



## State of New Jersey

PHILIP D. MURPHY  
*Governor*

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES  
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT  
PO BOX 716

SARAH ADELMAN  
*Commissioner*

SHEILA Y. OLIVER  
*Lt. Governor*

TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

NATASHA JOHNSON  
*Assistant Commissioner*

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW **04579-22 G.A.**

AGENCY DKT. NO. **C510333002 (BERGEN COUNTY BD. OF SOC. SVCS.)**

Petitioner Agency charges Respondent with committing an intentional program violation ("IPV") of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP"). The Agency asserts that Respondent misrepresented his address in order to receive SNAP benefits simultaneously in two states, thus causing Respondent to receive an overissuance of benefits to which he was not entitled.

As a January 12, 2021, Agency investigation revealed that Respondent and his domestic partner, A.S., continued to receive SNAP benefits from multiple states, on January 27, 2021, the Agency advised Respondent that a Waiver of Right to Administrative Disqualification Hearing ("Waiver"), accepting the penalty of a 10-year disqualification period from receipt of SNAP benefits for receipt of SNAP benefits from multiple states, would be sent to him and that he could sign the Waiver and accept the penalty, or request an Administrative Disqualification Hearing ("ADH"). See Initial Decision at 2; see also Exhibit P-1 at 1; and N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.2(e), -11.6(a)(2). On February 16, 2021, Respondent signed the Waiver, admitting to the facts as alleged by Petitioner Agency, and also acknowledging that a 10-year disqualification penalty from receipt of SNAP benefits would be imposed against him. See Initial Decision at 2; see also Exhibit P-7 at 11-13. Respondent's signed waiver was received by the Agency on February 16, 2021, which is beyond the required time frame as noted in the Waiver. See Initial Decision at 1, 2; see also Exhibit P-1 at 1, and N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.6(a)(1), (2). Because Respondent did not timely waive his right to a hearing, the Agency then notified Respondent on May 2, 2022, via certified mail, return receipt requested, that he was scheduled to appear for an ADH on June 21, 2022. See Exhibit P-1 at 1. Notice of the June 21, 2022, ADH was never transmitted; accordingly, the ADH was rescheduled. On the rescheduled date of July 5, 2022, the Honorable Thomas M. Betancourt, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), held a telephonic plenary hearing, took testimony, and admitted documents. The record then closed on that same date.

On July 6, 2022, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, which found that the Agency had met its burden in establishing, by clear and convincing evidence, that Respondent had deliberately and intentionally misrepresented information to the Agency, which resulted in Respondent receiving an overissuance of SNAP benefits, to which he was not entitled. See Initial Decision at 9. Specifically, the ALJ found that



Respondent intentionally applied for, and received, SNAP benefits in the State of New York, while still collecting SNAP benefits in New Jersey, during the periods of September, 2017, through May, 2020, and June, 2020, through September, 2020, which resulted in an overissuance of SNAP benefits to Respondent in the amount of \$16,633. Id. at 2, 3, 9; see also Exhibits P-1, P-2, P-3, P-4, P-5 at 1-2, P-6, P-7; and N.J.A.C. 10:87-3.2, -3.3. I agree with the ALJ's finding.

Additionally, because Respondent was found to have made a fraudulent statement or representation regarding his place of residence in order to receive multiple SNAP benefits, the ALJ concluded that Petitioner is ineligible to participate in the SNAP program for ten years pursuant to N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.2(e). See Initial Decision at 9-10; see also N.J.A.C. 10:87-3.18. I also agree with this finding.

No Exceptions to the Initial Decision were filed.

While I agree with the final conclusions of the ALJ in this matter, specifically, that Respondent committed an IPV, and that a 10-year disqualification period from receipt of SNAP benefits must be imposed against Respondent, I am modifying this Initial Decision for the following reason. With respect to a matter alleging an IPV, the Agency is responsible for initiating an administrative disqualification hearing. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.1. Accordingly, as the Agency is the party requesting relief in an IPV case, it is the "Petitioner." See N.J.A.C. 1:1-2.1, "Definitions." Conversely, the party responding to the Agency's request for relief in an IPV case is the "Respondent." Ibid. In the Initial Decision, A.S., was incorrectly referred to as "Petitioner," when she was, in fact, the Respondent. The Agency was incorrectly referred to as "Respondent" throughout the Initial Decision, when it should have been referred to as "Petitioner." The parties are correctly identified in this Final Agency Decision. Accordingly, the Initial Decision is modified so as to reflect the correct designation of the parties.

As Assistant Commissioner, Division of Family Development, Department of Human Services, I have considered the Initial Decision in this matter, and following an independent evaluation of the record, while I concur with the ALJ's Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law in this matter, I am MODIFYING the Initial Decision based upon the discussion above.

I ORDER that Respondent is disqualified from receipt of SNAP benefits for a period of 10 years. I further ORDER that the Agency is to recoup the overissuance.

Officially approved final version.

August 11, 2022

---

Natasha Johnson

Assistant Commissioner

