



State of New Jersey

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT
PO BOX 716

SARAH ADELMAN
Commissioner

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

NATASHA JOHNSON
Assistant Commissioner

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW **10509-22 S.W.**

AGENCY DKT. NO. **C056954018 (SOMERSET COUNTY BOARD OF SOC. SVCS.)**

Petitioner appeals from the Respondent Agency's termination of Emergency Assistance ("EA") benefits. The Agency terminated Petitioner's EA benefits, contending that she had exhausted her lifetime limit of EA benefits, plus all available extensions, and did not qualify for a further extension of EA benefits. Because Petitioner appealed, the matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law for a hearing. A hearing was initially scheduled for December 20, 2022, but was adjourned. On January 3, 2023, the Honorable Sarah G. Crowley, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), held a telephonic plenary hearing, took testimony, and admitted documents.

On January 9, 2023, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, affirming the Agency's determination. Here the ALJ found, the record substantiates, and Petitioner acknowledged, that Petitioner had received 64 months of EA benefits, and as such, she had exhausted her lifetime limit of EA benefits, plus all available extensions. See Initial Decision at 2-3; see also Exhibit R-1 at 13-26, and N.J.A.C. 10:90-6.4(a), (b). The ALJ also found that Petitioner did not qualify for any further extensions of EA benefits. *Id.* at 2-3; see also N.J.A.C. 10:90-6.4(b), (d), and State of New Jersey Senate Bill, No. S866, P.L. 2018, c. 164, effective December 20, 2018 ("S866"), also known as EASG (extending EA benefits eligibility for specific categories of individuals), and Division of Family Development Instruction ("DFDI") No. 19-02-01. See Initial Decision at 3. Based on the foregoing, the ALJ concluded that the Agency's termination of Petitioner's EA benefits was proper and must stand. See Initial Decision at 4; see also Exhibit R-1 at 3-7. I agree.

No Exceptions to the Initial Decision were received.

As Assistant Commissioner, DFD, Department of Human Services, I have considered the ALJ's Initial Decision, and following an independent review of the record, I concur with the ALJ's final conclusion in this matter and hereby ADOPT the Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law.

By way of comment, Petitioner is advised that if she still requires childcare services, she may contact the Agency to apply for such services. See Initial Decision at 2-3.



By way of further comment, the Agency shall refer Petitioner to any and all agencies and organizations that may be able to assist with her current needs, including Social Services for the Homeless.

Accordingly, the Initial Decision is hereby ADOPTED, and the Agency's determination is AFFIRMED.

Officially approved final version. February 9, 2023

Natasha Johnson
Assistant Commissioner

