## Local District Special Education Public Report for 2019-2020

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

#### **Data Notes:**

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels.

N: No Y: Yes

# Pleasantville City Atlantic

#### Year 2019-2020

#### Indicator 1: Graduation Rates - Performance Indicator

Data Source: High School Graduation Report (Collection Date: August 2019)

Same data as used for reporting to the Department of Education (Department) under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESSA))

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular			Met State
diploma	Local Data	State Target	Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection  NA – Indicates not a High School District	84.6%	81.5%	Υ

Indicator 3: Assessment - Performance Indicator (State of New Jersey cancelled all statewide student assessments for the 2020 testing window)

Data Source: ESEA Accountability Data

B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.

	LEA	State	Met State		LEA	State	Met State
Subject	Data	Target	Target	Subject	Data	Target	Target
LAL	-	-	-	Math	-	-	-

C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards

Subject	LEA	LEA	Met LEA	Subject	LEA	LEA	Met LEA
LAL	Data	Target	Target	MATH	Data	Target	Target
Grade 3	-	-	-	Grade 3	-	-	-
Grade 4	-	-	-	Grade 4	-	-	-
Grade 5	-	-	-	Grade 5	-	-	-
Grade 6	-	-	-	Grade 6	-	-	-
Grade 7	-	-	-	Grade 7	-	-	-
Grade 8	-	-	-	Grade 8	-	-	-

Grade HS	-	-	-	Grade HS	-	-	-
*Did not meet	the state "n" si	ze of 20 for par	rticipation and	"n" size of 10 fo	or performance	at Grade level	
**No data repo	orted						
***No eligible	students						
NA Not application	NA Not applicable as grades are not offered						
Indicator 4A:	Suspension/E	xpulsion - Pe	rformance Inc	dicator example 1			
Data Source:	Electronic Vic	olence and Va	ndalism Repo	orting System(	July 1, 2018 -	June 30, 2019)	
Was the distri	ct identified by	the State as ha	ving a significa	ant discrepancy	in the rates of	suspensions	N
and expulsion	and expulsions of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?					N	
Indicator 4B:	Suspension/E	xpulsion - Co	mpliance Indi	<u>icator</u>			
Data Source:	Electronic Vic	olence and Va	ndalism Repo	orting System(	July 1, 2018 -	June 30, 2019)	
Does the distr	ict have: (a) a s	significant discr	epancy, by rac	ce or ethnicity, i	in the rate of su	spensions	N
and expulsion	s of greater tha	n 10 days in a	school year fo	r children with	IEPs ; and		IN
(b) policies, pr	ocedures or pr	actices that co	ntribute to the	significant discr	repancy and do	not comply	
with requireme	ents relating to	the developme	ent and implem	entation of IEP	s, the use of po	ositive	N
behavioral inte	erventions and	supports, and <sub>l</sub>	procedural safe	eguards.			
Indicator 5: S	School Age LR	E - Performan	ce Indicator				
Data Source:	NJSMART (Co	ollection Date	October 15,	<u>2019)</u>			

\*\*Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection

\*\*\*Indicates no reported data on 6-21 age group

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15, 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.	37.9%	50.5%	N
B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	11.2%	14.0%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	10.2%	6.9%	N

Indicator 6: Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator

Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2019)

\*Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection

NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

**Note:** The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15, 2016 and do not include Non-Public School students.

Local Data	State Target	Met State Target

B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	35.4%	34.0%	N
regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	62.5%	46.0%	Y
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a			

Indicator 7: Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Indicator

Data Source: Child Outcome Summary (COS)

Note: Due to the small sample size district-level data cannot be provided for this indicator.

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships).	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NA	NA	NA
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target

and skills (including early language/ communication and early			
literacy).			
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age			
expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased	NA	NA	NA
their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.			
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations	NA	NA	NA
in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	IVA	IVA	IVA
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with			Met State
IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to	Local Data	State Target	Target
meet their needs.			raiget
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age			
expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased	NA	NA	NA
their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.			
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations	NA	NA	NA
in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	IVA	NA.	NA.
Indicator 8: Parent Involvement - Performance Indicator			
Data Source: Survey Report			
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent			Met State
involvement as a means of improving services and results for children	Local Data	State Target	Target
with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of			
children with disabilities times 100.	NIC	86.0%	NIC
	1410	00.070	1410
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"			

*Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield						
meaningful interpretation of the data.						
Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator						
Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2017, 2018, 2019) and Fall Surv	eys (October	2017, October	2018,			
October 2019)						
Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in						
special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate i	dentification?		N			
Indicator 10: Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator						
Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2019) NJSMA	RT (Collection	s 2017, 2018, 2	2019) and			
Fall Surveys (October 2017, October 2018, October 2019)						
Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of rac	cial and ethnic	groups in	NI NI			
specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification	n?		N			
Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part B/Child Find						
Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2020 for the period	od July 1, 2019	to June 30, 20	)20)			
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time			Met State			
	Local Data	State Target				
line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State			Target			
			Target			
establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted,			Target			
establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted,	52.8%	100.0%	Target N			
line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe  **Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection	52.8%	100.0%				

#### Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliance Indicator

Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2020 for the period July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020)

**Note:** Corrections or additions to the numbers for this indicator that were submitted after October 15, 2018 cannot be reflected in the public reporting. Resubmissions that were requested were for data verification purposes only and were not for revising the original data submission.

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found			Met State
eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by	Local Data	State Target	Target
their third birthdays.			
*Indicates no reported data on October 15 <sup>th</sup> collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable"  ***0 students referred from Part C to part B  ****No Eligible students	56.3%	100.0%	N

### **Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator**

Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System

Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that			Met State
includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually	Local Data	State Target	Target
updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment,			
transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably			
enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP	NIC	100.0%	NIC
goals related to the student's transition service needs. There also must			
be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where			

transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate,		
a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team		
meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached		
the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and		
above)] times 100.		
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"		
NA: Not a High School		
**No data		
	I .	

## Indicator 14: Post-Secondary Transition Outcomes

**Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey** 

NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"

NA: Not a High School District

\*Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield

Survey Response Rate	NIC		
	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<b>A.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school)	NIC	48.0 %	NIC

divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary			
school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.			
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within		77.0%	
one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in	NIC		
secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and			
were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one			NIC
year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who			
are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time			
they left school)] times 100.			
C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary	NIC	87.0%	
education or training program; or competitively employed or in some			NIC
other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school,			
had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher			
education, or in some other postsecondary education or training			NIC
program; or competitively employed or in some other employment)			
divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary			
school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.			