## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2015-2016

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO  $\;$  Y: YES

	SUSSI	EX COUNT	Y VOCATIC SUSS Year 201		OL DISTRI	СТ	
				nce Indicat port (Colle		: August 2	2016)
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							
	cates not	a High Sc	hool Distr	ict	99%	78.0%	Y
				<b>nce Indica</b> Date: June			
Percent of school	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection							_
NA – Indicates not a High School District					0%	13.0%	Y
Data Sour B. Particip accommod assessme	dations; reg	or children gular asses grade level	y Data with IEPs ssment with standards	Indicator in a regula accommo ; alternate	odations; a	lternate	)
Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	99.2%	95.0%		Math	79.8%	95.0%	
	ncy rate fo achievemer			igainst grad	de level sta	indards an	d
Subject LAL	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target

	1						
Grade 3	NA	65.4%	Y	Grade 3	NA	70.3%	
Grade 4	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 4	NA	66.1%	
Grade 5	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 5	NA	66.1%	
Grade 6	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 6	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 7	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 7	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade 8	NA	60.5%	NA	Grade 8	NA	66.1%	NA
Grade HS	10.2%	60.5%	N	Grade HS	1.1%	66.1%	N
at grad - No tar ** No da Indicator	de level get as the d ta reported <b>4A: <u>Suspe</u></b>	district has r I	no minin ulsion -	for participat num 'N' size <u>Performano</u> andalism Re	for previou	is year	
Was the d in the rate	s of susper	ified by the	expulsio	s having a signs of childre	0		N
Data Sour 2014 - Ju	ce: Electro ne 30, 201	nic Violenc 5)	e and V	Compliance andalism Re	eporting Sy	stem(July	1,
in the rate	of suspens	· / •	kpulsion	iscrepancy, l s of greater	•	•	N
discrepan developm	cy and do r ent and imp	not comply work of the second se	vith requ	contribute to uirements rel s, the use of ral safeguare	lating to the positive <b>be</b>	Э	N
Data Sour * Indicate	r <b>ce: <u>Annual</u> s no reporte</b>	Data Report	<u>(Collecti</u> October	nance Indica on Date: Oct 15th collecti e group	<u>ober 15, 20</u>	<u>15)</u>	
		for public re ublic Schoc		were collecto its.	Local	State	5 and Met State
					Data	Target	Target
A. Percent							

B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	1.9%	15.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital			
placements.	0%	7.4%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2015)</u>

## \* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2015 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3		43.5%	
through 5 with IEPs)] times 100. B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)]	NA	43.5%	NA
times 100	NA	35.0%	NA
Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" - Indicates the number of survey responses were interpretation of the data	too low to	yield mear	ningful
Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	72.0%	NA
<ol> <li>The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.</li> </ol>	NA	77.0%	NA

Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	67.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	51.0%	
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	70.0%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	59.0%	NA
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance In</u> Data Source: Survey Report	<u>dicator</u>		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.			
<ul> <li>NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"</li> <li>Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the</li> </ul>			
data	NIC	85.0%	NIC
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indic</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2013, 2014, 20 (October 2013, October 2014, October 2015)		all Surveys	5
			N
Has the district been identified for disproportionate re racial and ethnic groups in special education and rela the result of inappropriate identification?	ated servic		

epresenta es that is th	tion of ne result	N
		uly 1,
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
100%	100.0%	Y
in the pub	lic reportin	
Local Data	State Target	Met State Targe
NA	100.0%	NA
NA ndicator	100.0%	NA
	100.0% State Target	NA Met State Targe
	2/Child Fin 016 for th Local Data 100% ance Indic 016 for th a indicator in the pub rification p Local	J/Child Find         016 for the period Junc         Local Data       State Target         100%       100.0%         ance Indicator       100.0%         one indicator that were in the public reporting rification purposes or       State         Local       State         Local       State         State       State         100%       State

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcome Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<b>A.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	27.8%	46.5%	N
<b>B.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left			<u>,                                     </u>
school)] times 100. <b>C.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	69.4%	86.0%	N