



**NEW JERSEY GOVERNMENT RECORDS COUNCIL**  
**Administrative Complaint Disposition – Motion to File Within Time Denied**

**Michael Stevens**  
**Complainant**

**GRC Complaint No. 2025-260**

v.

**County of Hudson**  
**Custodial Agency**

**Custodian of Record:** Robert J. Pompliano, Esq.  
**Request Received by Custodian:** June 10, 2025  
**GRC Complaint Received:** September 11, 2025

**Complaint Disposition:** The Complainant verified the instant complaint on September 6, 2025, alleging he was denied access to his most recent OPRA request on June 17, 2025,<sup>1</sup> or seventy-two (72) days prior. Although twice<sup>2</sup> notified of the requirement to include with the complaint a motion to file within time, the Complainant failed to do so. Thus, in the absence of the required motion to file within time, the Complainant failed to show good cause to permit the complaint to proceed out of time. Therefore, this complaint shall be dismissed.

**Applicable OPRA Provision:** “A person who is denied access to a government record by the custodian of the record, at the option of the requestor who is accurately identified by name, may, within 45 days of the date of denial . . . file a complaint with the Government Records Council” N.J.S.A. 47:1A-6.

**This is the final administrative determination in this matter. Any further review should be pursued in the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey within forty-five (45) days. Information about the appeals process can be obtained from the Appellate Division Clerk’s Office, Hughes Justice Complex, 25 W. Market St. PO Box 006, Trenton, NJ 08625-0006.**

**Effective Date of Disposition:** January 27, 2026

<sup>1</sup> The Complainant asserted that he had submitted several OPRA requests for the same records beginning in November 2021.

<sup>2</sup> On August 25, 2025, the GRC returned the Complainant’s initial filing because it did not include a completed Denial of Access Complaint form and advised of the forty-five (45) calendar day statute of limitations. On September 2, 2025, the GRC returned the Complainant’s resubmission because it was out of time. The GRC also advised the Complainant of the requirement include a motion with his filing with any resubmission. The Complainant resubmitted his complaint a third time without the required motion.



Prepared By: Frank F. Caruso  
Executive Director

Date: January 20, 2026<sup>3</sup>

**Distribution Date: January 29, 2026**

---

<sup>3</sup> This complaint was prepared for adjudication at the Council's October 6, 2025 meeting, but could not be adjudicated due to lack of quorum.