Local District Special Education Public Report for 2017-2018

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: No $\;$ Y: Yes

Montclair Town Essex Year 2017-2018						
Indicator 1: <u>Graduation Rates - Performance Indicator</u>						
Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Collection Date: Augu Same data as used for reporting to the Department of Education (Departmeter Secondary Education Act (ESSA))	•	le I of the Elem	entary and			
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data CollectionNA – Indicates not a High School District	82.5%	81.0%	Y			
Indiantes 2: Dren Out Detec. Derfermenes Indianter						
Indicator 2: <u>Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2017 for Schoo</u>	l Year 2016-20	<u>17)</u>				
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			

		Year Data Col	lection	1 3%	12 0%	Y
s not a High Sc	hool District			1.5 /0	12.078	l I
		ce Indicator				
LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
74.4%	95.0%	N	Math	75%	95.0%	N
rate for childre	n with IEPs ag	ainst grade lev	vel standards ar	nd alternate ac	hievement star	ndards
LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target
24.3%	70.4%	N	Grade 3	32.4%	74.6%	N
33.3%	70.4%	N	Grade 4	27.6%	74.6%	N
39.5%	70.4%	N	Grade 5	24.7%	74.6%	N
29%	70.4%	N	Grade 6	20.9%	74.6%	N
29.3%	70.4%	N	Grade 7	17.1%	74.6%	N
29.3%	70.4%	N	Grade 8	24.7%	74.6%	N
22.8%	70.4%	N	Grade HS	10.1%	74.6%	N
	Assessment ESEA Account on rate for childr dations; alterna tandards. LEA Data 74.4% rate for childre LEA Data 24.3% 33.3% 39.5% 29% 29.3%	Assessment - Performan ESEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performan ESEA Accountability DataIn rate for children with IEPs in dations; alternate assessment tandards.LEA DataState Target74.4%95.0%rate for children with IEPs agLEA DataLEA Target24.3%70.4%39.5%70.4%29%70.4%29.3%70.4%29.3%70.4%	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability Datan rate for children with IEPs in a regular ass dations; alternate assessment against grad tandards.LEA DataState TargetMet State Target74.4%95.0%Nrate for children with IEPs against grade levLEA DataLEA Target74.4%95.0%Nrate for children with IEPs against grade levLEA DataLEA TargetAutomaticationMet LEA Target24.3%70.4%N39.5%70.4%N29%70.4%N29.3%70.4%N	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability DataIn rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with ne dations; alternate assessment against grade level standard tandards.LEA DataState TargetMet State TargetSubject74.4%95.0%NMathrate for children with IEPs against grade level standards arMet LEA TargetSubject74.4%95.0%NMathrate for children with IEPs against grade level standards arMet LEA TargetSubjectLEA DataLEA TargetMet LEA MathSubject24.3%70.4%NGrade 333.3%70.4%NGrade 439.5%70.4%NGrade 529%70.4%NGrade 629.3%70.4%NGrade 729.3%70.4%NGrade 8	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability Data Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability Data Assessment with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodat dations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate as tandards. LEA State Met State LEA Data Target Target Subject Data 74.4% 95.0% N Math 75% rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate ac LEA LEA Data 74.4% 95.0% N Math 75% rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate ac LEA LEA Data Target Target Math 73.3% 70.4% N Grade 3 32.4% 33.3% 70.4% N Grade 5 24.7% 29% 70.4% N Grade 5 24.7% 29.3% 70.4% N Grade 7 17.1% 29.3% 70.4% N Grade 8 24.7%	1.3%12.0%Assessment - Performance IndicatorESEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performance IndicatorESEA Accountability DataAccountability Datan rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular a dations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against tandards.LEAState TargetMet State TargetLEA SubjectState DataState Target74.4%95.0%NMath75%95.0%rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement starLEA DataLEA TargetLEA TargetLEALEA TargetMet LEA TargetSubject MATHLEA DataLEA TargetLEA DataLEA TargetMet LEA MATHSubject DataLEA Target24.3%70.4%NGrade 3 Grade 332.4% 74.6%33.3%70.4%NGrade 5 Grade 424.7% 74.6%29.3%70.4%NGrade 7 74.6%74.6%29.3%70.4%NGrade 8 Grade 824.7% 74.6%

* Did not meet the state "n" size of 20 for participation and "n" size of 10 for performance at Grade level

** No data reported *** No eligible students

NA Not applicable as grades are not offered

Indicator 4A<u>: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017)

Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?	Ν
Indicator 4B: <u>Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017)	
Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and	Ν
(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive pehavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	Ν

Indicator 5: <u>School Age LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2017)</u>

** : Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection *** : Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

53.3%	50.0%	Y
6.3%	15.0%	Y
7.1%	7.1%	Y
7	'.1%	′.1% 7.1%

Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2017)

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	70.5%	44.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	29.5%	34.5%	Y

Indicator 7<u>: Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager

NOTE: Due to the small sample size district-level data cannot be provided for this indicator.

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	72.5%	NA	
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NA	78.0%	NA	
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	

1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	69.0%	NA		
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	53.0%	NA		
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target		
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	71.0%	NA		
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	60.0%	NA		
Indicator 8 <u>: Parent Involvement - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: Survey Report					
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of	vement as a means of improving services and results for children Local Data State				
with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100. 81.7% NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" 81.7% - Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data 81.7%					
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2015, 2016, 2017) and Fall Surve October 2017)			2016,		
Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?					
Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2017)					

Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?						
Indicator 11 <u>: Effective General Supervision Part B/Child Find</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2018 for the perio	d July 1, 2017	' to June 30, 20)18)			
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State	ate Local Data State Targe	State Target	Met State Target			
establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	81.9%	100.0%	N			
 ** Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate 						
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this indicator that were submitted after October 15, 2018 cannot be reflected in the public reporting. Resubmissions that were requested were for data verification purposes only and were not for revising the original data submission.Met StatePercent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are foundMet State						
eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	Target			
 * Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students 	90%	100.0%	N			
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System						
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably	NIC	100.0%	NIC			

enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition service needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100. NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" NA: Not a High School ** : No data Indicator 14: <u>Post-Secondary Transition Outcomes</u> Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
NA : Not a High School District * Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield			
Survey Response Rate		NIC	
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	47.0%	NIC
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who	NIC	76.0%	NIC

are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.			
C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	86.5%	NIC