies, procedures or practices th	Grade 4	-	60.5%	-	Grade 4	-	66.1%	-
Grade 7       -       60.5%       -       Grade 7       -       66.1%       -         Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       -         Grade HS       NA       60.5%       NA       HS       NA       66.1%       NA         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         -       No data reported       -       Didicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator         Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator       N       N         Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and impleme	Grade 5	-	60.5%	-	Grade 5	-	66.1%	-
Grade 8       -       60.5%       -       Grade 8       -       66.1%       .         Grade HS       NA       60.5%       NA       HS       NA       66.1%       NA         -       Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       .       66.1%       NA         -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       .       .       66.1%       NA         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator       Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       .       N         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicates no reported data on October 15th	Grade 6	-	60.5%	-	Grade 6	-	66.1%	-
Grade HS       NA       60.5%       NA       Grade HS       NA       66.1%       NA         - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         - No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         ** No data reported         Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *       Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       N	Grade 7	-	60.5%	-	Grade 7	-	66.1%	-
HS       NA       60.5%       NA       HS       NA       66.1%       NA         - Did not meet the state "n" size of 40 for participation and 10 for performance at grade level       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year       -       -       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         - No data reported       -       No data reported       - <td>Grade 8</td> <td>-</td> <td>60.5%</td> <td>-</td> <td>Grade 8</td> <td>-</td> <td>66.1%</td> <td>-</td>	Grade 8	-	60.5%	-	Grade 8	-	66.1%	-
at grade level       No target as the district has no minimum 'N' size for previous year         * No data reported       Indicator 4A: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)         Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?       N         Indicator 4B: Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       N         Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       N         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: State A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21		NA	60.5%	NA		NA	66.1%	NA
Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015)       Image: Content of the state of the	at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour 2014 - Jun Was the d in the rate greater tha	de level get as the ta reported <b>4A:</b> <u>Suspe</u> rce: Electro ne 30, 201 istrict ident s of susper an 10 days	district has msion/Exp mic Violend 5) ified by the nsions and in a schoo	no minim ulsion - ce and Va State as expulsion l year?	Performance andalism Re having a signs of childre	for previou ce Indicato porting Sy gnificant di n with IEPs	or vstem(July screpancy s for	1,
in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and       N         (b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group       N         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: Annual State Target	Data Sour 2014 - Ju	ce: Electro ne 30, 201	onic Violeno 5)	ce and Va	andalism Re	eporting Sy	stem(July	1,
discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.       N         Indicator 5: School Age LRE - Performance Indicator Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)       *         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection       *         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         Met State       Met State         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: Collection	in the rate	of suspens	sions and e	xpulsion				N
Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)         * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection         * Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group         Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.         Local Data       State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Met	discrepan developm	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply plementatio	with requ n of IEPs	iirements rel s, the use of	lating to the positive <b>be</b>	e	N
* Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students. Local Data State Target Met State Target A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21	Indicator Data Sour	5: <u>School</u> ce: <u>Annual</u>	Age LRE - Data Report	Perform	ance Indica on Date: Oct	<mark>ator</mark> ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.       Local Data       State Target       Met State Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Met State Target       Met State Target	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	October	15th collecti	ion		
do not include Non-Public School students.       Local       State       Met         Local       Data       State       State       Target         A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21       Image: Comparison of the state       Image: Comparison of the state       Image: Comparison of the state	* Indicate	s no report	ed data on	6-21 age	e group			
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 State						ed on Octo	ber 15 201	
				-	-	45.2%	49.0%	N

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	16.7%	15.5%	N
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	4.8%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	87.5%	43.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]			
times 100	0%	35.0%	Y
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
<ul> <li>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"</li> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			Ν
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

		N
<mark>/Child Fin</mark> 016 for th	l <mark>d</mark> e period Ju	uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
84.6%	100.0%	N
in the pub	lic reportin	nly and
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
100%	100.0%	Y
	100.070	•
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
	A child Fin Child Fin 016 for th Local Data 84.6% ance Indic 016 for th indicator in the pub rification pub Local Data 100% ndicator Local	DataTarget84.6%100.0%ance Indicator100.0%ance Indicator100.0%ance Indicator that were in the public reporting rification purposes orLocalState Target100%100.0%ndicator100.0%

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<b>A.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	ΝΑ	46.5%	NA
<b>B.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			
<ul> <li>school)] times 100.</li> <li>C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.</li> </ul>	NA	86.0%	NA