## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2018-2019

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: No  $\;$  Y: Yes

Delran Twp Burlington Year 2018-2019						
Indicator 1: Graduation Rates - Performance Indicator						
Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Collection Date: August 2018) Same data as used for reporting to the Department of Education (Department) under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESSA))						
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
<ul> <li>** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection</li> <li>NA – Indicates not a High School District</li> </ul>	84.4%	81.0%	Y			
Indicator 2: <u>Drop-Out Rates - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: End of Year Report (Collection Date: June 2018 for Sch	nool Year 2017	7-2018)				
Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			

		Year Data Col	lection	3.7%	12.0%	Y
s not a High Sc	hool District			•,•		-
		ce Indicator				
		-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
90.6%	97.0%	N	Math	91.2%	97.0%	N
rate for childre	n with IEPs ag	ainst grade lev	/el standards ar	nd alternate acl	hievement star	dards
LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target	Subject MATH	LEA Data	LEA Target	Met LEA Target
26.2%	70.4%	N	Grade 3	27.9%	74.6%	N
45.2%	70.4%	N	Grade 4	31%	74.6%	N
34.2%	70.4%	N	Grade 5	28.9%	74.6%	N
24.4%	70.4%	N	Grade 6	24.4%	74.6%	N
22.2%	70.4%	N	Grade 7	11.1%	74.6%	N
13.3%	70.4%	N	Grade 8	16.7%	74.6%	N
23.4%	70.4%	N	Grade HS	8.8%	74.6%	N
	Assessment ESEA Account on rate for childr dations; alterna tandards. LEA Data 90.6% rate for childre LEA Data 26.2% 45.2% 34.2% 24.4% 22.2% 13.3%	Assessment - Performan ESEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performan ESEA Accountability DataIn rate for children with IEPs in dations; alternate assessment tandards.LEA DataState Target90.6%97.0%rate for children with IEPs agLEA TargetDataLEA Target26.2%70.4%34.2%70.4%24.4%70.4%13.3%70.4%	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability Datan rate for children with IEPs in a regular ass dations; alternate assessment against grad tandards.LEA DataState TargetMet State Target90.6%97.0%Nrate for children with IEPs against grade levLEA DataLEA Target90.6%97.0%Nrate for children with IEPs against grade levLEA DataLEA Target26.2%70.4%N34.2%70.4%N34.2%70.4%N22.2%70.4%N13.3%70.4%N	Assessment - Performance Indicator ESEA Accountability DataIn rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with ne dations; alternate assessment against grade level standard tandards.LEA DataState TargetMet State TargetSubject90.6%97.0%NMathrate for children with IEPs against grade level standards ar tandardsLEA LEA TargetMet LEA TargetSubject90.6%97.0%NMathrate for children with IEPs against grade level standards ar TargetMath26.2%70.4%NGrade 345.2%70.4%NGrade 434.2%70.4%NGrade 524.4%70.4%NGrade 622.2%70.4%NGrade 713.3%70.4%NGrade 8	3.7%         3.7%         Assessment - Performance Indicator         ESEA Accountability Data         A rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodat dations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assestandards.         LEA       State       Met State       Subject       Data         90.6%       97.0%       N       Math       91.2%         rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate act         LEA       LEA       Met LEA       Subject       LEA         90.6%       97.0%       N       Math       91.2%         rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate act         LEA       LEA       Met LEA       Subject       LEA         Data       Target       Target       MATH       Data         26.2%       70.4%       N       Grade 3       27.9%         45.2%       70.4%       N       Grade 5       28.9%         24.4%       70.4%       N       Grade 6       24.4%         22.2%       70.4%       N       Grade 7       11.1% <tr< td=""><td>Assessment - Performance IndicatorSEEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performance IndicatorESEA Accountability DataAccountability Datan rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular as dations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against tandards.LEAState TargetSubjectLEAState TargetDataTargetSubjectDataTarget90.6%97.0%NMath91.2%97.0%PataTargetSubjectLEADataTargetSubjectLEALEADataTargetTargetSubjectLEALEALEALEALEADataTargetSu</td></tr<>	Assessment - Performance IndicatorSEEA Accountability DataAssessment - Performance IndicatorESEA Accountability DataAccountability Datan rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular as dations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against tandards.LEAState TargetSubjectLEAState TargetDataTargetSubjectDataTarget90.6%97.0%NMath91.2%97.0%PataTargetSubjectLEADataTargetSubjectLEALEADataTargetTargetSubjectLEALEALEALEALEADataTargetSu

\* Did not meet the state "n" size of 20 for participation and "n" size of 10 for performance at Grade level

\*\* No data reported \*\*\* No eligible students

NA Not applicable as grades are not offered

Indicator 4A<u>: Suspension/Expulsion - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2018)

Was the district identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?	Ν
ndicator 4B: <u>Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2018)	
Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and	Ν
b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply vith requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive	Ν

Indicator 5: <u>School Age LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2018)</u>

\*\* : Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection\*\*\* : Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular			Target
class 80% or more of the day.	53.2%	50.5%	Y
B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	4.6%	15.0%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	8.5%	6.9%	Ν

Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2018)

\* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	68.2%	45.0%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	31.8%	34.0%	Y

## Indicator 7: Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Indicator Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager

## NOTE: Due to the small sample size district-level data cannot be provided for this indicator.

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target

1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA			
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA			
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA			
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA			
Indicator 8 <u>: Parent Involvement - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: Survey Report						
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target			
with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.						
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort" * Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data	NIC	86.0%	NIC			
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2016, 2017, 2018) and Fall Surv October 2018)	eys (October	2016, October	2017,			
Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?						
Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2018)						

Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?					
Indicator 11 <u>: Effective General Supervision Part B/Child Find</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2019 for the perio	d July 1, 2018	to June 30, 20	)19)		
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State	nitial evaluation or, if the State Local Data State Target				
establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	98.7%	100.0%	N		
<ul> <li>** Indicates no reported data on October 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate</li> </ul>					
<b>Note:</b> Corrections or additions to the numbers for this indicator that were be reflected in the public reporting. Resubmissions that were requested were not for revising the original data submission. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found	vere for data ve	erification purpo	ses only and Met State		
eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Local Data	State Target	Target		
<ul> <li>* Indicates no reported data on October 15<sup>th</sup> collection</li> <li>NA Indicates "Not Applicable"</li> <li>*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B</li> <li>**** No Eligible students</li> </ul>	100%	100.0%	Y		
Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System					
Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target		
updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably	NIC	100.0%	NIC		

enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition service needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100. NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" NA: Not a High School ** : No data Indicator 14: Post-Secondary Transition Outcomes Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
NA : Not a High School District * Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield			
Survey Response Rate		NIC	
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<b>A.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	47.5%	NIC
<b>B.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who	NIC	76.0%	NIC

are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.			
<b>C.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	86.5%	NIC