



NEW JERSEY GOVERNMENT RECORDS COUNCIL
Administrative Order – *In Camera* Review

Priscilla J. Triolo, Esq.
(On Behalf of Ashley Mjoka-Kazanchy)
Complainant

GRC Complaint No. 2023-232

v.

Township of Randolph (Morris)
Custodial Agency

Custodian of Record: Janet Pollio
Request Received by Custodian: August 10, 2023
GRC Complaint Received: September 25, 2023

Order: The GRC must conduct an *in camera* review of all responsive redacted responsive e-mails (and attachments, where applicable) to determine the validity of the Custodian's assertion that the redacted portions thereof were exempt under the cited exemptions. N.J.S.A. 47:1A-1.1. See Paff v. N.J. Dep't of Labor, Bd. of Review, 379 N.J. Super. 346, 355 (App. Div. 2005). **Thus, the Custodian shall deliver¹ to the Council in a sealed envelope nine (9) copies of the requested unredacted records, nine (9) copies of the redacted records, and a document or redaction index.²**

This is an Administrative Order requiring compliance within ten (10) business days after receipt thereof. The Custodian shall also simultaneously deliver certified confirmation of compliance with this Order, in accordance with N.J. Court Rules, R. 1:4-4,³ to the Executive Director that the records provided are the records requested by the Council for the *in camera* inspection.

Effective Date of Disposition: April 29, 2025

Prepared By: Frank F. Caruso
Executive Director

Date: April 15, 2025

Distribution Date: May 1, 2025

¹ The *in camera* records may be sent overnight mail, regular mail, or be hand-delivered, at the discretion of the Custodian, as long as the GRC physically receives them by the deadline.

² The document or redaction index should identify the record and/or each redaction asserted and the lawful basis for the denial.

³ "I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment."