



Colorectal Cancer in Screening Age New Jersey Adults

Prepared by:

Pamela Agovino, MPH

Susan German, MPH

Muhammad Ahmed, MBBS, MPH

Jie Li, MPH

Anupama Shah, BS

Lisa Paddock, MPH, PhD

Antoinette Stroup, PhD



Phil Murphy
Governor

Sheila Oliver
Lt. Governor

Judith M. Persichilli, RN, BSN, MA
Commissioner



Colorectal Cancer Disparities in Screening Age New Jersey Adults

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in both men and women in the United States (U.S.) and in New Jersey.^{1,2} Compared to the U.S. average, New Jersey has a slightly higher incidence of CRC (40.8 vs. 38.4 per 100,000; 2013-2017).^{3,4} CRC mortality rates in New Jersey are the same as the U.S. average (13.7 per 100,000; 2014-2018).^{3,4}

Colorectal cancer has been linked to many lifestyle-related factors. The links between diet, weight, and exercise and CRC are among the strongest for any type of cancer.⁵ A major modifiable risk factor is weight; people who are overweight or obese have a higher risk of developing and dying from CRC.⁵ Based on a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, in the U.S., individuals with a high body mass index (BMI) were about 46% more likely to develop CRC compared to those with normal BMI values (pooled RR of 1.465 (95% CI, 1.325–1.619)), and those with the highest versus lowest category of waist circumference (WC) had about a 61% higher risk of CRC (pooled RR of 1.612 (95% CI, 1.379–1.885)).⁶ The association between BMI and CRC was significantly stronger in men compared to women (pooled RR: 1.467 (95% CI, 1.363–1.579) and 1.153 (95% CI, 1.078–1.234), respectively).⁶


Smoking is also a modifiable risk factor for CRC.⁵ A large prospective cohort study found that long term cigarette smoking was associated with an increased risk of CRC.⁷ The greatest risk was among current smokers with at least 50 years of smoking, who have a 38% increase in CRC risk compared to never-smokers (hazard ratio: 1.38 (95% CI, 1.04-1.84)).⁷

There are certain CRC risk factors that cannot be changed. These include age, a personal or family history of CRC, inflammatory bowel disease (e.g. Crohn's disease), and having an inherited syndrome (e.g. Lynch syndrome).⁵ The risk of CRC increases as people age⁵; about 90% of cases occur in people who are 50 years old or older.⁸ CRC in younger adults is rare by comparison. Each year, approximately 10% of New Jersey CRC diagnoses are among residents between the ages 20-49.² However, over the past two decades, a subtle yet steadily significant increase in CRC incidence can be seen for younger adult (20-49) men (+1.16% per year; 1995-2014) and women (+1.46% per year; 1995-2014) in New Jersey.²

Due to this trend, the American Cancer Society (ACS) has lowered the recommended screening age for CRC from 50 to 45.⁹ Increasing access to early CRC screening, which can prevent or successfully treat the cancer, is a public health priority in New Jersey. Among New Jersey screening age adults, 35.6% of men and 34.6% of women report not being up-to-date with CRC screening guidelines¹⁰, proportions which are about 5% higher than the 2020 Healthy New Jersey (HNJ) target.¹⁰ HNJ is the state's health promotion and disease prevention agenda.

This report characterizes colorectal cancer diagnosis and smoking and obesity prevalence among screening age adults by New Jersey county using data from the New Jersey State Cancer Registry (NJSCR) and the New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey.

Newly Diagnosed Colorectal Cancer in Screening Age Adults



| State/County | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | Rate | Count | Rate | Count |
| New Jersey | 91.1 | 8,519 | 65.3 | 6,784 |
| Atlantic | 87.0 | 271 | 63.1 | 215 |
| Bergen | 85.5 | 871 | 60.2 | 684 |
| Burlington | 96.9 | 479 | 69.7 | 384 |
| Camden | 93.7 | 491 | 72.1 | 421 |
| Cape May | 91.7 | 126 | 71.5 | 106 |
| Cumberland | 103.3 | 160 | 75.4 | 127 |
| Essex | 99.0 | 717 | 72.3 | 617 |
| Gloucester | 96.2 | 305 | 67.6 | 230 |
| Hudson | 98.8 | 548 | 66.4 | 415 |
| Hunterdon | 74.5 | 124 | 56.7 | 100 |
| Mercer | 83.0 | 310 | 63.2 | 260 |
| Middlesex | 89.2 | 743 | 63.3 | 565 |
| Monmouth | 93.4 | 683 | 64.4 | 505 |
| Morris | 82.4 | 464 | 54.4 | 338 |
| Ocean | 98.5 | 674 | 70.3 | 565 |
| Passaic | 93.2 | 453 | 60.9 | 337 |
| Salem | 85.8 | 64 | 85.9 | 73 |
| Somerset | 79.4 | 280 | 58.0 | 223 |
| Sussex | 84.3 | 156 | 60.5 | 114 |
| Union | 88.0 | 480 | 65.5 | 394 |
| Warren | 93.8 | 120 | 80.8 | 111 |


▲ Statistically significantly higher than the statewide rate ($p < 0.05$)

▼ Statistically significantly lower than the statewide rate ($p < 0.05$)

- In New Jersey, rates of new colorectal cancer cases are **higher** in men than in women.
- Rates of new cases are **higher** in southern counties among men and women compared to northern counties.
- Among women with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer, Morris County had significantly **lower** rate and Salem County had significantly **higher** compared to New Jersey women overall.

* Rates are per 100,000 and age-adjusted to the 2000 US population standard. Incidence data are from the New Jersey State Cancer Registry and include invasive colorectal cancers diagnosed during 2012-2017 in adults ages 45-74 years.

Late Stage Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis in Screening Age Adults




| State/County | Male SPR* | Female SPR* |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| New Jersey | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Atlantic | 0.93 | 1.07 |
| Bergen | 0.96 | 0.93 |
| Burlington | 0.94 | 0.88 |
| Camden | 0.94 | 1.00 |
| Cape May | 1.00 | 1.08 |
| Cumberland | 0.90 | 0.98 |
| Essex | 1.05 | 0.98 |
| Gloucester | 0.94 | 0.95 |
| Hudson | 1.03 | 0.97 |
| Hunterdon | 0.94 | 1.02 |
| Mercer | 0.98 | 1.05 |
| Middlesex | 1.05 | 1.04 |
| Monmouth | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| Morris | 1.07 | 1.01 |
| Ocean | ▲ 1.10 | ▲ 1.14 |
| Passaic | 1.01 | 0.92 |
| Salem | 0.96 | 1.10 |
| Somerset | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Sussex | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Union | 1.03 | 1.11 |
| Warren | 1.06 | 1.06 |

▲ Statistically significantly higher than the statewide proportion (p < 0.05)

- Both men and women in Ocean County have significantly **higher** (10%+) proportion of late stage colorectal cancer compared to the state.
- For men, the counties with a 5% or **higher** proportion of late stage colorectal cancers compared to the state include Ocean, Warren, Morris, Essex and Middlesex.
- For women, the counties with a 5% or **higher** proportion of late stage colorectal cancers compared to the state include Ocean, Warren, Union, Mercer, Salem, Atlantic and Cape May.

* Standardized Proportion Ratio (SPR) of late stage colorectal cancer cases in each county compared to New Jersey. SPRs can be interpreted as the percentage above or below the reference population's (NJ) SPR of 1.00 or 100%. A SPR of 1.08 represents 8% higher than the New Jersey SPR, while a 0.90 SPR represents 10% lower than the New Jersey SPR. Late stage includes regional and distant stages. The denominator includes all cases (*in situ*, local, regional, distant). Incidence data are from the New Jersey State Cancer Registry and include colorectal cancers diagnosed during 2012-2017 in adults ages 45-74 years.

Six Year Trends of Newly Diagnosed Colorectal Cancers in Screening Age Adults




- Although overall rates of new colorectal cases among men and women decreased slightly over time in New Jersey, the trends varied widely by county.
- In men, rates of newly diagnosed colorectal cancer **declined** significantly in Burlington and Union counties and **increased** significantly in Passaic and Sussex counties. No significant changes were detected for women for the same time period.

Incidence data are from the New Jersey State Cancer Registry and include invasive colorectal cancers diagnosed during 2012-2017 in adults ages 45-74 years.

* APC– Annual Percent Change


Percentage of Screening Age Adults Who are Not Up-to-Date with Colorectal Cancer Screening



- In the four southern-most counties, men have a **higher** proportion of screening age New Jersey adults who are not up-to-date with their colorectal cancer screening compared to women.
- Compared to all NJ screening age women, there is a significantly **higher** proportion of screening age women in Warren County who are not up-to-date with their colorectal cancer screening, while there is a significantly **lower** proportion of screening age women in Burlington County who are not up-to-date with their colorectal cancer screening.
- Screening age men have a significantly **higher** proportion of not up-to-date colorectal cancer screening in Cumberland County and a significantly **lower** proportion of not up-to-date colorectal cancer screening in Hunterdon County compared to New Jersey screening age men overall.
- Screening age men in Atlantic, Bergen, Cape May, Cumberland, Essex, Hudson, Middlesex, Passaic, Salem, Union and Warren counties have a **high** proportion (37% or above) of not up-to-date with colorectal cancer screening.
- Although not significantly higher than the state average, screening age women in Hudson, Middlesex, Passaic, Sussex and Union counties have a **high** proportion (37% or above) of not up-to-date with colorectal cancer screening.

Screening data are from the 2017 New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey presented as percentages of adults aged 45-75 who reported not being up-to-date with either a colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, or fecal occult blood test per screening guidelines.


Smoking Prevalence in Screening Age Adults



- By displaying smoking prevalence by county we can evaluate how this risk factor impacts colorectal cancer.
- Overall, men have a **higher** smoking prevalence than women.
- Men in Cape May, Cumberland, Ocean, and Salem counties have the **highest** smoking prevalence in New Jersey.
- Compared to the statewide average, women in Cape May and Ocean counties have significantly **higher** smoking prevalence and men in Somerset County have significantly **lower** smoking prevalence.
- Smoking prevalence is **lowest** (below 46%) in Essex, Hunterdon, Middlesex and Somerset counties for both men and women.

Smoking prevalence data are from 2011-2016 New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey presented as percentages not age-adjusted and include current and former smokers. Current smoker is defined as someone who now smokes "every day" or "some days". Former smoker is defined as someone who does not smoke "at all" now, but had smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their entire life.

Obesity Prevalence in Screening Age Adults




- By displaying obesity prevalence by county we can evaluate how this risk factor impacts colorectal cancer.
- Overall, men have a **higher** obesity prevalence than women in New Jersey.
- There is a significantly **higher** obesity prevalence in Ocean, Salem and Cumberland counties for men and in Essex, Salem and Cumberland counties for women compared to New Jersey.
- There is a significantly **lower** obesity prevalence in Bergen County for men, and Hunterdon, Morris, and Monmouth counties for women compared to New Jersey.

Obesity prevalence data are from the 2011-2016 New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey presented as percentages not age-adjusted.

Area Deprivation Index (ADI) by County

- By reviewing the variation in ADI rankings by county we can evaluate how socioeconomic status impacts colorectal cancer.
- Cumberland, Atlantic, Camden, and Salem counties have the **highest** level of area deprivation in New Jersey (above the 37th percentile).
- Individuals living in Cumberland County have the **highest** level of deprivation; Cumberland County also has the **highest** rates of newly-diagnosed CRC cases among men, and is one of the counties with both the **highest** smoking prevalence for men, and the **highest** obesity prevalence for men and women.
- Hunterdon, Morris, and Somerset counties have the **lowest** area deprivation in New Jersey and are among the counties with the **lowest** rates of new CRC cases.



| County | ADI |
|------------|------|
| Atlantic | 39.0 |
| Bergen | 14.5 |
| Burlington | 28.3 |
| Camden | 42.0 |
| Cape May | 25.5 |
| Cumberland | 54.1 |
| Essex | 33.3 |
| Gloucester | 30.8 |
| Hudson | 26.8 |
| Hunterdon | 11.4 |
| Mercer | 30.7 |
| Middlesex | 26.0 |
| Monmouth | 16.3 |
| Morris | 11.9 |
| Ocean | 27.5 |
| Passaic | 30.5 |
| Salem | 41.2 |
| Somerset | 13.5 |
| Sussex | 21.2 |
| Union | 24.5 |
| Warren | 27.8 |

The ADIs are national percentile rankings (from 1 to 100) of socioeconomic deprivation at the census block group level. The percentiles are constructed by ranking the ADI from low to high for the U.S. We calculated the county rankings from the census block group rankings. The census block group ADI data for N.J. were downloaded from the Neighborhood Atlas website, <https://www.neighborhoodatlas.medicine.wisc.edu/>.

CONCLUSIONS

Colorectal Cancer Disparities in Screening Age New Jersey Adults

Despite the declining trends in colorectal cancer statewide, there are at least a third (35%) of New Jerseyans who are not up-to-date with colorectal cancer screening. Colorectal cancer incidence, and the prevalence of obesity and smoking is higher in men compared to women in New Jersey. Area deprivation and colorectal cancer incidence are higher in South Jersey as compared to North Jersey.

Substantial geographic variation in colorectal cancer incidence and key risk factors in New Jersey are evident. Although South Jersey has the highest incidence rates of colorectal cancer, several northern counties are at increased risk including Sussex and Passaic counties, which are experiencing an increasing trend in newly diagnosed colorectal cancers among men. Warren is among the northern counties with the highest proportion of late stage colorectal cancer, the highest smoking prevalence, and is among the counties that have high proportions who are not up-to-date with screening, particularly women. In the south, Ocean County has the highest proportion of late stage diagnoses and one of the highest smoking prevalence and obesity rates among men in the state. Salem is one of the counties with a high ADI, high obesity prevalence, highest smoking prevalence among men, higher proportion of late stage colorectal cancer compared to the state among women, and is among the counties with the highest proportion of men who are not up-to-date with their colorectal cancer screening. Unique to all of New Jersey counties, Cumberland County, which has the highest area deprivation (greatest socioeconomic deprivation), also has a number of colorectal cancer risk factors including the highest incidence among men (and one of the highest among women), the highest percentage of men who are not up-to-date with colorectal cancer screening, the fourth highest smoking prevalence among men, and higher rates of obesity for men and women.

Counties with the lowest area deprivation (or high socioeconomic status) are the same counties with some of the lowest incidence rates (Morris women), prevalence of smoking (Somerset men), obesity (Bergen men and Hunterdon, Morris, and Monmouth women), and proportion of individuals who are not up-to-date with colorectal cancer screening (Hunterdon men).

These findings are consistent with previous research. Analysis of a large U.S. prospective cohort study found an inverse association between socioeconomic status and CRC risk after controlling for behavioral and other risk factors.¹¹ Cohort members residing in the most deprived neighborhoods had a 16% increase in CRC risk compared to cohort members residing in the least deprived neighborhoods (Incidence Rate Ratio: 1.16 (95% CI, 1.05-1.28)).¹¹ Recent research has found that individuals either residing in areas of greater deprivation¹² or with low individual-level socioeconomic status measures¹³ have lower CRC screening rates than individuals residing in less deprived areas or individuals with higher individual-level socioeconomic status, respectively, and that increasing screening among those with low individual-level socioeconomic status may result in reduced risk of CRC incidence and mortality.¹³

These data provide evidence to inform cancer control programs, including those that focus on cancer screenings, tobacco cessation, and healthy lifestyle promotion.

Colorectal Cancer Disparities in Screening Age New Jersey Adults

Data Sources

- ◇ New Jersey incidence data for this report were derived from the NJSCR December 2019 file. This report includes colon and rectum cancer cases diagnosed from 2012-2017 in adults ages 45-74 years. Colon and rectum cancer is defined by the topography codes C180-C209 as detailed in the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O) third edition.
- ◇ Colorectal cancer screening, obesity, and smoking data for adults ages 45-75 were obtained from the New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (NJBRFS): New Jersey Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, New Jersey State Health Assessment Data (NJSHAD), accessed at <http://nj.gov/health/shad> on 2/16/2020.⁴ The estimated percentages have been produced by weighting the sample so that the results better represent the New Jersey population and to adjust for the probability of selection. The years of data used for this report includes 2012-2017 with an exception for CRC screening data in which 2013 was not available in NJBRFS. Adults are defined as having met the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) Guidelines for colorectal cancer screening if they fall into any of the following categories based on their responses to the above questions: (1) Fecal Occult Blood Test (FOBT) annually, (2) colonoscopy every 10 years, or (3) sigmoidoscopy every 5 years with FOBT every 3 years.
- ◇ The Area Deprivation Index (ADI) are national percentile rankings (from 1 to 100) of socioeconomic deprivation at the census block group level. The percentiles are constructed by ranking the ADI from low to high for the U.S. and grouping the block groups into bins corresponding to each 1% range of the ADI. Group 1 is the lowest ADI and group 100 is the highest ADI. The ADI was first developed by the U.S. Health Resources & Services Administration to measure socioeconomic deprivation at the county level using 1990 census data. The ADI used in this report was developed by Singh¹⁵ and updated to include 2013 American Community Survey data at the census block group level by Kind¹⁶ at the University of Wisconsin. It is based on 17 census tract level measures of education, employment, occupation, income, housing characteristics, and poverty. The census block group ADI data for N.J. were downloaded from the Neighborhood Atlas website, <https://www.neighborhoodatlas.medicine.wisc.edu/>.^{17,18} We calculated the county rankings from the census block group rankings.

Software

- ◇ Data analyses were conducted using SEER*Stat version 8.3.6 and Tableau 2020.3.

Methods

- ◇ Rates are per 100,000 and age-adjusted to the 2000 US population standard.
- ◇ The annual percent change is statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.
- ◇ The standardized proportion ratio displays the burden of late stage (regional and distant) lung cancer cases in each county compared to New Jersey. Total number of cases include *in situ*, local, regional, and distant stage. Because unstaged cases were not used for the standardized proportion ratio calculation, caution is advised when interpreting the results.
- ◇ Map legend categories were created using equal intervals for the complete range of data for males and females and then applying breakpoints to male and female data separately.
- ◇ The NJSCR Data Guidelines containing comprehensive data analysis methods and additional information on standardized proportional ratio (SPR) analysis and annual percent change (APC) for trend analysis can be found at <https://nj.gov/health/ces/reports.shtml>.
- ◇ 95% confidence intervals were used to assess which counties were statistically different from New Jersey which is symbolically displayed on the maps. Interpretations of which counties are significantly higher or lower are based on the comparison to the New Jersey average.
- ◇ Healthy NJ 2020 screening target was based on ages 50-75 and our estimates are based on ages 45-75 which represent the updated American Cancer Society (ACS) recommended screening ages. These data should be interpreted with caution.

Acknowledgement

Data were collected by the New Jersey State Cancer Registry under contract HHSN 75N91021D00009 and control No. 75N91021F00001 from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program of the National Cancer Institute, and under cooperative agreement 5NU58DP006279-04-00 from the National Program of Cancer Registries, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, in addition to funding from the State of New Jersey, and the Rutgers Cancer Institute.

REFERENCES

Colorectal Cancer Disparities in Screening Age New Jersey Adults

- 1) American Cancer Society. Key Statistics for Colorectal Cancer. Available at: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/colon-rectal-cancer/about/key-statistics.html>. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- 2) New Jersey Department of Health. New Jersey State Cancer Registry Data Brief - Colorectal Cancer Time Trends by Age Group. Available at: https://www.nj.gov/health/ces/documents/briefs/colorectal_cancer_incidence_byage.pdf. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- 3) American Cancer Society. Cancer Statistics Center. New Jersey At A Glance. Available at: <https://cancerstatisticscenter.cancer.org/?ga=2.122611404.636467483.1554684294-238047758.1554684294%23!/state/New%20Jersey#!/state/New%20Jersey>. Accessed April 29, 2021.
- 4) American Cancer Society. Cancer Statistics Center. Colorectum At A Glance. Available at: <https://cancerstatisticscenter.cancer.org/?ga=2.122611404.636467483.1554684294-238047758.1554684294#!/cancer-site/Colorectum>. Accessed April 29, 2021.
- 5) American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Risk Factors. Available at: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/colon-rectal-cancer/causes-risks-prevention/risk-factors.html>. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- 6) Ma Y, Yang Y, Wang F, et al. Obesity and risk of colorectal cancer: a systematic review of prospective studies. PLoS One. 2013;8(1):e53916. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053916.
- 7) Hannan LM, Jacobs EJ, Thun MJ. The association between cigarette smoking and risk of colorectal cancer in a large prospective cohort from the United States. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18(12):3362–3367. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0661
- 8) American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Facts & Figures 2020-2022. Atlanta: American Cancer Society; 2020.
- 9) American Cancer Society. American Cancer Society Guideline for Colorectal Cancer Screening. Available at: <https://www.cancer.org/cancer/colon-rectal-cancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/acs-recommendations.html>. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- 10) New Jersey Department of Health. Revised Healthy New Jersey 2020 Objectives, Baselines, and Targets: CA-15. Available at: <https://www.state.nj.us/health/chs/hnj2020/documents/objectives/allobjtable.pdf>. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- 11) Doubeni, C.A., Laiyemo, A.O., Major, J.M., et al. Socioeconomic status and the risk of colorectal cancer. Cancer. 2012;118: 3636-3644. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.26677>.
- 12) Kurani SS, McCoy RG, Lampman MA, et al. Association of Neighborhood Measures of Social Determinants of Health With Breast, Cervical, and Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates in the US Midwest. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(3):e200618. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.0618.
- 13) Warren Andersen S, Blot WJ, Lipworth L, et al. Association of Race and Socioeconomic Status With Colorectal Cancer Screening, Colorectal Cancer Risk, and Mortality in Southern US Adults. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(12):e1917995. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.17995.
- 14) New Jersey Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (NJBRFS). New Jersey Department of Health, Center for Health Statistics, New Jersey State Health Assessment Data (NJSHAD) [online]. Accessed at <http://nj.gov/health/shad>. Accessed April 6, 2020.
- 15) Singh GK. Area deprivation and widening inequalities in U.S. mortality, 1969-1998. Am J Public Health. 2003;93:1137-43.2.
- 16) Kind AJ, Jencks S, Brock J, et al. Neighborhood socioeconomic disadvantage and 30-day rehospitalization: a retrospective cohort study. Ann Intern Med 2014; 161:65-74.
- 17) Kind A, Buckingham W. Making neighborhood-disadvantage metrics accessible- The Neighborhood Atlas. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(26):2456-2458.
- 18) University of Wisconsin School of Medicine Public Health. 2015 Area Deprivation Index v2.0. Downloaded from <https://www.neighborhoodatlas.medicine.wisc.edu>