

State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS 101 South Broad Street PO Box 819 Trenton, NJ 08625-0819 JACQUELYN A. SUÁREZ Commissioner

NOTICE OF MEETING Government Records Council April 22, 2025

Pursuant to the Open Public Meetings Act, notice is hereby given that the Government Records Council will hold a regular meeting, at which formal action may be taken, commencing at 1:30 p.m., Tuesday, April 22, 2025, via Office Teams. Members of the public may attend the meeting by utilizing the following call-in information:

Telephone Number: 1-856-338-7074 Conference ID: 126 639 081#

The agenda, to the extent presently known, is listed below. The public session and consideration of cases is expected to commence at 1:30 p.m. remotely.

I. Public Session:

Call to Order Pledge of Allegiance Meeting Notice Roll Call

- II. Executive Director's Report
- III. Closed Session
- **IV.** Approval of Minutes of Previous Meetings:

March 25, 2025, Open Session Meeting Minutes

V. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Consent Agenda Administrative Complaint Disposition Adjudication *

An "Administrative Complaint Disposition" means a decision by the Council as to whether to accept or reject the Executive Director's recommendation of dismissal based on jurisdictional, procedural or other defects of the complaint. The Executive Director's recommended reason for the Administrative Disposition is under each complaint below.



PHILIP D. MURPHY Governor

TAHESHA L. WAY Lieutenant Governor

A. Administrative Disposition Adjudications with Recusals (Consent Agenda):

- 1. John S. Hilkevich v. NJ Department of Corrections (2022-300) (SR Recusal)
- 2. Miguel Ramos v. NJ Department of Corrections (2022-531) (SR Recusal)
- 3. Patrick Bender v. Morris County Sheriff's Office (2022-656) (SR Recusal)
- 4. Bianca Barber v. City of Newark (Essex) (2025-9) (SR Recusal)
- 5. Jennie Santiago v. City of Jersey City, Division of City Planning (Hudson) (2025-12) (SR Recusal)

B. Administrative Disposition Adjudications with no Recusals (Consent Agenda):

- 1. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute & Baffi Obafemi) v. Gloucester City Police Department (Camden) (2022-187)
- 2. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute & Baffi Obafemi) v. Borough of Upper Saddle River Police Department (Bergen) (2022-189)
- 3. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Fieldsboro Police Department (Burlington) (2022-236)
- 4. Peter Gartner v. Borough of Middlesex (Middlesex) (2023-72)
- 5. Scott Madlinger v. Berkeley Township Police Department (Ocean) (2023-78)
- 6. Kenneth Guenther v. Atlantic County (2025-61)
- 7. Pavel Borokhov v. NJ Judiciary (2025-63)

C. Administrative Disposition Uncontested, Voluntary Withdrawals by Complainant (No Adjudication of the Council is Required):

- 1. Brian F. McBride v. City of Somers Point (Atlantic) (2023-64)
- 2. Michael Norbert Wolf v. City of Absecon (Atlantic) (2023-85)
- 3. Robert Shire v. Cherry Hill Board of Education (Camden) (2023-91)
- 4. Michele Downie v. NJ State Police (2023-118)
- 5. Michele Downie v. NJ Office of the Attorney General (2023-119) CONSOLIDATED
- 6. Mildred F. Waale v. Warren Township Planning Board (Somerset) (2023-157)
- 7. Kevin Kearns v. Borough of Red Bank (Monmouth) (2023-195)
- 8. Peter M. Heimlich v. Kittatinny Regional High School (Sussex) (2024-268)
- 9. Derrick B. Parreott, Sr. v. NJ State Police (2025-11)
- 10. Basmah Raja (o/b/o Juana Caminero) v. City of Paterson (Passaic) (2025-23)
- 11. Kevin Kearns v. City of Hoboken (Hudson) (2025-68)

VI. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Consent Agenda Administrative Order

An "Administrative order" means an order issued by the Council requiring the records custodian or the complainant to perform a specific action in furtherance of the adjudication of a pending denial of access complaint or taking other actions deemed appropriate to adjudicate a complaint in an expedited manner. The Executive Director's recommended reason for the Administrative Order is under each complaint below.

A. Administrative Orders with Recusals (Consent Agenda):

1. Dan Halper v. Rutgers University (2023-141) (RC & SR Recusal)

B. Administrative Orders with No Recusals (Consent Agenda):

- 1. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute & Baffi Obafemi) v. City of Rahway Police Department (Union) (2022-220)
- 2. Priscilla J. Triolo, Esq. (o/b/o Ashley Mojka-Kazanchy) v. Township of Randolph (Morris) (2023-232)

VII. New Business – Cases Scheduled for Individual Complaint Adjudication

The Executive Director's recommended action is under each complaint below.

A. Individual Complaint Adjudications with Recusals:

- 1. Perrault Jean Paul v. City of Jersey City (Hudson) (2022-317) (SR Recusal)
- 2. Kevin Lawrence Conley v. County of Hudson (2022-438) (SR Recusal)
- 3. Scott Madlinger v. City of Jersey City (Hudson) (2022-525) (SR Recusal)
- 4. Andre Graves-Byrd v. NJ Department of Corrections (2022-608) (SR Recusal)
- 5. Brian Scott Morton v. NJ Civil Service Commission (2023-138) (SR Recusal)
- 6. John Paff v. Township of Edison (Middlesex) (2023-168) (SR Recusal)
- 7. Maria Diamonte v. Rutgers University (2022-355) (RC & SR Recusals)
- 8. Maria Diamonte v. Rutgers University (2022-388) (RC & SR Recusals)
- 9. Maria Diamonte v. Rutgers University (2022-398) (RC & SR Recusals)

B. Individual Complaint Adjudications with no Recusals:

- 1. Aakash Dalal v. Bergen County Prosecutor's Office (2018-72)
- 2. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Mullica Township Police Department (Atlantic) (2022-124)
- 3. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Hillside Township Police Department (Union) (2022-125)
- 4. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute) v. Englishtown Borough Police Department (Monmouth) (2022-139)
- 5. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute & Baffi Obafemi) v. Westfield Police Department (Union) (2022-166)
- 6. Rotimi Owoh, Esq. (o/b/o African American Data & Research Institute & Baffi Obafemi) v. Kinnelon Borough Police Department (2022-177)
- 7. Danyale Robinson v. Township of Franklin (Somerset) (2022-238)
- 8. Nirav Shah v. Franklin Township Police Department (Somerset) (2022-254)
- 9. John Jones v. Township of Jefferson (Morris) (2022-371)
- 10. Heidi Glancey v. NJ Division of Consumer Affairs (2022-392)
- 11. Lisa Maddox Douglas v. County of Essex (2022-393)
- 12. Anonymous v. Englishtown Police Department (Monmouth) (2022-439)
- 13. Drew Bradford v. Union County Prosecutor's Office (2022-475)
- 14. Edward Farley Aizen v. Willingboro Board of Education (Burlington) (2022-558)
- 15. Michael K. Duberson v. Cumberland County Prosecutor's Office (2022-646)
- 16. Chandra S. Jatamoni v. East Brunswick Police Department (Middlesex) (2022-665)
- 17. Scott Madlinger v. Barnegat Township Police Department (Ocean) (2022-678)
- 18. Carly Ruiz v. NJ Motor Vehicle Commission (2023-32)

- 19. Christopher Marchesano v. Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office (2023-71)
- 20. David Weiner v. County of Essex (2023-74)
- 21. Elizabeth Mattfield v. City of Union City (Union) (2023-94)
- 22. James Poliero v. Borough of Haddonfield Police Department (Camden) (2023-108)
- 23. Ryan Lawrence Johnson v. Borough of Sussex (Sussex) (2023-251)
- 24. John Paff v. Township of Hillside (Union) (2024-234)

VIII. Court Decisions of GRC Complaints on Appeal:

IX. Complaints Adjudicated in NJ Superior Court & NJ Supreme Court:

X. Complaints Adjudicated in U.S. District Court:

XI. Public Comment:

The public comment period is limited to providing an opportunity for speakers to present suggestions, views and comments relevant to the Council's functions and responsibilities. In the interest of time, speakers shall be limited to **five (5) minutes** per the GRC's By-Laws. Speakers shall not be permitted to make oral or written testimony regarding pending or scheduled adjudications.*

XII. Adjournment

*Neither attorneys nor other representatives of the parties are required to attend this meeting nor will they be permitted to make oral or written comment during the adjudication.