Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO $\;$ Y: YES

	SALE	M COUNTY	VOCATIO SALE Year 201		OL DISTRI	СТ	
Indicator Data Sour	1: <u>Graduat</u> ce: High So	ion Rates · chool Grad	- Performa luation Re	<u>nce Indicat</u> port (Colle	or ection Date	: August 2	2016)
Percent of school with	cent of youth with IEPs graduating from high ool with a regular diploma			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
	* Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection						
NA – Indi	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	95.9%	78.0%	Y
				nce Indica Date: June			
Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school					Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NA – Indicates not a High School District					0%	13.0%	v
Indicator	3: Assess ce: <u>ESEA A</u>	ment - Per	formance				
accommoo assessme	dations; reg	gular asses grade level	sment with standards s.	in a regula n accommo s; alternate	odations; a	lternate	
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	95.9%	95.0%		Math	100%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo ichievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

	1			-			
Grade 3	NA	65.4%	Y	Grade 3	NA	70.3%	NA
Grade 4	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 4	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 5	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 5	NA	66.1%	
Grade 6	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 6	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 7	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 7	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 8	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 8	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade HS	8.5%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	8.2%	66.1%	N
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator Data Sour	de level get as the d ta reported 4A: <u>Suspe</u>	district has r nsion/Expr nic Violenc	no minin ulsion -	for participat num 'N' size <u>Performan</u> andalism Re	for previou	is year	
Was the d in the rate	listrict ident s of susper	ified by the	expulsio	s having a signs of childre			N
Data Sour 2014 - Ju	ce: Electro ne 30, 201	nic Violenc 5)	e and V	Compliance andalism Re iscrepancy, I	porting Sy	stem(July	1,
in the rate	of suspens	., .	kpulsion	s of greater	•	•	N
discrepan developm	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply work of the second se	vith requ	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	ating to the positive be	Э	N
Data Sour * Indicate	r ce: <u>Annual</u> s no reporte	<u>Data Report</u>	<u>(Collecti</u> October	nance Indica ion Date: Oct 15th collecti e group	<u>ober 15, 20</u>	<u>15)</u>	
		for public re ublic Schoc		were collectonts.			5 and Met
					Local Data	State Target	State Target
A. Percen	t of childrer	with IFPs	anod 6 t	brough 21			

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	0%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	0%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	NA	43.5%	NA
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	NA	35.0%	NA
	NA	55.070	NA
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	72.0%	NA
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program. 	NA	77.0%	NA

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	67.0%	NA	
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	51.0%		
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	70.0%	NA	
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	59.0%	NA	
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	<u>dicator</u>			
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.				
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the 				
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC	
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)		all Surveys	5	
	Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of			
racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela	ated servic		N	

epresenta es that is th	tion of ne result	N
		uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
100%	100.0%	Y
in the pub	lic reportin	
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
NA	100.0%	NA
NA ndicator	100.0%	NA
	100.0% State Target	NA Met State Targe
	2/Child Fin 016 for th Local Data 100% ance Indic 016 for th a indicator in the pub rification p Local	J/Child Find 016 for the period Junc Local Data State Target 100% 100.0% ance Indicator 100.0% one indicator that were in the public reporting rification purposes or State Local State Local State State State 100% State

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
		<u> </u>	,
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey	<u>95</u>		
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	_	46.5%	_
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.		75.5%	
C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.		86.0%	-