



**NEW JERSEY GOVERNMENT RECORDS COUNCIL**  
**Administrative Complaint Disposition – Unable to Locate Complainant**

**Anonymous  
Complainant**

**GRC Complaint Numbers  
See Appendix A**

v.

**Township of Irvington (Essex)  
Custodial Agency**

**Custodian of Record:** Harold Wiener  
**Request Received by Custodian:** Various  
**GRC Complaint Received:** Various

**Complaint Disposition:** Although the Custodian has already submitted a Statement of Information, in order to prevent the unnecessary expenditure of administrative resources by the GRC to process this matter for the benefit of the parties, said consolidated complaint should be dismissed because the GRC cannot contact the Complainant<sup>1</sup> and because they have made no attempt to contact the GRC regarding this consolidated complaint. See Swindell v. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy, Bureau of Coastal and Land Use Enforcement, OAL Docket No. ESA 5675-92 (Initial Decision 1993) (dismissing a complaint for failure to appear); Siddeeq v. New Jersey Department of Corrections, GRC Complaint No. 2009-182, *et seq.* (November 2009).

**Applicable OPRA Provision:** “The Government Records Council shall . . . receive, hear, review and adjudicate a complaint filed by any person *concerning a denial of access to a government record by a record custodian . . .*” N.J.S.A. 47:1A-7(b) (emphasis added).

**This is the final administrative determination in this matter. Any further review should be pursued in the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey within forty-five (45) days. Information about the appeals process can be obtained from the Appellate Division Clerk’s Office, Hughes Justice Complex, 25 W. Market St. PO Box 006, Trenton, NJ 08625-0006.**

**Effective Date of Disposition:** January 30, 2024

Prepared By: Frank F. Caruso  
Executive Director

Date: January 23, 2024

**Distribution Date: February 1, 2024**

<sup>1</sup> The Complainant, an anonymous requestor, only provided an e-mail address in their complaints. In a recent attempt to obtain a status update from the Complainant, the GRC twice received “undeliverable” messages stating that said e-mail address “wasn’t found . . . [because] . . . it might not exist.” The GRC checked multiple times to ensure the address was not misspelled prior to determining that the e-mail account no longer existed.

Appendix A – Anonymous v. Twp. of Irvington (Essex), Complaint Numbers

1. GRC 2022-482
2. GRC 2022-483
3. GRC 2022-484
4. GRC 2022-487
5. GRC 2022-488
6. GRC 2022-501
7. GRC 2022-502
8. GRC 2022-506
9. GRC 2022-509
10. GRC 2022-511
11. GRC 2022-521
12. GRC 2022-529
13. GRC 2022-537
14. GRC 2022-553
15. GRC 2022-554
16. GRC 2022-555
17. GRC 2022-565
18. GRC 2022-566
19. GRC 2022-583
20. GRC 2022-609
21. GRC 2022-625
22. GRC 2022-626