



State of New Jersey

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT

SARAH ADELMAN
Acting Commissioner

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

PO BOX 716
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

NATASHA JOHNSON
Assistant Commissioner

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW 00628-21 V.H.

AGENCY DKT. NO. C052612007 (ESSEX COUNTY DIVISION OF WELFARE)

Petitioner appeals from the Respondent Agency's denial of her application for Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") benefits. Because Petitioner appealed, the matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") for a hearing. On February 18, 2021, the Honorable Joann Lasala Candido, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), convened a telephonic plenary hearing. At the beginning of that hearing, Petitioner was advised by the Agency that someone was claiming Unemployment Insurance Benefits ("UIB") under her social security number. It was agreed between the parties that Petitioner would contact unemployment, and thereafter, Petitioner withdrew her request for a fair hearing on the record. See Initial Decision at 2. Based upon that withdrawal, also on February 18, 2021, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, dismissing Petitioner's appeal, as the case was no longer a contested matter before the OAL.

As Assistant Commissioner, Division of Family Development, Department of Human Services, I find that, as Petitioner has withdrawn her request for a fair hearing in this matter, a contested case no longer exists and this matter has now been rendered moot. As such, I hereby DISMISS Petitioner's appeal.

Accordingly, Petitioner's appeal now being deemed moot, the matter is hereby DISMISSED.

Officially approved final version.

MAR 04 2021

Natasha Johnson
Assistant Commissioner





State of New Jersey

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT
PO BOX 716
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

SARAH ADELMAN
Acting Commissioner

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

NATASHA JOHNSON
Assistant Commissioner

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW 00189-21 C.P.

AGENCY DKT. NO. C093754015 (OCEAN COUNTY BOARD OF SOC. SVCS.)

Petitioner appeals from the Respondent Agency's denial of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") benefits. The Agency denied Petitioner SNAP benefits contending that Petitioner's countable household income exceeded the maximum permissible level for receipt of said benefits. Because Petitioner appealed, the matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law for a hearing. On February 5, 2021, the Honorable Joan M. Burke, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), held a telephonic plenary hearing, took testimony, and admitted documents into evidence. On February 18, 2021, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, affirming the Agency's determination.

No Exceptions to the Initial Decision were filed.

As Assistant Commissioner, Division of Family Development ("DFD"), Department of Human Services, I have considered the ALJ's Initial Decision and following an independent review of the record, the ALJ's Initial Decision is hereby ADOPTED and the Agency determination is AFFIRMED.

Regulatory authority applicable to SNAP benefit cases, defines income as "all income from whatever source unless such income is specifically excluded." See N.J.A.C. 10:87-5.3. Additionally, for SNAP benefits cases, unearned income includes survivors, disability, and Social Security benefits for both adults and children in the household. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-5.5(a)(2).

In accordance with N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b)(1), households which contain an elderly or permanently disabled individual, as defined by N.J.A.C. 10:87-2.34, must meet the net income test for SNAP eligibility. N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(d)(2), states that households that do not contain an elderly or permanently disabled household member must meet both the gross income test, as well as the net income test, meaning that the respective income amounts must be below the established standards. See also N.J.A.C. 10:87-12.3, -12.4.

Gross income is determined by adding together the household's monthly earned and unearned income, minus any earned income exclusions. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(b), (b)(1). That total gross income



amount is then utilized to determine a household's SNAP eligibility in accordance with N.J.A.C. 10:87-6.16(d)(1) and (2).

Here, an independent review of the record reflects that Petitioner's SNAP household is comprised of ten people, including Petitioner, his wife and eight children. See Initial Decision at 2; see also Exhibit R-9. The record further shows that four of Petitioner's children receive Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance ("RSDI") benefits totaling amount \$4592 per month. See Exhibit R-13. Petitioner also receives earned income from the Personal Preference Program ("PPP") of \$747. See Exhibit R-12; see also Initial Decision at 2. While Petitioner asserted that that income should be excluded from SNAP eligibility calculations, the ALJ determined that such was not the case, as Petitioner himself is not the care recipient, rather his children are, and Petitioner receives the income as the hired care provider. See Initial Decision at 3, 5-6. After applying of a standard deduction of \$243 for a household of more than six persons, a medical deduction of \$387, and including \$1,630 shelter expense, and the Heating or Cooling Standard Utility Allowance ("HCSUA") of \$548, Petitioner's monthly SNAP net income was calculated to be \$6,340. See Exhibit R-12; see also DFDI Instruction ("DFDI") 20-09-04 at 11. For SNAP benefits eligibility, the maximum net income level for a household of ten persons is \$4,425. See DFDI 20-09-04 at 12. As Petitioner's calculated net income of \$6,340 exceeds the maximum income eligibility amount of \$4,425, Petitioner is not eligible for SNAP benefits. Ibid. As such, I agree with the ALJ's final conclusion in this matter that the Agency's denial of SNAP benefits to Petitioner was proper and must be affirmed. See Initial Decision at 6; see also Exhibit R-1.

Accordingly, the Initial Decision in this matter is hereby ADOPTED and the Agency's determination is AFFIRMED, as outlined above.

Officially approved final version. MAR 04 2021

Natasha Johnson
Assistant Commissioner

