Local District Special Education Public Report for 2017-2018

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: No $\;$ Y: Yes

Hammonton Town Atlantic Year 2017-2018						
Indicator 1: <u>Graduation Rates - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Collection Date: Augu Same data as used for reporting to the Department of Education (Departmetres Secondary Education Act (ESSA))		tle I of the Elem	entary and			
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
 ** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NA – Indicates not a High School District 	76.1%	81.0%	Ν			
Indicator 2: <u>Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2017 for School Year 2016-2017)						
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			

•		Year Data Col	lection	3.3%	12.0%	Y
						•
		ce Indicator				
		-				
LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
97.9%	95.0%	Y	Math	97.4%	95.0%	Y
rate for childre	n with IEPs ag	ainst grade lev	el standards ar	nd alternate ac	hievement star	ndards
LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target
26.5%	70.4%	N	Grade 3	26.5%	74.6%	N
14.3%	70.4%	N	Grade 4	25%	74.6%	N
16.2%	70.4%	N	Grade 5	16.2%	74.6%	N
3.4%	70.4%	N	Grade 6	7.1%	74.6%	N
14.3%	70.4%	N	Grade 7	5.4%	74.6%	N
6.1%	70.4%	N	Grade 8	2.1%	74.6%	N
21.5%	70.4%	N	Grade HS	3.4%	74.6%	N
	Assessment ESEA Account on rate for childr dations; alterna tandards. LEA Data 97.9% rate for childre LEA Data 26.5% 14.3% 16.2% 3.4% 14.3% 6.1%	Assessment - PerformaneESEA Accountability DataIn rate for children with IEPs in dations; alternate assessment tandards.LEA DataState Target97.9%95.0%rate for children with IEPs agLEA DataLEA Target26.5%70.4%14.3%70.4%3.4%70.4%14.3%70.4%14.3%70.4%14.3%70.4%14.3%70.4%	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability Datan rate for children with IEPs in a regular ass dations; alternate assessment against grad tandards.LEA DataState TargetMet State Target97.9%95.0%Yrate for children with IEPs against grade lev LEA DataMet LEA Target97.9%95.0%Yrate for children with IEPs against grade lev TargetMet LEA Target26.5%70.4%N14.3%70.4%N3.4%70.4%N14.3%70.4%N14.3%70.4%N14.3%70.4%N14.3%70.4%N14.3%70.4%N	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability DataIn rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with ne dations; alternate assessment against grade level standard tandards.LEA DataState TargetMet State Target97.9%95.0%YMathrate for children with IEPs against grade level standards ar tandardsLEA TargetMet LEA TargetUse for children with IEPs against grade level standards ar TargetMet LEA MathSubject MATH26.5%70.4%NGrade 314.3%70.4%NGrade 53.4%70.4%NGrade 614.3%70.4%NGrade 76.1%70.4%NGrade 8	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability Data Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability Data Assessment apainst grade level standards; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against grade level standards and alternate action LEA State Met State Subject Data 97.9% 95.0% Y Math 97.4% rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate action LEA Data Data 97.9% 95.0% Y Math 97.4% rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate action LEA LEA Data Target Met LEA Subject LEA Data Target Target MATH Data 26.5% 70.4% N Grade 3 26.5% 14.3% 70.4% N Grade 5 16.2% 3.4% 70.4% N Grade 6 7.1% 14.3% 70.4% N Grade 7 5.4% 6.1% 70.4% N Grade 7 5.4%	3.3%12.0%Assessment - Performance IndicatorESEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performance IndicatorESEA Accountability DataAccountability Datan rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular as dations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against tandards.LEAState TargetLEAState TargetDataTargetMet State TargetSubjectDataTarget Target97.9%95.0%YMath97.4%95.0%rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement starLEALEAMet LEASubjectLEALEADataTargetTargetMATHDataTarget26.5%70.4%NGrade 326.5%74.6%16.2%70.4%NGrade 516.2%74.6%14.3%70.4%NGrade 67.1%74.6%14.3%70.4%NGrade 75.4%74.6%6.1%70.4%NGrade 82.1%74.6%

* Did not meet the state "n" size of 20 for participation and "n" size of 10 for performance at Grade level

** No data reported *** No eligible students

NA Not applicable as grades are not offered

Indicator 4A<u>: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017)

Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?	Ν
Indicator 4B: <u>Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017)	
Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and	N
(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive pehavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	Ν

Indicator 5: <u>School Age LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2017)</u>

** : Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection *** : Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.	42.8%	50.0%	Ν
B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	18%	15.0%	Ν
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	10.7%	7.1%	Ν

Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2017)

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	35.1%	44.5%	N
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	62.2%	34.5%	Ν

Indicator 7<u>: Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager

NOTE: Due to the small sample size district-level data cannot be provided for this indicator.

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	72.5%	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NA	78.0%	NA
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target

1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	69.0%	NA		
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	53.0%	NA		
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target		
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	71.0%	NA		
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	60.0%	NA		
Indicator 8 <u>: Parent Involvement - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: Survey Report					
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of	nvolvement as a means of improving services and results for children Local Data State Target				
 NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data 	83.3%	85.5%	Ν		
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2015, 2016, 2017) and Fall Surve October 2017)			2016,		
Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?					
Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2017)					

Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?						
Indicator 11 <u>: Effective General Supervision Part B/Child Find</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2018 for the perio	d July 1, 2017	' to June 30, 20)18)			
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted,	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
within that timeframe	69.4%	100.0%	N			
 ** Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate 		100.070				
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this indicator that were be reflected in the public reporting. Resubmissions that were requested were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found cligible for Part P, and who have an LEP developed and implemented by	vere for data ve	erification purpo	ses only and Met State			
eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	Target			
 * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students 	100%	100.0%	Y			
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System						
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably	NIC	100.0%				

enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition service needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100. NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" NA: Not a High School ** : No data Indicator 14: <u>Post-Secondary Transition Outcomes</u> Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
NA : Not a High School District * Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield			
Survey Response Rate		NIC	
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	47.0%	NIC
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who	NIC	76.0%	NIC

are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.			
C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	86.5%	NIC