

PHILIP D. MURPHY Governor DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT
PO BOX 716
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0716

SARAH ADELMAN Commissioner

SHEILA Y. OLIVER Lt. Governor NATASHA JOHNSON Assistant Commissioner

The following Decision is distributed for your information. This Decision has been made in consideration of the specific facts of this case. This Decision is not to be interpreted as establishing any new mandatory policy or procedure otherwise officially promulgated.

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

FINAL DECISION

OAL DKT. NO. HPW 08898-22 R.P.

AGENCY DKT. NO. C166467015 (OCEAN COUNTY BOARD OF SOC. SVCS.)

Petitioner challenges the correctness of an overissuance of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") benefits. Respondent Agency asserts that for the period beginning November, 2019, through May, 2022, Petitioner received SNAP benefits to which he was not entitled, and which must be repaid, as the result of a failure to accurately report that he previously received SNAP benefits under a different social security number. Because Petitioner appealed, the matter was transmitted to the Office of Administrative Law for a hearing. On November 4, 2022, the Honorable Dean J. Buono, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ"), held a telephonic plenary hearing, took testimony, admitted documents into evidence, and the record then closed on that day. On November 17, 2022, the ALJ issued an Initial Decision, affirming the Agency's determination.

No Exceptions to the Initial Decision were filed.

As Assistant Commissioner, Division of Family Development, Department of Human Services, I have considered the ALJ's Initial Decision and following an independent review of the record, I hereby ADOPT the ALJ's Initial Decision, and AFFIRM the Agency action, based on the discussion below.

SNAP is designed to promote the general welfare and to safeguard the health and well-being of the population by raising the levels of nutrition among low-income households. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-1.1(a). In the instance of an overpayment of benefits, the Agency must recoup the overissuance. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.20. One type of overpayment which is subject to recoupment is one which results from "a misunderstanding or unintended error on the part of the household" receiving benefits, called an "Inadvertent Household Error" ("IHE"). See N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.20(e)(2). Repayment of overissuances may be sought for up to six years following the time that the Agency becomes aware of the overissuance. See N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.20(f)(1)(i).

Here, the ALJ found that the Agency had met its burden in establishing, by a preponderance of the credible evidence, that Petitioner received an overissuance of SNAP benefits to which he was not entitled. See Initial Decision at 5. The ALJ further found that, due to an IHE, Petitioner purposefully mislead the Agency by providing a different social security number and name, in an effort to obtain SNAP



benefits, which resulted in an overissuance of SNAP benefits to Petitioner in the amount of \$6,691. Id. at 2, 3, 4, 5; see also Exhibits R-0, R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-5, R-6, and N.J.A.C. 10:87-3.17, -5.5(a)(1), -9.5.

Based on the record presented, the ALJ in this matter concluded that Petitioner was overissued SNAP benefits to which he was not entitled during the time period claimed, and as such, the Agency is entitled to recoup, and Petitioner must repay, the overissuance of SNAP benefits to which he was not eligible to receive. See Initial Decision at 5; see also N.J.A.C. 10:87-11.20(b), (e)(2). I agree.

I ORDER and direct that the Agency proceed to recoup the overissuance.

Accordingly, the Initial Decision in this matter is ADOPTED, and the Agency's determination is AFFIRMED, as outlined above.

Officially approved final version. December 14, 2022

Natasha Johnson Assistant Commissioner

