## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

		WES	T MILFORI PASS Year 201		IP		
				nce Indicat port (Colle		· August 2	016)
Percent of school with	youth with n a regular	IEPs grad diploma	uating fror	n high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicate Data Colle	s no report	ted data on	End of the	e Year			
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	94.2%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
Percent of school	youth with	IEPs drop	ping out of	f high	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Data Colle						40.00(	
INA – INdi	cates not	a High Sc	nooi Distr	ICT	0%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod	dations; reg	ccountabilit for children gular asses	y <u>Data</u> with IEPs ssment with	in a regula n accommo	odations; a	lternate	)
	nt against ( achievemer			; alternate	assessme	nt against	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	88.6%	95.0%	Ν	Math	84.2%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo achievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

		0 - 404					
Grade 3	17%	65.4%	Ν	Grade 3	17.3%	70.3%	
Grade 4	27.8%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 4	25.9%	66.1%	
Grade 5	15%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 5	15%	66.1%	
Grade 6	18.6%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 6	18.6%	66.1%	N
Grade 7	13.1%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 7	9.8%	66.1%	N
Grade 8	8.5%	60.5%	Ν	Grade 8	12.8%	66.1%	N
Grade HS	14.2%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	5.2%	66.1%	N
at grac - No tar ** No da Indicator	de level get as the o ta reported <b>4A: <u>Suspe</u></b>	district has i	no minin ulsion -	for participat num 'N' size <u>Performan</u> d	for previou	is year	
2014 - Jur Was the d in the rates	ne 30, 201 istrict ident s of susper	<b>5)</b> ified by the	State as expulsio	andalism Re s having a signs of childre	gnificant di	screpancy	1, N
<u> </u>	<b>,</b> -		<b>)</b>				
Data Sour		nic Violenc		Compliance andalism Re			1,
in the rate	of suspens		xpulsion	iscrepancy, l s of greater			N
discrepand developme	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply work of the second se	vith requ	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	ating to the positive <b>be</b>	Э	N
Data Sour * Indicates	<b>ce:</b> <u>Annual</u> s no report	Data Report	<u>(Collecti</u> October	nance Indica on Date: Octo 15th collecti e group	ober 15, 20	<u>15)</u>	
Note: The	LRE data		porting	were collecte	Local	State	5 and Met State
	t of childrer regular clas	with IEPs	aged 6 t	hrough 21	Data	Target	Targe

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	12%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	7.4%	7.4%	Ν

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	18.2%	43.5%	Ν
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100		35.0%	
	76.4%	35.0%	N
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	77.0%	NIC

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	67.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	51.0%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.0%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	dicator		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
<ul> <li>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"</li> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)	ator (15) and F	all Surveys	5
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?			N
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Ind			

Has the district been identified with disproportionate racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories			N
of inappropriate identification?			
Indicator 11: <u>Effective General Supervision Part E</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2 2015 to June 30, 2016)			uly 1,
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate</li> </ul>	93.7%	100.0%	N
2015 to June 30, 2016) Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this submitted after October 15, 2016 cannot be reflected Resubmissions that were requested were for data ve were not for revising the original data submission.	in the pub	lic reportin	
Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
<ul> <li>Indicates no reported data on November 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> <li>**** No Eligible students</li> </ul>	100%	100.0%	Y
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System	Indicator		
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and	Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the			
needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where	NIC	100.0%	N

<u>S</u> Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Local		State
NIC	46.5%	NIC
NIC	75.5%	NIC
NIC	10.070	
	NIC	NIC 75.5%