



NEW JERSEY GOVERNMENT RECORDS COUNCIL

Administrative Complaint Disposition – All Records Responsive Provided in a Timely Manner

Amelia Nittolo
Complainant

GRC Complaint No. 2026-90

v.

Wharton Borough Public Schools (Morris)
Custodial Agency

Custodian of Record: Sandy Cammarata
Request Received by Custodian: January 13, 2026
GRC Complaint Received: February 19, 2026

Complaint Disposition: The Custodian certified that all records responsive to the subject OPRA request were provided to the Complainant within the statutorily mandated response time. Additionally, the Complainant failed to provide any evidence to contradict the Custodian's certification.¹ Thus, this complaint shall be dismissed because the Custodian timely provided all responsive records.

Applicable OPRA Provision: "A custodian shall promptly comply with a request to inspect, examine, copy, or provide a copy of a government record." N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(g).

"Unless a shorter time period is otherwise provided by statute, regulation, or executive order, a custodian of a government record shall grant access to a government record . . . as soon as possible, but not later than seven business days after receiving the request, provided that the record is currently available and not in storage or archived." N.J.S.A. 47:1A-5(i).

This is the final administrative determination in this matter. Any further review should be pursued in the Appellate Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey within forty-five (45) days. Information about the appeals process can be obtained from the Appellate Division Clerk's Office, Hughes Justice Complex, 25 W. Market St. PO Box 006, Trenton, NJ 08625-0006.

Effective Date of Disposition: June 30, 2026

Prepared By: Maria M. Rossi
Staff Attorney

Date: June 23, 2026
Distribution Date: July 1, 2026

¹ The Complainant's argument central to her Denial of Access Complaint is that the Custodian did not answer her e-mail following disclosure of the requested records inquiring of the specific date a disclosed presentation was given. Same was not part of her original OPRA request and constitutes a question, which is not contemplated by OPRA as a valid request. See Watt v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset), GRC Complaint No. 2007-246 (September 2009); Rummel v. Cumberland Cnty. Bd. of Chosen Freeholders, GRC Complaint No. 2011-168 (December 2012).