Final Progress Report for an Individual Research Grant

Program Director: Glenn Wylie, D.Phil.

Contact Phone: 973-530-3664

Name of Organization/Institution: Kessler Foundation, Inc.

Grant Title: Advanced Brain Imaging in Traumatic Brain Injury

Grant Number: 09-3214-BIR-N-0

Grant Period Covered by Report: 06/01/10 – 05/31/13

Date of Report Submission: 07/31/2013

Original Aims of project

In the clinical neurosciences, an understanding of the relationship between axonal integrity and informationprocessing efficiency has been sought in a range of studies including those examining normal aging [1], brain injury [2], and brain disease processes such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [3] and multiple sclerosis (MS) [4]. Pathophysiological processes that disrupt axonal transmission have been theoretically linked to decrements in processing speed and efficiency, which are at the foundation of a number of cognitive functions, including learning, memory, and executive functions [5, 6]. Even given the implicit relationship between axonal injury and information-processing efficiency, the influence of local axonal injury on changes in brain function has rarely been directly examined. Advances in MRI techniques such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) now permit noninvasive examination of axonal integrity. Prior work using DTI to examine Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) has demonstrated the relationship between fractional anisotropy (FA) and white matter degradation in mild and moderate and severe injuries [7-10], thus establishing the feasibility of using DTI to document axonal disruption TBI. This work has largely focused on brain sites where TBI has commonly occurred as opposed to examining specific white matter lesion sites [11]. In parallel with studies using diffusion imaging to examine TBI, investigators have documented changes in functional neural networks following TBI using simple fMRI paradigms that require working memory (WM) and speeded information processing [12-16]. While these studies have consistently documented recruitment of additional neural networks during speeded WM tasks, they also have been limited by between-subjects designs and have often not examined underlying pathophysiology which has lead to difficulty in interpreting the origin of these activation differences [17]. It is a second goal in this study to integrate information about local axonal disruption (measured via DTI) with functional brain changes (measured via fMRI) following TBI. The current proposal aims to foster collaborative, interdisciplinary efforts from investigators at three separate research institutions in order to facilitate the understanding of the TBI recovery process in humans via non-invasive MRI techniques. By examining sites of local axonal disruption as well as sites where white matter injury commonly occurs (e.g., splenium), the current proposal aims to examine the evolution axonal disruption and its influence on functional brain activation. Specifically, the goal is to compare changes in FA values during recovery with functional activation observed cognitive tasks requiring speeded information processing in order to obtain information about the interrelationships between axonal integrity, functional brain activation and basic processing speed and efficiency. By directly examining these inter-relationships, the methods applied here may be used to better understand the nature of the recovery process following axonal and neural disruption in TBI, with the ultimate goal of standardizing these methods so that the efficacy of novel treatment interventions may be examined. This study thus represents an important opportunity to use non-invasive methods to model the recovery trajectory of axonal and neural networks simultaneously in order to determine their collective influence on cognitive outcome in humans.

<u>Specific Aim 1</u>: To use DTI to examine axonal changes during recovery from TBI both at focal lesion sites and at sites where axonal injury commonly occurs (e.g., splenium, internal capsule). Subjects will be examined at 3 and 6 months post PTA to determine the trajectory of white matter change measured by DTI.

<u>Specific Aim 2</u>: To examine changes in functional brain activation during recovery from TBI and the relationship between these functional activation changes and changes in axonal integrity (quantified in Specific Aim 1). It is anticipated that activation in PFC and ACC will diminish from the 3-month to 6-month time points and maintain a negative correlation with measures of axonal recovery (FA). This aim will also permit exploratory analyses using diffusion tensor tractography to examine the direct influence of local lesions on neural activity.

<u>Specific Aim 3:</u> To determine if early indicators of axonal and neural recovery (established in Aims 1 and 2, respectively) are predictive of cognitive functioning at 6 months post PTA. Several MRI variables including change in FA at local lesion sites, total FA in large white matter tracts (e.g., anterior corpus callosum), and change in PFC and ACC activation will be used to predict cognitive outcome. These indicators of axonal and neural recovery will be compared with traditional clinical descriptors of TBI severity (e.g., GCS, posttraumatic amnesia) to predict cognitive outcome.

Project successes

We have had great success with this project, despite having to overcome some challenges. Over the course of the three years of the grant (and one year of no-cost extension), we have tested 31 TBIs and 31 HCs. These numbers do not fully represent our productivity on the grant, since each participant came in multiple times. Thus, we conducted 75 total scans on TBIs and 55 total scans on HCs. We were able to achieve this

despite very demanding inclusion criteria and a need to follow each subject longitudinally.

The three Aims of this study were to better understand connectivity following a TBI, and to understand how that connectivity changes across recovery. When we conceptualized the grant, we envisioned that structural connectivity (DTI) would provide the clearest window into these issues, and we therefore emphasized DTI in the Specific Aims. However, in the intervening years, interest in functional connectivity has blossomed, and with good reason. Functional connectivity provides a more direct measure of cognition inasmuch as it is based on functional activation rather than on structural information. This is not to minimize what can be learned from the analysis of structural connectivity data—much can be learned, and we are currently using our DTI data to better understand the trajectory of recovery after a TBI (Aim 1)—however, functional connectivity data is both more direct and has also proved to be more sensitive to TBI than the structural connectivity data. These considerations have been fueled by recent advances in the tools available for the analysis of functional connectivity data (e.g., graph theoretical analyses and structural equation modeling of fMRI data), which we have applied to the data from this grant to motivate several grant applications (see below).

Because of the sensitivity of the functional connectivity data to TBI-related changes in brain function, we were able to use a subset of the entire dataset for a paper, which was published in 2012 (see below), and which supports Aim 2. In this paper, we reported a finding that—while counterintuitive—has proved to be highly replicable: individuals with TBI show increased functional connectivity relative to HCs. In our ongoing analyses of the full dataset, we are working to better understand this finding.

Although we initially focused on functional connectivity, we are currently working on the DTI data that was the original focus of the grant. We have analyzed these data not only in terms of fractional anisotropy (a measure of the integrity of the brain's white matter) and mean diffusivity (a different, but related, measure of white matter integrity), but also in terms of 'fractal dimension'. Fractal dimension is a technique that allows for the quantification of the overall shape of the brain (specifically, the brain's white matter). The shape of the white matter in a healthy brain is quite complex; the shape of the white matter in an atrophied or injured brain is less complex due to axonal loss. In a paper we are currently preparing for publication, we have shown that fractal dimension predicts cognition in TBI better than other measures of white matter integrity such as fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity (this work addresses Aims 1 and 3).

In addition to the paper we have already published, we have presented data from this project at numerous International meetings/conferences (see below). Furthermore, now that the data has all been collected, we are working on several more manuscripts, which will be sent out for review and publication in the coming months.

Presentations:

- Wylie, G.R., Hillary, F.G., Leavitt, V.M. & Chiaravalloti, N. Connectivity changes in Traumatic Brain Injury across recovery. The 39th Annual Meeting International Neuropsychological Society, February, 2011, Boston, MA.
- Wylie, G.R. & Hillary, F.G. Change is good: brain activity in a working memory task is higher in TBI than in HC, but shows comparable changes across time. The 38th Annual Meeting International Neuropsychological Society, February, 2010, Acapulco, Mexico.
- Chiou, K.S., Slocomb, J., Ramanathan, D., Medaglia, J.D., Wardecker B., Vesek, J., Wang, J., Hills, E., Good, D., Hillary, F.G. (2009, October). Longitudinal Investigation of White Matter Focal Lesions in Moderate to Severe TBI Using DTI. Poster presented at the annual meeting of the National Academy of Neuropsychology, New Orleans, LA.
- Chiou, K.S., Wardecker, B.M., & Hillary, F.G. Effects of Task Structure on Metacognition in Traumatic Brain Injury. (February, 2010). Poster presented at the 38th annual meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Acapulco, Mexico. [Abstract published in the Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 2010, 16 (S1), pg 67].
- Chiou, K.S., Cosentino, S., Carlson, R.A., Arnett, P.A., Wardecker, B.M., & Hillary, F.G. Relationship between Executive Functioning and Metacognitive Monitoring Following Traumatic Brain Injury. (February, 2010). Poster presented at the 38th annual meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Acapulco, Mexico. [Abstract published in the Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 2010, 16(S1), pg 118].

- Wardecker, B.M., Medaglia, J.D., Ramanathan, D., Chiou, K.S., Slocomb, J., Hills, E., Good, B., & Hillary, F.G. (2010, March). Location of Functional Activation Changes During Recovery from Traumatic Brain Injury. Poster presented at the International Brain Injury Association's Eighth World Congress on Brain Injury, Washington, D.C.
- Venkatesan, U.M., Medaglia, J.D., Slocomb, J., Hills, E.C., Fitzpatrick, N.M., Wang, J., Good, D.C., Wylie, G.R., & Hillary, F.G. (2011). Changes in Resting State Functional Connectivity during Recovery from Traumatic Brain Injury.
 Presented at the Brain 2012 Conference in Barcelona, Spain.
- Peechatka, A., Medaglia, J.D., Chiou, K.S., Slocomb, J., Ramanathan, D.M. & Hillary, F.G. (February, 2012). A Longitudinal fMRI Study of Working Memory in TBI During Early Recovery. Poster presented at the 40th annual meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Montreal, Canada. [Abstract published in the Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 2012, 18 (S1), page 158].
- Chiou, K.S., Bryer, E., Slocomb, J., & Hillary, F.G. Longitudinal Examination of Brain Volume Change and Cognitive Functioning in Moderate to Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. Poster presented at the 40th annual meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society, Montreal, Canada. [Abstract published in the Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society, 2012, 18 (S1), pg 222]
- Venkatesan, U.M, Medaglia, J.D., Ram, N., Good, D.C., & Hillary, F.G. (2013, August) Dynamics in goal-directed and default mode networks during new learning after moderate or severe TBI. Paper to be presented at the annual meeting of Division 40 of the American Psychological Association. Recipient of the Blue Ribbon Award.

Publications:

- Hillary, F.G., Medaglia, J.D., Venkatesan, U. & Wylie, G.R. (in preparation). Whole-brain connectivity changes during recovery from traumatic brain injury.
- Medaglia, Wylie, G.R., J.D., Venkatesan, U. & Hillary, F.G. (in preparation). Network flexibility as an indicator of recovery after neurotrauma.
- Wylie, G.R., Hillary, F.G., Venkatesan, U. & Medaglia, J.D. (in preparation). Local structural brain changes predict whole-brain connectivity after TBI: a graph theoretical analysis.
- Rajagopalan, V, Das, A, Zhang, L, Wylie, GR, Yue, GH. (in preparation). Fractal dimension assessment of brain morphometry: a novel biomarker in Traumatic Brain Injury
- Hillary, F.G., Slocomb, J., Hills, E.C., Fitzpatrick, N.M., Medaglia, J.D., Wang, J., Good, D.C. & Wylie, G.R. (2012). Changes in Resting Connectivity during Recovery from Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *International Journal of Psychophysiology.*

Project challenges

We have encountered several challenges during the course of this study. The most challenging issue was recruitment: it was unremittingly difficult to recruit moderate/severe TBIs three months after they had emerged from post-traumatic amnesia. To overcome this, we set up an entirely new system that bridged the gap between The Kessler Foundation Research Center and the Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation (which are separate and independent institutions) that allowed us to contact patients at KIR but also protected the patients' private health information (PHI), in compliance with HIPPA regulations. Although it took an investment of time to get this system set up, that investment clearly 'paid off', inasmuch as it allowed us to meet our recruitment goals for the grant.

Another challenge had to do with the tasks used in the study. After collecting and analyzing the data from several subjects, we realized that the experimental paradigm we were using was not optimal. We therefore redesigned the paradigm for the remaining subjects. While this change necessarily meant that the data from the initial subjects was not fully compatible with the data from later subjects, it resulted in a stronger study because the bulk of the data was collected using the more robust experimental paradigm.

The last challenge we faced with this study had to do with using the relatively old 3T scanner at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey (now Rutgers). Because this scanner is approaching 20 years old,

there were numerous times when it was down for repairs to its components (e.g., the gradient amplifiers) or to its peripherals (e.g., the HVAC system, or the projector for stimulus presentation). These issues delayed scans, but did not impact our ability to get the study done.

Implications for future research and/or clinical treatment

One implication of the research conducted in this grant is that TBI appears to be characterized by 'hyperconnectivity' in the brain (see our 2012 paper). That is, after sustaining a TBI, the brain seems to increase the extent to which disparate brain regions communicate with one another. This is a counterintuitive finding, and one that we are actively working to better understand. Without the data conducted in this grant, we might have continued to believe that the diffuse axonal damage that frequently attends a TBI would result in decreased (rather than increased) connectivity. One consequence of this increased connectivity appears to be a decrease in brain efficiency, which leads to slower processing speed and response time, and may also lead to increased cognitive fatigue.

Another implication from the data collected in this grant involves 'fractal dimension' (FD, see above). Because we have found that fractal dimension predicts cognition in TBI better than other measures of white matter integrity such as fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity, this work promises to have important implications for future research and clinical treatment, because FD appears to be a sensitive marker for the overall health of the brain, and for the brain's ability to maintain cognition.

Plans to continue the research, including applications submitted to other sources for ongoing support The data from this study have been used to motivate two R01 applications. The first application, entitled "Examining brain plasticity in neurotrauma using advanced connectivity modeling", was not funded. The second, entitled "Examining Neural Network Plasticity After Traumatic Brain Injury", is currently under review.

We have also recently begun to analyze the data from this grant from the standpoint of 'fractal dimension' (see above). As stated above, we have shown that fractal dimension predicts cognition in TBI better than other measures of white matter integrity such as fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity. We anticipate submitting an R21 to investigate this issue further in the coming year.

Explain how you have leveraged NJCBIR funding to obtain additional federal or other support for brain injury research and list the appropriate funding organizations.

Our efforts to leverage NJCBIR funding to obtain additional federal support has yet to bear fruit. In the current funding climate, obtaining such funding requires patience and determination. The applications we have submitted have been strong and have received good scores. We are confident that we will soon have greater success.

List and include a copy of all publications emerging from this research, including those used in preparation.

- Hillary, F.G., Medaglia, J.D., Venkatesan, U. & Wylie, G.R. (in preparation). Whole-brain connectivity changes during recovery from traumatic brain injury.
- Medaglia, Wylie, G.R., J.D., Venkatesan, U. & Hillary, F.G. (in preparation). Network flexibility as an indicator of recovery after neurotrauma.
- Wylie, G.R., Hillary, F.G., Venkatesan, U. & Medaglia, J.D. (in preparation). Local structural brain changes predict whole-brain connectivity after TBI: a graph theoretical analysis.
- Rajagopalan, V, Das, A, Zhang, L, Wylie, GR, Yue, GH. (in preparation). Fractal dimension assessment of brain morphometry: a novel biomarker in Traumatic Brain Injury
- Hillary, F.G., Slocomb, J., Hills, E.C., Fitzpatrick, N.M., Medaglia, J.D., Wang, J., Good, D.C. & Wylie, G.R. (2012). Changes in Resting Connectivity during Recovery from Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. *International Journal of Psychophysiology.*

Financial summary.

ARTICLE IN PR

International Journal of Psychophysiology xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Psychophysiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpsycho

Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury **Q6** 1

F.G. Hillary^{a,b,*}, J. Slocomb^b, E.C. Hills^d, N.M. Fitzpatrick^c, J.D. Medaglia^a, J. Wang^c,

D.C. Good^b, G.R. Wylie^{e,**}

^a Department of Psychology, Penn State University, University Park, PA, United States

^b Department of Neurology, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA, United States

^c Department of Radiology, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA, United States

^d Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA, United States ^e Kessler Foundation Research Center, West Orange, NJ, United States

9

10

ARTICLE INFO

11 Article history: Received 4 November 2010 1213 Received in revised form 21 March 2011 14 Accepted 21 March 2011 Available online xxxx 1516

19 Keywords: Resting connectivity 2021 Plasticity

- 22Reorganization 23
- Longitudinal
- 38 37

39

1. Introduction

There is growing interest in the use of functional neuroimaging, 40 and in particular blood oxygen level dependent functional magnetic 41 resonance imaging (BOLD fMRI), to document brain changes asso-42 ciated with traumatic brain injury (TBI). This developing literature 43 has focused primarily on the task-induced changes that differentiate 44 clinical and healthy samples during cognitive, motor and sensory 45 46 tasks. In one specific literature examining working memory (WM; or the ability to maintain a small amount of information "in mind" 47 for online use) deficits after TBI, several consistent findings have 48 emerged. Investigators have almost universally observed increased 49 50involvement of the regions critical for WM, including prefrontal cortex (PFC) and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and occasionally 51parietal regions in TBI (Christodoulou et al., 2001; Hillary et al., 2010; 5253McAllister et al., 1999, 2001; Newsome et al., 2007; Perlstein et al., 2004; Scheibel et al., 2007). There is also rich literature examining 54 the role of frontal systems disruption and the critical contribution of 55cognitive control to deficit after TBI (McDowell et al., 1997; Hillary et

Q8 56

07

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fhillary@psu.edu (F.G. Hillary).

0167-8760/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.011

ABSTRACT

In the present study we investigate neural network changes after moderate and severe traumatic brain injury 24 (TBI) through the use of resting state functional connectivity (RSFC) methods. Using blood oxygen level 25 dependent functional MRI, we examined RSFC at 3 and 6 months following resolution of posttraumatic 26 amnesia. The goal of this study was to examine how regional off-task connectivity changes during a critical 27 period of recovery from significant neurological disruption. This was achieved by examining regional changes 28 in the intrinsic, or "resting", BOLD fMRI signal in separate networks: 1) regions linked to goal-directed (or 29 external-state) networks and 2) default mode (or internal-state) networks. Findings here demonstrate 30 significantly increased resting connectivity internal-state networks in the TBI sample during the first 31 6 months following recovery. These findings were dissociable from repeat measurements in a matched 32 healthy control sample. 33

© 2011 Published by Elsevier B.V. 34

PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGY Ö

36

Q10

011

al., 2010; Perlstein et al., 2004; Larson et al., 2006, 2007; Scheibel et al., 57 2007, 2009). These studies offer heuristics as for how large-scale 58 neuronal activity might adapt to TBI, including the potentially critical 59 role of anterior networks and those involved in cognitive (or 60 attentional) control.

While early studies of TBI have helped clarify some of the basic 62 "activation" changes associated with injury and afford the opportu- 63 nity to link specific cognitive deficits to brain activation changes, there 64 are a number of important future directions for this literature. First, a 65 majority of the studies to date have been cross-sectional observations 66 which pose significant methodological challenges for investigators 67 (e.g., differential task performance between groups) (Price et al., 68 2006; Price and Friston, 2002) and often do not permit the exami- 69 nation of critical within-subject dynamics. As a remedy to this, the 70 current study makes use of a longitudinal design during a critical 71 window of recovery (i.e., between 3 and 6 months post injury) in 72 order to examine within-subject brain changes.

Second, most studies to date using functional imaging methods to 74 examine the consequences associated with TBI have focused on task-75 related brain activation. The study of task "activation" offers the 76 opportunity to examine task-specific alterations in neural networks 77 after TBI, but such approaches limit the scope of study (focusing on 78 task induced regions of interest (ROIs), instead of whole brain 79 function) and are burdened by design challenges that are often 80 difficult to resolve. For example, examining task-related activation 81 requires the creation of appropriate control tasks and the need to 82 guarantee equivalent task performance between groups [e.g., task 83

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.011

O4 4

Abbreviations: TBI, traumatic brain injury; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; WM, working memory

^{*} Correspondence to: F.G. Hillary, Psychology Department, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, United States.

2

accuracy in TBI vs. healthy control (HC)]. Moreover, the work to 84 85 date has focused almost solely on the magnitude of the signal (i.e., topographical activation differences) as opposed to communication 86 87 within the network or covariance in (and between) ROIs.

In pioneering work conducted over 15 years ago, Biswal et al. 88 demonstrated that covariance in voxels comprising the primary motor 89 cortex during rest showed spatial overlap with the observable change 90 in the BOLD response during motor stimulation. These influential 9192 findings were the foundation to an intriguing method for investigating 93 brain function through the use of "resting" BOLD data to understand 94underlying neural connectivity, or "resting state functional connec-95tivity" (RSFC) throughout the brain (Biswal et al., 1995). In fMRI work, RSFC methods often focus on isolating the covariance in very low 96 97 frequency (~0.1 Hz) fluctuations in the BOLD signal, thus permitting analysis of non-task related brain activity which likely plays a non-98 trivial role in both on-task and off-task functioning. 99

100 This early work was extended by Lowe et al. (1997) by demonstrating similar effects in larger regions of sensorimotor cortex 101 (i.e., across multiple slices) and other examiners used these methods 102to examine relationships between motor and association cortex 103 (Xiong et al., 1998, 1999). Of critical importance in this literature is 104 the demonstration that task-induced activation maps underestimate 105 106 the size and number of functionally connected regions and that functional networks are more fully revealed by RSC analysis (Biswal 107 et al., 1995; Xiong et al., 1998, 1999). These studies established the 108 foundation for "resting-state functional connectivity studies" using 109 fMRI (Biswal et al., 1995; Greicius and Menon, 2004; Gusnard and 110 111 Raichle, 2001; Hampson et al., 2002; Lowe et al., 1997) and a literature examining task negative or "default mode" networks (Fox et al., 2005; 012112 Raichle et al., 2001; Raichle and Snyder, 2007). In the case of the latter, 113 examiners identified distinct "off-task" networks operating in concert 114 115as one transitions in and out of goal-directed behavior.

116 One general interpretation differentiating task-on and task-off networks is that they are reciprocal so that at moments where goal-117directed behavior is necessary, the "inward" or self-reflective default 118 mode network remits, giving way to neural activity relevant to task. 119 However, interpreting this relationship as an opponent process may 120121 oversimplify this relationship; separate investigations have demonstrated that the default mode activity plays a role in task and the 122magnitude of deactivation in default mode regions contribute to task 123performance (Cole et al., 2010; Hampson et al., 2010). These findings 124 125offer guiding principles for understanding the role of resting states in healthy neural systems, but questions remain regarding how 126 significant neural network disruption, such as that observed in TBI, 127 might influence the interplay between task-related positive and 128 129negative brain activation.

130The examination of both RSFC and default-mode networks in clinical samples remains novel, but there are already several findings 131 that provide a framework for understanding how neurological 132disruption influences the resting signal and for developing expecta-133 tions in TBI. To date, resting connectivity has been used to examine 134135network changes in a number of clinical disorders including 136schizophrenia (Camchong et al., 2009; Rotarska-Jagiela et al., 2010; B. Zhou et al., 2010), normal aging (Koch et al., 2010), stroke (Carter 137et al., 2010), mood disorders (Chepenik et al., 2010; Hamilton et al., 1382010; Sheline et al., 2010), multiple sclerosis (Rocca et al., 2010) and **O13**139 140 dementias (J. Zhou et al., 2010). There have also been whole brain analyses using resting data to examine alterations in cerebral blood 141 flow (Kim et al., 2010) and we recently applied graph theory to 142 examine "small-worldness" in networks after TBI (Nakamura et al., 143 2009). In one of the more intriguing applications of resting connec-144 tivity to date, Vanhaudenhuyse et al. (2010) used baseline BOLD 145measures to differentiate cognitively intact and comatose non-146 communicative brain injured patients. Not surprisingly, the outcome 147 of these studies has varied and this is likely due as much to meth-148 149 odological differences as the effects of distinct pathophysiology in the clinical samples represented. Even so, two important findings emerge 150 from this literature that may be relevant for TBI in the current study. 151 The first is that neurological compromise has been demonstrated to 152 influence resting connectivity (broadly defined). Second, one conse- 153 quence for global brain connectivity is that connections between critical 154 nodes may be greatly diminished or even unobservable after neuro- 155 logical disruption (see Ongur et al., 2010; Skudlarski et al., 2010; 156 Vanhaudenhuyse et al., 2010). 157

We anticipate that network disruption results in less coherence in 158 resting connectivity during periods of goal-directed behavior and, 159 therefore, we should observe increased connectivity in internal-state 160 networks during recovery. That is, significant neurological disruption 161 of frontal systems (often observed in TBI), may result in a failure to 162 effectively transition between self-reflective processing and outward 163 goal-directed behavior. 164

1.1. Study purpose

The goal of this study is to examine resting state connectivity to 166 determine if there are systematic changes in whole-brain connectivity 167 during recovery from TBI. We aim to examine the changes in resting 168 fMRI connectivity during the first 6 months following injury when 169 behavioral recovery is known to occur (Millis et al., 2001; Pagulayan 170 et al., 2006). The use of resting fMRI circumvents the methodological 171 dilemmas that arise when using fMRI in clinical samples including 172 difficulty guaranteeing task compliance and assumptions surrounding 173 cognitive subtraction and pure insertion, where contributing compo- 174 nents to a task are presumed to be linear and/or additive (Hillary, 175 2008; Price et al., 2006; Price and Friston, 2002). Moreover, the 176 Q15 influences of diffuse neurological disruption (like that observed in 177 TBI) on whole-brain neural networks remain largely unknown. 178 Traditional fMRI studies in TBI have excluded much of the operating 179 brain in order to isolate specific task-related networks. While this 180 approach offers advantages for examining discrete cognitive deficits, 181 it offers very little information about global brain changes secondary 182 to injury. Consequently, there is very little work documenting how 183 large-scale neural networks adapt to neural disruption and resting 184 connectivity offers one approach to address this issue. For these 185 reasons, resting connectivity is ideal for identifying alterations in the 186 BOLD signal in the recovering brain. This approach may provide 187 additional insight into how neural plasticity is expressed in the injured 188 brain and offer context for findings in cross-sectional activation studies 189 to date, including determining the meaning of increased neural 190 involvement repeatedly observed in activation studies (for review see 191 Hillary, 2008). 192

Of note, RSFC and the DMN and even the notion of the brain at 193 "rest" have contextual meanings. For the purpose of this study, we 194 will focus on the intrinsic, or resting, BOLD signal during off-task 195 blocks that flank a visual working memory task. In this sense, we 196 are not isolating the off-task "deactivations" that are often the focus 197 in traditional studies of the DMN. Instead, we focus on covariance 198 between four seeded ROIs and the intrinsic BOLD signal during these 199 off-task blocks. 200

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

Ten participants with moderate and severe TBI between the ages of 203 19 and 56 years and ten healthy adults of comparable age underwent 204 MRI scanning at separate time points for this study. Individuals with 205 TBI underwent MRI data acquisition at 3 and 6 months after emerging 206 from posttraumatic amnesia (PTA). These study participants were 207 included from an original sample of 15 subjects. The data from five 208 subjects were not included in the current study due to attrition 209 (n=1), an inability to adequately perform the cognitive task at 210

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.jpsycho.2011.03.011

201

202

165 **O14**

039211 3 months PTA (n=3), and claustrophobia (n=1). Because it was a 212 primary goal in this study to examine alterations in PFC following 213 disruption to frontal systems, subjects with small identified areas of 214contusion or hemorrhage in the frontal regions were included (7/10 subjects in this study sustained injuries to frontal regions). TBI 215severity was defined using the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) in the 216first 24 h after injury (Teasdale and Jennett, 1974) and GCS scores 217from 3 to 8 were considered "severe" and scores from 9 to 12 were 218219considered moderate. One individual with a GCS score of 13 was included because acute neuroimaging findings were positive. During 220 221 recruitment for either group, potential study participants were 222excluded if they had a history of previous neurological disorder 223such as seizure disorder, significant neurodevelopmental psychiatric 224 history (such as schizophrenia or bipolar disorder), or had a history of substance abuse requiring inpatient treatment. To control for 225 potential resting connectivity changes with repeated exposure to the 226 MRI environment, a HC sample of comparable age was enrolled in the 227 study and underwent two MRI scans separated by approximately 2283 months (mean = 90.2 days, SD = 23.8). 229

230 2.2. TBI and focal lesions

231 There is accumulating evidence that, following moderate and 232 severe TBI, even cases that appear to result in isolated injury (e.g., subdural hematoma), there are likely whole-brain consequences 233(Büki and Povlishock, 2006; Fujiwara et al., 2008). Findings from 234these and other studies indicate that diffuse axonal injury is a nearly 235236universal finding (Wu et al., 2004). While there have been laudable efforts to examine diffuse injury in the absence of conspicuous focal 237Q16,Q17 lesions (Sanchez-Carrion et al., 2008a, 2008b), the samples examined in these instances may not often represent what is commonly ob-239240served in TBI which is most often represented by mixed pathophysiology. For this reason, focal injury was not an exclusionary 241242criteria in the current study, unless the injury necessitated neurosur-243gical intervention and/or removal of tissue.

244 2.3. MRI procedure and data acquisition

Data were acquired using a Philips Achieva 3.0 T system (Philips 245Medical Systems, The Netherlands) with a 6-channel head coil and 246 a Siemens Magnetom Trio 3.0 T system (Siemens Medical Solutions, 247 Germany) with an 8-channel head coil both housed in the Department 248 of Radiology, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA. Subjects were 249made aware of the importance of minimizing head movement during 250all MRI scanning and any trials where motion was recognized were 251252discontinued or repeated. For all subjects, high resolution brain ana-253tomical images with isotropic spatial resolution of 1.2 mm \times 1.2 mm \times 1.2 mm were acquired using the MPRAGE sequence. Other imaging 254parameters for the MPRAGE sequence consisted of: 468.45 ms/ 25516.1 ms/18°, repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE)/flip angle (FA), a 256 $250 \times 200 \text{ mm}^2$ field of view (FOV), and a 256×180 acquisition matrix. 257258Echo planar imaging (EPI) was used for functional imaging. Imaging 259parameters for EPI were 2000 ms/30 ms/89°, TR/TE/FA, a 230× 230 mm^2 FOV and a 128×128 acquisition matrix. 260

261 2.3.1. fMRI WM paradigm and off-task blocks

262While the current study focuses on non-task related covariance in the BOLD fMRI signal, the resting connectivity observed here is 263influenced by task (we focus on the "resting" blocks flanked by a WM 264task), so a brief description of this visual working memory task is 265provided here. This study used a visual WM paradigm requiring 266rehearsal and memory of face stimuli. The task included exposure to 267two pictures of male and female Caucasian faces presented in black 268and white adapted from a standardized dataset (Beaupre and Hess, 2692005). This paradigm requires the subject to match the identity and 270271 location of two face stimuli. For example, the subject views a box divided into quadrants and within two quadrants are two faces which 272 appear for 3000 ms. After a delay of 3000 ms requiring focus on a 273 fixation point, the subject is provided a target stimulus, or two face 274 stimuli, presented in two of the four quadrants. At the time of 275 presentation of the target, the subject is required to make a yes/no 276 decision about the identity (match/no match) and the location of a 277 single face presented in one of the four quadrants. This non-verbal 278 working memory paradigm is initiated with a 20-second baseline 279 followed by individual 42-second experimental trials (blocks) 280 alternating with 20-second baseline measurements (i.e., fixation 281 stimulus). The current study focuses on covariance in the BOLD fMRI 282 signal during the 20-second baseline periods (between periods of 283 task), including a mean of 165.3 (SD = 5.23) volumes per run (after 284 eliminating volumes with movement, poor signal-to-noise ratio) 285 across two runs (n = 19; data for 1 subject included 1 run at 1 time 286 point). 287

2.3.2. fMRI resting connectivity: ROI determination and analytic 288 procedure 289

2.3.2.1. Regions of interest. It was a focus here to examine RSFC in two 290 separate classes of networks previously examined in this literature: 291 1) a network posited to have reciprocal interaction while engaging 292 in goal-directed behavior or external stimulation and 2) a network 293 believed to play a role in self-reflection or internal-states (Sheline 294 et al., 2010). Almost universally, medial PFC (MedPFC) and posterior 295 cingulate cortex (PCC) have been identified as central to the network 296 organized around "internal-states" (Raichle and Snyder, 2007; Zou 297 et al., 2009). In addition, because TBI most commonly disrupts frontal 298 systems (and therefore, executive control processes) (Hillary et al., 299 2002; Whyte et al., 1998), we also aimed to examine fluctuation in the 300 BOLD signal in regions believed to be directly involved in cognitive 301 control and volitional behavior. Thus, we examined resting connec- 302 tivity in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and anterior cingulate 303 cortex (ACC) and related regions during rest that might be best 304 conceptualized as a "goal directed" network. These two networks will 305 henceforth be referred to as the "internal-state" and "goal-directed" 306 networks. The internal-state network was examined by correlating 307 activity in each voxel in the brain with two "seeds": one in PCC 308 ([X Y Z] = [-6 - 50 38]) and one in MedPFC ([X Y Z] = [0 48 - 2]). In 309 all cases, the seeds were the average time-series of a sphere with a 310 radius of 3 mm. The PCC and MedPFC seeds were derived from 311 a review of the literature on the "Default Mode Network" (e.g., 312 Sumowski et al., 2010; Greicius et al., 2003). The goal-directed 018,019 network was examined in the same way, but with seeds placed in the 314 DLPFC (Brodmann's areas 9/46/10; [X Y Z] = [-36 31 13]) and ACC 315 (Brodmann's areas 6/32; [X Y Z] = [-1755]). The placement of these 316 seeds was based on prior work summarizing attentional control 317 networks (Wager and Smith, 2003). Overall, this approach permits 318 **O2**0 the observation of networks reciprocal to those that are directly 319 related to task. Fig. 1A and B illustrates the four distinct seeding 320 locations. 321

2.3.2.2. Procedure for determining covariance in resting signal. For BOLD 322 time series analyses, data were preprocessed using both Analysis of 323 Functional NeuroImages (AFNI) software (Cox, 1996) and FSL (Smith 324 et al., 2004). In data preprocessing, the first 5 images of each time- 325 series were removed to ensure that magnetization had reached a 326 steady state. The data from both runs were then realigned with the 327 first image of the first run in the remaining time-series. The data were 328 then smoothed, using a Gaussian smoothing kernel (FWHM= $6 \times$ 329 6×6 mm), scaled to the mean intensity across the entire time-series, 330 band-pass filtered (high-pass=0.005 Hz; low-pass=0.1 Hz), and 331 detrended (to remove any linear drifts remaining in the data). The 332 data were then deconvolved with a boxcar function representing the 333 time spent on the working memory task (described above) and signal 334

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.011

F.G. Hillary et al. / International Journal of Psychophysiology xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Posterior cingulate



Medial prefrontal cortex

Fig. 1. A and B illustrate "seed" placement for determining the four networks examined in this study. A shows the seed for goal-directed networks (ACC and DLPFC) and B displays the seed placements for the internal-state networks (PCC and MedPFC).

attributable to physiological parameters, signals from cerebrospinal 335 336 fluid and white matter, mean BOLD and signal six motion parameters were included as regressors of no interest. The residuals from the 337 deconvolution were saved, and used as the resting-state data (see 338 339 Biswal et al., 2010 for a similar approach). Regressing the global signal 340 out of the data in this way has drawn some comment in relation 341 to anticorrelations in the networks that show correlated activity (Murphy et al., 2009). However, because this is a commonly used **021**342 022.023 preprocessing step (see Kelly et al., 2009; Di Martino et al., 2008), and because we do not specifically investigate anticorrelated activity in 344 the present article, we elected to remove the global signal (particu-345 346 larly since the effect of gross differences in signal between groups on patterns of connectivity is unknown). 347

Resting data were then demeaned and resampled into standard 348 (i.e., Montreal Neurologic Institute) space. Finally, correlations were 349 350 calculated between each seed time-series and each voxel in the brain, resulting in 4 volumes per subject (the correlations between each 351 of our 4 seed regions and every voxel in the brain). To determine 352 statistical significance and in order to correct for multiple compari-353 sons, we used a cluster-level threshold of 598 contiguous voxels that 354 355 was determined using Monte Carlo simulations (using the AlphaSim program, available at http://afni.nimh.nih.gov). Because these are the 356 first serial data to examine significant neurological disruption, we also 357 employed an inclusive threshold in order to permit exploration of 358 more subtle findings or those from spatially smaller regions (cluster 359 360 size = 550, or the equivalent of correcting to p < 0.10). Two significant 361 findings with this second threshold are indicated as such in Table 3. To ensure that the resulting r-values were normally distributed, Fisher's 362r-to-z transformation was applied to the data. 363

The resulting z' scores were used for two types of analyses: 364 1) group-level ANOVAs for each of the four seeded regions with 365 the factors Group (HC vs. TBI) and Time (Time 1 vs. Time 2) and 366 2) within-group influence of time on connectivity in the four ROIs 367 (Time 2 - Time 1 connectivity). The purpose of the ANOVAs was to 368 comprehensively investigate the patterns of connectivity change 369using a voxelwise approach (for each of the four seeds). Because we 370 were primarily interested in those regions that changed across time 371 differentially in the two groups, we will focus on the interaction 372 between Group and Time in the Results section. Moreover, because 373 374 we were primarily interested in the change across time in the TBI group, we further limited the regions to those in which there was 375 no statistically significant change across time in the HC group. The 376 purpose of this second analysis was to examine subthreshold effects 377 not observed in the ANOVA and to capture within-group effects, with 378 specific interest in change during this window of recovery in TBI. 379

3. Results	380

3.1. Demographic, clinical descriptors

The groups were well-matched for age and gender, but there were 382 significant between-group differences in education (see Table 1). 383

3.2. fMRI results: behavioral data 384

The current study does not focus on task-related BOLD signal 385 change, however, task performance is a reasonable indicator of cog- 386 nitive improvement from 3 to 6 months and here we compared the 387 second run of each time point (to permit task acclimation during the 388 first run and minimize the influence of early task practice effects). 389 Compared to the HC sample, accuracy was significantly reduced in 390 the TBI sample at Time 1 [TBI mean (SD) = 0.81 (0.15), HC mean = 391 0.95 (0.05); t(17) = 2.38; p = 0.039] and this difference diminished 392 at Time 2 [TBI mean = 0.89(0.1), HC mean = 0.95(0.07); t(17) = 1.50; 393 p = 0.15]. Similar change was evident in RT for the task at Time 1 394 [in ms: TBI mean (SD) = 1454 (282), HC mean = 1205 (214); t(17) = 3952.15; p = 0.048] compared to Time 2 [in ms: TBI mean (SD) = 1288 396 (253), HC mean = 1106 (202); t(17) = -1.71; p = 0.107]. Thus, these 397 findings indicate that the improvements in performance observed in 398 the TBI sample are greater than what was observed in the HC sample 399 and cannot be attributed to task practice alone. Note: behavioral data 400 at both time points were not available for one subject with TBI. 401

3.3. fMRI results: BOLD signal change during WM task

In order to provide context for understanding the current resting 403 connectivity results, it is noted that the "on-task" period of the current 404 paradigm elicits BOLD signal change primarily in PFC, parietal areas, 405 and the cerebellum. These findings are consistent with other studies 406 of non-verbal WM (Glahn et al., 2002). 407

3.4. Examining resting connectivity

It was a goal in the current study to examine both internal-state 409 and goal-directed networks in off-task resting BOLD fMRI data. The 410 current findings are separated into results for within-group change 411 for the TBI sample from the first to the second time point for both 412 networks (e.g., internal-state and goal-directed networks). 413

Demographic variables	TBI mean (SD)	HC mean (SD)	Group comparison	t1.2 t1.3
Table 1 Demographic characteristic	cs for both groups a	and injury informa	tion for the TBI sample.	t1.1

Age	29.4 (11.0)	27.5 (12.1)	p = 0.71	t1.4		
Education	12.2 (0.63)	14.6 (1.6)	$p = 0.001^*$	t1.5		
Gender	7 m, 3 f	4 m, 6 f	p>0.05	t1.6		
Clinical variable	TBI sample			t1.7		
GCS score Acute hospital days Acute CT/MRI result (n)	Mean = 5.4; SD = 3.5; range = $3-14$ t: Mean = 18.3; SD = 8.1; range = $5-32$ t: F (7); T (7); DAI (4); IVH (3); shift (3); P (1); P/O (1); t: Thal (1); BG (1); cerebellum (1) t:					

F = frontal lobe, T = temporal lobe, IVH = intraventricular hemorrhage; shift = ventricular compression; P = parietal; P/O = parietal-occipital; Thal = thalamus; BG = basal ganglia.

t1.11

381

402

408

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.jpsycho.2011.03.011

F.G. Hillary et al. / International Journal of Psychophysiology xxx (2011) xxx-xxx

414 3.4.1. Resting connectivity results: Group × Time interaction

415 There were a number of regions demonstrating significant Group × Time interaction effects. One important distinction between 416 417 groups was the separation between internal state and external state networks in this analysis. That is, Group × Time effects dissociated 418 the groups along the "internal" and "external" network divisions. 419 Individuals with TBI demonstrated decreased connectivity in external 420 state networks while the HC sample showed increased connectivity in 421 422 these areas. By contrast, individuals with TBI demonstrated increased internal state connectivity between time points and the HC sample 423 424 showed the opposite effect. Table 2 and Fig. 2 summarize the findings 425that differentiated the groups when considering change over time.

426 3.4.2. Resting connectivity results – within-group

As noted, it was also a goal to examine the greatest connectivity 427changes within the TBI sample from Time 1 to Time 2. In order to 428examine the gross influence of time on connectivity results within TBI 429 (irrespective of the effect in HCs), the residual BOLD time series was 430analyzed by placing a "seed" in each of the four ROIs (i.e., ACC, PCC, 431 MedPFC, and DLPFC) and comparing results between time points. 432 When considering only effects within the TBI sample we again 433 434 observed primarily increases in internal state networks and decreases in goal-directed networks (see Figs. 3-5). The one exception to this 435 finding was increased connectivity observed between the DLPFC seed 436 437and the insula. This increased connectivity is consistent with that observed within the MedPFC seed (see Table 3). 438

439 3.4.3. Connectivity and performance change

While the current study does not focus on task-related BOLD signal 440 change, there are certainly findings in the literature demonstrating 441 442 that the relationship between internal and external-state networks has implications for task performance. To examine those relationships 443 444 here, we conducted a Pearson correlational analysis for RT change scores and 8 connectivity change scores for the primary regions 445showing differences in Table 1 (ACC to BA40; DLPFC to thalamus/ 446 parietal; PCC to BA6; PCC to BA37) and Table 2 (ACC to parietal/ 447 precuneus; DLPFC to BA13; MedPFC to BA13; PCC to BA37). No 448 analyses here revealed statistically significant results, although small 449 effects were noted between change in RT and change in DLPFC to 450insula connections (r = -0.44; p = 23) and MedPFC to insula con-451452nections (r = 0.43; p = 0.25).

t2.1 Table 2

Sites of significant connectivity change during Group×Time interaction analysis (mixed effects ANOVA).

t2.2 t2.3		BA	Х	Y	Z	Cluster	Direction
t2.4	ACC seed						
)1 t2.5	Postcentral gyrus	3	30	- 30	48	1267	+ HC/TBI-
t2.6	Postcentral gyrus (into inferior parietal lobule)	40	22	- 36	60	999	+ HC/TBI
t2.7	DLPFC seed						
t2.8	Thalamus [extending to parietal (precuneus/cuneus) and occipital areas]	Ĩ	24	20	0	963	+ HC/TBI
t2.9	Medial frontal seed						
t2.10	Inferior occipital gyrus	18	40	- 88	-16	789	-HC/TBI+
t2.11	PCC seed			-	1		_
t2.12	Middle frontal gyrus	6	-24	4	60	720	-HC/TBI+
t2.13	Middle temporal gyrus	37	- 54	-60	0	686	HC/TBI+
t2.14	Precuneus	31	10	- 66	22	826	HC/TBI+

Key: BA refers to Brodmann's areas; XYZ refers to the location of the voxel with the strongest connection to the seed, across the group; cluster refers to the number of voxels in the cluster (voxel size $= 2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm); direction refers to the direction of the difference in each group: green text indicates that the relationship with the seed was stronger at Time 2 than Time 1, and red text indicates that the relationship with the seed was weaker at Time 2 than Time 1. Note: all findings here were statistically significant at a corrected cluster size of 598 voxels (p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

The current study aimed to examine intrinsic, or "resting" brain 454 connectivity during a period known to be of critical importance for 455 recovery following moderate and severe TBI. The approach used here in 456 a group of individuals sustaining moderate and severe TBI used BOLD 457 fMRI to examine fluctuations in the "off-task" BOLD signal. Primary 458 findings reveal increased involvement of internal-state networks during 459 recovery from TBI (elaborated below). We arrived at this finding by 460 examining two theoretically distinct resting networks: an internal-state 461 network thought to be involved in self-reflective processes and a goaldirected network, that is thought to be associated with engaging 463 external stimuli.

The clinical context for these findings must be emphasized. This is 465 a sample of individuals with predominantly severe TBI where there 466 was known disruption in neural functioning corroborated by clinical 467 MRI (see Table 1) and we anticipate that these injuries have a direct 468 consequence on neural connectivity. It is essentially unknown how 469 large-scale neural networks adapt to significant neural disruption, in 470 particular during the first 6 months following moderate to severe 471 injury. While the findings clearly indicate changes in both internal and 472 goal-directed networks during this period of recovery, these data 473 should be interpreted only for the period of recovery measured here. 474 That is, the increases observed in connectivity are guite different 475 compared to the response in HCs and may be indicative of short or 476 long-term changes in connectivity and therefore the long-term 477 trajectory of these network connections remains uncertain. Of note, 478 individuals with TBI demonstrated significantly improved perfor- 479 mance from Time 1 to Time 2 and this is unlikely an improvement 480 that can be accounted for by practice alone. Inasmuch as improve- 481 ments in working memory function represent one metric of im- 482 provements in brain function, the changes in connectivity reported 483 here occur in the context of recovery from TBI. 484

The following discussion outlines two specific observations 485 permitted by the findings presented here. First when examining the 486 regions that dissociated groups over time (i.e., mixed-effects ANOVA), 487 the two groups were separated along the lines of "internal" and "goal- 488 directed" networks between time points. Second, there were 489 consistent increases in connectivity in several regions including the 490 middle temporal lobe and insula and these findings are largely 491 consistent with a larger literature and may have implications for 492 transition between internal and goal-directed states and learning and 493 task proceduralization.

4.1. Group by Time interactions

A Group × Time ANOVA revealed that, in multiple network regions 496 (see Table 2), there was a Group by Time interaction so that the two 497 groups showed clear dissociations along the two networks (i.e., 498 internal-state and goal-directed). For those findings that dissociate 499 the groups over time, primary findings reveal down-regulation of goal- 500 directed attentional networks (ACC and DLPFC to parietal regions) and 501 increased connectivity in regions associated with internal-state responsivity (i.e., MedPFC and PCC). 503

This finding reveals that these networks are shifting during this 504 three month period. The reason for this shift is not entirely clear, but 505 increased connectivity in similar networks has also been observed in 506 clinical samples, such as substance abuse (Ma et al., 2010) and may be 507 Q24 indicative of a period of recalibration between internal and goal- 508 directed inputs permitting sufficient "release" from volitional on-task 509 processing. The importance of this release has been documented 510 previously, as the interplay between internal and external-state 511 networks has been determined to predict task performance (Fox et al., 512 Q25 2007; Kelly et al., 2008). Finally, we do not anticipate that what is 513 Q260 observed here is directly attributable to distinct task load issues 514 between the groups. While there is some evidence that task "load" 515

Q2 t2.15

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.011

453

F.G. Hillary et al. / International Journal of Psychophysiology xxx (2011) xxx-xxx

Goal-Directed Networks



Fig. 2. TBI sample plotted for z' values (y-axis) vs. Time 1 and Time 2 (x-axis) to demonstrate the findings differentiating groups over time (i.e., mixed effects ANOVA findings in Table 1). Error bars are averaged standard error for group for each seeded network. Note: mean standard z-scores summarize each set of scores (all interactions significant, p<0.05).

Q40516 immediately during previous trials may influence internal-state **Q27,Q28** connectivity (see Pyka et al., 2009; van Dijk et al., 2010), the PCC 518 and MedPFC connections observed here are increasing over time and 519 occur in the context of improving performance.

520 Unfortunately, there were no statistically significant relationships 521 between RT change and resting connectivity change for either 522 analyses (i.e., ANOVA and between-time comparison). Nevertheless, 523 it does appear that DLPFC down-regulation may have at least some 524 modest influence on RT change; analysis of connectivity change that 525 dissociated these groups over time revealed a negative, but non-



Fig. 3. Connectivity for the Time 2 – Time 1 findings in the TBI sample. Data here display the change in connectivity between the ACC seed and inferior parietal lobe and precuneus. Error bars are standard error averaged over time.

significant correlation between RT change and DLPFC change (r = 526 - 0.44). Moreover, increased connectivity in MedPFC was positively 527 associated with RT albeit non-significantly (r = 0.43). Thus, if 528 permitted to interpret these data, the diminished DLPFC to parietal 529 connections (referred to elsewhere as the "frontoparietal control 530 network", see Spreng et al., 2010) coupled with increased MedPFC to 531 **Q29** insula connectivity may be an indicator of improving neural efficiency. 532 That is, greater connectivity to internal-state connections, may 533 operate to integrate demands from "internal" and "external" 534 environments, providing greater continuity between these environ-535 ments over time; the insula has been posited to play a critical role in 536 developing and updating the "representations" of external demands 537 (Mennes et al., 2011). 538 **Q30**

What is unclear is why change during a period of recovery would 539 trend in the opposite direction with the network connections observed 540 in the HC sample? While provisional, we might infer this trend to be a 541 necessary, but temporary, release from control and "re-syncing" of 542 external and internal states from 3 to 6 months PTA. A follow-up 543 observation noting trends in these networks at 12–18 months post 544 injury might provide information about the relative permanence of 545 this down-regulation of DLPFC to parietal (i.e., "attentional control") 546 connections.

In contrast with the diminished DLPFC connectivity observed over 548 time, one of the most consistent findings dissociating these two 549 groups was the increased involvement of PCC connectivity in the TBI 550 sample. Certainly there is data demonstrating that these two 551 networks (DLPFC and PCC) are dissociable and have often been 552 observed to be negatively correlated (see Greicus et al., 2003), so the 553 Q31 reciprocal findings here are consistent with a larger literature. 554 However, analyses in separate seeding findings for PCC to medial 555 temporal/hippocampus (i.e., BA37) and PCC to middle frontal areas 556 (BA6) revealed no significant correlations with RT (r = -0.01, r = 557-0.9 and r = -0.03 respectively). Thus, connectivity change be- 558 tween PCC and medial temporal and anterior regions may have less 559 direct consequence for behavior compared to the changes observed in 560 DLPFC and MedPFC. In order to clarify the consequences large-scale 561 connectivity changes have for behavior, future work should include 562 larger samples observed at similar time points to isolate the clinical 563

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.011

F.G. Hillary et al. / International Journal of Psychophysiology xxx (2011) xxx-xxx



Fig. 4. Connectivity for the Time 2 – Time 1 findings in the TBI sample in "internal-state" networks. Data here display the change in connectivity between the PCC seed and middle temporal lobe and the MedPFC seed and the insula. For convenience, these views are presented together. Error bars are standard error averaged over time.

and performance factors that might influence the timing and magnitude of these network shifts.

566 4.2. Within-group change over time

567While the interaction results reveal distinctions between groups, it was also a primary goal to observe those effects occurring over time 568 within the TBI sample that may have been either consistent with or 569570distinct from the HC sample, but did not rise to the level of statistical significance during ANOVA analysis. For this reason, we also 571conducted an analysis permitting observation of significant changes 572within the network observed within the TBI sample (see Figs. 3-5). 573This analysis of the direct effect of time (which we treat here as a 574 surrogate for recovery) with performance reveals largely overlapping 575576 effects with the between-group comparison; internal-state networks demonstrated increased connectivity and external-state networks 577 showed decreased connectivity. One important exception here was in 578 a connection between DLPFC and the insula. This finding was 579unexpected, but converges with other connectivity data summarized 580581above (i.e., between-group analysis) and is interpreted below.

582 Overall, Fig. 2 illustrates the change in connectivity over time for 583 both groups and indicates that the internal state networks are more highly connected within the TBI sample over time and dissociable 584 from the effects observed in the HC sample. The first <u>6</u> months 585 represent a critical window of recovery following TBI, but certainly 586 recovery can occur for several years following injury (Millis et al., 587 2001). Given this, what is unknown in TBI is if this result is maintained 588 or if this is a nonlinear effect that later returns to approximate what is 589 observed in HCs once greater recovery has occurred. We anticipate 590 that the latter is an accurate depiction of this trajectory, but additional 591 analyses with a more protracted time line would be necessary to 592 confirm this. 593

4.3. Connectivity change and limbic system

The most consistent finding in these results is between seeded 595 regions and changes in connectivity to the insula and middle temporal 596 regions, including hippocampus. In all cases, connections to these 597 regions are increasing from Time 1 to Time 2 and these findings are 598 largely dissociable from the HC sample (see Table 1 and Fig. 2). 599

We offer here two interpretations of these primary shifts in the 600 data. First, the movement in these data from anterior "attentional 601 control" regions (e.g., DLPFC to parietal connections) to increased 602 connectivity in posterior and medial temporal regions (e.g., PCC to 603



Fig. 5. Connectivity for the Time 2 – Time 1 findings in the TBI sample. Data here display the change in connectivity between the DLPFC seed and the insula and cerebellum. For convenience, these views are presented together. Error bars are standard error averaged over time.

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.011

t3.1 **Table 3** Sites of significant connectivity change during Time 2 *minus* Time 1 analysis in the TBI

0

	BA	Х	Y	Ζ	Cluster	Direction
ACC seed						
Postcentral gyrus (extending back into parietal (precuneus) areas)	3/4	- ⁴	⊥ ³⁸	62	1380	TBI
DLPFC seed						
Insula <mark>a</mark>	13	42	6	14	563	TBI+
Culmen (extending up into occipital areas (fusiform gyrus))	ī	24	<u></u> 52	<u> </u>	767	TBI
Tail of the caudate ^a	ī	3 2	42	12	554	TBI
Mediai frontal seed	10	20	0	2	1020	TDL
into inferior frontal areas)	13	30	δ	<u> </u>	1038	I BI+
PCC seed						
Middle temporal gyrus (extending into hippocampus)	37	<u> </u>	- 60	8	1636	TBI+

Note: In no case was this contrast significant for the HC group.

t3.14 ^a These areas were significant at a lower threshold, cluster size = 550, p<0.10; all t3.15 other findings were statistically significant at a corrected cluster size of 598 (p<0.05).

604 hippocampus connections) likely reflects greater task proceduralization and formal integration of the task into memory. That is, at 605 6 months PTA, individuals with TBI may be better able to incorporate 606 memorial systems and consolidate the constraints and demands of 607 the task. This interpretation is consistent with the reductions in time-608 609 on-task (i.e., RT), as formal "representations" of the task permit more rapid processing and reduce demand on attentional control networks 610 for effortful task processing. 611

Second, the increased connectivity from the insula to PCC and 612 613 DLPFC is the only finding that does not dissociate the internal and goal-directed networks. In all statistically significant observations 614 here, connectivity with the insula increased from 3 to 6 months PTA. 615 The insula has been linked to a number of functions during task 616 perturbation including emotion, task saliency, and monitoring 617 **032**618 internal states (for review see Kurth et al., 2010). In the examination 619 of large-scale connections, there is recent evidence that the insula plays a critical role in "salience processing" and permits negotiation 620 and shifting between internal-state and attentional control processes 621 (Menon and Uddin, 2010). Other examiners have noted the unique **033**622 topographical location of the insula placing it at the boundary 623 between "cognitive, homeostatic, and affective systems of the 624 human brain" serving as a conduit between external demands and 625 the internal milieu (Craig, 2009). With this literature as context, the **O34**626 current data indicate that from 3 to 6 months PTA, increased connec-627 628 tivity to the insula may play a critical role in recovery by increasing interoception and permitting appropriate transitions between the 629 sub-networks examined in this study. 630

631 5. Study limitations and future directions

The current study holds the advantage of examining TBI during a 632 known window of recovery at separate time points and it is the first to 633 do so using resting connectivity methods. Even so, there are several 634 limitations to this study that require mention. The most significant 635 636 shortcoming to this study is the small sample size for each of the groups; certainly to conduct sub-group analyses (e.g., injuries to right 637 vs. left hemisphere), additional subjects would be required. In 638 addition, the control group was significantly more educated than 639 the TBI sample. However, it is unclear that a 2-year difference in 640 education observed here could account for fundamental differences in 641 internal and goal-directed networks examined. While there was 642 almost no variance in the TBI sample with regard to education, a 643 correlational analysis between education and connectivity change for 644 645 each of the four change scores revealed no relationships (the highest correlation was r = 0.19 in ACC). Also, any between group differences 646 in connectivity attributable to education should be at least partially 647 ameliorated by the current emphasis on within-subject change over 648 time. As noted, direct comparisons between connectivity change and 649 task performance revealed only modest, non-significant relationships 650 between connectivity change and RT change; a larger sample is 651 required to determine if connectivity change observed here does 652 indeed have direct implications for performance. Even given these 653 concerns, the current data are unique and offer a preliminary look at 654 how large-scale networks change during recovery from significant 655 neurological disruption. 656

References

Beaupre, M.G., Hess, U., 2005. Cross-cultural emotion recognition among Canadian 658 ethnic groups. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology 36, 355–370. 659

- Biswal, B., Yetkin, F.Z., Haughton, V.M., Hyde, J.S., 1995. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. Magn. Reson. Med. 34, 661 537-541. 662
- Biswal, B.B., Mennes, M., Zuo, X.N., Gohel, S., Kelly, C., Smith, S.M., Beckmann, C.F., 663
 Adelstein, J.S., Buckner, R.L., Colcombe, S., Dogonowski, A.M., Ernst, M., Fair, D., 664
 Hampson, M., Hoptman, M.J., Hyde, J.S., Kiviniemi, V.J., Kotter, R., Li, S.J., Lin, C.P., Lowe, 665
 M.J., Mackay, C., Madden, D.J., Madsen, K.H., Margulies, D.S., Mayberg, H.S., McMahon, 666
 K., Monk, C.S., Mostofsky, S.H., Nagel, B.J., Pekar, J.J., Pettier, S.J., Petersen, S.E., Riedl, V., 667
 Rombouts, S.A., Rypma, B., Schlaggar, B.L., Schmidt, S., Seidler, R.D., Siegle, G.J., Sorg, C., 668
 Teng, G.J., Veijola, J., Villringer, A., Walter, M., Wang, L., Weng, X.C., Whitfield-Gabrieli, 669
 S., Williamson, P., Windischberger, C., Zang, Y.F., Zhang, H.Y., Castellanos, F.X., Milham, 670
 M.P., 2010. Toward discovery science of human brain function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 671
 U. S. A. 107, 4734–4739.
- Büki, A., Povlishock, J.T., 2006. All roads lead to disconnection? Traumatic axonal 673 injury revisited. Acta Neurochir. 148, 181–194. 674
- Camchong, J., Macdonald III, A.W., Bell, C., Mueller, B.A., Lim, K.O., 2009. Altered 675 functional and anatomical connectivity in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull. 676
- Carter, A.R., Astafiev, S.V., Lang, C.E., Connor, L.T., Rengachary, J., Strube, M.J., Pope, D.L., 677 Shulman, G.L., Corbetta, M., 2010. Resting interhemispheric functional magnetic 678 resonance imaging connectivity predicts performance after stroke. Ann. Neurol. 67, 679 365–375. 680
- Chepenik, L.G., Raffo, M., Hampson, M., Lacadie, C., Wang, F., Jones, M.M., Pittman, B., 681 Skudlarski, P., Blumberg, H.P., 2010. Functional connectivity between ventral 682 prefrontal cortex and amygdala at low frequency in the resting state in bipolar 683 disorder. Psychiatry Res. 182, 207–210. 684
- Christodoulou, C., DeLuca, J., Ricker, J.H., Madigan, N.K., Bly, B.M., Lange, G., Kalnin, A.J., 685 Liu, W.C., Steffener, J., Diamond, B.J., Ni, A.C., 2001. Functional magnetic resonance 686 imaging of working memory impairment after traumatic brain injury. J. Neurol. 687 Neurosurg. Psychiatry 71, 161–168. 688
- Cole, M.W., Pathak, S., Schneider, W., 2010. Identifying the brain's most globally 689 connected regions. Neuroimage 49, 3132–3148. 690
- Cox, R.W., 1996. AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic 691 resonance neuroimages. Comput. Biomed. Res. 29, 162–173. 692

Fujiwara, E., Schwartz, M.L., Gao, F., Black, S.E., Levine, B., 2008. Ventral frontal cortex 693 functions and quantified MRI in traumatic brain injury. Neuropsychologia 46, 694 461–474. 695

- Glahn, D.C., Kim, J., Cohen, M.S., Poutanen, V.P., Therman, S., Bava, S., Van Erp, T.G., 696
 Manninen, M., Huttunen, M., Lonnqvist, J., Standertskjold-Nordenstam, C.G., 697
 Cannon, T.D., 2002. Maintenance and manipulation in spatial working memory: 698
 dissociations in the prefrontal cortex. Neuroimage 17, 201–213. 699
- Greicius, M.D., Menon, V., 2004. Default-mode activity during a passive sensory task: 700 uncoupled from deactivation but impacting activation. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 16, 701 1484–1492. 702
- Gusnard, D.A., Raichle, M.E., 2001. Searching for a baseline: functional imaging and the resting human brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2, 685–694. 704
- Hamilton, J.P., Chen, G., Thomason, M.E., Schwartz, M.E., Gotlib, I.H., 2010. Investigating 705 neural primacy in Major Depressive Disorder: multivariate Granger causality 706 analysis of resting-state fMRI time-series data. Mol. Psychiatry. 707
- Hampson, M., Peterson, B.S., Skudlarski, P., Gatenby, J.C., Gore, J.C., 2002. Detection of 708 functional connectivity using temporal correlations in MR images. Hum. Brain 709 Mapp. 15, 247–262. 710
- Hampson, M., Driesen, N., Roth, J.K., Gore, J.C., Constable, R.T., 2010. Functional 711 connectivity between task-positive and task-negative brain areas and its relation to 712 working memory performance. Magn. Reson. Imaging 28, 1051–1057. 713
- Hillary, F.G., 2008. Neuroimaging of working memory dysfunction and the dilemma 714 with brain reorganization hypotheses. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 14, 526–534. 715
- Hillary, F.G., Schatz, P., Moelter, S.T., Lowry, J.B., Ricker, J.H., Chute, D.L., 2002. Motor 716 vehicle collision factors influence severity and type of TBL. Brain Inj. 16, 729–741. 717
- Hillary, F.G., Genova, H.M., Medaglia, J.D., Fitzpatrick, N.M., Chiou, K.S., Wardecker, B.M., 718 Franklin Jr., R.G., Wang, J., DeLuca, J., 2010. The nature of processing speed deficits 719 in traumatic brain injury: is less brain more? Brain Imaging Behav. 4, 141–154. 720
- Kim, J., Whyte, J., Patel, S., Avants, B., Europa, E., Wang, J., Slattery, J., Gee, J.C., Coslett, 721 H.B., Detre, J.A., 2010. Resting cerebral blood flow alterations in chronic traumatic 722 brain injury: an arterial spin labeling perfusion FMRI study. J. Neurotrauma 27, 723 1399–1411. 724

Please cite this article as: Hillary, F.G., et al., Changes in resting connectivity during recovery from severe traumatic brain injury, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.03.011

036

037

657

F.G. Hillary et al. / International Journal of Psychophysiology xxx (2011) xxx-xxx

- Koch, W., Teipel, S., Mueller, S., Buerger, K., Bokde, A.L., Hampel, H., Coates, U., Reiser, M.,
 Meindl, T., 2010. Effects of aging on default mode network activity in resting state
 fMRI: does the method of analysis matter? Neuroimage 51, 280–287.
- Lowe, M.J., Rutecki, P., Turski, P., Woodard, A., Sorenson, J., 1997. Auditory cortex fMRI noise correlations in callosal agenesis. Neuroimage 5, S194.
- McAllister, T.W., Saykin, A.J., Flashman, L.A., Sparling, M.B., Johnson, S.C., Guerin, S.J.,
 Mamourian, A.C., Weaver, J.B., Yanofsky, N., 1999. Brain activation during working
 memory 1 month after mild traumatic brain injury: a functional MRI study.
 Neurology 53, 1300–1308.
- McAllister, T.W., Sparling, M.B., Flashman, LA., Guerin, S.J., Mamourian, A.C., Saykin, A.J.,
 2001. Differential working memory load effects after mild traumatic brain injury.
 Neuroimage 14, 1004–1012.
- Millis, S.R., Rosenthal, M., Novack, T.A., Sherer, M., Nick, T.G., Kreutzer, J.S., High Jr., W.M.,
 Ricker, J.H., 2001. Long-term neuropsychological outcome after traumatic brain
 injury. J. Head Trauma Rehabil. 16, 343–355.
- Nakamura, T., Hillary, F.G., Biswal, B.B., 2009. Resting network plasticity following brain
 injury. PLoS One 4, e8220.
- Newsome, M.R., Scheibel, R.S., Steinberg, J.L., Troyanskaya, M., Sharma, R.G., Rauch, R.A.,
 Li, X., Levin, H.S., 2007. Working memory brain activation following severe traumatic brain injury. Cortex 43, 95–111.
- Ongur, D., Lundy, M., Greenhouse, I., Shinn, A.K., Menon, V., Cohen, B.M., Renshaw, P.F.,
 2010. Default mode network abnormalities in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia.
 Psychiatry Res. 183, 59–68.
- Pagulayan, K.F., Temkin, N.R., Machamer, J., Dikmen, S.S., 2006. A longitudinal study of health-related quality of life after traumatic brain injury. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.
 87, 611–618.
- Perlstein, W.M., Cole, M.A., Demery, J.A., Seignourel, P.J., Dixit, N.K., Larson, M.J., Briggs, R.W., 2004. Parametric manipulation of working memory load in traumatic brain injury: behavioral and neural correlates. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 10, 724–741.
- Price, C.J., Friston, K.J., 2002. Functional imaging studies of neuropsychological patients:
 applications and limitations. Neurocase 8, 345–354.
- 756 Price, C.J., Crinion, J., Friston, K.J., 2006. Design and analysis of fMRI studies with neurologically impaired patients. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 23, 816–826.
 - Raichle, M.E., Snyder, A.Z., 2007. A default mode of brain function: a brief history of an evolving idea. Neuroimage 37, 1083–1090 (discussion 1097–1089).
 - Raichle, M.E., MacLeod, A.M., Snyder, A.Z., Powers, W.J., Gusnard, D.A., Shulman, G.L.,
 2001. A default mode of brain function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98, 676–682.
 - Rotarska-Jagiela, A., van de Ven, V., Oertel-Knochel, V., Uhlhaas, P.J., Vogeley, K., Linden,
 D.E., 2010. Resting-state functional network correlates of psychotic symptoms in
 schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. 117, 21–30.
 - Sanchez-Carrion, R., Fernandez-Espejo, D., Junque, C., Falcon, C., Bargallo, N., Roig, T.,
 Bernabeu, M., Tormos, J.M., Vendrell, P., 2008a. A longitudinal fMRI study of
 working memory in severe TBI patients with diffuse axonal injury. Neuroimage 43,
 421–429.
 - Sanchez-Carrion, R., Gomez, P.V., Junque, C., Fernandez-Espejo, D., Falcon, C., Bargallo,
 N., Roig-Rovira, T., Ensenat-Cantallops, A., Bernabeu, M., 2008b. Frontal hypoacti vation on functional magnetic resonance imaging in working memory after severe
 diffuse traumatic brain injury. J. Neurotrauma 25, 479–494.

- Scheibel, R.S., Newsome, M.R., Steinberg, J.L., Pearson, D.A., Rauch, R.A., Mao, H., 773 Troyanskaya, M., Sharma, R.G., Levin, H.S., 2007. Altered brain activation during 774 cognitive control in patients with moderate to severe traumatic brain injury. 775 Neurorehabil. Neural Repair 21, 36–45. 776
- Sheline, Y.I., Price, J.L., Yan, Z., Mintun, M.A., 2010. Resting-state functional MRI in 777 depression unmasks increased connectivity between networks via the dorsal 778 nexus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 11020–11025. 779
- Skudlarski, P., Jagannathan, K., Anderson, K., Stevens, M.C., Calhoun, V.D., Skudlarska, 780
 B.A., Pearlson, G., 2010. Brain connectivity is not only lower but different in 781
 schizophrenia: a combined anatomical and functional approach. Biol. Psychiatry 782
 68, 61–69. 783
- Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M.W., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E., Johansen-784
 Berg, H., Bannister, P.R., De Luca, M., Drobnjak, I., Flitney, D.E., Niazy, R.K., Saunders, 785
 J., Vickers, J., Zhang, Y., De Stefano, N., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2004. Advances 786
 in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. 787
 Neuroimage 23 (Suppl. 1), S208–S219. 788
- Teasdale, G., Jennett, B., 1974. Assessment of command impaired consciousness: a 789 practical scale. Lancet 304, 81–84. 790
- Vanhaudenhuyse, A., Noirhomme, Q., Tshibanda, LJ., Bruno, M.A., Boveroux, P., 791
 Schnakers, C., Soddu, A., Perlbarg, V., Ledoux, D., Brichant, J.F., Moonen, G., Maquet, 792
 P., Greicius, M.D., Laureys, S., Boly, M., 2010. Default network connectivity reflects 793
 the level of consciousness in non-communicative brain-damaged patients. Brain 794
 133, 161–171.
- Whyte, J., Hart, T., Laborde, A., Rosenthal, M., 1998. Rehabilitation of the patient with 796 head injury, In: Delisa, J.A. (Ed.), Rehabilitation Medicine: Principles and Practice, 797 3rd Edition. J.B. Lippincott Publishing Company, Philadelphia, PA. 798
- Wu, H.M., Huang, S.C., Hattori, N., Glenn, T.C., Vespa, P.M., Hovda, D.A., Bergsneider, M., 799
 2004. Subcortical white matter metabolic changes remote from focal hemorrhagic 800
 lesions suggest diffuse injury after human traumatic brain injury. Neurosurgery 55, 801
 1306–1315 (discussion 1316–1307). 802
- Xiong, J., Parson, L.M., Py, Y., Gao, J.H., Fox, P.T., 1998. Abstracts (Continue in Part V). 803 Improved inter-regional connectivity mapping by use of covariance analysis within 804 rest condition. Proceedings of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in 805 Medicine 1998, pp. 201–250. 806
- Xiong, J., Parsons, L.M., Gao, J.-H., Fox, P.T., 1999. Interregional connectivity to primary 807 motor cortex revealed using MRI resting state images. Hum. Brain Mapp. 8, 808 151–156. 809
- Zhou, B., Tan, C., Tang, J., Chen, X., 2010. Brain functional connectivity of functional 810
 810

 magnetic resonance imaging of patients with early-onset schizophrenia. Zhong 811
 811

 Nan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 35, 17–24.
 812
- Zhou, J., Greicius, M.D., Gennatas, E.D., Growdon, M.E., Jang, J.Y., Rabinovici, G.D., 813
 Kramer, J.H., Weiner, M., Miller, B.L., Seeley, W.W., 2010. Divergent network 814
 connectivity changes in behavioural variant frontotemporal dementia and 815
 Alzheimer's disease. Brain 133, 1352–1367.
- Zou, Q., Wu, C.W., Stein, E.A., Zang, Y., Yang, Y., 2009. Static and dynamic characteristics 817 of cerebral blood flow during the resting state. Neuroimage 48, 515–524. 818

Fractal dimension assessment of brain morphometry: a novel biomarker in Traumatic Brain Injury

Venkateswaran Rajagopalan[†]D.Eng, ^{1,2} and Abhijit Das[†] MD DM,^{2,3}, Luduan Zhang PhD, ⁴, Glenn R Wylie D.Sc^{2,3}, Guang H Yue PhD¹*

[†]equal contribution

¹Human performance Engineering Laboratory, Kessler Foundation, 1199 Pleasant Valleyway, West Orange, NJ, 07052, USA

² Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, New Jersey Medical School,

Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Newark, NJ, USA

³ Neuroscience and Neuropsychology Laboratory, Kessler Foundation, 300 Executive Drive, West Orange, NJ, 07052, USA

⁴ LZ Biomedical Inc, Westminister, CO, 80021, USA

*Drs Rajagopalan and Das equally contributed to this work as co-first authors.

* Corresponding Author

Guang H Yue

Director, Human performance Engineering Laboratory

1199, Pleasant Valley Way

West Orange, New Jersey, 07052

Phone: 973-324-3539

Fax: 973-243-6984

Email: gyue@kesslerfoundation.org

Abbreviations

- BET Brain Extraction Tool
- DTI Diffusion Tensor Tmaging
- FAST FMRIB's Automated Segmentation Tool
- FD Fractal Dimension
- FLIRT FMRIB's Linear Image Registration Tool
- FMRIB- Functional Magnetic Resonance Rmaging of Brain
- FNIRT FMRIB's Nonlinear Image Registration Tool
- FSL Functional MRI of the brain Software Libraries
- FWER Family-Wise Error Rate
- FWHM Full-Width Half-Maximum
- GLM general linear model
- GM grey matter
- MPRAGE magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo
- MRI magnetic resonance imaging
- SPM statistical parametric mapping

TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury

VBM - voxel based morphometry

WM – white matter

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is one of the leading causes of death and disability worldwide with immense negative impact on society, economy and health-care systems. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that every year 1.7 million people in USA sustain TBI and TBI contributes to 30% of all injury related deaths in the USA (Faul et al., 2010). An estimated 5.3 million people (close to 2% of the population) are currently living with TBI-related disabilities in USA with an estimated cost of more than \$60 billion per year due to injury-related work loss and disability (Faul et al., 2010, Finkelstein et al., 2006). However, the overall impact may be much higher as recent studies suggest that published statistics underestimate the TBI burden (Powell et al., 2008, Bazarian et al., 2006). Despite these facts the approaches to the characterization of TBI severity and outcome have not changed in more than three decades (Maas et al., 2010). Currently, crude severity grades of mild, moderate, and severe TBI are defined by using clinical and radiographic indices. Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), length of loss of consciousness, and post-traumatic amnesia form some of the clinical criteria; evidence of intracranial lesions on computed tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are the basis of the radiographic criteria and outcome is measured using the Glasgow Outcome Scale Extended (GOS-E) (Teasdale and Jennett, 1976, Wilson et al., 1998). However, TBI is highly heterogeneous in cause, severity, pathology and clinical course and these measures often fail to capture this complexity (Yue et al., 2013). For example, current neuroimaging techniques have limited sensitivity to detect physiological alterations caused by TBI. The focal lesions detected by CT or MRI in TBI, such as contusions and axonal shearing injuries, are often not predictive of long-term functional disability after TBI, especially in mild cases. Overall, approximately 70% of patients with

TBI did not show any visible lesions using conventional MRI or CT techniques (Huang et al., 2009). In addition, the absence of abnormality on conventional neuroimaging techniques in the majority of mild TBI patients, even with post-concussive symptoms and cognitive and/or behavioral deficits, illustrates the limited prognostic value of conventional neuroimaging techniques (Johnston et al., 2001, Kirkwood et al., 2006). The heterogeneity of TBI, combined with the lack of accurate radiological indices for TBI, is thus hindering development of targeted treatment and neuroprotective strategy over the last four decades (Maas et al., 2010). A novel neuroimaging based biomarker, which can address this neurobiological heterogeneity, would enable the rapid classification, clinical trial stratification, and follow up rehabilitation care plans of patients with TBI.

Neuroimaging in TBI: the unsolved issues

The most consistent finding of brain tissue injury as a consequence of TBI is axonal injury (Gennarelli et al., 1982). TBI causes axon (nerve fiber) damage resulting from the stretching and shearing of white matter fibers due to rotational forces on the brain within the cranial cavity, a condition often referred to as diffuse axonal injury (DAI) (Adams et al., 1989). With newer MRI techniques to visualize the axonal damage, DAI has been almost universally demonstrated in fatal TBI (Gentleman et al., 1995). DAI is also considered as the common cause of poor outcome in TBI (Maas et al., 2008) and the mechanism most likely to be responsible for many of the cognitive deficits resulting from moderate to severe TBI (Scheid et al., 2006). However, current evaluation of DAI with MRI is often problematic as it depends on the sensitivity of the MR imaging sequences, selection of patients, and time between injury and scan (Skandsen et al., 2010). Due to these reasons the results of studies correlating MR evaluation of DAI and functional outcome are often conflicting (Chelly et al., 2011). A better quantitative measure for detecting white-matter axonal injury will thus be a very suitable addition as a neuroimaging biomarker of TBI.

Fractal Dimension analysis: a novel way to measure WM changes

Because brain WM is consisted of axons, DAI would affect the WM network integrity at the system level, which can be detected by brain imaging techniques that can measure WM network structure's shape (morphology)complexity (Kinnunen et al., 2011, Kraus et al., 2007). Biomechanics models of TBI also indicated that shear forces causing DAI leads to elastic shape deformations in intracranial brain tissues (Sayed et al., 2008). Fractal dimension (FD) is a novel and quantitative technique to estimate WM shape complexity (WMc) in brain. "Fractal" is a term coined by Mandelbrot (Mandelbrot, 1982) to describe the irregular but self-similar shapes of natural objects. A fractal is defined as any rough and irregular object composed of smaller versions of itself. The cortical fractal structure, therefore, can be characterized by a single numerical value (the fractal dimension, FD) that summarizes the irregularity of the external cortical surface and the boundary between subcortical grey and white matter (Bullmore et al., 1994). FD measures have been successfully applied to reveal gender and age structural differences in the cerebral cortex in the absence of disease and to investigate various psychiatric and neurological disorders. (Esteban et al., 2007, Mustafa et al., 2012, Zhang et al., 2008, Zhang et al., 2007). However, to the best of our knowledge, FD is yet to be evaluated in the TBI population. There are several reasons why fractal analysis may be a useful paradigm for analyzing brain WM shape in TBI: (1) FD can capture very complicated morphology of structures in a simple and quantitative description and can characterize the

way in which the WM fills up the brain. (2) Given the high spatial resolution (1X1X1mm³) of the 3D anatomic images based on which the analysis will be made, the WMc index can capture the WM changes which are beyond the resolution capability of other WM assessment techniques such as Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI). In this study, therefore, we examined whether white matter complexity (WMc) is reduced in chronic TBI subjects and whether the FD measures correlate with cognitive outcome in TBI patients.

Comparing FD with DTI and other volumetric markers

As FD offers additional benefits over other structural measurement of WM (e.g., DTI/WMVBM), we also examined how FD compares with these measures in predicting cognitive parameters in TBI. The comparison with DTI was of special interest. DTI provides quantitative information about integrity of WM microstructures by evaluating isotropic and anisotropic water diffusion within neuronal fiber tracts. DTI based measures are quite promising in evaluating DAI and emerging as important tool in TBI research (Kinnunen et al., 2011, Kraus et al., 2007). However, as noted above FD may provide additional benefits beyond the spatial resolution achieved by DTI. We also estimated whole brain intracranial WM volume using SPM. As FD will evaluate the WM complexity of the whole brain, it is important to know how this correlates with volumetric change of WM. In addition to WM, we also compared FD with GM volume and thickness changes using popular openware FreeSurfer. FreeSurfer is a set of automated tools for reconstruction of the brain's cortical surface from structural T1weighted data. FreeSurfer can generate maps of whole brain GM atrophy. Generalized cerebral atrophy is a well-established consequence of moderate-to-severe TBI and the

degree of atrophy is related to injury severity (Ghosh et al., 2009). It is therefore imperative to evaluate how WM complexity change may relate to overlying GM changes.

Hence, given the potential importance of white matter pathology to outcome in TBI, and the sensitivity of FD in determining the integrity of white matter beyond currently available techniques, studies of FD are warranted in TBI population. In this study, a group of chronic TBI subjects of moderate-to-severe severity and a group of demographically matched healthy controls underwent MRI (anatomical and diffusion tensor imaging) and neuropsychological testing. The primary objective of the current investigation was to test the hypothesis that white matter complexity (WMc) is reduced in chronic TBI subjects. The secondary objective was to examine the relationship between WMc and cognition assessed with standard neuropsychological testing. Additionally we compared the WMc vs DTI/volumetric measures in predicting cognitive outcome.

Methods

Institutional review boards responsible for ethical standards at the Rutgers University - NJMS and the Kessler Foundation Research Center approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to participation.

Demographics

We recruited TBI patients of all severity (mild, moderate and severe) assessed using the Glasgow coma scale (n=17) and healthy controls (HC) (n=13) matched for age, gender and education. (Table 1)

Neuropsychological assessment

On the day of MRI, all participants completed a standardized neuropsychological test battery sensitive to cognitive impairment associated with traumatic brain injury. The following cognitive functions of specific interest were evaluated: (i) verbal short-term learning and memory performance via the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test – Revised (HVLT-R); (ii) the executive functions of set-shifting, inhibitory control and cognitive flexibility were measured using the Delis–Kaplan Executive Function System (Delis et al., 2001). We used the alternating-switch cost index (time to complete alternating letter and number Trails B—time to complete numbers-only Trail A) from the Trail Making subtest and the inhibition/switching minus baseline score from the Color–Word subtest (high scores indicating poor performance); (iii) information processing speed via the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT). (iv) Attention - via the Visual Search and Attention Test (VSAT).

Z-scores were calculated for all subjects, with the mean and SD of data from healthy subjects used to define z-scores for all subject groups. Negative scores indicate performance below the mean of healthy subjects. Domain scores for measures of executive function, attention, processing speed and memory were generated by averaging the standardized data from tests assessing these cognitive domains

Imaging Protocol

High resolution 3D T1-weighted axial magnetic resonance images (MRI) of the whole brain were obtained using magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence on 3 T Siemens Allegra (Erlangen, Germany) scanner. TBI patients were scanned three months after their post traumatic amnesia. Imaging parameters were: TR (repetition time) = 2000 ms, TE (echo time) =4.9 ms, flip angle=8°, inversion time (TI) =900 ms, slice thickness=0.96 mm, in-plane resolution= $0.96 \times 0.96 \text{ mm}^2$, and number of slices=172. DTI data were also acquired using single shot echo planar imaging (SS-EPI) sequence along 12 diffusion weighted (b = 1000 s/mm^2) directions and one b = 0 s/mm^2 . Imaging parameters were: 26 slices, thickness 4 mm, with $2.0 \times 2.0 \text{ mm}$ in-plane resolution; pulse sequence parameters were: repetition time TR = 7300 ms, echo time TE = 88 ms, number of averages = 8.

Data processing

A comprehensive quantitative analysis was performed on brain grey and white matter structures of TBI and control subjects. The techniques used to quantitatively assess WM damage were; a) FD dimension analysis, b) DTI and c) WM whole brain intracranial volume changes. Grey matter changes were quantitatively assessed using cortical thickness analysis. The purpose of this comprehensive analysis was to evaluate the sensitivity of FD measure (in detecting structural change of the WM system in TBI patients) over currently available techniques such as DTI. More details on each of these methods are given below.

White matter analysis

Fractal Dimension (FD) analysis

FD analysis was carried out using our customized in-house routines (details described elsewhere). Briefly the image processing included: skull stripping of T1weighted images using FMRIB Software Library (FSL) Brain Extraction Tool (BET)(Smith et al., 2004) (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/Center for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain (FMRIB), Oxford, UK). Brain extraction was followed by segmentation into WM, grey matter (GM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) probability maps using FSL's FAST tool(Zhang et al., 2001). WM probability maps were then binarized using a threshold value of 0.5. A 3D thinning method was then applied to the WM binary image in order to obtain the 3D WM skeleton. The 3D thinning algorithm removed as many boundary voxels as possible without changing the general shape of the WM, until a center line of one voxel width (skeleton) remained. Left and right hemispheres were then separated from the whole brain using FSL tools. Masks of left and right hemispheres separated in the previous step were applied to WM skeleton and WM general structure images to get the WM skeleton and WM general structure of left and right hemispheres. FD values were estimated using a 3D box-counting method (details described elsewhere) (Zhang et al., 2006). The box-counting method was preferred since it can be applied to structures without self-similarity, such as the human brain. (The boxcounting method works by repeatedly applying different-sized meshes (r) to the fractal image and counting the number of boxes (N) needed to completely cover the fractal.) Finally, a linear regression fit after log transformation was used to estimate FD values using equation 1 given below

where k is a nuisance parameter, in self-similar scale (linear portion in the logarithmic function).

In this study we estimated FD values of the three WM features (shape representations): skeleton, surface and general structure. Skeleton FD was calculated by counting the boxes needed to cover the WM skeleton; surface FD was evaluated by counting the boxes needed to cover the boundary of WM/GM interface; general structure FD was estimated by counting the boxes needed to cover all the WM voxels (which included skeleton and surface). The skeleton (consists of central line of each WM tract/bundle), also known as WM interior structure that preserves the topological and geometric information of the WM. The skeleton configuration represents the interior structure complexity of the brain WM. The surface structure consists of voxels at the boundary i.e. GM/WM interface, reflecting the shape of the gyral and sulcal convolutions in the GM/WM interface. General structure comprises of all WM voxels (including voxels in the GM/WM boundary and skeleton in WM segmented images, representing the volume changes. Because the WM skeleton, general structure and surface represent three different aspects of brain WM structure, it was expected that they may serve as more comprehensive and distinct shape complexity measures to evaluate the WM structure shape/structure changes brought out by pathophysiological mechanisms of TBI.

DTI Analysis

DTI images were processed using FSL openware (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). The processing steps include correction for eddy current distortion effects. The b-matrix was rotated after eddy current distortion effects in order to preserve correct orientation information. Corrected images were then fitted to the diffusion tensor model using "*dtifit*" routine and maps of diffusion tensor metrics namely fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial diffusivity (AD) radial diffusivity (RD) were obtained. Whole brain, right and left hemisphere WM masks obtained by segmenting T1-weighted images were then multiplied (after registering with the DTI images) with FA,MD,AD and RD maps.Mode values of whole brain, right and left hemisphere FA, MD, AD and RD were measured from the histogram plots of each subject. Statistical analysis was performed using either T-test or Mann-Whitney U test depending on data meeting the assumptions of normality.

White matter intracranial volume analysis

Whole brain (intra-cranial) white matter, grey matter and cerebrospinal fluid volumes were obtained for both control and TBI patients using SPM software. A T-test was performed to compare the difference in whole brain WM and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) intracranial volume between TBI and controls.

FreeSurfer based automated image analysis

Cortical reconstruction and volumetric segmentation were performed with the FreeSurfer image analysis version 4.0.4 (Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, c2005; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu.libproxy2.umdnj.edu/). Details described elsewhere (Fischl et al., 2004a and Jovicich et al., 2009). Briefly, this processing includes the removal of non-brain tissue using a hybrid watershed/surface deformation procedure, automated Talairach transformation, segmentation of the subcortical WM and deep GM volumetric structures (Fischl et al., 2002 and Fischl et al., 2004a), intensity normalization (Sled et al., 1998) tessellation of the GM–WM boundary, automated topology correction (Fischl et al., 2001 and Segonne et al., 2007), and surface deformation following intensity gradients to optimally place the GM/WM and GM/CSF borders at the location where the greatest shift in intensity defines the transition to the other tissue class (Dale et al., 1999, Dale and Sereno, 1993 and Fischl and Dale, 2000). The resulting cortical models were registered to a spherical atlas, utilizing individual cortical folding patterns to match cortical geometry across subjects (Fischl et al., 1999) The cerebral cortex was parcellated into regions based on gyral and sulcal structure (Desikan et al., 2006 and Fischl et al., 2004b). Results for each subject were visually inspected to ensure accuracy of registration, skull stripping, segmentation, and cortical surface reconstruction.

Both intensity and continuity information from the entire 3D MR volume were used to produce representations of cortical thickness, where thickness was measured as the closest distance from GM-WM to GM-CSF boundary at each vertex on the tessellated surface (Fischl and Dale, 2000). A p value of <0.05 corrected for multiple comparisons using a false discovery rate (FDR) was considered the level of significance for measures cortical thickness, cortical area and cortical volume.

Statistical Analysis

IBM SPSS for Windows version 21 (Armonk, New York) was used for database and statistical analysis. A two-tailed unpaired Student's t-tests or Fisher's exact test was used to compare demographic, neuropsychological and FD findings between healthy controls and patients with TBI. To investigate the correlation between FD and cognitive variables, univariate correlations between continuous variables were assessed using the Pearson correlation coefficient and those between discrete variables were assessed with the Spearman rank correlation coefficient. A stepwise linear regression analysis was performed to assess the relative contributions of the main demographic (age, education and sex) and WM parameters (FD, DTI parameters) in predicting the cognitive domain index scores. Forward and backward stepwise analyses were conducted using the Wald statistic as a criterion, with P = .05 for entry and P = .10 for removal.

Results

Standard magnetic resonance imaging

Among the patients with TBI all had moderate to severe TBI according to GCS. T1 imaging was normal in 2 patients (11.7%) and T2* normal in 3 patients (17.6%). Definite and possible intraparenchymal microbleeds indicative of diffuse axonal injury were found in 35% of the patients (Microbleed group: 6 patients, 5 males, mean age 28.2 ± 6.9 years, average time since injury 26 months; Non-microbleed group: 11 patients, 8 males, mean age 30.2 ± 14.5 years, average time since injury 25 months). There was no group difference for age, gender and duration of TBI. Microbleeds were mainly found in frontal and temporal white matter bilaterally.

Neuropsychological testing

Group means are presented for the each neuropsychological test in Table 2. Mean cognitive domain scores are also presented in Fig. 2. Patients with TBI differed from the controls on all cognitive domains - memory/learning (p=0.02), executive function (p = 0.001), attention (p = 0.001) and processing speed (p=0.002).

Fractal dimension and demographic parameters

To understand the relation between FD parameters with age and education we ran a series of correlation analysis. There was no relation between age and any of the FD parameters (surface, general structure or skeleton). However, education in years was positively correlated with whole brain skeleton FD values (r=0.42, p=0.03), which meant higher education increased white matter complexity. There was no difference in any of the FD parameters (surface, general structure or skeleton) between males and females (t tests, p>0.05).

Fractal dimension changes in TBI

Significant (p < 0.05) reduction were observed in the skeleton FD values of right (TBI - 2.24 ± 0.06; HC - 2.30 ± 0.06 (Mean±SD)) and left hemispheres (TBI - 2.25 ± 0.04; HC - 2.30 ± 0.05 (Mean±SD)) with TBI group showing lower structural complexity compared to controls. This is shown in Figure 1. However; we failed to observe any significant difference in surface or general structure FD values between TBI and controls.

Relation between intraparenchymal microbleed and fractal dimension

Significant (p < 0.05) reduction were observed in the skeleton FD values of right (Nonmicrobleed - 2.28 ± 0.05 ; Microbleed - 2.19 ± 0.04 (Mean \pm SD)) and left hemispheres (Nonmicrobleed - 2.27 ± 0.03 ; Microbleed - 2.21 ± 0.05 (Mean \pm SD)) with patients with microbleeds showing lower structural complexity compared to TBI patients without microbleeds. However, we failed to observe any significant difference in surface or general structure FD values between microbleed and nonmicrobleed group. No significant differences in FA, MD or RD values were observed between TBI and controls either in the whole brain or on the right or left hemisphere WM tissue. The only significant difference was observed in AD values in left hemisphere (p=0.03) and right hemisphere (p<0.05).

White matter intracranial volume changes

Whole brain WM intracranial volume (in units of ml) was slightly reduced in TBI patients (mean =512.36 ml) when compared to controls (mean =514.43 ml) but did not reach statistical significance. On the other hand CSF volume was found to be significantly (p<0.001) increased in TBI (mean = 271.43 ml) when compared to controls (mean = 218.57 ml).

Grey matter volume and cortical thickness changes

No significant difference in either grey matter volume or cortical thickness or cortical area was observed in any of the brain regions between TBI and healthy controls. Among the deep grey matter structures, however, right thalamus (p=0.01) was significantly atrophied in the TBI group.

Relationship between white matter shape change and neuropsychological function in TBI

To examine the contribution of white matter shape change (skeleton FD values) in neuropsychological outcome in TBI, hierarchical linear regressions were performed, separately for each of four cognitive domains: executive function, memory, attention and processing speed. The education was not included in the first step as it was highly correlated with FD-skeleton.

For executive function domain, the model explained 63% of variance ($F_{6,18} = 5.12$, p = 0.003). The FD-skeleton accounted for additional 19.5% of variance beyond demographic and DTI variables (p = 0.02).

For processing speed, the model explained 47% of variance ($F_{5,19} = 3.32$, p = 0.02). The FD-skeleton accounted for additional 22% of variance beyond demographic and DTI variables (p = 0.03).

For memory domain, the model explained 57% of variance ($F_{6,18} = 3.99$, p = 0.01). The FD-skeleton accounted for additional 13.1% of variance beyond demographic and DTI variables (p <0.1), which was borderline significant.

For attention, , the model explained 43% of variance ($F_{6,18} = 2.33$, p = 0.07). The FDskeleton accounted for additional 12.1% of variance beyond demographic and DTI variables (p <0.1), which was not significant. For this domain the DTI contributed significantly ($\Delta R^2 = 0.24$, p=0.04)

Discussion

References

- ADAMS, J. H., DOYLE, D., FORD, I., GENNARELLI, T. A., GRAHAM, D. I. & MCLELLAN, D. R. 1989. Diffuse axonal injury in head injury: definition, diagnosis and grading. *Histopathology*, 15, 49-59.
- BAZARIAN, J. J., VEAZIE, P., MOOKERJEE, S. & LERNER, E. B. 2006. Accuracy of mild traumatic brain injury case ascertainment using ICD-9 codes. Academic emergency medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine, 13, 31-8.
- BULLMORE, E., BRAMMER, M., HARVEY, I., PERSAUD, R., MURRAY, R. & RON, M. 1994. Fractal analysis of the boundary between white matter and cerebral cortex in magnetic resonance images: a controlled study of schizophrenic and manic-depressive patients. *Psychological medicine*, 24, 771-81.
- CHELLY, H., CHAARI, A., DAOUD, E., DAMMAK, H., MEDHIOUB, F., MNIF, J., HAMIDA, C. B., BAHLOUL, M. & BOUAZIZ, M. 2011. Diffuse axonal injury in patients with head injuries: an epidemiologic and prognosis study of 124 cases. *The Journal of trauma*, 71, 838-46.

ESTEBAN, F. J., SEPULCRE, J., DE MENDIZABAL, N. V., GONI, J., NAVAS, J., DE MIRAS, J. R., BEJARANO, B., MASDEU, J. C. & VILLOSLADA, P. 2007. Fractal dimension and white matter changes in multiple sclerosis. *Neuroimage*, 36, 543-9.

FAUL, M., XU, L., WALD, M. M. & CORONADO, V. G. 2010. Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States. Emergency Department Visits, Hospitalizations and Deaths 2002–2006. Atlanta, GA.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

- FINKELSTEIN, E., CORSO, P. & MILLER, T. 2006. *The Incidence and Economic Burden of Injuries in the United States*, New York, N.Y., Oxford University Press.
- FISCHL, B. & DALE, A. M. 2000. Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97, 11050-5.
- GENNARELLI, T. A., THIBAULT, L. E., ADAMS, J. H., GRAHAM, D. I., THOMPSON, C. J. & MARCINCIN, R. P. 1982. Diffuse axonal injury and traumatic coma in the primate. *Annals of neurology*, 12, 564-74.
- GENTLEMAN, S. M., ROBERTS, G. W., GENNARELLI, T. A., MAXWELL, W. L., ADAMS, J. H., KERR, S. & GRAHAM, D. I. 1995. Axonal injury: a universal consequence of fatal closed head injury? *Acta neuropathologica*, 89, 537-43.

GHOSH, A., WILDE, E. A., HUNTER, J. V., BIGLER, E. D., CHU, Z., LI, X.,

- VASQUEZ, A. C., MENEFEE, D., YALLAMPALLI, R. & LEVIN, H. S. 2009. The relation between Glasgow Coma Scale score and later cerebral atrophy in paediatric traumatic brain injury. *Brain injury : [BI]*, 23, 228-33.
- HUANG, M. X., THEILMANN, R. J., ROBB, A., ANGELES, A., NICHOLS, S.,
 DRAKE, A., D'ANDREA, J., LEVY, M., HOLLAND, M., SONG, T., GE, S.,
 HWANG, E., YOO, K., CUI, L., BAKER, D. G., TRAUNER, D., COIMBRA, R.
 & LEE, R. R. 2009. Integrated imaging approach with MEG and DTI to detect
 mild traumatic brain injury in military and civilian patients. *Journal of neurotrauma*, 26, 1213-26.

JOHNSTON, K. M., PTITO, A., CHANKOWSKY, J. & CHEN, J. K. 2001. New

frontiers in diagnostic imaging in concussive head injury. *Clinical journal of sport medicine : official journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine*, 11, 166-75.

- KINNUNEN, K. M., GREENWOOD, R., POWELL, J. H., LEECH, R., HAWKINS, P.
 C., BONNELLE, V., PATEL, M. C., COUNSELL, S. J. & SHARP, D. J. 2011.
 White matter damage and cognitive impairment after traumatic brain injury. *Brain : a journal of neurology*, 134, 449-63.
- KIRKWOOD, M. W., YEATES, K. O. & WILSON, P. E. 2006. Pediatric sport-related concussion: a review of the clinical management of an oft-neglected population. *Pediatrics*, 117, 1359-71.
- KRAUS, M. F., SUSMARAS, T., CAUGHLIN, B. P., WALKER, C. J., SWEENEY, J.
 A. & LITTLE, D. M. 2007. White matter integrity and cognition in chronic traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Brain : a journal of neurology*, 130, 2508-19.
- MAAS, A. I., ROOZENBEEK, B. & MANLEY, G. T. 2010. Clinical trials in traumatic brain injury: past experience and current developments. *Neurotherapeutics : the journal of the American Society for Experimental NeuroTherapeutics*, 7, 115-26.
- MAAS, A. I., STOCCHETTI, N. & BULLOCK, R. 2008. Moderate and severe traumatic brain injury in adults. *Lancet neurology*, 7, 728-41.

MANDELBROT, B. B. 1982. The Fractal Geometry of Nature, New York, NY, Freeman.

- MUSTAFA, N., AHEARN, T. S., WAITER, G. D., MURRAY, A. D., WHALLEY, L. J. & STAFF, R. T. 2012. Brain structural complexity and life course cognitive change. *NeuroImage*, 61, 694-701.
- POWELL, J. M., FERRARO, J. V., DIKMEN, S. S., TEMKIN, N. R. & BELL, K. R. 2008. Accuracy of mild traumatic brain injury diagnosis. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 89, 1550-5.
- SAYED, T. E., MOTA, A., FRATERNALI, F. & ORTIZ, M. 2008. Biomechanics of traumatic brain injury. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg*, 197, 4692–4701.
- SCHEID, R., WALTHER, K., GUTHKE, T., PREUL, C. & VON CRAMON, D. Y. 2006. Cognitive sequelae of diffuse axonal injury. *Archives of neurology*, 63, 418-24.
- SKANDSEN, T., KVISTAD, K. A., SOLHEIM, O., STRAND, I. H., FOLVIK, M. & VIK, A. 2010. Prevalence and impact of diffuse axonal injury in patients with moderate and severe head injury: a cohort study of early magnetic resonance imaging findings and 1-year outcome. *Journal of neurosurgery*, 113, 556-63.
- SMITH, S. M., JENKINSON, M., WOOLRICH, M. W., BECKMANN, C. F.,
 BEHRENS, T. E., JOHANSEN-BERG, H., BANNISTER, P. R., DE LUCA, M.,
 DROBNJAK, I., FLITNEY, D. E., NIAZY, R. K., SAUNDERS, J., VICKERS, J.,
 ZHANG, Y., DE STEFANO, N., BRADY, J. M. & MATTHEWS, P. M. 2004.
 Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as
 FSL. *Neuroimage*, 23 Suppl 1, S208-19.
- TEASDALE, G. & JENNETT, B. 1976. Assessment and prognosis of coma after head injury. *Acta neurochirurgica*, 34, 45-55.

- WILSON, J. T., PETTIGREW, L. E. & TEASDALE, G. M. 1998. Structured interviews for the Glasgow Outcome Scale and the extended Glasgow Outcome Scale: guidelines for their use. *Journal of neurotrauma*, 15, 573-85.
- YUE, J. K., VASSAR, M. J., LINGSMA, H., COOPER, S. R., YUH, E. L.,
 MUKHERJEE, P., PUCCIO, A. M., GORDON, W., OKONKWO, D. O.,
 VALADKA, A., SCHNYER, D. M., MAAS, A., MANLEY, G. T. M. D. P. D.,
 CASEY, S. S., CHEONG, M., DAMS-O'CONNOR, K., HRICIK, A. J., KNIGHT,
 E. E., KULUBYA, E. S., MENON, D., MORABITO, D. J., PACHECO, J. L. &
 SINHA, T. K. 2013. Transforming Research and Clinical Knowledge in
 Traumatic Brain Injury (TRACK-TBI) Pilot: Multicenter Implementation of the
 Common Data Elements for Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of neurotrauma*.
- ZHANG, L., BUTLER, A. J., SUN, C. K., SAHGAL, V., WITTENBERG, G. F. & YUE,
 G. H. 2008. Fractal dimension assessment of brain white matter structural
 complexity post stroke in relation to upper-extremity motor function. *Brain Res*, 1228, 229-40.
- ZHANG, L., DEAN, D., LIU, J. Z., SAHGAL, V., WANG, X. & YUE, G. H. 2007. Quantifying degeneration of white matter in normal aging using fractal dimension. *Neurobiology of aging*, 28, 1543-55.

ZHANG, L., LIU, J. Z., DEAN, D., SAHGAL, V. & YUE, G. H. 2006. A threedimensional fractal analysis method for quantifying white matter structure in human brain. *J Neurosci Methods*, 150, 242-53. ZHANG, Y., BRADY, M. & SMITH, S. 2001. Segmentation of brain MR images through a hidden Markov random field model and the expectation-maximization algorithm. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*, 20, 45-57.

Spare the rich: the most highly connected network nodes predict recovery of function

after neurotrauma

Hillary, F.G Rajtmajer, S.M. Roman, C. Medaglia, J.D. Slocomb, J. Good, D.C. Wylie, G.R.

Corresponding Authors:

Glenn R. Wylie, D.Phil. gwylie@kesslerfoundation.org

Frank G. Hillary, Ph.D. <u>fhillary@psu.edu</u>

Keywords: traumatic brain injury, functional imaging, graph theory, connectivity, plasticity, network, flexibility

Introduction

Recent developments in network connectivity have broadened the scope of investigation in the neurosciences, providing unparalleled opportunity to examine wholebrain communication. One applied mathematical approach, graph theory, has received significant recent attention in literatures using functional brain imaging methods (e.g., functional MRI) to examine the flow of information in dynamical networks. While graph theory has a much longer history in the areas of topology (refs) and social networks (refs), in its relatively brief application to the neurosciences, this approach has already influenced how we conceptualize network communication. For example, in non-human primates (refs) and humans (refs), graph theory approaches have demonstrated that neural systems hold "small-world" properties characterized by high "clustering", or extensive connectivity within groups of neural nodes while also retaining short net communication paths between nodes. These network characteristics afford specialized processing of information locally (refs) while permitting large scale information transfer throughout the network (refs). It is a goal in the current study to apply these methods in order to better understand the systems-level network changes occurring after severe traumatic brain injury (TBI), where significant neurological disruption is evident. We anticipate that whole-brain connectivity patterns will provide previously unavailable information about how neural systems adapt to catastrophic disruption.

Clinical network neuroscience

In the clinical neurosciences it remains an important goal to understand the basic brain changes associated with neurological disruption and the implications these changes have for behavioral deficit and recovery trajectory. In the systems neurosciences, there has been widespread use of functional imaging methods to examine task-related brain changes (e.g., mean signal differences) in localized regions of the brain. In several literatures examining brain injury and disease (e.g., TBI, multiple sclerosis), several consistent findings have emerged including increased involvement of neural resources near sites of injury (refs) and in regions that may share functional responsibilities (e.g., homologous regions in the contralateral hemisphere, refs).

With the more recent emphasis of connectivity modeling in the systems neurosciences, the scope of investigations in neurological disorders has mirrored this change; there is an expanding literature documenting the network alterations associated with brain injury and disease (see xx for review). Several studies to date have demonstrated that neurological disruption results in altered connectivity in large scale neural networks (refs) including evidence that even focal injury has widespread consequences for broader network functioning (ref-sharp? Or D'Esposito?). For example, both focal and diffuse injuries observed in TBI may disrupt distal connectivity which is a distinct and crucial feature to the small-world topology observed in efficient neural systems (Nakamura et al., 2009; Pandit et al., 2013). As a paradoxical consequence to this network disruption, we have proposed that a primary response in dynamical systems is hyperconnectivity within parts of the network (see Hillary et al., 2009; Hillary et al., in review).

In TBI, much remains to be determined with respect to network dynamics early after the injury, including the timeline for connectivity alterations and the regions within the network most sensitive to disruption. We anticipate that hyperconnectivity observable within the typical regions serving as "hubs" (or "rich club", the most highly connected brain regions in neural systems; see van den Heuvel et al., 2012) have important consequences for network functioning and recovery. Work outside the neurosciences has demonstrated that small-world topology is particularly resilient to non-selective or "random" connectivity loss (see Albert & Barabasi, 200x), but that targeted "attack" on critical network hubs can lead to catastrophic consequences for network communication. For example, the focused loss of anterior-posterior connectivity (e.g., frontal to PCC to hippocampal connections) in Alzheimer's has devastating consequences for functioning in the areas of memory, spatial navigation, and maintaining semantic associations (see xxxx). The network disruption occurring in TBI is, nearly by definition, idiosyncratic, but we anticipate that injury resulting in connectivity loss to network hubs should have more widespread consequences for functioning. Thus, we anticipate that selective connectivity loss in the rich club and failure to bring specific hubs online from 3-6 months will show the greatest behavioral consequence after TBI. In order to examine the influence of TBI on network hubs, we will make use of functional MRI and graph theory to examine whole-brain connectivity in severe TBI during a critical window of recovery.

Study Hypotheses:

<u>Hypothesis 1</u>: We propose that the most common response to moderate and severe TBI is hyperconnectivity. We anticipate this results in increased number and strength of connections during this window of recovery when compared to a healthy control sample.

<u>Hypothesis 2</u>: There is evidence that disruption of network hubs can have catastrophic results for network functioning (see Albert & Barabasi, 2000). For this reason, we anticipate that individuals showing the least connectivity in the "rich club" or regions commonly considered to be indispensable network hubs (i.e., PCC, insula, and DLPFC) will show the greatest impairment of functioning.



Method

Materials and Methods

<u>Subjects</u>

The participants included 20 participants with moderate and severe TBI between the ages of 19 and 56 years and 15 healthy adults of comparable age and education. All study participants underwent two MRI scanning sessions separated by three months. For the

TBI sample, data were acquired at 3 and 6 months after emerging from posttraumatic amnesia (PTA). TBI severity was defined using the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) in the first 24 hours after injury (Teasdale and Jennett 1974) and GCS scores from 3-8 were considered "severe" and scores from 9-12 were considered moderate. One individual

with a GCS score of 13 was included because acute neuroimaging findings were

positive. Participants were excluded if they remain in treatment for concomitant spinal cord injuries, orthopedic injury, or other injury making it difficult to remain still in the MRI environment. Patients with focal contusions and hemorrhagic injuries were included unless injuries required neurosurgical intervention and removal of tissue resulting in gross derangement of neuroanatomy. The HC sample of comparable age was enrolled in the study and underwent two MRI scans separated by approximately three months (mean = 90.2 days, SD = 23.8).

<u>Focal lesions</u>: There are often whole-brain structural and functional brain changes evne in cases of TBI where the primary injury is isolated (e.g., subdural hematoma), (Büki and Povlishock 2006; Fujiwara et al. 2008) and diffuse axonal injury (DAI) is a nearly universal finding (Wu et al. 2004). Moreover, focal injuries can have widespread consequences for brain function (...desposito, 2012). For these reasons, focal injury was not an exclusionary criteria in the current study, unless the injury was so severe so as to require neurosurgical intervention (i.e., (i.e., craniotomy) and/or gross derangement of neuroanatomy. Inclusion of cases where identifiable injruy was evident permitted direct examination of TBI as it naturally occurs even in brain regions directly influenced by injury.

MRI procedure and Data acquisition

Data were acquired using a Philips Achieva 3.0 T system (Philips Medical Systems, The Netherlands) with a 6-channel head coil or a Siemens Magnetom Trio 3.0 T system (Siemens Medical Solutions, Germany) with a 8-channel head coil both housed in the Department of Radiology, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA. Between time point subject data were always collected on the same scanner to maximize reliability. Subjects were made aware of the importance of minimizing head movement during MRI scanning and trials containing significant motion were discontinued or repeated. High resolution brain anatomical images with isotropic spatial resolution of 1.2 mm × 1.2 mm × 1.2 mm were acquired using an MPRAGE sequence: 468.45 ms/ 16.1 ms / 18^o, repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE)/flip angle (FA), 250 × 200 mm² field of view (FOV), and a 256 × 180 acquisition matrix. Echo planar imaging (EPI) was used for functional imaging. Imaging parameters for EPI were 2000 ms/30 ms/89^o, TR/TE/FA and a 230 × 230 mm² FOV, 128 × 128 acquisition matrix.

Data processing and region parcellation:

Figure 1 presents the processing stream for fMRI time series analysis. Initial steps of the processing stream involve pre-processing including realignment of the functional time series to gather movement parameters for correction, coregistration of the EPI data with a high resolution T1 image, and spatial normalization and smoothing (see Figure 1; consistent with Hillary et al., 2011; Medaglia et al., 2012).

<u>Nuisance signal removal and connectivity</u>: To obtain the "rest" fMRI data, we used a single 142 volume run of a simple working memory task (1-back; **refence**). In preparation for graph theory analyses, "nuisance signal" was removed from each time series using the Conn toolbox (Whitfield-Gabrieli &Nieto-Castonon , 2012). To address signal from the CSF

and white matter, masks in these regions were created and statistically removed from the time series using univariate regression. There were three distinct task-onset timing parameters for the n-back, so as a first step, subject-specific task-onset vectors were entered into the regression using the Conn Toolbox (see Gabrielie-Whitfield &) and task related signal was removed at the individual level first. In addition, six subject movement parameters were input as a temporal confound and regressed at each voxel across the time series (see Behzadi et al., 2007) and a pand-pass filter was applied to the resulting time series. After all regressors of no interest were removed, the residuals from the deconvolution were saved and used as the "resting-state" data.

Region of interest determination: In neural network modeling, possibly the most important early decision is determining the nodes, or brain regions, that will contribute to the model. In fact, recent efforts to examine "small-world" properties in TBI have used 20-30 anatomically-determined ROIs [29, 30] within unweighted (i.e., binary) networks and in large-scale network analyses, the characterization of the network ndoes has a direct influence on the graph properties observed (see 32, 41). Separately, anatomical ROIs are often used to avoid biased data selection and circularity in data interpretation (see 42); yet these approaches aggregate a number of functionally distinct oscillatory signals within each ROI For example, Brodmann's area 46 in PFC is one of the largest ROIs in anatomical atlases and maintains critical roles in a number of functions, yet in the absence of additional parcellation, the ~600 2mm isotropic voxels from this region are averaged and treated as a single homogenous signal. To address these concerns, we use a data-driven approach for ROI parcellation through the use of spatial independent component analysis (ICA; 43, 44). In this way, each ROI is represented as

a functional signature as opposed to an anatomically bound average of many functional signals (see 45). Moreover, in studies using fMRI to examine neurotrauma there is concern regarding the influence of brain lesions on the BOLD signal (see 46) and this is particularly problematic in local areas of hemorrhage where blood products cause susceptibility artifact and local signal attenuation (see 47, 48). However, the ICA procedure implemented here can isolate the effects of local signal drop-out as a "component" and model these data or remove the signal during "denoising and nuisance" identification.

This approach addresses basic differences in brain morphology and local signal dropout due to the effects of TBI early after injury. Finally, we will use a spatially constrained ICA (scICA) which provides a hybrid approach enabling us to focus on specific subnetworks of interest in this paper (i.e., the rich club) by providing a set of masks or images to the algorithm while also allowing the data to refine the resulting component (see 49). Overall, we anticipate that the approaches used here provide safeguards for conservative data analysis and interpretation while retaining optimal sensitivity to dynamic network effects over time in TBI.

Graph theory analysis:

Procedure for Correlation Matrix

A representative network graph was created using the data parcellation approach described above, such that each "node" in the graph represented a resultant component from whole-brain ICA (see 75, 94). The pair wise correlations amongst all component time series was determined and, after thresholding with false discovery rate,

components with statistically significant correlations were joined by an edge in the graph, weighted by the value of the corresponding correlation (see 38 for similar method). Graph indices were calculated for the weighted network.

Graph theory was applied to investigate two levels of analysis. For the first level of analysis we test Hypothesis 1 using whole brain analyses documenting graph properties. Basic graph metrics of interest include : 1) the degree distribution, i.e. the histogram of the number of connections per node, 2) total number and strength of network connections, 3) clustering coefficient (i.e. the density of triangles in the network graph) for a) frontal systems and b) whole brain, and 4) average global path length as well as local path length for specified regions of interest. For the second level of analysis we test Hypothesis 2 by examining changes in regional connectivity in the most highly connected network nodes from 3 to 6 months post injury. The ROIs included are based upon prior work establishing the most critical and highly connected regions in the brain (the Rich Club): precuneus, superior frontal cortex, superior parietal cortex, anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, and the insula (van den Heuvel et Ia., 2012); (Fig. 1).

<u>Structural MRI analysis</u>: In order to examine the morphometric changes associated with the Early and Late TBI subgroups, voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis was conducted using the VBM8 toolbox (<u>http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm/</u>). VBM was used to quantify the white, gray and CSF compartments for all subjects. Gray matter volumes where then used as covariates to determine if connectivity differences between groups were influenced by volume change. First, T1 images were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and CSF volumes. These segmented images from each individual were then aligned to a template brain (Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space) during a normalization step.

Cognitive Assessment:

The most common cognitive deficits following TBI are in the areas of working memory and processing speed (see Demaree et al., 2000; Hillary et al., 2009) and common deficits in abnormal aging are episodic memory deficits (see xxx). All participants completed a brief battery of tests examining these areas of functioning to examine: 1) areas of cognitive deficit compared to a HC sample, 2) change from Time 1 to Time 2 in TBI, and 3) relationship between connectivity changes and cognitive deficit. To assess working memory and processing speed we used the Digit Symbol Modalities Test^[78] and the visual search and attention task, the Stroop task^[79, 80], and select tests from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale –Fourth Edition (Digit Span and Letter Number Sequencing for WM; Coding and Cancellation for speed)^[81]. In addition, verbal episodic memory is the most common deficit observed in DAT (see Summers&Saunders) and this was assessed using the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT). Consistent with Steffener & Stern (2012)^[85], cognitive reserve will be determined using the Vocabulary subtest from the WAIS-IV, education, socioeconomic status, employment.

Results

Demographic and Neuropsychological Data

Tables 1 and 2 provide the demographic information and neuropsychological information for the two samples.

Graph Theory Results: global graph metrics

The data in Table 3 support a hyperconnectivity hypothesis during this critical recovery window characterized by increased number and strength of connections globally (all significantly greater in TBI compared to HCs, p<.05).

Graph theory Results: connectivity within the "Rich Club"

Discussion

We used functional MRI and graph theory methods to examine whole brain connectivity changes during a critical recovery window after moderate and severe TBI. There was support for Hypothesis 1 that the brain hyperconnects during early recovery.

There were also significant changes in clustering coefficient between 3 and 6 months post injury and we anticipate that data collection at 1-year post injury will permit observation of these networks after greater recovery and network stabilization.

Tables

Table 1: Demographic information for both samples

	ТВІ		
	Mean (sd)	Healthy Controls Mean (sd)	
Age (years)	29.1 (10.4)	28.8 (11.9)	
Education (years)	12.5 (1.5)	13.4 (1.7)	
Gender	19 M, 3 F	9 F, 6 M	
Time-post injury	100.4 (26.6)	-	
GCS	5.7 (4.3)	-	

Table 2: Neuropsychological performance

	TBI mean (sd)	TBI mean (sd)	Healthy
	3 months	6 months	Controls
			Mean (sd)
Digit span			
Stroop			
VSAT			
HVLT			

Table 2: Graph properties in TBI and HC groups

Table 2: Hyperconnectivity after TBI indexed as increased number and strength of connections.	3 months after TBI Mean (sd)	6 months after TBI Mean (sd)	Healthy Controls Mean (sd)
	3/1 93 (70 38)*		282 19 (55 89)
Total Number of Connections	541.55 (70.56)	333.93 (47.96)	*
Total Strength of Connections	104.69 (23.97)*	101.54 (15.79)	84.72 (18.13)*
Average (Weighted) Node Degree	2.72 (0.62) *	2.64 (0.41)	2.20 (0.47) *
Average (Unweighted) Node Degree	9.88 (1.83)*	9.67 (1.35)	8.33 (1.45)*
Average (Weighted) Clustering	0.082 (0.032)*		
Coefficient		0.069 (0.008)*	0.065 (0.011)
Average (Unweighted) Clustering	0.24 (0.04)		
Coefficient		0.22 (0.03)	0.21 (0.03)