March 18, 1996

Dear Ms.

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 8, 1996, wherein you request certain information. Unfortunately, this information is not available for general public knowledge. Therefore, I am unable to accommodate your request.

Sincerely,

FOR COLONEL CARL A. WILLIAMS
SUPERINTENDENT

Valcocean Littles
Lt. Colonel
Deputy Superintendent

mlb
May 21, 1996

Lt. W. Oorbeek
The Port Authority of NY & NJ
Police Headquarters
Planning & Research
One PATH Plaza - 2nd Fl.
Jersey City, New Jersey 07306

Tele. # 201-216-6927
Fax # 201-216-6024

Colonel Carl Williams
Superintendent
New Jersey State Police
P.O. Box 7068
West Trenton, New Jersey 08628-0068

Dear Colonel Williams:

The Public Safety Department of the Port Authority is in the process of reviewing a number of our policies and procedures. To that end, I would appreciate it if you could send me a copy of your S.O.P.'s concerning Consent Vehicle Searches and Vehicle Stops.

Thank you for your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

William Oorbeek
Police Lieutenant
Planning & Research
Lt. W. Oorbeek
THE PORT AUTHORITY OF NY & NJ
One PATH Plaza – 2nd Fl.
Jersey City, N.J. 07306

Colonel Carl Williams
Superintendent
New Jersey State police
P.O. Box 7068
West Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0068
June 3, 1996

Lieutenant William Oorbeek
The Port Authority of NY & NJ
Police Headquarters
Planning & Research
One PATH Plaza - 2nd Floor
Jersey City, New Jersey 07306

Dear Lieutenant Oorbeek:

As per your request, enclosed please find S.O.P.’s F3, F43, and F55. This information is provided for law enforcement purposes only. I hope it will be useful to you.

Sincerely,

FOR COLONEL CARL A. WILLIAMS
SUPERINTENDENT

Valcocean Littles
Lt. Colonel
Deputy Superintendent

mlb
enclosures

0082097A
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Date: 5-24-86

Subject: PROFILING & DISCERNEMENT

Enforcement Committee

To: LOCO. V. Letter

☑ Section Supervisor
☑ Assistant Section Supervisor

TO C TO R + I + Admin,

For your appropriate action.

☐ Administration ☐ Planning Bureau
☐ Intelligence Services
☐ Casino Gaming ☐ Investigations
☐ Division Staff ☐ Records & Identification
☐ Emergency Management ☐ Special & Technical Services

☐ Field Operations

RECONFIGURATION OF PATROL CHARTS

Patrol Charts - Retain 6 yrs - Approved

Radio Logs - Retain 6 yrs - Approved

☐ Submit Draft Reply By:

☐ Note Comments:

☑ For Your Further Action ☐ Return By:

☑ For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev. 6/94)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/30/96</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel V. Littles</td>
<td>Major K. McPartland</td>
<td>PROFILING AND DISCRIMINATORY ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Administration Section</td>
<td>RECOMMENDATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major A. Tezsla R &amp; I Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c: Det. I Gilbert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/29/96</td>
<td>LTC V. Littles</td>
<td>Sgt. J. Legg</td>
<td>DOCUMENTATION OF PATROL ACTIVITY/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>RADIO TRANSMISSIONS &amp; LOGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dispatch Unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Captain E. Lewis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau Chief</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Field Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major A. Sparano</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LTC L. R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roberson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On March 22, 1996, a teletype message was sent to all Division personnel concerning the recent Glouce County suppression hearing which was decided in favor of the defendants. In that message, it was stressed that it is essential to call in all stops with accurate descriptions of vehicle occupants. As a means of ensuring compliance with this instruction (which is also set forth in SOP F-3.III.B.2.c), it is essential that all Communications Operators obtain and properly document this information. If a Trooper fails to report the race of the occupants, the Operator will ask for this information prior to the Trooper clearing from a stop, motorist aid, or pedestrian contact.

This Interoffice Communication shall be distributed to all Communications Operators. Read & Initial/Acknowledgement forms will be prepared and retained by the Operational Dispatch Unit.

Please refer to our file number 0080414H in your reply. Please respond when full distribution/acknowledgement has been accomplished.
As a result of the unfavorable judicial decision in Gloucester County arising from consolidated suppression motions, it is imperative that all Division personnel conducting patrol duties be reminded of the necessity of complying with ALL provisions of S.O.P. F-3, “STATE POLICE PATROL PROCEDURES.”

In his opinion, Judge Francis, JSC/Gloucester County wrote that “.... I find defendants have established a prima facia case of selective enforcement which the state has failed to rebut requiring suppression of all contraband and evidence seized.” Defense counsel was successful in utilizing statistical and historical data in a manner which convinced the judge of its profiling claims. Judge Francis noted that “Defendants base their claim of institutional racism primarily on statistics.” The initial survey population of 3,060 NJSP motor vehicle stops used as a base for comparison was ultimately reduced to only those 1,212 stops for which the Division, after reviewing available documentation, was able to establish the race of the vehicle operator. On this issue, Judge Francis noted “The 62.6 percent of the stops in the defense database are not race identified is a consequence of both the destruction of the radio logs.....and the frequent dereliction of State Police members to comply with S.O.P. F-3 effective July 1984 requiring them to communicate by radio to their respective stations the race of all occupants of vehicles stopped prior to any contact.” The Division maintains that omission of this data was in no way deliberate nor constituted dereliction of duty, but the failure to document this data has led to negative speculation concerning Police motives.

S.O.P. F-3.III.B.2.c. requires the following when calling in a stop; “Description of people (number of persons, race, sex, and any other distinguishing characteristics)” Adherence to all elements of S.O.P. F-3 is critical in light of recent events and pending challenges. Since the Gloucester County decision and the Colonel’s teletype message of 3/22/96, emphasis has been placed on full compliance with S.O.P. F-3, including the identification of vehicle occupants by race. The establishment of S.O.P. F-3 was a direct result of the potential unanticipated, violent encounters arising from routine motor vehicle stops. The primary purpose of calling in stops with all available identifiers is to ensure that personnel who respond to or investigate incidents involving other Troopers know where to go and who to look for without delay. At the same time, increased and consistent compliance with all provisions of S.O.P. F-3 will minimize further allegations of “frequent dereliction” or other criticisms concerning the identification of race when calling in motor vehicle stops.

Read & Initial Sheets will be prepared which will confirm that all personnel have read and acknowledged Interoffice Communication. Same to be maintained at the station level.
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams

Date: 5-24-96

Subject: PROFILING & DISCRIMINATORY ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

To: LT Col. V. LITTLE

☐ Section Supervisor
☐ Assistant Section Supervisor

☐ Administration ☐ Intelligence Services
☐ Planning Bureau ☐ Investigations
☐ Casino Gaming ☐ Records & Identification
☐ Division Staff ☐ Special & Technical Services
☐ Emergency Management ☐ Field Operations

RECONFIGURATION OF PATROL CHART APPLIC-

PATROL CHARTS RETURN 2-15-96

Radio Logs, Return 7-15-96

☐ Submit Draft Reply By: ____________________________

☐ Note Comments: ____________________________

☐ For Your Further Action ☐ Return By: ________________

☐ For Your Information: ____________________________

SP-690A (Rev. 6/94)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/21/96</td>
<td>Det. I. T. Gilbert Division Services Unit</td>
<td>Colonel C.A. Williams Superintendent</td>
<td>COMMITTEE MEETING OF 5/16/96 DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING PROFILING AND ENFORCEMENT ISSUES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On May 16, 1996 a third committee meeting was held to address the Division’s response to allegations of profiling and discriminatory enforcement patterns. In attendance were LTC Littles, Captains Touw and Brennan, SFC Blaker, Det. Gilbert, Tpr. DiPatri, AAG Susswein and SDAG Fahy.

The topics of initial discussion were the steps taken to date and their impact on operations and morale. Although there have been a number of documents and directives distributed concerning the Gloucester County decision and its implications, there remains a perception among certain personnel that the Division has overreacted and is allowing “the tail to wag the dog.” Many members have concluded this was an isolated, wrong decision by Superior Court judge. While this premise may ring true if the appeal is successful, the Gloucester County decision has generated additional challenges statewide. According to SDAG Fahy, there are currently seven defense motions pending which focus on profiling. It is clear the issue will not disappear and that the Division must continue to move forward.

Through the years, many in the Division have held the belief that the State Police would remain successful in deflecting racial profiling allegations through focusing on the fact patterns of individual cases and raising strong challenges to any attempts by defense attorneys to attack cases collectively. As demonstrated by the Gloucester County decision, this mindset is not accurate or practical. Concerns have been raised that a shift in Division policy
to obtain complete and accurate statistics concerning those we encounter is playing into the hands of our adversaries. This is not true. Whether agreeing with the Gloucester decision or not, it is clear that the Division has been given clear notice from the courts that 1) the ability to collect this information exists, 2) that the Division has been negligent in collecting and analyzing this data and that 3) the Division will be subjected to additional court instructions to produce it. Reflecting on the Gloucester County case, it is evident that the Division must move forward and implement tighter controls which will enable it to produce complete data at a better rate than one-third. As AAG Susswein and SDAG Fahy noted, the Division would be better served by the production of accurate statistics as opposed to the defense’s utilization of speculative hypothesis and formulas.

Several options concerning the collection of this data have been proposed. These have been examined in respect to accuracy, completeness, and utilization for supervision purposes. The first is use of the Radio Logs. Recent efforts have significantly increased compliance with all provisions of S.O.P. F-3 (Patrol Procedures). A review by the Internal Affairs Bureau of over 2,500 recent stops (April/May) disclosed a much improved average compliance rate of 78% concerning the racial identification of vehicle occupants. There has been further improvement since the Interoffice Communication of April 29, 1996 (see attached). Continued compliance with this directive will be enforced through Internal Affairs Bureau review. While the increased compliance will diffuse further criticism that the Division does not enforce adherence to S.O.P. F-3, it is not realistic to believe that full, 100% compliance will ever take place.

There are several other issues which would discourage utilization of the radio log as the primary source document. These include; 1) The Division continues to consolidate communications operations into regional sites. Radio logs at each site are extensive, and extraction of data for an individual trooper would be very time consuming. 2) The responsibility for review and analysis of enforcement patterns cannot be placed upon first line supervisors if the data is kept at a regional site and is not available at the road station. With the advent of regional centers, consideration could also be given to utilizing a computerized data entry system capable of documenting all stop data, including race.

Although there will be initial resistance from uniform personnel, use of the Patrol Chart for the recording and compilation of statistical data is believed to be the best choice, for those reasons already set forth in prior reports. Upon approval of the Superintendent, the Planning Bureau will be instructed to reconfigure the Patrol Chart for this purpose. It is essential that field supervisors receive appropriate training and guidance to ensure that this procedure is not misinterpreted or misused, and ultimately digresses into a form of quota system.

In respect to retention schedules, it is recommended that the retention period for Patrol Charts and Radio Logs be revised as follows; Patrol Charts from two (2) years to six (6) years and Radio Logs, one (1) year to six (6) years. This timespan should make records available for any civil/criminal actions involving the Division.

The Search & Seizure Committee has been reformed and has met twice. It has begun working on a proposal to create a computer database to consolidate all Division training records. Detective Reilly has been instructed to meet with AAG Susswein and Tpr. DiPatri and present a lesson plan for the one-half day training sessions by June 1, 1996. Also discussed at the meeting was the need for a guidebook related to the patrol related arrest programs. As was pointed out by Tpr. DiPatri, many of the younger Troopers are enthusiastic and dedicated to the job, but are not being provided with the knowledge to carry out their duties in a manner which will be positive for both the
Trooper and the Division. The lack of appropriate training and distribution of informational materials in recent years has created a serious void, which will not be fully satisfied through a Search & Seizure periodical and the one-half day training. While every Trooper has a crime guide to assist him/her in completing reports, there does not exist a guide related to basic search and seizure practices and issues. This guide would be developed through the efforts of the Search & Seizure Committee working in conjunction with AAG Susswein. It would be kept current and relevant by the distribution of updates setting forth and explaining recent court decisions, and their impact on search and seizure methods.

With the advent of formal training for the Station Commanders, it is recommended that the following issues be included:

1) The need to review and understand enforcement patterns.
2) Taking responsibility for ensuring that personnel under their command prepare acceptable reports and are fully prepared for testifying in upcoming court proceedings.
3) Station Commanders must maintain contact with all prosecuting agencies handling cases arising from station enforcement activities. Feedback as to Troopers’ performance in court will assist in enhancing the Division’s standing before the courts at the municipal, county and state levels. It is essential to ascertain the reason for any substandard performance. Whether it be poor report writing or testimony, lack of training, or violations of S.O.P./Search and Seizure law, the problem must be identified and corrected.
4) In those cases where a case is lost at suppression or trial, the Station Commander will be responsible for gathering all available documentation from the court, which would then be forwarded to the Search & Seizure Committee. This would not be done to single out the Trooper, but to allow for the identification of any common problems with search and seizure training and procedures. This responsibility could easily be delegated to the Station Detective(s).

The Gloucester County appeal is proceeding as planned. The Records & Identification Section has prepared an analysis of the arrest statistics for those Troopers whose cases are subject of the appeal. A preliminary analysis of enforcement activity for I-78/Perryville Station for the period 10/94 to 10/95 has also been completed.

Forwarded for your information and consideration........
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Date: 10-11-96
Subject: Search & Seizure:

To:  
☐ Deputy Superintendent
☐ Executive Officer
☐ Section Supervisor
☐ Assistant Section Supervisor

☐ Administration  ☐ Investigations
☐ Division Staff  ☐ Records & Identification
☐ Emergency Management  ☐ Special & Technical Services
☐ Field Operations  ☐ P.I.U.
☐ Intelligence Services

☐ Submit Draft Reply By: 
☐ Note Comments: 
☐ For Your Further Action  ☐ Return By: 
☐ For Your Information: 

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
On October 4, 1996 a meeting attended by the following individuals was conducted to address the Divisi-
continuing response to issues raised during the Gloucester County proceedings:

LTC V. Littles
Capt. R. Touw, IAB
Capt. J. Brennan, AA/EEOC
SFC D. Blaker
Det. I. P. Reilly
Det. I. T. Gilbert

A summary of the meeting is set forth below for your review and comments:

I. TRAINING:

Presentation of a four hour block of Search & Seizure training is forthcoming. This training will focus on
situations encountered by uniformed Troopers during patrol activity. A synopsis of the training agenda is attach-
Detective Reilly will be submitting the final draft of the lesson plan by October 15, 1996. The preparation of
materials has been a joint effort of the Search & Seizure Committee, Academy Staff, Field Operations Section
personnel and legal staff from the Division of Criminal Justice.
Attendees will include all station personnel, including supervisory staff. It is proposed that the training be held geographically by troop, and that two sessions be conducted each day. This will minimize travel time for the attendees, and also reduce the total number of training dates by making optimum use of the instructional staff. The two daily sessions would be scheduled for 12:30pm-4:30pm and 5:00pm-9:00pm. The following dates are tentative pending final approval:

Troop “B” Headquarters November 16-19, 1996
Troop “A” Headquarters December 2-5, 1996
Troop “C” Headquarters December 9-12, 1996

Personnel assigned to Troops “D” and “E” will be designated to attend one of these sessions on the basis of geographical considerations. If any make-up sessions are required, they will be conducted at Division Headquarters.

In order to ensure that this training is effective and well received, it must come across as both credible and practical. This goal can be furthered by enlisting the support and assistance of Troopers who are recognized by their peers for accomplishments in the Patrol Related Arrest Program. These individuals must be made confident that the Division is not discouraging aggressive enforcement, but only moving forward in a way that protects both the individual Trooper and the Division from negative consequences. To achieve this goal, it is suggested that Troop Commanders be solicited to identify their top personnel. These Troopers would be brought together as a group prior to commencement of the training program, and briefed as to the content and goals of the training. Giving these Troopers the opportunity to critique and contribute to the training should increase the likelihood of them supporting the Division’s efforts. Their ongoing support is essential if long-term results are to be accomplished. With present staffing shortages, it is recommended that each Troop provide the names of five (5) representatives.

While the search and seizure training will be beneficial, and demonstrate a top-down commitment to these issues, additional steps are needed. The Search and Seizure Committee has recently submitted a final draft of the Search and Seizure Bulletin. After review and approval, it will be distributed to all personnel in the near future. Publication of the bulletin must be continued on a regular basis.

To further augment materials available to field personnel, it is recommended that the Division purchase copies of Gould Publications’ “New Jersey Law Enforcement Handbook- 1996.” Containing extensive information directly related to New Jersey search and seizure issues, each ring-bound issue would cost $38.20. Subsequent yearly updates are available to keep information current, and presently cost $21.95 each. A handbook would be placed at each duty station involved in criminal law enforcement.

As noted by the attached Internal Affairs Bureau documents, the audit process has proven itself, and will in identifying Troopers whose methods warrant additional scrutiny. Through proactive use of the audit results, Trooper can be counselled and corrective measures taken before there is a significant negative impact upon the individual’s career or the Division. Continued use of the audit process will enable the Division to deflect further outside criticism concerning its perceived lack of prior effort in addressing patterns of enforcement as opposed to focusing on individual allegations of wrongdoing.
While the audit program is of great value, it will normally be initiated in response to allegations, complaints, or criticisms. To be fully successful in its endeavors, the Division must formally enhance supervisory responsibilities at the station level in regard to the criminal arrest program. The Division recently embarked in several new directions, including the adoption of community policing strategies and "empowering" Station Commanders to be more proactive in managing their station operations and personnel. The criminal arrest process is a substantial component of most station operations, and the area with the greatest potential for problems. In order to effectively manage personnel, a supervisor must possess at least a basic understanding of not only what people are doing, but how they are doing it.

With the diversity of Division operations, there are numerous individuals serving as Station Commanders who by choice, circumstance, or previous assignment, have not had adequate exposure to the form of proactive criminal patrol engaged in by many road troopers. The development of adequate knowledge is impeded by the present flow of criminal reports, which normally bypasses the Station Commander. Unless a problem has come to light regarding a specific case, it is extremely rare that Station Commanders review investigative and arrest reports prepared and submitted by personnel under their command. The usual path of routing and review is from the Trooper to the Squad Sergeant, on to the Station Detectives, and then the reports are forwarded to the Records Identification Section/CJRB. The committee members agreed that requiring Station Commanders to review all warrantless arrests made by station personnel would achieve several goals. First, this process will compel Station Commanders to take a closer look at how results are achieved, and to better analyze the work product for which they are ultimately responsible. This review process will also assist Station Commanders in identifying and dealing with any troublesome trends, and attempting to resolve those issues before they escalate to complaints and/or internal investigations. These reviews will also prepare the Station Commander to field inquiries, whether internal or external, concerning the actions of station personnel.

The report submitted by Captain Touw concerning Moorestown Station indicates that the moves to enhance proper call-in procedures have had an impact, with the analysis being able to racially identify 90.2% of the stolen vehicles, which were examined. The steps proposed by Captain Touw concerning patrol charts are not solely to facilitate collection of data, but to enhance proactive supervision at the station level. The addition of a race category to a patrol chart, as previously noted, will likely meet with resistance from uniformed personnel. However, this remains the best data collection method available. It will be made clear to all personnel attending the training sessions that the Division cannot take a position that this information is non-retrievable. A benefit of implementing the patrol chart entry would be that the data is readily available on a daily basis to the first line of supervision; the squad sergeants.

The proposal by Captain Touw to address improper patrol procedures via the evaluation process will be examined for suitability by the Office of Labor Relations. Subsequent to your review, those changes to SOP proposed by the Internal Affairs Bureau that meet with your approval will be formalized. In addition, it is suggested that SOP B8 "Organization-Station Commands" be enhanced as follows:

Section III Mechanics, B. 7 (Station Commander responsibilities) would read "Ensuring that all criminal cases at his/her station are properly prepared by the personnel under his/her command and forwarded to Prosecutor's Office. Note: All investigative reports relating to warrantless arrests will be reviewed by the Station Commander prior to forwarding."

GC 002859
Section III.B.11.c. would be added as follows: "Maintain personal contact on a monthly basis with those agencies responsible for the prosecution of matters generated by station personnel. Feedback will be solicited as to the quality of submitted reports, case preparation and testimony."

A final recommendation would be that the Search and Seizure Committee conduct a thorough review of § F55 "Motor Vehicle Searches and Seizures." and make any recommendations as to any needed updating or enhancement. SOP F55 has not been updated since June 7, 1990.

Submitted for you consideration.......

GC 002860
MEMORANDUM TO: Major M. Fedorko  
Supervisor  
Division Staff Section

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Recommendation to the  
Patrol Issues/Concerns at Moorestown  
Station. File #0082293

The attached special report reflecting the findings of the Staff Inspection Unit’s audit at the Moorestown Station is self-explanatory. The Division has taken the initial steps with these audits (Perryville, Washington & Moorestown) in developing a racial monitoring program for motor vehicle stops.

Having established these average racial characteristic for the various patrolling patterns employed, we can now examine the individual members at the station. By deploying a 25% deviation from the station average to each individual troopers stopping patterns we will identify those members who will require an independent study. A report will be filed on the individual’s data as it is developed.

Future audits can be conducted at the station level by instituting the following:

- Change SOP C-22, Patrol Log to document the race of the individual/s stopped.
- Each trooper will tabulate the racial make up of his stops daily and record them on his daily activity report.
- The racial tabulations will be recorded on the troopers Weekly Activity Report, SP 181.

The trooper’s racial tabulations will be formulated and recorded on his/her bi-annual Periodic Evaluation Report as; satisfactory, needs improvement, or unsatisfactory. This would permit a fair assessment (six months) of the trooper’s stopping techniques. If the racial tabulations are not satisfactory the trooper will be counseled by the rater and documented on the trooper’s evaluation. Troopers receiving two or more consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations will be referred to the Troop Commander which could result in the troopers; transfer, referral to the Employee’s Assistance Program (EAP) or review of his/her conduct by the Internal Affairs Bureau (SP 251).

STAT CMOR/ASST STAT CMOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS. IF THEY SEE A PROBLEM IT WILL BE UP TO THEM TO TAKE THE PROPER ACTION.
• Change SOP C-22, Patrol Log to reflect that the presence of the signature and badge number of the trooper’s supervisor will signify that the supervisor has examined the patrol log to ensure all entries are legible, accurate and in compliance with the trooper’s daily patrol instructions and Division rules regulations.

• It will be the responsibility of the Station Commander or his designee to ensure that the racial tabulations for each trooper were recorded and performed accurately.

Additional facts established during the Moorestown audit

1. The percentage of minorities stopped by both minority and non-minority troopers was dramatically higher than the “expert” testified to in the Gloucester County trial.

2. The preliminary evaluation does not support the allegations by minority troopers, that non-minority troopers at the Moorestown Station are conducting racially motivated stops.

3. More than 75% of the vehicles stopped are not from New Jersey. Therefore one cannot draw a conclusion between the racial balance of the motorist stopped and the local community in the station area.

The following recommendations are made for the review of criminal investigation reports.

1. Change SOP B-28 to include in the Troop Criminal Investigation Officer’s inspection a review of 3-5 investigations to ensure that the investigation was conducted properly.

   All Troop Inspecting Lts will check 3-5 INVESTIGATIONS

2. Establish within the SOP’s the responsibility for the review of all warrant less arrests conducted by our members. Responsibility at the Station level and Troop/Bureau levels.

   STA Cmnor/ASST STA Cmnor C.R. B. Tucco Lts

   Captain Richard Touw
   Bureau Chief
   Internal Affairs Bureau

C22 currently only calls for supervisor to check for accuracy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/06/96</td>
<td>Major A. J. Sparano</td>
<td>Major M. Fedorko Division Staff</td>
<td>PATROL ISSUES/CONCERNS AT MOORESTOWN STATION - SPECIAL REPORTS OF LT. B. GILBERT &amp; SGT. J. SMITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations via Lt. Colonel L. R. Roberson Executive Office</td>
<td>via Lt. Colonel V. Littles Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Request the Internal Affairs Bureau and Equal Employment Opportunities/Affirmative Action Bureau review the attached special reports and submit their recommendations so that an informed decision may be made regarding this situation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Date: 4/3/96
Subject: Senate P.O.C. P.O.C. "Person of the Year"

To: Deputy Superintendent
    Executive Officer
    Section Supervisor
    Assistant Section Supervisor

/ SFC R. Richmondy

☐ Administration  ☐ Investigations
☐ Division Staff  ☐ Records & Identification
☐ Emergency Management  ☐ Special & Technical Services
☐ Field Operations  ☐ P.I.U. SFC
☐ Intelligence Services  ☐ Coseonce E

PLEASE FORWARD 4/5 NOMINATIONS TO ME ASAP. SFC Coseonce will be responsible for forwarding same to me.

☐ Submit Draft Reply By:
    ☐ Note Comments:

☐ For Your Further Action  ☐ Return By: ASAP
    ☐ For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
MEMORANDUM

Date: August 29, 1996
To: Members, Division of State and Provincial Police
From: Lloyd Jennings, General Chairman, S&P Division
Subject: Trooper Of The Year Award

Some of the most remarkable law enforcement work in this country is performed by the men and women of the nation’s State Police and Highway Patrol agencies. Until this past year, their accomplishments were rarely acknowledged. However, this past March, the State and Provincial (S&P) Division of the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), the television program “Real Stories of the Highway Patrol” and AT&T Government Markets sponsored the first Trooper Of The Year Award to recognize and honor those troopers who, above all others, embodied courage and heroism in the line of duty.

At a memorable awards banquet at the IACP State and Provincial Division mid-year conference, following ceremonies at the White House, four troopers from around the country were honored as finalists for the Trooper Of The Year Award. The winner was Trooper Carlos Velasquez of the Florida Highway Patrol.

On the heels of the program’s successful first year, the IACP Division of State and Provincial Police, “Real Stories,” and AT&T Government Markets are proud to sponsor the Trooper of the Year Award for a second year. I urge you to participate in this awards program specifically designed to focus on the outstanding achievements of State Police and Highway Patrol personnel. This year the program promises to have a much higher profile with significantly increased awareness across the country.

I invite participation by every State Police and Highway Patrol agency in the nation. Each State agency director is requested to nominate one candidate for the award, using the enclosed form. The trooper being nominated is to be recognized for a single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within the past two years (1995-96). The nomination is to be submitted to your S&P Division Regional Chairperson (see attached list). You will then select one candidate as the Regional Winner.

The four Regional winners and their spouses (or other companion) will be the guest of the S&P Division at our March 1997 mid-year meeting in Annapolis, Maryland, where the national “Trooper Of The Year” will be announced at the conference banquet.
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Competition to determine the national awardee will involve the potential participation of millions of Americans in a unique voting arrangement made possible by “Real Stories of the Highway Patrol.” A video re-creation of the actions or situations that resulted in selection of each of the four Regional finalists will be broadcast from Monday through Thursday during a special Trooper Of The Year Week (one re-creation each day) on “Real Stories” during January. On Friday of that week, viewers will see a summary of the four officer’s achievements, and then be asked to vote, by calling a 900-number, for their choice of the national winner. The viewing public’s telephone vote will be the deciding factor in selecting the Trooper Of The Year.

As you can see from the following schedule, there are extremely tight deadlines and I apologize for the urgency required to meet the schedule. In order to facilitate production of the re-creations for broadcast on “Real Stories,” and to time everything for the midyear conference, your nomination must be submitted to your S&P Regional Chair by September 25. The enclosed nomination form is self-explanatory, but if you have questions, please contact Dave Tollett, our S&P Director, at 703-836-6767 ext. 210.

The complete schedule follows:

September 25, 1996--Deadline for states to submit one nomination to their S&P Division regional chair.

October 10, 1996--Regional officers will select one nominee for their region, and forward to S&P Division headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia.

January 1997--Videotape re-creations will be broadcast on “Real Stories of the Highway Patrol”, and public voting will take place.

March 4, 1997--The winner of Trooper Of The Year Award will be announced at the S&P mid-year conference banquet in Annapolis, Maryland.

I thank you in advance for your participation in this program which gives long overdue recognition to our State Police and Highway Patrol officers.

Attachment
State and Provincial Police Division

Trooper of the Year Award Program
Nomination Form

Please forward to your State and Provincial Regional Chairperson no later than September 25, 1996

North Atlantic Region - Colonel Thomas L. Kirk - West Virginia State Police
North Central Region - Director Terrance Gainer - Illinois State Police
Mtn. Pacific Region - Colonel James Hughes - North Dakota Highway Patrol
Southern Region - Colonel Ronald Grimming - Florida Highway Patrol

S & P Region _______________________________________
Regional Chairperson _______________________________________

Nomination

State ___________ Agency ____________________________
Agency Director ______________________________________
Trooper Nominee ______________________________________

Description of Act or Performance

Must be single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within THE PAST TWO YEARS (1995-96). Include in the description how the event(s) would be particularly appropriate for dramatic video re-enactment.

Description ______________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

Continue on another page, if necessary
Regional Chairmen

**North Atlantic Region**

**COLONEL THOMAS L. KIRK**  
Superintendent  
West Virginia State Police  
725 Jefferson Road  
South Charleston, WV 25309

**North Central Region**

**DIRECTOR TERRENCE GAINER**  
Illinois State Police  
103 Armory Building  
Springfield, IL 62794

**Mountain Pacific Region**

**COLONEL JAMES HUGHES**  
Superintendent  
600 East Boulevard Avenue  
Bismarck, ND 58505

**Southern Region**

**COLONEL RONALD H. GRIMMING**  
Director  
Florida Highway Patrol  
2900 Apalachee Parkway  
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Attached hereto, find special report from Tfr. C. Nunziato #5302. Squad #4, Allentown Station, as per your request.

You guys began a brief all the oleman for senger sid and cred- before th xg a direct-bilities.
On January 9, 1996, I was contacted by SDAG J. Fahy. He related that after briefing Alex Waugh on the meeting of 1/8/96, they discussed the appropriate response to the Justice Department’s request for information. According to SDAG Fahy, he was instructed by Waugh to contact the State Police and direct that efforts be initiated to collect and organize the data requested by the Justice Department. In discussing this decision with SDAG Fahy, I emphasized that the request, as presented, will require an extensive and costly deployment of resources. It was agreed that this matter would be brought to your attention for analysis and appropriate dialogue with the Attorney General.

In reviewing the Justice Department request, there are several critical issues which impact on the Division’s response. First, it is apparent that the request is a generic form; nowhere is the New Jersey State Police mentioned by name. The opening paragraph of the request states “...a review of alleged discriminatory law enforcement practices of the ________ State Police...”. In order to prepare an appropriate and accurate response, it is only fair that these allegations be identified as to dates, places and personnel involved. This wording, coupled with section 2., which states “For the area of the ______ patrolled by the ______ State Police stations...”, places the Division in the position of collecting and providing data for all road stations. As you are aware, the Attorney General’s Office has been led to believe, through conversations with the Justice Department, that this inquiry will focus on the lower end of the Turnpike. If this is the case, shouldn’t the request be worded in a more specific manner?
January 7, 1997

Loretta King, Esquire
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Ms. King:

Initially I want to extend my appreciation to you, Steven Rosenbaum, Mark Posner and Craig Haugen for meeting with me and members of my legal staff on December 12, 1996. As the new Attorney General of New Jersey, I requested the meeting so as to express directly our mutual commitment to the goal of ensuring that the civil rights of all persons are protected. The meeting also gave me the opportunity to brief you on the status of State v. Pedro Soto, now pending in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division.

As discussed at our meeting and as you will observe upon review of the enclosed documents, the New Jersey State Police has been at the forefront of developing and implementing professional law enforcement procedures. The official policy of the State
Police is communicated through statements from the Superintendent, standard operating procedures, official rules and regulations and progressive training. With oversight and assistance from the Attorney General's Office, the New Jersey State Police has taken steps in the past to convey to every road trooper the importance of complying with constitutional mandates.

These steps included adoption of a standard operation procedure, SOP F-55 which specifically provides:

Physical and personal characteristics such as race, age, length of hair, style of dress, type of vehicle, and number of occupants of a vehicle may not be utilized as facts relevant to establish reasonable suspicion or probable cause unless the member can identify and describe the manner in which a characteristic is directly and specifically related to particular criminal activity.

As you will observe, the mandates of SOP F-55 offer protections greater than those included in the Constitution and required by caselaw. Such a step was taken to make it emphatically clear to every trooper that law enforcement action should not be effected by a person's physical characteristics, including those which are provided a constitutionally protected classification. While the New Jersey State Police, through official training, had previously advised officers that racial profiling was constitutionally prohibited, inclusion of
a broad anti-discriminatory provision in a standard operating procedure was undertaken
to reinforce this policy.

Similarly, other standard operating procedure provisions have been designed
to ensure that the public is protected. Another section of SOP F-55 precludes consent
searches without first obtaining the written consent of the motorist. The trooper requesting
consent must also reasonably suspect that the search will yield contraband or evidence
of crime. Once again, the requirements mandated by the New Jersey State Police exceed
those required by the Constitution or caselaw.

SOP F-3 dealing with State Police Patrol Procedures specifically requires a
trooper to call in each stop and provide a "[d]escription of people (number of persons, race,
sex and other distinguishing characteristics)." (Emphasis added) Admittedly, even official
procedures may not always be followed. The State Police, however, do investigate and
discipline troopers for any known violation. The Internal Affairs Bureau, Staff Inspection
Unit, has recently undertaken audits to determine the extent of compliance with this
procedure and to reenforce the Superintendent's directives.

The existence of a modern and professional internal affairs program to
address violations of procedures, rules and regulations is also essential. The New Jersey
State Police has such a program in place as detailed in SOP B-10. This internal
investigation procedure requires that all citizen complaints be documented and
investigated. An internal affairs investigation manual was also developed to assist officers
assigned to the task of investigating allegations made against other members. Most
Deputy Assistant Attorney General King  
January 3, 1997  
Page 4

recently, steps have been undertaken to assess ways in which the Internal Affairs Bureau can more effectively investigate complaints of illegal profiling by conducting station audits.

The above-described official policies of the New Jersey State Police are all documented and available for review. In addition, in-service training has for many years been, and will continue to be, a priority of the New Jersey State Police. Compliance with all lawful requirements is stressed and instructors from the Attorney General's Office have routinely participated in training sessions. In fact, as part of an upcoming in-service training program on search and seizure, the issues confronted in State v. Pedro Soto will be discussed and compliance with SOP F-55 will be reiterated.

Despite the best efforts of administrators in providing guidance through official policy, training and effective disciplinary action when required, the Division of State Police and I recognize the importance of taking additional steps to ensure that the traffic laws are administered in a fair and racially-neutral manner. New Jersey has a rich and diverse racial and ethnic population. Our residents must be confident that the issue of racial profiling is reviewed and addressed.

As you are aware, the lower court ruled against the State Police in State v. Pedro Soto. It is unfortunate that one trial judge misinterpreted the law and statistics presented in that case, which resulted in the first-ever adverse decision on racial profiling. This decision is on appeal and our office is confident of a reversal. Previously, a trial court in State v. Kennedy reviewed the issue of alleged racial profiling on Route 80 in the northwest portion of the State. This issue was resolved in the State's favor during the early
stages of the litigation. A further review regarding the alleged targeting of out-of-state motorists was also decided in favor of the State. The Appellate Division concurred in this finding and found that "the statistical studies presented by the defense were flawed in many particulars and did not support the thesis that the State Police were targeting out-of-state motorists." It is noteworthy that the experts employed by the State and defense in the Kennedy and Soto matters were the same and their method of analysis was similar. The difference is that in the Kennedy matter a decision has now been made by an appellate court rejecting the defense analysis. A copy of the Appellate Division's unpublished opinion in Kennedy has already been provided to you.

The reason I stress the status of the Kennedy and Soto litigation is my concern that the 15 percent figure proffered by the defense in these cases as the "appropriate" number of African-Americans to be stopped on the southern portion of the Turnpike will become generally accepted as reliable, without sufficient basis. I strongly believe that the reliability of the defense violation survey in the Soto matter is in doubt. This study entailed one assistant public defender, traveling primarily alone, who drove on a limited portion of the Turnpike during daylight hours at a speed of 60 miles per hour and noted the number and race of motorists who passed him. The entire survey took place over four days for a total of 28 and ¾ hours. Our experts concluded that this is a woefully inadequate survey on which to base statistically reliable conclusions.

My office is in the process of developing a trustworthy violator survey. Your assistance in developing and reviewing such a study would be welcomed. As far as I am
Deputy Assistant Attorney General King
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aware, no comprehensive traffic violator study with racial distributions has been undertaken
anywhere in the country. Once again New Jersey will be at the forefront of taking steps
to ensure that racial discrimination has no place in professional law enforcement.

Sincerely yours,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General of New Jersey

PV: pat
On January 9, 1996, I was contacted by SDAG J. Fahy. He related that after briefing Alex Waugh on the meeting of 1/8/96, they discussed the appropriate response to the Justice Department’s request for information. According to SDAG Fahy, he was instructed by Waugh to contact the State Police and direct that efforts be initiated to collect and organize the data requested by the Justice Department. In discussing this decision with SDAG Fahy, I emphasized that the request, as presented, will require an extensive and costly deployment of resources. It was agreed that this matter would be brought to your attention for analysis and appropriate dialogue with the Attorney General.

In reviewing the Justice Department request, there are several critical issues which impact on the Division’s response. First, it is apparent that the request is a generic form; nowhere is the New Jersey State Police mentioned by name. The opening paragraph of the request states “.....a review of alleged discriminatory law enforcement practices of the ________ State Police...” In order to prepare an appropriate and accurate response, it is only fair that these allegations be identified as to dates, places and personnel involved. This wording, coupled with Section 2., which states “For the area of the _______ patrolled by the _______ State Police stations.....”, places the Division in the position of collecting and providing data for all road stations. As you are aware, the Attorney General’s Office has been led to believe, through conversations with the Justice Department, that this inquiry will focus on the lower end of the Turnpike. If this is the case, shouldn’t the request be worded in a more specific manner?
Following is an overview of what will be involved if we respond to the request in its present form.

Section 1. can be satisfied by providing information contained in the Annual Report and obtaining detailed information from the Field Operations Section regarding specific station areas.

Section 2. Is much more complex and labor intensive, involving years 1994 through 1996. The request assumes a much higher level of automation and data retrieval capability than the Division possesses. In respect to the race/ethnic background of those stopped, manual searches will have to be conducted of all available radio logs and radio tapes. Those figures will not be complete, particularly for the period preceding the Gloucester County decision, and we cannot rely on MV summonses/warnings, Drinking/Driving or Accident reports because we don’t record racial identifiers. We would also have to review all PRA investigation reports to identify any related MV summonses issued to provide additional stop data.

Additionally, Section 2. calls for data on post-stop action in respect to race/ethnicity. This will require a review of all PRA Investigation and Arrest Reports. The R & I Section/Criminal Justice Records Bureau can compile databases and extract much of the needed information by unit code, but the data will require manual review. Consent to Search reports and Operations Reports for negative searches will have to manually reviewed and organized at each station. (The negative search OPR’s are not separated from the remaining OPR’s in the station files.) Again cross-analyzing of criminal reports vs. D/D Reports, Accident Reports, summonses and warnings would be required to obtain a thorough database.

Section 2.b. asks for the requested information in a computer readable format. At present, we have not yet designed or implemented the databases and programs to satisfy this. Much of the information involved is in paper files which require manual searches and tabulations.

Section 3. asks for management practices and policies. Subsection 3.a will require the identification and organization of SOP’s, Operations Instructions, Directives and IOC’s related to these issues. The Planning Bureau can assist with this area in identifying historical documents from prior Division administrations.

Section 3.b would need to be assigned to the Academy. Included would be basic recruit instruction, as well as in-service training and specialized schools. Operation Roadside teaching materials would also be needed.

Section 3.c would be compiled by IAB. Included would by the Internal Investigation Manual as well as the Internal Investigation S.O.P.

Section 3.d. would involve an overview of the IAB Audit Program which has been utilized since Gloucester County.

Section 3.e would include audit reports on Perryville, Moorestown, and the statewide audit of radio compliance.

Section 3.f will require research to determine what’s available in addition to what we’ve compiled since the Gloucester County decision.
Section 3.g would be the responsibility of IAB. Note that the time period here is from 1990 to 1996 and that the wording asks for "complaints alleging any type of misconduct" in addition to traffic stop/arrest/seizure issues. This is of very broad scope, and appears to go far afield of the issue at hand. Extensive review of IAB files will be required to identify allegations matching the request. A computer database would have to be created and the appropriate data entered.

Section 3.h. would tie into our audit process and existing IAB function. This area will require further discussion.

Section 4 will be the primary responsibility of SDAG Fahy and OAG.

If the Division is required to respond to the request in its existing format, much of the research and data compilation will have to be undertaken at the various road stations. In addition, the data will have to be organized by unit/station code in order to construct an effective database.

Although unable to provide specific numbers, it is estimated that response to the current Justice Department request will require a multi-month commitment of resources from a variety of Division components. Successful completion of the project will require adequate supervisory, investigative and technical support personnel.

Forwarded for your consideration........
Information Request

To assist the United States in conducting a review of alleged discriminatory law enforcement practices of the _________ State Police, we request that the following information be provided to the Department of Justice within 30 days.

1. Background Information

   a. Provide an organizational chart, or documents describing the organizational structure, of the State Police.

   b. Provide a map (or maps) of the State Police district boundaries, and any sub-district boundaries, with each boundary line labeled to identify the highway or other visible object that forms the boundary line. Identify on the map(s) the location of each State Police station and sub-station. If any boundaries have changed since 1990, outline the changes on the map(s), identify the dates on which the changes were implemented, and describe the reasons for the changes.

   c. Provide a description of the operational jurisdiction of the State Police and identify the highways for which the State Police has primary jurisdiction regarding the enforcement of traffic laws.

2. Information on Traffic Stops and Law Enforcement Activity Pursuant to Traffic Stops

   For the area of the ________ patrolled by the ________ State Police stations, provide: data and documents that identify the race and ethnic origin of persons that were the subject of traffic stops by State Police officers, and/or from which the race and ethnic origin of such persons may be derived; and data and documents that identify the race and ethnic origin of persons that were the subject of post-traffic stop law enforcement activity by State Police officers (issuance of warning citations, issuance of traffic citations, searches, seizures, and arrests), and/or from which the race and ethnic origin of such persons may be derived. This includes:

   a. For dates to be specified in the period of 1994 through 1996, documents relating to individual traffic stops, and law enforcement activity based on such traffic stops, including (but not limited to) radio logs, radio transmission tapes, videotapes, patrol logs, warning tickets, traffic citations, arrest reports, investigation reports, search or seizure reports, and consent to search forms; and

   b. For the entire period of 1994 through 1996, a computer tape or disk of all data maintained in a computer readable format of warning tickets, issuance
and/or arrests pursuant to traffic stops by State Police officers; all information necessary to read and comprehend the computer-readable data (e.g., format, file structure, field identifiers, and program used to create each data file); and the identity of the individual(s) who are most knowledgeable about the technical steps that must be taken in order to read and comprehend the computer data.

3. Management Practices

Provide information describing management practices followed by the State Police to ensure that its officers do not improperly use the race or ethnic origin of motorists in selecting vehicles to be the subject of traffic stops, persons to be issued warning tickets or citations for traffic violations, and persons to be the subject of a search, seizure, and/or arrest pursuant to a traffic stop. This includes, but is not limited to:

a. All directives, instructions, guidelines, and policy statements issued by the State Police, that currently are in force, that address the criteria to be used (or not used) in selecting vehicles to be the subject of traffic stops, persons to be issued warning tickets or citations for traffic violations, and persons to be the subject of a search, seizure, and/or arrest pursuant to a traffic stop (also indicate the date on which such directive, instruction, guideline, or policy statement was issued);

b. All training materials currently utilized by the State Police that address the criteria to be used (or not used) in selecting vehicles to be the subject of traffic stops, persons to be issued warning tickets or citations for traffic violations, and persons to be the subject of a search, seizure, and/or arrest pursuant to a traffic stop; and a description of the training programs in which these materials are used including the number of training hours devoted to these materials and the persons to whom the training is directed (e.g., recruits, all state troopers, or particular groups of troopers);

c. Current policies and procedures for receiving and processing misconduct complaints against state troopers (including intake, investigation, classification, punishment, and appeal);

d. Current policies and procedures for auditing the practices of individual State Police officers or groups of officers as to whether the race or ethnic origin of motorists improperly are being used in selecting vehicles for traffic stops, persons to be issued warning tickets or citations for traffic violations, and persons to be the subject of a search,
e. All analyses, assessments, studies, or reports undertaken by the State Police or other state officials, from 1990 to the present, relating to whether State Police officers improperly have used or are using the race or ethnic origin of a motorist in selecting a vehicle for a traffic stop or in conducting law enforcement activity pursuant to a traffic stop (issuing warning tickets or citations, or effectuating a search, seizure, or arrest pursuant to a traffic stop);

f. All analyses, assessments, studies, or reports undertaken by the State Police or other state officials, from 1990 to the present, relating to any of the following subjects -- the racial or ethnic composition of any aggregate group of motorist traveling on highways patrolled by the State Police; the degree and/or natur-. of traffic law violations committed by any aggregate group of motorists traveling on highways patrolled by the State Police; and the racial or ethnic composition of any aggregate group of motorists violating traffic laws while traveling on highways patrolled by the State Police;

g. All complaint statistics regularly maintained by the State Police regarding citizen misconduct complaints and State Police initiated complaints for the period 1990 through 1996 -- broken down by year, State Police troop, and State Police station, and broken down by disposition -- for complaints alleging any type of misconduct, complaints alleging any type of misconduct related to a traffic stop or post-stop law enforcement activity, and complaints alleging that a State Police officer improperly used the race or ethnic origin of a motorist in selecting a vehicle for a traffic stop or in conducting law enforcement activity pursuant to a traffic stop (issuing a warning ticket or citation for a traffic violation, or effectuating a search, seizure, or arrest); and a computer tape or disk of all data on such complaints maintained in a computer readable format, and all information necessary to read and comprehend the computer-readable data (e.g., format, file structure, field identifiers, and program used to create the data file); and

h. Policies and procedures for using any aggregate data on traffic stops, issuance of warning tickets and traffic citations, searches, seizures, arrests, and misconduct complaints to assess or monitor whether State Police officers improperly may be using the race or ethnic origin of motorists in selecting vehicles for traffic stops or in conducting post-stop law enforcement activity.
4. Litigation

a. Identify every state or federal court ruling since 1990 addressing a claim that a State Police officer improperly used the race or ethnic origin of a motorist in selecting a vehicle for a traffic stop or in conducting law enforcement activity pursuant to a traffic stop (issuing a warning ticket or citation for a traffic violation, or effectuating a search, seizure, or arrest). Provide the full citation and a copy of the ruling.

b. In any case in which a state or federal court found credible evidence that a State Police officer improperly used the race or ethnic origin of a motorist in selecting a vehicle for a traffic stop or in conducting law enforcement activity pursuant to a traffic stop (issuing a warning ticket or citation for a traffic violation, or effectuating a search, seizure, or arrest), provide a description of any action taken by the State in response to the ruling. If the State contends that the ruling is factually erroneous, provide a detailed explanation of the basis for the State’s contention and include any relevant trial court exhibits, expert reports, testimony excerpts, and briefs.
In the aftermath of the Public Defender’s Office success with the Turnpike/Gloucester County consolidated suppression motion, the Division continues to be scrutinized for any identifiable patterns in its traffic and criminal enforcement programs. A number of defense motions have been filed in various counties which allege that Troopers have utilized race as a basis for stopping vehicles and initiating enforcement action. In addition, the Division has recently been requested by federal authorities to provide statistical information identifying motor vehicle stop and post-stop enforcement patterns. In responding to these challenges and inquiries, the Division has demonstrated it has a clear and established policy against racial profiling.

During the past several years, the Maryland State Police has been involved in litigation focusing on its patrol arrest program. At the request of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), a statistical study of traffic and enforcement patterns on Interstate 95 in Maryland was conducted by John Lamberth, a statistician who was also utilized by the Public Defender’s Office in the Gloucester County case. In 1995, the Maryland State Police, under the authority of the United States District Court, entered into a Settlement Agreement with plaintiffs represented by the ACLU.

Copies of the Settlement Agreement, as well as a report outlining Lamberth’s study of the Maryland State Police are attached. All personnel should become aware of the contents of the Settlement Agreement and Lamberth’s report, which includes an analysis of the activities of individual Troopers. For those who are interested, the aforementioned report is also available via the Internet.

This Interoffice Communication, and the aforementioned documents, are to be distributed to all Field Operations Section personnel. Receipt will be acknowledged by Read & Initial Sheets to be maintained at the Troop level.

Forwarded for your information and compliance......
REPORT OF JOHN LAMBERTH, PH.D.

I, John Lamberth, am the immediate past Chairman of the Department of Psychology and the past Director of the Division of Social Psychology at Temple University, in Philadelphia. I have been on the Temple faculty in the Department of Psychology since 1973.

I have had extensive professional and academic experience with the design and use of statistically valid surveys, random sampling techniques, and the analysis of statistically-based measures of significance derived from survey, census, and sample data. (1) I have been qualified as an expert witness in statistics, surveying, and social psychology in the United States District Courts for New Jersey and Virginia, and in state courts in New Jersey, (2) Pennsylvania, and Virginia. I make this report in connection with the case Wilkins v. Maryland State Police, Civil Action No. CCB-93-483. Counsel for Mr. Wilkins have retained me to design and conduct a study and analysis of the incidence and significance of police searches along the I-95 corridor in Cecil, Harford, and Baltimore Counties. The overall goal of the study was to determine if Maryland State Police stop and search black (3) motorists at a rate disproportionate to their numbers on the highway.

Toward this end, I have assisted in the design and conduct of a study to test the proposition that the Maryland State Police patrolling Interstate 95 search black motorists in significantly higher numbers, as measured statistically, than one would expect given:

(a) the racial composition of the population of motorists on I-95;
(b) the racial composition of the population of motorists on I-95 who violate traffic laws; and
(c) the racial composition of motorists detained and searched by Maryland State Police on roadways outside the I-95 corridor.

I. DATA SOURCES UPON WHICH THIS STUDY IS BASED

A. Data Supplied By The Maryland State Police

Pursuant to a Settlement Agreement approved by the Court in January 1995, the Maryland State Police (MSP) has supplied the ACLU with data concerning searches of motorists they have conducted from January 1995 through September 1996. These data have been organized and summarized by the ACLU, then provided to me in summary form. Sec Exhibit B, attached hereto. The data summaries list the total number and location of searches, the name of the trooper who conducted each search, and racial breakdowns for the searches reported by MSP.

B. Data Gathered By The ACLU

I designed and assisted in implementation of a plan to gather statistically appropriate data on the population of motorists on I-95 in Cecil, Harford, and Baltimore Counties, north of Baltimore City. The "rolling survey", carried out by the ACLU, was to determine the racial composition of motorists on the highway and the racial composition of those motorists on the highway who
Observers rode in cars at a constant 55 or 65 miles per hour (depending upon the posted speed limit) northbound from the White Marsh exit of I-95 (exit 67) to the last exit in Maryland (Elkton - exit 109) before the toll. They then stopped to rest or gas up for approximately 10 minutes and then proceeded southbound to the White Marsh exit. (5) They were instructed to count the cars that passed them and classify them as traffic violators (i.e., speeders) and to count the cars they passed (i.e., non-speeders) and classify them as non-violators, unless they were violating some other traffic law. They were also to classify each car as to the race of its driver.

There were a total of 21 traffic observation sessions conducted on days and at times randomly selected by me, in accordance with professionally established and accepted procedures and methods. Sessions were conducted only during the 12-hour period from 8:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., as during these times the days were light enough to allow accurate counting. (6)

From the beginning of this study I have assumed that the racial composition of the population of travellers on I-95 would be materially the same as the population of traffic violators. I have made that assumption based upon the proposition that blacks and persons of other races (1) are supposed to enjoy equality before the law; (2) are not materially different in motor, neural, and perceptual skills as they bear on the capacity to drive; and (3) the testimony of New Jersey State Police officers who in the case of State of New Jersey v. Pedro Soto, et al., all testified that blacks drive indistinguishably from whites. As demonstrated in the traffic survey, this assumption has proven to be valid.

I have carefully reviewed both the design of the traffic survey and the reports from that survey. I consulted in the course of the survey with the supervisors in charge. On these bases, I am confident that the results of the survey accurately describe the racial population of the highway surveyed.

II. SUMMARY OF THE CONTENTS OF EACH DATA SOURCE

A. Traffic Survey Results

Five thousand seven hundred and forty one cars were surveyed in the 21 sessions comprising approximately 42 hours. In the vast majority of cases, 96.8%, it was possible to identify the race of the driver of the vehicle. Nine hundred and seventy three, or 16.9% of the cars, had black drivers. Four thousand three hundred and forty one, or 75.6% of the cars, had white drivers. The great majority of drivers -- 5,354 of 5,741, or 93.3% -- were violating traffic laws and thus were eligible to be stopped by State Police. Of the violators, 17.5% were black, and 74.7% were white. Overall results of the traffic survey and the count of violators are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Drivers and Traffic Law Violators by Race from I-95 Corridor Survey
These results are consistent with the work I did in the case of State of New Jersey v. Pedro Soto, et al., in which we conducted both a rolling survey and a stationary survey to determine motorist and violator populations on the southernmost segment of the New Jersey Turnpike (which ends 19 miles from the northern terminus of I-95 in Maryland.) There, 98% of the drivers were violating traffic laws and 15% of the violators were black. While I would not expect I-95 in northern Maryland and the southern portion of the New Jersey Turnpike to have identical numbers of minority motorists and violators, the similar percentages discerned in the surveys support and confirm their reliability.

B. The Record Of Searches By The Maryland State Police

1. Interstate 95 Corridor Data

Between January 1995 and September 1996, the Maryland State Police reported searching 823 motorists on I-95, north of Baltimore. See Exhibit B. Of these, 600, or 72.9%, were black. Id. Six hundred and sixty-one, or 80.3%, were black, hispanic, or other racial minorities. Id. Only 19.7% of those searched in this corridor were white. Id. Most of the I-95 searches -- 646, or 85.4% -- were conducted by 13 troopers. (7) Search breakdowns for those troopers are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Individual Troopers Who Made 10 or More Searches Along the I-95 Corridor and the Numbers and Percent of Blacks and Minorities Searched
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trooper Name</th>
<th>Total Number Searched</th>
<th>Blacks Searched</th>
<th>Total Minorities Searched</th>
<th>Percent Blacks Searched</th>
<th>Percent Minorities Searched</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John E. Appleby</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>85.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melvin Fialkewicz</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John R. Greene</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven L. Hohner</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Hopp</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David B. Hughes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael T. Hughes</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven O. Jones</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Nolan</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul J. Quill</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>58.6%</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Tideberg</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernest S. Tullis</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Wilhelm</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the exception of David Hopp, all of these troopers are searching blacks and other minority motorists at much higher rates than these motorists travel on the highway. The trooper (other than Hopp) with the lowest percentage of black searches still searched blacks at nearly twice the rate they were found to travel the highway. The trooper with the highest percentage of black searches searched only blacks. Ten of the 13 troopers searched minority motorists at least 80 percent of the time.

2. MSP Data From Outside the I-95 Corridor
ACLU in the Courts:

Data reported by MSP for motorist searches conducted outside the I-95 corridor is markedly different from that reported by troopers patrolling I-95. As shown in Table 3, 63.7 percent of motorists searched by MSP troopers working outside the I-95 corridor are white, as compared with only 19.7% of motorists searched by troopers along I-95. Black motorists travelling I-95 are searched by state police more than twice as often as are black motorists travelling other Maryland roadways.

Table 3: MSP Search Data for Roadways Outside the Interstate 95 Corridor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Searches Reported Outside the I-95 Corridor</th>
<th>All Searches</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total Minority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Statewide Data on Drug "Finds" Through Motorist Searches

The total number of motorist searches reported statewide by MSP during the time period is 2,372. In only 666, or 28.1%, of these searches was contraband found. Overall "find" rates were about the same for searches conducted on I-95 and those conducted elsewhere in the state. That is, of 823 searches conducted along the I-95 corridor, a controlled dangerous substance was recovered in 246, or 29.9% of the time, while on roadways outside the I-95 corridor, CDS was recovered in 420 of 1,549 searches, or 27.1% of the time. Even more importantly, "find" rates were the same statewide for searches of black and white motorists: According to MSP data, contraband was recovered in 311 of 1,096 searches (28.4%) of black motorists, and in 331 of 1,148 searches (28.8%) of white motorists.

III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data concerning the searches carried out by Maryland State Police along the I-95 corridor is extremely revealing. The State Police conducted a total of 823 searches in this area, finding some reason to arrest the driver of the car in only 246 (29.9%) of the searches. In 70 percent of the cases the search was fruitless. Of the 823 searches, in 600 (72.9%) of them, the person searched was black, and in 661 of them (80.3%), the person searched was a racial minority.

For purposes of this analysis, the proper statistical comparison contrasts the 72.9% of blacks searched to the 17.5% of traffic law violators who were black. (8) This assumes that blacks, once stopped by police, behave in a similar manner to any other motorist stopped by the police.

The accepted convention for statisticians to conclude that a difference is real and not chance is the finding that if the same study was done many times, the present results would occur only five times out of a hundred. This .05 level is determined by computing the number of standard deviations that the observed result differs from the expected. The .05 level of statistical significance is reached at about two standard deviations. The probability drops to one in 100 when 2.58 standard deviations is reached.

However, if the difference between 17.5% of blacks who are eligible to be stopped and the 72.9% of
blacks searched by state police encompasses 34.6 standard deviations. The absolute disparity is 55.4% and the comparative disparity is 316.6%. An important alternative comparison contrasts the 72.9% rate of black searches on I-95 to the 32% of black searches on other Maryland roadways. The absolute disparity in these figures is 40.9%, and the comparative disparity is 128%. This difference encompasses 20.45 standard deviations.

Such statistical significance is literally off the charts. It means, in layman's terms, the probability that black Interstate 95 drivers are subjected to searches at so high a rate by chance is less than one in one quintillion. It is wildly significant by statistical measures.

IV. CONCLUSION

The evidence examined in this study reveals dramatic and highly statistically significant disparities between the percentage of black Interstate 95 motorists legitimately subject to stop by Maryland State Police and the percentage of black motorists detained and searched by MSP troopers on this roadway. While no one can know the motivations of each individual trooper in conducting a traffic stop, the statistics presented herein, representing a broad and detailed sample of highly appropriate data, show without question a racially discriminatory impact on blacks and other minority motorists from state police behavior along I-95. The disparities are sufficiently great that, taken as a whole, they are consistent with and strongly support the assertion that the Maryland State Police are targeting the community of black motorists for stop, detention, and investigation within the Interstate 95 corridor.

John Lamberth, Ph.D.

1. For a full recitation of my credentials, employment and experience, please refer to my curriculum vitae attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2. Of particular significance to the Wilkins case, I served as an expert for the Gloucester County Public Defender's Office in State of New Jersey v. Pedro Soto, et al., a case referred to below. There, the Superior Court of New Jersey relied upon expert survey and analytical work I performed to suppress evidence seized by New Jersey State Police in 19 consolidated criminal prosecutions. The Court there concurred in my opinion that state police unlawfully relied upon race in stopping and searching motorists on the New Jersey Turnpike, thus tainting the evidence.

3. The generic term "black" is used in this report to identify people who may be African-American.

4. I initially requested that a stationary traffic survey also be carried out, primarily to confirm general population numbers ascertained through the rolling traffic survey which was conducted. However, plaintiff's counsel informed me that their request to carry out such a survey was denied by the Maryland State Police.

5. On eight occasions, either the weather and traffic conditions were sufficiently favorable or the surveyors did not stop at the northern terminus of the survey, and there was still some time in the two hour period designated for the survey. On these occasions, a "half pass" was executed. That is, the surveyors drove northward for half of the time remaining in the two-hour survey period and then drove southward for the other half of the time remaining. While this technically oversamples the southern part of the roadway, as a practical matter it had almost no effect. On
the eight sessions when the half pass was executed, 16.7% of the highway population was counted as black, and during the sessions when no half pass was executed, 17% of the population on the highway was counted as black.

6. A complete description of the survey is found in "American Civil Liberties Union of Maryland I-95 Corridor Traffic and Violator Survey" by Sarah E. Cushman and Christopher E. Kosmides, appended to plaintiffs' Memorandum as Exhibit 11.

7. Here, I include only troopers who have reported conducting 10 or more searches in the 21-month period.

8. An ideal comparison would be the percentage of black traffic law violators to the percentage of blacks stopped by the Maryland State Police. Stop data has not been made available by MSP however. In the absence of that, the percentage of blacks searched provides a rough approximation of the percentage of blacks stopped, given the fact that in 70% of the cases the search turned up no contraband. As set forth below, the difference between the percentage of blacks travelling I-95 and the percentage of blacks searched is highly statistically significant.

Copyright 1996, The American Civil Liberties Union
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

ROBERT L. WILKINS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MARYLAND STATE POLICE, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. H92-96-45

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys David A. Hill, John C. Keeney, Jr., Deborah A. Jeon and Susan Goering, and the Defendants, by and through their attorneys Richard B. Rosenblatt and Steven Wilkinson, in the spirit of compromise agree to settle the within dispute in accordance with the terms set forth below.

WHEREAS, on or about February 12, 1993, Plaintiffs commenced this action in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland;

WHEREAS, Defendants have denied many of Plaintiffs' allegations and specifically deny any violation of state or federal law;

WHEREAS, on May 8, 1992, a car in which Plaintiffs Robert L. Wilkins, Norman Scott El-Amin, Nu'man W. El-Amin, and Aquila
Police Trooper Bryan W. Hughes on U.S. Interstate Route 63 in
Cumberland, Maryland;

WHEREAS, after being stopped, Plaintiffs were detained by
Defendant Hughes in the presence of Defendant Edward V. Syracuse,
who then called Defendant Allegany County Deputy Sheriff Ronald
Brown to the scene with a drug-sniffing dog to perform an
exterior search of Plaintiffs' car;

WHEREAS, in order to bring to rest the controversy between
all parties, to secure total and final settlement of all claims
against Defendants by Plaintiffs arising out of the purported
acts set out in the Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, and to avoid
further expense, inconvenience and litigation, the parties desire
to settle, compromise, and terminate this action and all claims
asserted herein, regardless of the legal theory on which such
claims may be based.

THE PARTIES AGREE TO THE FOLLOWING:

1. This Settlement Agreement shall be enforceable in the
United States District Court for the District of Maryland by any
of the parties acting alone or in concert, or by the American
Civil Liberties Union, as representative of the Plaintiffs. This
Court shall retain jurisdiction over the case for the purpose of
enforcing this Agreement and shall retain jurisdiction over the
subject matter of this Agreement until June 30, 1977, unless
cause for extending jurisdiction as provided in Paragraph 10. In
the event that such cause be shown, this Court shall retain
2. The equitable provisions of this Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon the Maryland State Police and the Plaintiffs.

3. The undersigned representatives of the Plaintiffs and Defendants certify that they are fully authorized, subject to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to enter into and execute the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to legally bind the parties; except that on behalf of the Defendants, the Agreement is contingent upon the approval of the Maryland Board of Public Works.

4. In the event that this Agreement, or any part hereof, is not approved by the Maryland Board of Public Works, this Agreement shall be null and void and Plaintiffs shall not be barred from further prosecution of this litigation.

5. All provisions of this Agreement shall take effect immediately upon ratification, unless otherwise provided herein.

6. It shall be the policy and practice of the Maryland State Police to prohibit the use of a race-based drug courier profile as a law enforcement tool. The Maryland State Police will adopt, implement, and publicize within 60 days of ratification of this Agreement a written policy statement articulating this policy and practice. In addition, this written policy shall direct all Maryland State Police sworn personnel not to participate in the use of any race-based drug profile as a cause for stopping, detaining, or searching motorists traveling on Maryland roadways. The policy shall specifically prohibit
consideration of race as a factor for the development of policies for stopping, detaining, or searching motorists. The written policy statement shall be distributed to all Maryland State Police sworn personnel as a Special Order from the Superintendent of the Maryland State Police, and MSP will enforce the policy through appropriate investigation and disciplinary action. Prior to publication, all materials generated pursuant to this paragraph shall be given to Plaintiffs' counsel.

7. Beginning January 1, 1995, the Maryland State Police shall conduct a training program that incorporates the written policy statement, and specific reference to the Maryland Court of Appeals holding in Derricott v. State of Maryland, 611 A.2d 591 (Md. 1992) ("Derricott"), in relation to highway drug interdiction. This training program shall be mandatory for all Maryland State Police sworn personnel. All materials related to this training seminar shall be given to Plaintiffs' counsel prior to the commencement of the seminars.

8. Beginning immediately upon the ratification of this Agreement the Maryland State Police Academy training shall be revised to specifically include the contents of the policy statement and the Maryland Court of Appeals holding in Derricott.

9. Beginning January 1, 1995, and continuing at least until June 30, 1997, Defendant Maryland State Police will maintain computer records of all stops in which a consent to search is given by a motorist stopped on any Maryland roadway by Maryland State Police and all stops on any Maryland roadway by
Maryland State Police in which a search by a drug-detecting dog is made, minimally including in such records: date, time, and location of consent or search, name of officer(s) requesting consent to search or directing search by drug dog; race of person(s) stopped, detained or searched; year, make and model of vehicle; and grounds for requesting that consent to search be given or search by drug dog made, if any. All Maryland State Police officers shall receive instruction related to the completion and maintenance of these records by January 1, 1995. These computer records shall be made available to the Court with copies to counsel for Plaintiffs on a quarterly basis.

10. If after July 1, 1995, Plaintiffs, on the basis of the computer records provided by Defendant Maryland State Police or through other means, make a reasonable showing that there is a pattern and practice of making stops on the basis of race, Plaintiffs may then seek to require Defendant Maryland State Police to provide additional identifying information as part of the computer records kept pursuant to Paragraph 9 of this Agreement and the Court's jurisdiction shall be extended as provided in paragraph 1. Defendants shall be provided with an opportunity to challenge Plaintiffs' showing through reference either to statistics or other evidence. The decision as to whether there exists a reasonable probability of non-adherence necessitating additional identifying data rests with the monitoring Judge. If such data is ordered by the Court, the Court shall direct that the data be collected under seal as part
of an investigation for the benefit of the Court and not subject to public release. The Court may release such identifying data to counsel for Plaintiffs or the ACLU as required. In determining what information will be released the Court shall take into account the privacy rights of the individuals stopped and any provisions of Maryland law concerning disclosure of investigative records of a police agency.

11. The parties agree that promulgation of the policy described above, the training with respect to this policy, and the compilation of statistics are intended to make clear that it is the policy of the Maryland State Police that racial characteristics not be considered in requesting consent to search or in utilizing a canine for drug detection purposes. The parties recognize that despite the training, individuals may disregard or disobey the Maryland State Police policy, and this Settlement Agreement is not a guarantee against violation of the policy by individual troopers. Plaintiffs may show a violation of this Agreement either: (a) by establishing that defendants have failed to promulgate the policy described in paragraph 6, failed to provide the training described in paragraphs 7 and 8, or failed to collect the statistics as described in paragraphs 9 and 10; or (b) by showing that there is a pattern and practice of MSP stops conducted on the basis of race, for which the Maryland State Police could be held liable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The relief under this Agreement is limited to relief available for violating an injunction, and specifically does not include money
damages for individuals who are stopped. However nothing in this Agreement shall prohibit an individual from bringing an action alleging violation of constitutional rights growing out of an unlawful stop.

12. The parties agree that United States Magistrate Judge Catherine C. Blake will act as the monitoring Judge for purposes of this Settlement Agreement. If, for any reason, Judge Blake becomes unavailable for such purposes, the parties agree to accept, as monitor, whomever is assigned by the Court.

13. Upon approval by the Maryland Board of Public Works, each Plaintiff shall be awarded $12,500 for a total award of $50,000. Said award shall constitute a full and final satisfaction of the incident of May 3, 1992. Upon approval by the Board, Plaintiffs do hereby release the Defendants, their successors and assigns, the State of Maryland and any employee or official of the State from any further proceedings or liability for the incident herein described.

14. Upon approval of the Maryland Board of Public Works, attorneys' fees in the amount of $45,500 shall be paid to counsel for Plaintiffs. Said award shall constitute a full and final satisfaction of all attorneys' fees due through the date of execution of this agreement and Plaintiffs may recover no further attorneys' fees from Defendants so long as Defendants remain in compliance with the terms of this Agreement. However, should Plaintiffs prevail at any hearing convened in accordance with paragraph 10 of this Agreement, or in conjunction with any action
to enforce this agreement, Plaintiffs' counsel shall be entitled to recover attorneys' fees in conjunction with said proceeding, consistent with 42 U.S.C. §1988.

Robert L. Wilkins

Col. Lawrence W. Tolliver
Superintendent, MSP

Nu'Man W. El-Amin

Norman Scott El-Amin

Aquila Abdullah

David A. Hill
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Richard B. Rosenblatt
Counsel for State Defendants

Deborah A. Jeon
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Steven C. Wilkinson
Counsel for Defendant Allegany County

Approved:
Catherine C. Blake
U.S. Magistrate Judge
Jan 5, 1995

Approved:
Marvin J. Garbis
U.S. District Judge
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/25/97</td>
<td>Major A. J. Sparano</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel V. Littles Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>RESPONSE TO JUSTICE DEPARTMENT INQUIRY RE: PROFILING ALLEGATIONS RE: IOC# 008646 1D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached interoffice communication regarding the above-captioned subject matter is self-explanatory.

As outlined, the principal concern rests with what the Division desires to capture within the CAD/RMS System when motor vehicle stops are initiated. There are occasions when a trooper misidentifies the race or gender of occupants within a vehicle, when calling in a motor vehicle stop.

Sgt. K. Moore represents that the fields within the CAD/RMS System can subsequently be edited to reflect the actual race and sex. It is important that the actual data be captured. Therefore, the following recommendations are made:

1. When necessary, edit the field to reflect actual information surrounding motor vehicle stops.
2. Make an entry in the narrative field to reflect changes. For example, "Initial observation incorrect - race changed from "2" to "1".

Because this very issue has been under much scrutiny within recent times, it is recommended that this report be forwarded to Lt. D. Blaker, Superintendent's Office, for further review and comments.

Should this recommendation be satisfactory, Sgt. K. Moore, CAD/RMS System, R&I Section, should be notified.

Forwarded for your information and comments.
As noted in the attached correspondence from DAG Rover, the Department of Justice/Civil Rights Division is continuing with the probe of NJSP enforcement activity at the Moorestown and Cranbury Stations, Troop D. Subsequent to recent negotiations between the Office of Attorney General and the Department of Justice, it has been agreed that the Division of State Police will provide comprehensive data for fifteen (15) randomly selected dates from both 1995 and 1996 (refer to DAG Rover's request).

Data which will be required for each day is as follows: Radio Logs, Patrol Charts, Arrest Reports, Consent to Search Reports, Summonses and Warnings. Sgt. T.Gilbert, assigned to the Division Services Unit, will extract available information from the recently assembled 1994-1996 records. However, it will now be necessary for Moorestown and Cranbury Stations, and any relevant TPU/Task Force squads operating on the Turnpike’s lower end in 1995-1996, to provide copies of all summonses (front/back), warnings and patrol charts generated by personnel working the listed dates. Please provide two complete sets of the requested reports. Any questions arising from this request may be directed to Sgt. Tom Gilbert at Extension 6520.

Forwarded for your information.....
INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Colonel Carl A. Williams  
   Superintendent  
   New Jersey State Police

FROM: George N. Rover  
   Deputy Attorney General  
   Office of the Attorney General

DATE: May 16, 1997

Representatives from the U.S. Department of Justice (USDOJ) have renewed their request for records relating to traffic stop activity at the Moorestown and Cranbury Stations. From a priority standpoint, USDOJ is requesting radio logs, patrol charts, arrest reports, consent to search forms, tickets and warnings for the thirty days identified on the attachment.

I would appreciate any assistance you give me in obtaining these records as soon as possible. Thank you.

GNR/vkc  
attachment
NJ Randomly Selected Days, in Chrono Order

1995

January 14
February 1
February 13
March 8
April 3
April 17
April 26
May 18
July 19
August 3
August 5
September 1
October 24
December 7
December 18

1996

February 10
April 3
April 26
May 8
May 9
July 23
August 9
August 25
September 25
October 6
November 11
November 19
November 23
December 12
December 14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/30/97</td>
<td>Major A. J. Sparano,</td>
<td>Commanders, Troop A, B, C, D,</td>
<td><strong>DRUG INTERDICTION ASSISTANCE TRAINING -</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations</td>
<td>E, &amp; F</td>
<td><strong>OCTOBER 6, 7, 8, 1997</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
<td></td>
<td>The attached is self-explanatory and forwarded for your information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure that all personnel identified hereto are notified and appropriately scheduled to attend this training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-23-97</td>
<td>Capt. H. Butt, Chief Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major A. Sparano, Field Operations Officer</td>
<td>Drug Interdiction Assistance Training October 6, 7, 8, 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

via
Capt. R. Touw, Assistant Investigations Officer
via
Major R.D. Dunlop, Investigations Officer
via
Lt. Colonel L. Roberson, Deputy Superintendent

The following personnel have been scheduled to attend the U.S. Department of Transportation Drug Interdiction Training Program to be held at the Training Academy during three one-day sessions on October 6, 7, 8, 1997:

**October 6, 1997**

DSG.  
DSG.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Det.  
Tpr.  
Tpr.  
Tpr.  I
October 7, 1997
Personnel are to report to Building 26 at 8:30 a.m. in Class 'B' uniform. The parking area immediately to the right upon entering the Training Academy will be utilized. Lunch will be available at the Mess Hall.

For your information.
MEMORANDUM

Date: November 5th, 1997

To: Members, Division of State and Provincial Police

From: Colonel Ronald Grimming, General Chairman

Subject: Trooper of the Year Award

Some of the most remarkable law enforcement work in this country is performed by the men and women of the nation's state police and highway patrol agencies. For the third year, sponsors have been found to honor a "Trooper of the Year," and three runners up. I urge you to participate in this awards program specifically designed to focus on the outstanding achievements of state police and highway patrol personnel. This year the program promises to have a high profile with significant awareness across the country.

I invite participation by every state police and highway patrol agency in the nation. Each state agency director is requested to nominate one candidate for the award, using the enclosed form. The trooper being nominated is to be recognized for a single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within the past two years (1996-97). The nomination is to be submitted to your S&P Division regional chairperson (see attached list). You will then select one candidate as the regional winner.

The four regional winners and their spouses will be guests of the S&P Division at our March 1998 midyear meeting in Alexandria, Virginia, where the national "Trooper Of The Year" will be announced at the conference banquet.

Competition to determine the national winner will involve the potential participation of millions of Americans in a unique voting arrangement. A video re-creation of the actions or situations that resulted in selection of each of the four regional finalists will be broadcast from Monday through Thursday during a special Trooper Of The Year Week (one re-creation each day). On Friday of that week, viewers will see a summary of the four officers' achievements, and then be asked to vote, by calling a 900-number, for their choice of the national winner. The viewing public's telephone vote will be the deciding factor in selecting the Trooper Of The Year.

Trooper Of The Year
NORTH ATLANTIC REGION
SUPERINTENDENT JAMES W. McMAHON
New York State Police Div. Hqks.
State Campus, Bldg. 22
1220 Washington Avenue
Albany, NY 12226

NORTH CENTRAL REGION
COLONEL RON TUSSING
Nebraska State Patrol
1600 Nebraska Highway 2
Lincoln, NE 68502

MOUNTAIN PACIFIC REGION
COLONEL JAMES HUGHES
Superintendent
North Dakota Highway Patrol
600 East Boulevard Avenue
Bismarck, ND 58505-0240

SOUTHERN REGION
COLONEL E.W. HORTON
North Carolina State Highway Patrol
512 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, NC 27611
Trooper of the Year Award Program
Nomination Form - Page One

Please forward to your Regional Chairperson no later than December 1st, 1997

North Atlantic Region - Superintendent James W. McMahon - New York State Police
North Central Region - Colonel Ron Tussing - Nebraska State Patrol
Mtn. Pacific Region - Colonel James Hughes - North Dakota Highway Patrol
Southern Region - Colonel E.W. Horton - North Carolina State Highway Patrol

S&P Region __________________________
Regional Chairperson __________________________

Nomination

State __________________________ Agency __________________________

Agency Director __________________________
Trooper Nominee __________________________

Description of Act or Performance
Must be single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within THE PAST TWO YEARS (1996-97).

Description:
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________________

Continue on Page 2
Trooper of the Year Award Program
Nomination Form - Page 2

Description (continued):

---

Will nominee agree to participate in a video recreation or will a substitute be needed? (Please explain)

---

If nomination is made for a single event, are there any legal barriers that would restrict a video recreation? □No □Yes (explain)

---

If restrictions preclude a video recreation can television or print media provide the basis for a recreation? □No □Yes (explain)

---

Agency Director's Signature:_________________________ Date:_________________________
To: Colonel Carl Williams
Agency: New Jersey State
Fax number: +1 (609) 530-0718

From: Tom M. Robey
Fax number: 703/838-6254
Date/Time: 11/5/97 4:39:23 PM
Pages: 6

Subject: Trooper of the Year Award

Note:
As you can see from the following schedule, there are extremely tight deadlines and I apologize for the urgency required to meet the schedule. In order to facilitate production of the re-creations for broadcast and to time everything for the midyear conference, your nomination must be submitted to your S&P regional chair by December 1st. The enclosed nomination form is self-explanatory, but if you have questions, please contact S&P Director Dave Tollett, at 703-836-6767 ext. 210.

The complete schedule follows:

December 1st, 1997 – Deadline for states to submit one nomination to their S&P Division regional chair.

December 19th, 1997 – Regional officers will select one nominee from their region, and forward to S&P Division headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia.

March 3rd, 1998 – The winner of Trooper Of The Year will be announced at the S&P midyear conference banquet.

I thank you in advance for your participation in this program which gives long overdue recognition to our state police and highway patrol officers.

Attachments

REGIONAL CHAIRMEN
New Jersey State Police

INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/28/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop</td>
<td>Major R. Touw</td>
<td>REQUEST FOR DSFC JERRY LEWIS TO LECTURE AT THE OPERATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>PIPELINE/CONVOY INTERDICTI0N SCHOOL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached correspondence from John B. Brown, Special Agent in Charge, U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, is self-explanatory.

Please facilitate this request if operationally feasible.

9/1/98      | Major R. Touw | DSFC J. Lewis | 1st Indorsement |
|            | Investigations Officer | Acting Unit | The attached correspondence from John B. Brown, Special Agent in Charge, U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, is self-explanatory. |
|            |                | Supervisor, HEAT/Polygraph Unit via Captain F. Tavener Commanding, CIB |

FR: pah
att.

19-1217

Please verify dates by contacting Agent Jong at 915-564-2491 and if operationally feasible, comply with this request.

Forwarded for your information and compliance.
August 26, 1998

Colonel Carl Williams
New Jersey State Police
P. O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Dear Colonel Williams:

The Drug Enforcement Administration is sponsoring an Operation Pipeline/Convoy interdiction school in Macon, Georgia on August 31 through September 4, 1998. The school is being hosted by the Georgia Peace Officer Association. This letter is a request for Detective Sgt. First Class Jerry Lewis to teach at this school.

The Operation Pipeline/Convoy training is an ongoing and continuous effort by the El Paso Intelligence Center to coordinate drug/money concealment detection techniques for uniformed officers. Assistance from agencies such as yours is the foundation for the program’s success. Thank you for your continued support.

All travel expenses will be funded by the Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC. If there are any questions regarding this request, please contact Special Agent Michelle Jong at 915-564-2491.

Sincerely,

John B. Brown, III
Special Agent in Charge
**EPIC**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**
**DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER OF PAGES BEING TRANSMITTED</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(including this transmittal sheet)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TRANSMITTED TO**

- **NAME:** COLONEL CARL WILLIAMS
- **ORGANIZATION:** NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE
- **BUILDING, ROOM NO., etc.:**
- **TELEPHONE/EXTENSION:**

**TRANSMITTED FROM**

- **NAME:** S/A MICHELLE JONG
- **ORGANIZATION:** DOJ/DEA/EPIC/STATE & LOCAL LIAISON UNIT
- **BUILDING, ROOM NO., etc.:**
- **TELEPHONE/EXTENSION:** 915-564-2490 OR 800-927-0468
- **COMMENTS:**
  - COLONEL WILLIAMS: FOLLOWING IS OUR REQUEST FOR DET. SGT. 1ST CLASS JERRY LEWIS TO TEACH AT A PIPELINE SCHOOL IN MACON, GA. PLEASE CALL IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

**APPROVED BY (If applicable)**

- **NAME:**
- **TRANSMITTED BY (Name):**
- **DATE:**
- **TIME:**

*EPIC Form 100A (Aug 95)*

GC 002918
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/21/98</td>
<td>Capt. H. Butt, Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau Chief</td>
<td>Captain J. Brennan, Commandant Training Bureau</td>
<td>Narcotics Trafficking Trends and Highway Interdiction Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. E. Volkmann, Assistant Investigations Officer</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos, Division Staff Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major R. Touw, Investigations Officer</td>
<td>Lt. Col. M. Fedorko, Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Col. R.D. Dunlop, Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the direction of the Superintendent, the Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau will be hosting the **1998 Narcotics Trafficking Trends and Highway Interdiction Training Course** at the Training Academy from June 24, 1998 through June 26, 1998. It has been requested that the north and south classrooms in the Mess Hall be reserved to accommodate approximately 100 Division members who will be attending this training course.

Questions can be directed to DSFC. S. Mundorff #3326, Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau, extension 2811.

For your information and review.
08/01/97
Lt. J. Carnival
Supervisor, Special Operations Unit
via

DSFC N. Serrano
Supervisor, Intelligence Unit
via

Lt. H. Butt Acting Bureau Chief, Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau
via

Lt. W. Butz Acting Bureau Chief, Intelligence Bureau
via

Major K. McPartland Intelligence Services Officer
via

Major R.D. Dunlop Investigations Officer
via

Lt. Col. L.R. Roberson Deputy Superintendent

The Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau is sponsoring a one day Training Seminar entitled, "Narcotics Trafficking Trends," which has been scheduled for presentation to Division personnel on August 21, 1997, at the Training Academy. It is requested Detective Sergeant R. Fuentes provide instruction in the area of R.I.D.S. News Letter. Attached is an
outline of the topics which will be presented during the training seminar and the time slots allocated.

Additional information can be obtained through Lt. James Carnival, Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau, Special Operations Unit, at 609-530-3415.

Forwarded for your information and consideration.

JC/mac

Attachments
# NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING TRENDS

AND

METHODS OF CONCEALMENT

SEMINAR

AUGUST 21, 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>AM/FM</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00 - 8:15</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>REGISTRATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACADEMY STAFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15 - 8:30</td>
<td>FM</td>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DET. F. IANNONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 - 9:00</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>STATISTICAL ANALYSIS/ROUTES OF TRAVEL/COURIERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DET. F. IANNONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 - 9:05</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:05 - 10:00</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>CASE LAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SGT. R. OCETNIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 - 10:20</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>R.I.D.S. NEWS LETTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DSG. R. FUENTES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20 - 10:35</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:35 - 11:45</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>BEHAVIOR SYMPTOMS ANALYSIS/DETECTING DECEPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DSG. G. LEWIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45 - 12:45</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45 - 1:15</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>MOTOR VEHICLE STOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SGT. OCETNIK/TROOPER R. LASSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15 - 1:30</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>CONSENT TO SEARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SGT. OCETNIK/TROOPER R. LASSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 - 1:40</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:40 - 2:40</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>VEHICLES AND COMPARTMENTS - VIDEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SGT. R. OCETNIK/TROOPER R. LASSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:40 - 2:50</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:50 - 4:00</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>HIDDEN COMPARTMENTS - SLIDE PRESENTATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SGT. R. OCETNIK/TROOPER R. LASSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/20/97</td>
<td>Lt. J. Carnival Supervisor, Special Operations Unit</td>
<td>DSFC N. Serrano Unit Supervisor Intelligence North Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>via</td>
<td>Lt. W. Butz Acting Bureau Chief, Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau</td>
<td>via</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>via</td>
<td>Major K. McPartland Captain R. Touw Assistant Investigations Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>via</td>
<td>Major R.D. Dunlop Investigations Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>via</td>
<td>Lt. Col. L. R. Roberson Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau is sponsoring a one day training seminar entitled, Narcotics Trafficking Trends, which has been scheduled for presentation to Division personnel on April 8, 1997, at the Training Academy. It is requested Detective
Sergeant R. Fuentes provide instruction in the area of R.I.D.S. News Letter. Attached in an outline of the topics which will be presented during the training seminar and the time slots allocated.

Additional information can be obtained through Lt. James Carnival, Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau, Special Operations Unit, at 609-530-3415.

Forwarded for your information and consideration.

JC/sm
att.
HIGHWAY DRUG INTERDICTIO

7:00 - 8:15 AM  REGISTRATION
                ACADEMY STAFF

8:15 - 8:30 AM  INTRODUCTION
                DET. F. IANNONE

8:30 - 9:00 AM  STATISTICAL ANALYSIS/ROUTE OF TRAVEL/COURIERS
                DET. F. IANNONE

9:00 - 9:05 AM  BREAK

9:05 - 10:00 AM CASK LAW
                SGT. R. QCETNIK

10:00 - 10:20 AM R.I.D.S. NEWS LETTER
                DSG. R. FUENTIES

10:20 - 10:35 AM BREAK

10:35 - 11:45 AM BEHAVIOR SYMPTOMS ANALYSIS/DETECTING DECEPTION
                DSG. G. LEWIS

11:45  12:45 PM  LUNCH

12:45 - 1:15 PM  MOTOR VEHICLE STOP
                SGT. R. QCETNIK / TROOPER R. LASSO

1:15 - 1:30 PM  CONSENT SEARCH
                SGT. R. QCETNIK / TROOPER R. LASSO

1:30 - 1:40 PM  BREAK

1:40 - 2:40 PM  VEHICLES AND COMPARTMENTS - VIDEO
                SGT. R. QCETNIK / TROOPER R. LASSO

2:40 - 2:50 PM  BREAK

2:50 - 4:00 PM  HIDDEN COMPARTMENTS - SLIDE PRESENTATION
                SGT. R. QCETNIK / TROOPER R. LASSO

GC 002925
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/14/96</td>
<td>Captain E. Volkmann</td>
<td>Captain N. Oriolo</td>
<td>Drug Trafficking Trends Seminar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau Chief, Criminal Enterprise and Racketeering Bureau</td>
<td>Bureau Chief, Intelligence Bureau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Captain W.H. Hillis</td>
<td>Major E. Billups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assistant Investigations Officer</td>
<td>Supervisor, Intelligence Services Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major R.D. Dunlop Investigations Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Col. L.R. Roberson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As previously discussed we request the assistance of DSG J. Fuentes to conduct a lecture for the Trends in Narcotic Trafficking Seminar, on the topic of Narcotics Intelligence Networks, August 20, 1996, between 10:00 AM and 10:50 AM. Seminar will be held at Building 11 South, Sea Girt, NJ.

If you have any questions contact Lt. Howard Butt at 609-530-3080.

Forwarded for your information.

KH:cb
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/09/98</td>
<td>Capt. J. Sekroth</td>
<td>Col. C.A. Williams</td>
<td>LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Bureau Chief</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>ACR-102 (Jones) &amp; SCR-59 (Turner/Lipman)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td>- The above listed bills, which are identical,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. R. Wilson</td>
<td>LTC M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td>create a task force to investigate the use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assistant Administration</td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>of racial profiles by the State Police and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td>the level of minority appointments in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td>State Police.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major B. Roberson Administration Section Supervisor</td>
<td>via</td>
<td>SCR-59 has been sent to the Division Services Unit,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c: LTC R. Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations Section, and Division Staff Section for comments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For your information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/17/98</td>
<td>SFC T. Gilbert</td>
<td>Colonel C.A. Williams</td>
<td>COMMENTS ON PENDING LEGISLATION.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Division Services Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vía</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. R. Manney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office of the Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attached is proposed legislation sponsored by Senators Turner and Lipman. If passed, the legislation would result in the formation of a bi-partisan task force to examine the State Police in relation to racial profiling and minority hiring issues. It is suggested that the Division of State Police make it very clear that it opposes this bill for a number of reasons, which include:

1. The ongoing criminal probe and pending grand jury proceedings resulting from the shooting incident involving Troopers Hogan and Kenna. Additionally, it is likely that a federally filed civil rights action will be initiated on behalf of the van occupants. Potential defendants include the State of New Jersey, New Jersey State Police, and the individual Troopers.

2. The open appeal of the suppression motion granted by Judge Francis for the Gloucester County defendants arrested on the New Jersey Turnpike.

3. Our ongoing interaction with the Civil Rights Division of the federal Department of Justice. This should be kept in-house; the DOJ/Division of Civil Rights inquiry has been kept very low key and not been reporte by the media.

4. The legislation is drafted in a manner which draws an unsupported connection between the racial profiling issue and the Division’s minority representation.

5. Litigation is ongoing in respect to our educational standards for hiring.

6. Litigation/Mediation is ongoing with the civil EEO case brought forth by a number of our minority Troopers in respect to promotions, evaluations, specialist selection, discipline, etc.

The task force, as proposed, would be inappropriately establishing a presence in a number of issues which already are, or will be, the subject of criminal and/or civil proceedings. Significant issues would arise as to the standing of witnesses and documents brought before the task force. Of additional concern is that any statistical, document or policy analysis undertaken by the task force, in addition to any sworn testimony received, would be discoverable in the other related proceedings.

For your consideration.
BILL ANALYSIS
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE
DIVISION STAFF SECTION

BILL NUMBER: SCR-59 (Same as ACR-102)
DATE INTRODUCED: May 28, 1998
SPONSOR(S) Senators Shirley K. Turner and Wynona M. Lipman

RECOMMENDED POSITION: Neutral
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/12/98</td>
<td>Major M. Silvert</td>
<td>Captain J. Shruth</td>
<td>PENDING LEGISLATION (S-59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations</td>
<td>Planning Bureau</td>
<td>via</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via Major B. W. Roberson</td>
<td>The Field Operations Section has no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Admin. Off.</td>
<td>comments on Senate Concurrent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution No. 59.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As per your direction, I reviewed the routing procedures involving the Planning Bureau for the Consent to Search forms. A check of S.O.P. F31, "Consent to Search," Operations Instructions and Subject/To Notices dating back to 1987, and the Consent to Search general file do not list anywhere that the Planning Bureau is to receive copies of these forms. I spoke with DSG D. Furlong, who currently handles the forms for the Investigations Section, and DSFC W. Meddis, who previously handled the forms for the Investigations Section, both stated it was their impression that the Planning Bureau was to review the forms and document any unusually patterns or other information which was uncovered. Neither could produce a copy of any documentation which stated same. I spoke with SFC J. McDonnell who received the forms while in the Planning Bureau. He stated that since 1990 the Planning Bureau was to receive copies of all Consent to Search forms in which no evidence was seized and that the Bureau was to file the forms but not review them. Only the Investigations Section has been forwarding copies of their forms. It is recommended that since no specific documentation can be located, the Investigations Section should be advised to stop forwarding the forms. The attached IOC is submitted for your review and forwarding.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/18/97</td>
<td>Lt. J. Schroth</td>
<td>Major R. Dunlop</td>
<td>CONSENT TO SEARCH FORMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Planning</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Bureau Chief</td>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. B. Roberson</td>
<td>LTC L. R. Roberson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Administration</td>
<td>Deputy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section Supervisor</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major M. Fedorko</td>
<td>Acting Executive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please do not forward any more copies of Consent to Search forms to the Planning Bureau as it is not required by S.O.P. F31, "Consent to Search."

For your information.

7/2/97 Spoke w/ SgT. Debra Furlong who stated she has received the IOC and will discontinue the routing of copies to Planning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/27/98</td>
<td>Capt. J. Schroth Planning Bureau Chief</td>
<td>Major M. Silvert Field Operations Section Supervisor</td>
<td>&quot;LEGISLATORS ASKING: ARE TRAFFIC STOPS COLOR-BLIND?&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td>The attached article from the Law Enforcement News (Vol. XXIV, Nos. 487), a publication of John Jay College of Criminal Justice/CUNY, is forwarded for your information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. R. Wilson Assistant Administration Section Supervisor</td>
<td>LTC R. Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major B. Roberson Administration Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LTC M. Fedorko Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c: SFC T. Gilbert Division Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H:\PLANDATA\DOCUMENT\JOC\LEN.MEK
Legislators asking: Are traffic stops color-blind?

The Rhode Island State Patrol would be required to collect personal data on the occupants of vehicles stopped by troopers, under a recently proposed bill that one of its sponsors says is an effort to address complaints of bias by minorities who have been stopped.

The bill, which is sponsored by state Senator Rhoda Perry (D-Providence) and backed by the American Civil Liberties Union, is now in the Legislature’s Judicial Committee after being introduced in February.

The proposal is similar to a bill passed by the U.S. House of Representatives in March, which would require the Justice Department to conduct a study of the race and ethnicity of motorists during routine traffic stops by state and local police.

The bill, introduced by Representative John Conyers (D-Mich.), is described as an attempt to determine whether long-held claims of police bias in pulling over motorists are supported by concrete evidence.

The Rhode Island bill is vehemently opposed by Col. Edmond Culhane, Superintendent of the 209-trooper State Patrol, who told Law Enforcement News that there is no evidence that race is a factor when troopers stop motorists. The bill would also impose an undue administrative burden on the agency, he added, by forcing troopers to collect information on eight separate data elements during the 65,000 traffic stops they conduct each year.

“That would require us to make about 530,000 data entries,” Culhane claimed. “I don’t have any data processing people.”

Culhane said he is most concerned, however, about the perception that visitors to the state, a popular tourist destination, would have when troopers ask them questions about their sex, age and ethnicity. “This is going to send the wrong message about Rhode Island to people who are coming here to relax — is this a police state or what?”

The bill’s sponsors are unfairly targeting the State Patrol, added Culhane, who said the State Patrol has much less contact with minority motorists than their local counterparts in Rhode Island’s larger cities. The only documented bias complaint on a traffic stop stopped. A black officer was the subject of the complaint, said Culhane, which was later determined to be unfounded.

But Steven Brown, director of the American Civil Liberties Union of Rhode Island, disagreed with Culhane’s view, saying enough anecdotal evidence exists to warrant the data collection.

“I don’t think Colonel Culhane is in a position to summarily address those concerns. On the contrary, to the extent that these concerns are present in the minority community, I would think it was in his best interest to do this.”

Brown said the proposal only seeks to establish whether a problem truly exists, “and if the statistics show that these perceptions are wrong, then all for the better. But right now, you have a suspicious minority community, which is not helpful to law enforcement in the least.”

Culhane countered: “I have heard no groundswell of complaints from minority groups that the State Police are picking on minorities.”

At the Federal level, Conyers disclosed figures showing that blacks, who make up about 14 percent of the U.S. population, account for about 72 percent of motorists pulled over by police during traffic stops. “There are very few of us in this country who have not been stopped at one time for an alleged traffic violation that we thought really constituted racial harassment,” Conyers said.

Under the House bill, which was approved by voice vote, the Attorney General would order a study on traffic stops that would include data collection on the age and race or ethnic background of the person stopped, the alleged traffic violation given as the reason for the stop, whether car searches were conducted, and if so, what contraband was found. A Senate vote on the proposal had not yet occurred as LEN went to press.

A handful of law enforcement agencies nationwide have been accused of using race-based profiles to track and apprehend drug dealers, but the Maryland State Police is believed to be the only one collecting information on traffic stops. Since January 1995, the agency has collected data about consent searches during traffic stops as part of a settlement to a lawsuit filed in 1992 by a lawyer who claimed he was stopped because he was black.

State Police officials analyze the information to ensure procedures are being properly followed, and forward the data to the Federal judge who ruled in the case and to the ACLU. “We state firmly that we have never condoned that, nor do we nor will we,” said Capt. Greg Chipley, an MSP spokesman. “This is a very serious issue…so we look at them in great detail. We’re concerned about the issue and we’re doing everything we can to ensure there is no race-based profiling occurring.”

Conyers’ bill has the support of the National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives, whose executive director, Bob Stewart, said such a study could offer many statistical benefits to law enforcement.

“While probably most of us have been stopped, we want to look at this from an administrative standpoint,” Stewart, a former police chief of Ormond Beach, Fla., told LEN. The study would set “some normative behaviors…would perhaps act as a guideline to chiefs and sheriffs in terms of assessing their agencies’ actions,” he added.

Illinois SP stung by reverse-bias ruling

The State of Illinois may appeal a Federal judge’s ruling ordering the State Police to make every effort to contact all 5,000 white applicants it rejected between 1975 and 1990 as part of an af

The white applicants who were rejected during the 15-year period could number as many as 5,000. They do not have to take the contested written test, which is no longer used, but they must
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/09/00</td>
<td>SFC J. Gamberg Research &amp; Development Unit</td>
<td>LTC R. D. Dunlop Acting Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td><strong>DRAFT DIRECTED STOP S.O.P. AND DIRECTED STOP REPORT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Directed Stop Committee has completed a draft S.O.P. which shall govern all directed stops made by members of the Division. The Committee also created a draft report form to be used to capture all data relevant to the new approval process for directed stops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td>Attached are drafts of the Directed Stop S.O.P., the Directed Stop Report and directions for completing the report. Please review and provide the committee with your comments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. J. Schroth Planning Bureau Chief</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. R. Wilson Assistant Administration Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major B. Roberson Administration Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/01/00</td>
<td>Capt. J. Schroth</td>
<td>Col. C. Dunbar, Jr.</td>
<td>INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE (IACP), STATE AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Bureau Chief</td>
<td>Superintendent via</td>
<td>PROVINCIAL POLICE ADMINISTRATORS MID-YEAR MEETING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>LTC R. Dunlop Acting Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. R. Wilson Assistant Administration Section Supervisor</td>
<td>via Major B. Roberson Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The IACP State and Provincial Police Administrators mid-year meeting will be held in Alexandria, VA from February 26 to March 1. The New York State Police (NYSP) is developing the agenda for the meeting. One of the topics will be a roundtable discussion on racial profiling. NYSP requested all member agencies to submit information on this topic. The Planning Bureau supplied copies of the following documents:

Interim Report of the State Police Review Team Regarding Allegations of Racial Profiling
Final Report of the State Police Review Team
NJ Legislative Black and Latino Caucus Report on Discriminatory Practices within the NJSP
NJ Legislative Black and Latino Caucus Proposed Legislative Initiatives
Department of Justice Consent Decree with New Jersey

Forwarded for your information.
January 31, 2000

David McCann
Planning and Research Section
New York State Police
Building 22, State Office Complex
Albany, NY 12226

Dear Mr. McCann:

Pursuant to your recent e-mail request regarding racial profiling information, I have enclosed copies of the following:

Interim Report of the State Police Review Team Regarding Allegations of Racial Profiling
Final Report of the State Police Review Team
NJ Legislative Black and Latino Caucus Report on Discriminatory Practices within the NJSP
NJ Legislative Black and Latino Caucus Proposed Legislative Initiatives
Department of Justice Consent Decree with New Jersey

Also, the Interim and Final Reports are available for downloading at the NJ Department of Law & Public Safety website (www.state.nj.us/lps). I hope this information is helpful to you.

If you need any further assistance, please contact me at 609-882-2000, extension 2855, or at my e-mail address: L042kickim@gw.njsp.org.

Sincerely,

Michael E. Kicki
Lieutenant
Planning Bureau

Enclosure
PB00-006

GC 002937
On Thursday, March 6, 1997, at 10:00am, DAGs Fahy and Rover (and possibly Alex Waugh) will be joining a meeting to address overall strategy for addressing the Justice Department Inquiry. It is suggested that the following topics be included in the discussion:

1. Confidentiality: Maryland did not cover their people through a confidentiality agreement with the ACLU/Lamberth. As a result, the Maryland Troopers’ names went out over the Internet. Whether we succeed or not, we must address this issue soon, before we start handing over any significant amount of data. Agreement to keep names confidential should encompass both the inquiry and any related traffic surveys.

2. Funding/Manpower: Capt. Madden/CJRB has indicated the that the design of required databases, data input and analysis is manpower intensive, and beyond their present capabilities. He indicates that overtime approval/funding will be needed to handle the extra work generated by the DOJ request. This is under the present parameters of Moorestown/Cranbury. If DOJ expands the probe to the entire Division, the work involved will be massive.

Neither Maryland or Illinois SP made any attempt to obtain funding to defray costs of data collection and analysis, or to fund a monitoring system. May be worth a try; suggest that we make a pretty strong push to get $. Nothing ventured/nothing gained. No downside to making this request. So far, DAG Rover has asked DOJ in vague terms about availability of funds for the traffic survey, but has not broached $ for data collection/monitoring.
Traffic Survey: Challenge to survey is part of the Gloucester County appeal. Maryland study by Lamberth hurts us: the numbers there support the findings of the traffic study here.

Md: 96.8% of drivers race identified (only done in daylight till 8:00pm)
NJ: 80% moving mode, 100% in stationary (Public Defs. Office study-night hours). Day #s higher.

Md: 16.9% black drivers
NJ: 13.5% black drivers

Md: 93% of all drivers violating traffic laws
NJ: 98.1% "

Md: 17.5% of all violators were black
NJ: 15% of all violators black

Area of dispute: Maryland study 8:00am-8:00pm, N.J. study same hours. Gloucester County Public Def. Office went out for one night, only from 9:40pm-11:00pm. We can attempt to challenge numbers on basis of 8:00pm-8:00am being excluded from study. PROBLEM: Don Hoffman, AAG in Maryland (retired MSP) has been dealing with the numbers for three years. They have consulted with a number of statistical experts who essentially confirm that the methodology leading to Lamberth’s numbers is sound. Maryland stop percentages were not examined because MSP did not provide Lamberth with those figures. Even with any success on the stop data, we face the second obstacle- search percentages.

4. Search data: Our numbers are consistent with Maryland SP on searches. There is nothing to challenge or interpret here; the percentages will come from our own reports.

Positives: Prior to their settlement agreement, MSP did not complete reports on non-arrest, seizure searches. We do: negative OPR’s for P/C searches and Consent to Search Data forms would allow us to expand on the particulars of individual searches.

Negatives: When going through depositions, MSP tried to emphasize, when they could, the individual facts of each case. However, according to AAG Hoffman, those efforts were blunted by the percentages. This is very similar to what we faced in the Gloucester County case.

5. Overall approach: Does not appear we will be successful if we enter into a strategy of focusing on defense of our past numbers. Should attempt to shift focus to our future activities; we did not do anything wrong in the past, but were not set up from technological standpoint to monitor activities. We should proactively set up a monitoring system of our own design/choosing before we have one forced upon us. Monitoring will not hurt the Division; management will have ongoing feedback and ability to make needed adjustments.

Suggest we make it clear to all personnel that activity of individual Troopers will be easy to collect and analyze once CAD is on line, and that Division may be compelled to produce the data due to subpoena, discovery request, DOJ inquiry, etc. This understanding, coupled with the distribution of the MSP Settlement Agreement and Lamberth Study, should enlighten personnel as to implications of their enforcement patterns. Thus, our future numbers will probably be more to DOJ’s liking.
There is a Catch-22 to all of this. According to AAG Hoffman in Md., one of the major difficulties he continues to deal with is dissatisfaction on part of ACLU that none of their Troopers have been sanctioned for the results of the Lamberth Study/ongoing monitoring. Any moves of personnel made by MSP have been solely for operational needs. Regardless of how we ultimately end up monitoring our activities, it is essential that the Division develop an internal mechanism which will promptly deal with any irregularities that crop up. This is critical to protect both the Division and individual Troopers.

Forwarded for you review and consideration.......
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/25/97</td>
<td>Major A. J. Sparano</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>RESPONSE TO JUSTICE DEPARTMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations via</td>
<td>V. Littles</td>
<td>INQUIRY RE: PROFILING ALLEGATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>Deputy</td>
<td>RE: IOC# 008646 1D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. R. Roberson Executive Officer</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached interoffice communication regarding the above-captioned subject matter is self-explanatory.

As outlined, the principal concern rests with what the Division desires to capture within the CAD/RMS System when motor vehicle stops are initiated. There are occasions when a trooper misidentifies the race or gender of occupants within a vehicle, when calling in a motor vehicle stop.

Sgt. K. Moore represents that the fields within the CAD/RMS System can subsequently be edited to reflect the **actual** race and sex. It is important that the actual data be captured. Therefore, the following recommendations are made:

1. When necessary, edit the field to reflect actual information surrounding motor vehicle stops.
2. Make an entry in the narrative field to reflect changes. For example, "Initial observation incorrect - race changed from "2" to "1"."

Because this very issue has been under much scrutiny within recent times, it is recommended that this report be forwarded to Lt. D. Blaker, Superintendent’s Office, for further review and comments.

Should this recommendation be satisfactory, Sgt. K. Moore, CAD/RMS System, R&I Section, should be notified.

Forwarded for your information and comments.
FUNCTION: DOCID: TE 066 12000000382 12/14/98  03:33:05 PM
STATUS: PEND3  BATID: TE 066 KATH15  ORG:

EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION INPUT SCREEN
DATE: 12 14 98  ACCTG PRD:  BUDGET FY: 98  ACTION: M

EMPLOYEE ID:

NAME:  COMMENTS:

PHONE: 000 000 0000  TRVL SRVCE ACTION FLAG:

APPROVAL DEADLINE FLAG:

EVENT FY: 99  EVENT CODE: I3MV  DESCRIPTION: 8TH M.V DRUG INTERDICTION

TRIP DATES: FROM: 09 21 98  TO: 09 25 98  TRAV-IND:

AIR/RAIL AMT: 1,112.00  I/D: D  CAR RENTAL AMT: 0.00  I/D:

HOTEL AMT: 42.00  I/D: D  GROUND TRANS AMT: 0.00  I/D:

MEALS AMT: 0.00  I/D:  REGISTRATION AMT: 0.00  I/D:

MISC EXP AMT: 0.00  I/D:

TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMT: 1,154.00  CALCULATED DOC TOTAL: 1,154.00

A--*S001-APPROVAL 1 APPLIED  A--*S002-APPROVAL 2 APPLIED
A--*HSA1-ALL DOCS APPROVED  A--*HS59-BATCH MARKED FOR READ ONLY

GC 002942  125672
<table>
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<tr>
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<tbody>
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<td>01-</td>
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<td>1,154.00</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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# REQUEST FOR TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

**State of New Jersey - Department of Law and Public Safety**  
**Division of State Police**

## PART A - GENERAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>DIVISION</th>
<th>EMPLOYEE NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/28/98</td>
<td>LAW &amp; PUBLIC SAFETY</td>
<td>NJ STATE POLICE</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Employee Title:** Trooper  
**Check Reason for Travel:** Conference/Convening  
**IF 100% Penalty is Available at Time of Approval, We Would Prefer to Use This Fare:** $721.00

## PART B - ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>ORGAN</th>
<th>APPR #</th>
<th>OBJECT</th>
<th>ACTIVITY CD</th>
<th>RPTG CITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>YPAT</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $2,193

## PART C - TRAVEL DESCRIPTION

### Check

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTURE</th>
<th>ARRIVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newark, NJ</td>
<td>San Antonio, TX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hotel

**Name:** Omni - San Antonio, Tx.  
**Dates Needed:** 7/21/98 Thru 7/25/98  
**Total Number of Nights:** 4

### Car Rental

**City:** N/A  
**Downtown Airport:** N/A

### Meals

**Breakfast:** 4 @ $7.00  
**Lunch:** 5 @ $7.00  
**Dinner:** 4 @ $18.00

### Other

- **BellHop/Maid:** $12.00  
- **Registration Fee:** $125.00

## PART D - DIVISION UNIT APPROVAL

**Signature:** Michael J. Tedesco  
**Title:** Deputy Supt.  
**Date:** 8/12/98

## PART E - DEPARTMENTAL APPROVAL

I certify that (1) the charges to be made will be in accordance with State Travel Regulations and will be limited to those required in an official capacity and (2) sufficient funds are available for these charges in the travel account of this agency.

**Authorized:**

---

**GC 002947 125677**
MEMORANDUM TO: Edward Salter  
Resource Analyst  
Accounting & Procurement Operations

SUBJECT: Request for Travel Authorization

Request authorization for Trooper: 
Intelligence Services Section, Intelligence Bureau North Unit, to attend the 8th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction, Intelligence Networking, and Training Conference to be held in San Antonio, Texas from September 21 to 25, 1998.

The conference will be directly related to overland drug interdiction activities with special emphasis on multi-agency networking. The agenda includes presentations on intelligence briefings, vehicle concealment techniques, legal considerations, and technology updates in the area of drug detection. Trooper present assignment includes responsibility for preparing a narcotics trafficking newsletter which is disseminated to police agencies nationwide, many of whom will be attending this conference. This conference will greatly aid Tpr. in his present assignment.

All costs incurred will be reimbursed by the Byrne Memorial Fund Grant.

Kevin McPartland, Major  
Supervisor,  
Intelligence Services Section
CONFERENCE DATA

CONFERENCE TOPICS

will be directly related to Overland Drug Interdiction activities with special emphasis on multi-agency networking cases. The primary purpose of the conference is to continue and encourage the exchange of intelligence and information relative to the use of commercial vehicles by smugglers.

AGENDA TOPICS AND PRESENTATIONS WILL INCLUDE:

- CMV Concealment Techniques
- CMV Drug Interdiction
- Controlled Delivery / Rapid Response
- Drug Detector Dog Programs
- Intelligence Briefings
- Mobile Clandestine Lab Detection
- Officer Safety
- Overland CMV Drug Interdiction
- Legal Considerations
- Passenger Car, Van, Truck, Interdiction
- Management of Drug Interdiction Teams
- Technology Update (Drug Detection)

TRAVEL SHOULD BE COMPLETED BY MONDAY SEPTEMBER 21

- Registration is Monday 4:00 P.M. until 6:00 P.M.
- Presentations begin Tuesday at 9:00 A.M.
- Discounted Car Rentals are available
- Hotel Room Rates are $91 for out of State Travelers or $70 for in State (Texas) Travelers (Maximum Rate allowed by Texas State Law) for Texas Officials

TUITION IS $125.00
- The Conference Adjourns on Friday
- Discounted Air Fares are available

QUESTIONS, SUGGESTIONS, THOUGHTS, AND COMMENTS MAY BE DIRECTED TO:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPIC</th>
<th>DIAP</th>
<th>EPIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State and Local Liaison Unit</td>
<td>Drug Interdiction Assistance Program</td>
<td>Research and Analysis Domestic Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800/927-0468</td>
<td>800/428-6852</td>
<td>800/487-0472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Coonce</td>
<td>Gamble Dick</td>
<td>Teri Clapper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen McLamb-Crow</td>
<td>Lynnette Ford</td>
<td>Alice Hendershot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosie Portillo</td>
<td>Bob Thomasson</td>
<td>Eric Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Riley</td>
<td></td>
<td>Margaret Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Jong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TRAVEL INFORMATION:**

Host Hotel ~ Omni Hotel  
9821 Colonnade Boulevard ~ San Antonio, Texas

**Reservations** 800/843-6664 | **Telephone** 210/691-8888 | **Fax** 210/691-1128

---

**Hotel Rates**

The Hotel has agreed to match Federal Per Diem Rates and accept Tax Exempt status for our guests from outside of Texas. The Room Rate is $91.00 for single or double occupancy. The maximum Hotel rate for a Texas Official is set by State Law and the Hotel has agreed to a rate of $70.00 for Texas Officials to assure compliance with the Law.

---

**Hotel Reservations**  
Should be made prior to August 15, 1998.

**Hotel Parking**  
Is available free of charge.

---

**Airline**

American Airlines has agreed to serve as the Airline Host for the conference and has offered reduced rates for attendees. Reservations may be made by calling:

800/433-1790 and giving Group # S0398U0

American Airlines has agreed that attendees receive a ten per cent reduction of full fares or a five per cent reduction on any discounted fares.

If attendees book their travel at least 60 days in advance, American has agreed to fifteen per cent off of full fares and ten per cent off of discounted fares.

---

**Car Rental**

Avis has agreed to serve as the Service Agent and has offered us reduced rental rates. Reservations may be made by calling:

800/331-1600 and giving Group # J094874

---

**Airport Shuttles**

The Omni Hotel provides a free shuttle.

Cab fare averages $40 and commercial shuttles are $30.00 to or from the airport.
The Omni San Antonio Hotel is located in northwest San Antonio at IH-10 and Wurzbach Road, 15 minutes from downtown and the San Antonio International Airport.

OMNI® SAN ANTONIO HOTEL
9821 Colonnade Boulevard, San Antonio, TX 78230
(210) 691-8888  •  FAX: (210) 691-1128
Visit our Web site at www.omnihotels.com

For reservations and information, see your travel planner or call 1-800-THE-OMNI.
Omni Hotels. Serving you in the United States and Mexico.

© 1997 Omni Hotels
...s exciting and unique as the city itself, the Omni San Antonio Hotel is the polished granite centerpiece of The Colonnade: a 66-acre shopping, dining, and entertainment complex on the edge of the picturesque Texas Hill Country. Elegantly appointed, comfortable guest rooms feature a dazzling array of amenities. And the signature Omni standards of luxury and attention to detail are second-to-none. All just 15 minutes from downtown, with its enchanting River Walk, historic Alamo, multicultural attractions, sporting events, and colorful nightlife. Celebrate the best of both worlds — legendary Alamo City charm and the ultimate hotel experience — at the Omni San Antonio Hotel.

Luxury combined with Texas hospitality create an unforgettable stay:
• 326 guest rooms and suites
• 3 ADA guest rooms and special services
• The Park Restaurant, featuring Texas cuisine in a casual setting
• Lobby bar with light dining and live, relaxing music
• Rooftop ballroom with magnificent skyline view
• Fully-equipped health club with sauna
• Indoor/outdoor pool plus two hydro-therapy pools
• 10 miles to Sea World of Texas; 5 miles to Six Flags Fiesta Texas theme park
• Complimentary airport transportation to and from the San Antonio International Airport
**Registration Form**
Eighth Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction
Intelligence Networking and Training Conference
San Antonio, Texas ♠ September 21 - 25, 1998

---

**PLEASE READ CAREFULLY, COMPLETE, AND FORWARD AS DIRECTED BELOW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tuition</th>
<th>A Tuition fee of $125.00 will be collected by the Texas DPS during registration.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not prepay</td>
<td>Cash or checks will be accepted. We are unable to accept credit cards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make checks payable to the 8th Annual D.I.A.P. Conference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Restrictions  | Attendance is restricted to Law Enforcement Personnel, to include affected Federal, |
|---------------|----------------------------------------| State, County, and Municipal Officials. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reservations</th>
<th>Travel arrangements must be made by the attender.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Media releases are not authorized.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td>Vendors will not attend.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security</th>
<th>Conference ID will be issued at registration and must be worn.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Name** (Please Print or Type Clearly)

**Department or Agency**

as you wish it to appear on the Conference Roster

**Telephone**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Mail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Include Area Code

**Address**

Post Office Box or Street Address

City | State or Province | Country | Zip / Postal Code

---

**Awards Banquet**

A Banquet will be held at the Omni Hotel for all attendees on Wednesday Evening September 23. The Meal is provided for registered conference attendees at no charge to by the Texas Department of Public Safety.

**Banquet Attendance**

- Will attend
- Will not attend

**Registered Spouse or Guest**

- Will bring a spouse or guest
- Will not bring a spouse or guest

**Meal Preference**

- Attender
- Companion
- Chicken
- Steak

---

Should you be traveling with a spouse or guest, be assured they are welcome and encouraged to attend the Banquet. The evening meal and refreshments are offered to your companion for a small charge of $35.00 which includes the banquet and other special activities.

---

**Upon Completion of this Form, Mail or Fax to:**

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - Federal Highway Administration - Office of Motor Carriers

Drug Interdiction Assistance Program - DIAP
611 South Main Street
Woodstock, Virginia 22664

Tuition and spouse / guest fee

---
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THE OFFICE OF MOTOR CARRIERS,  
THROUGH THE DRUG INTERDCTION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM  

JOIN THE  

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY,  
EL PASO INTELLIGENCE CENTER,  
and the  

DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION  

In Requesting the honor of your presence at the  

8TH ANNUAL COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE  
DRUG INTERDCTION, INTELLIGENCE NETWORKING, AND TRAINING CONFERENCE  
SEPTEMBER 21 - 25, 1998  
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS  
OMNI HOTEL  

RSVP
STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE

REQUEST FOR FUNDS

(2) Purchase Period
FROM: July 1, 1998 | TO: June 30, 1999

(3) Fiscal Year
99

(4) Priority
Yes No
X

(5) ACCOUNT NUMBER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>APU #</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OBJECT</th>
<th>COST CENTER</th>
<th>PROJECT ACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>579</td>
<td></td>
<td>3020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(6) Obligation Amount
$2,193.00

(9) Agency Contact
DSG Serge Duda
973-882-1055

(12) NOTE: THIS FORM DOES NOT PERMIT ANY PURCHASES TO BE MADE. NO PURCHASE CAN BE MADE WITHOUT RECEIPT OF AN APPROVED PURCHASE ORDER.

To attend the 8th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction, Intelligence Networking, and Training Conference on September 21 - 25, 1998.

Registration Fee - $ 125.00
Air Fare - 1,547.00
Meals - 145.00
Lodging - 364.00
Incendials - 12.00
(BellHop/Maid)

TOTAL $2,193.00

All expenses incurred will be reimbursed by the Byrne Memorial Fund Grant.

(13) Section/Bureau/Troop/Unit
Intelligence Services Section/Intelligence Bureau/North Unit

(14) Name (Signature)

(15) Phone/Extension
973-882-1055

(16) Purchasing Authorization
Amount $2,193.00 Date 8/24/98

(17) Budget Authorization
Amount $ Signature

This is not an authorization to purchase.
REQUEST TO ATTEND INTELLIGENCE NETWORKING TRAINING CONFERENCE

The United States Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Motor Carriers, through the Drug Interdiction Assistance Program (DIAP), will join the Texas Department of Public Safety, El Paso Intelligence Center (EPIC) and the Drug Enforcement Administration in hosting the 8th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction, Intelligence Networking, and Training Conference in San Antonio, Texas. The conference is scheduled for the week of September 21 through September 25, 1998. Recently, the undersigned has received an invitation from the Drug Interdiction Assistance Program, requesting the undersigned’s presence at this year’s conference (see appended).

The primary purpose of the national conference is to continue and encourage the exchange of intelligence and information relative to the use of commercial vehicles by smugglers and to give a national overview of current drug trafficking trends. The conference will be attended by representatives from local, state, federal, and international agencies that are involved in the intelligence and operational components of narcotics interdiction. The conference will be directly related to overland drug interdiction activities, with special emphasis on multi-agency networking. The agenda topics and presentations will include intelligence briefings, commercial motor vehicle concealment techniques, commercial vehicle drug interdiction, rapid response for controlled deliveries, legal considerations, mobile clandestine lab detection, and technology updates in the area of drug detection.

Over the past two years, the undersigned has had the unique opportunity of attending this premier conference on two occasions. The undersigned has traveled to the states of Ohio and Florida respectively, on off-duty time, to attend past conferences and stay abreast of the latest narcotic trafficking trends. On both occasions, the undersigned has returned to New Jersey with an increased knowledge of drug interdiction strategies, both operationally and intelligence based, that are in existence nationwide.

While attending the 1996 conference, the undersigned provided the conferees with copies of the Intelligence Bureau’s R.I.D.S. newsletter and contributed to the conference’s agenda topics by giving a short presentation on the newsletter and its intelligence value to other agencies nationwide. Approximately fifty law enforcement officers from twenty-three agencies nationwide, as well as internationally, signed up to be future recipients of the Bureau’s newsletter. Following the conference, a Special Report highlighting the conference’s subject matter, was submitted through Troop D headquarters to the Lt. Colonel’s office. This report outlined the
pertinent training information as well as valuable intelligence gleaned from the conference (see appended Special Report).

Prior to the 1997 conference, the undersigned alerted other Division members to the importance and value of this training conference, which prides itself on intelligence networking. In response, the Investigations Section and Field Operations Section assigned four Division members to attend the conference. The undersigned joined the four members in attending the 1997 conference. An increased awareness of the nationwide threat from illicit drug traffickers, as well as a greater knowledge of pertinent legal considerations affecting interdiction programs, was gained by the undersigned.

Since the undersigned's assignment to the Intelligence North Unit, the undersigned has continued to exchange information with agencies nationwide, as well as internationally, on organized criminal activities. The undersigned has conceded the fact that career criminals involved in structured networks are no longer fixed to particular geographical locations. Organized crime figures, whether traditional or non-traditional, utilize modern means of transportation and communications to expand their criminal networks over state lines. Traditional and non-traditional organized crime groups continue to traffic in illicit narcotics to finance their criminal enterprises. Continuing contacts with outside law enforcement agencies, as sources of useful intelligence, is an occupational endeavor that the undersigned wishes to continue while furthering the interests of the Intelligence Service Section.

Additionally, among the undersigned's duties of collecting intelligence on organized crime networks operating in New Jersey, the undersigned has been assigned to assist Bureau personnel in the production of the Bureau's R.I.D.S. newsletter. Maintaining liaisons with outside law enforcement agencies by attending interstate conferences is integral to the success of such a document and its effective dissemination.

Over the past several months the undersigned has assisted the Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau Staff in developing and coordinating the 1998 Narcotics Trafficking Trends and Highway Interdiction Training Course. Instructors from both EPIC and DIAP, with whom the undersigned has maintained a relationship and exchanged intelligence data in the past, were utilized during this presentation. In addition, the undersigned has represented the Intelligence Bureau when called upon to lecture in seminars, whose agendas have included narcotic trafficking trends, hidden compartments, and the legal issues associated with narcotic interdiction. Attendance at the 1998 DIAP conference would greatly enhance the undersigned's abilities to perform assigned tasks when assisting the Narcotic and Organized Crime Bureau in future training courses.
In conclusion, the undersigned respectively requests authorization to represent the Intelligence Bureau by assignment to the 1998 DIAP Training Conference. The training and intelligence acquired from this event would further my occupational interest and personal desire to become more proficient in strategically developing effective investigative and enforcement operations, while advancing the interests of the Intelligence Service Section.
ATTENDANCE OF 1996 DRUG INTERDICTON ASSISTANCE PROGRAM CONFERENCE

On September 9-13, 1996, using off-duty time, the undersigned attended the 6th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction Intelligence, Networking, and Training Conference in Cleveland, Ohio. This event was co-sponsored by the USDOT Drug Interdiction Assistance Program, Drug Enforcement Administration, Ohio State Highway Patrol, and the El Paso Intelligence Center. Upon completing this one week of training, the undersigned has noted valuable points that other agencies are finding useful in their interdiction efforts, particularly in view of the scrutiny that many highway patrol and state police agencies are undergoing at this time from the courts and class action lawsuits.

The importation of illicit drugs in bulk quantities has continued and will continue to increase in the United States across the southwestern U.S. border. According to statistics compiled by the El Paso Intelligence Center, a large percentage, approximately sixty percent, of these quantities are destined for delivery in the northeastern U.S., more specifically New York and New Jersey. Bulk drug couriers continue to use major interstates to transport drugs and currency throughout the country. Interstates 80 and 95 were among the primary routes of travel where sixty percent of the seizures occurred. Due to New Jersey’s proximity to New York City, and the diligence of its troopers, the New Jersey State Police continues to play a crucial part in interdicting illicit drug shipments. In fact, in the northeastern U.S., which includes 22 states, New Jersey reported the most activity - 212 incidents reported to EPIC - in highway drug and currency seizures. New Jersey not only placed number one in crack cocaine seizures, but reported the largest Operation Pipeline seizure on Interstate 78, with 4,994 grams of crack cocaine. New Jersey also seized the most currency in calendar year 1995 - $5,040,960 in 98 incidents. One of the largest currency seizures took place on the New Jersey Turnpike, netting $1,841,587. New Jersey continues to rank high in reported heroin seizures, placing number two with 2,584 grams in 24 incidents. Despite the innovative methods used by bulk drug couriers to conceal their illicit cargo, the New Jersey State Police have continued to wreak havoc upon international drug trafficking organizations by seizing illicit loads traveling on New Jersey’s highways.

Unfortunately, many states that report success in their interdiction efforts have also come to be criticized for these fine efforts. State police and highway patrol agencies continue to be targeted by attorneys spearheading class action lawsuits, and the media in general, which feeds upon such controversy regardless of its factual merits. An aggressive criminal interdiction program, which for years has underscored a highly successful highway and investigative drug enforcement strategy in the New Jersey State Police, continues to uphold our reputation among our peers in other states. Recent attacks upon the NJSP and other agencies have generated considerable concern at this conference. New Jersey State Police was specifically addressed during the conference because of the recent court decision in Gloucester County for profiling drug couriers. As the appeal decision draws closer, many state interdiction teams and their agencies have a vested interest
in its outcome. Attorneys at the helm of drug interdiction lawsuits in other states are also keeping an eye on the New Jersey appellate court decision. Unfortunately for the police drug interdiction community—at-large, the New Jersey State Police is not the only agency being targeted in profiling lawsuits. At present, Maryland State Police, North Carolina Highway Patrol, Illinois State Police, and Missouri Highway Patrol are but a few of the states being targeted for profiling accusations. What do they all have in common with New Jersey? They have all been highly successful in criminal interdiction.

So much of this 1996 conference was focused on the issue of profiling and the court challenges it has generated across the country. Fortunately, this issue was not avoided, but confronted head-on at the conference. Ironically, it has been determined that the actions of state police and highway patrol agencies are being legally challenged not because they have done anything wrong, but rather, that they lacked the sufficient statistical data to show that they were doing things in a proper and procedural fashion. Many of the conferee states are proposing new reporting systems that would record the race and gender of people stopped for motor vehicle violations and other police contacts. This may require additional reporting blocks that address the race of violators on summonses, as well as warnings. These same agencies feel that the courts need to be educated on the "total picture" of contacts troopers make throughout the day. Restricting an examination to subpoenaed summonses and overlooking patrol logs and warnings inaccurately portrays a trooper’s daily patrol activity. Training troopers in criminal interdiction was discussed as the best means to defend against allegations of profiling. Teaching troopers how to aggressively enforce traffic laws, while maintaining a greater awareness of drug trafficking indicators, patterns, and strategies formed the basis for the Federal Highway Administration’s Drug Interdiction Assistance Program’s training message, which is given, as follows:

1. Enforce traffic laws - Make motor vehicle stops.
2. Are drug trafficking indicators present?
4. Search or release.

The Missouri Highway Patrol has polled their citizen population as to the need for drug interdiction, and not surprisingly, there was overwhelming public support for such programs as part of a criminal interdiction strategy. The Ohio Highway Patrol stands behind a mission statement, which includes goals and objectives, and leaves no doubt that they are aggressively enforcing the investigation of criminal activity on state-owned property. State prosecutors in attendance at the conference, and who are assigned in their states to defend drug interdiction teams against legal challenges, as well as state police and highway patrol administrators and road troopers, uniformly agree that proper and procedural documentation and training presents a unified defense against profiling lawsuits.

One of the most notable topics discussed at the conference was Court Preparation
and Testimony. A California Highway Patrol (CHP) officer, with considerable experience in this regard, pointed out that while most police officers realize the importance of good, factual report writing, they may lack the adequate experience, confidence, and knowledge to give good testimony in a courtroom setting. This shortcoming can have an impact not only in the outcome of the court case at hand, but affect other state and outside agency prosecutions of similar offenses. It is not far-reaching to suggest that when officers or troopers testify, they are representing thousands of other law enforcement officers who bring similar offenses before the courts. This cannot be overemphasized in court cases where accusations of profiling are raised. A trooper who may get involved in his first significant seizure and ultimately end up in court, and who has little or no prior testimonial experience in such cases, may not only jeopardize the prosecution of his or her own case, but others as well. A testifying trooper should always remain aware that the merits or deficiencies in each court case may become the underlying logic for motions to suppress evidence or dismiss probable cause in other state or outside agency prosecutions.

The CHP instructor discussed strategies that attorneys use in promoting profiling as a defense tactic. Examples of questions used by defense attorneys to trip up testifying police officers and to raise unrelated issues of profiling are:

1. How many vehicle searches do you conduct monthly?
2. How many vehicles that you search do you actually find drugs in?
3. Could you explain to the court why you are successful 30-40% of the time?
4. Could you tell what the profile of a drug smuggler is?
5. Isn't it a fact that cocaine comes from South America?
6. Isn't it a fact that you look to stop mainly those vehicles occupied by Hispanics?

To the inexperienced, these questions may cause alarm and place the trooper on the defensive. But these questions can be answered correctly without undermining the credibility of the trooper or his agency. Without training or experience, an officer could lose his credibility before both court and jury.

On June 10, 1996, the United States Supreme Court ruled favorably on a case affecting the criminal interdiction activity of police officers. In short, the Court has ruled that so-called "pre-text stops" are legal, provided that an officer can substantiate some legitimate reason for initiating the stop, even if that reason is not the officer's principal enforcement interest. Unfortunately, New Jersey is among a minority of states which does not support this federal ruling. For example, two pounds of cocaine were suppressed in Morris County because the judge felt the trooper was too "noisy" in asking questions of the vehicle occupants during a motor vehicle stop made for a traffic violation. This suppression decision was all the more noteworthy because the trooper had developed sufficient probable cause to obtain a search warrant. When the actions of officers are scrutinized by such a strict guidelines, a greater emphasis has to placed on
the value of court testimony. Defense attorneys will effectively argue procedural problems in the initial motor vehicle stop for a minor traffic violation to divert attention away from the fact that their clients were transporting bulk quantities of drugs. An administrator from the Florida Highway Patrol drug interdiction team instructed conferees that troopers should maintain "traffic portfolios" for themselves, so that they could truthfully testify that minor motor vehicle violations are routinely enforced, not as a "pretextual" ruse for stops that lead to arrests.

The conference also addressed the value of intelligence gathering and dissemination. The R.I.D.S. newsletter produced by the New Jersey State Police Intelligence Bureau was cited as being essential not only for New Jersey law enforcement officers, but police throughout the country. Narcotic trafficking trends, courier indicators, as well as methods of concealment are topics covered in the newsletter to inform both the interdiction specialist and general road duty trooper of current trends. The intelligence reported in the newsletter has had a significant impact for other agencies throughout the country by alerting them to the presence of drug trafficking organizations based in New Jersey. Approximately sixty law enforcement officers from a variety of federal, state, and county agencies, including some from Canada, have submitted their names to receive upcoming editions of R.I.D.S.

This special report is forwarded for your consideration and review. The undersigned remains available to provide additional information as may be requested.
Request authorization for Trooper North Unit, Intelligence Bureau, to travel to San Antonio, Texas, departing September 21, 1998, and returning on September 25, 1998.

The purpose of this travel is to attend the 8th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction, Intelligence Networking, and Training Conference hosted by the United States Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Office of Motor Carriers, through the Drug Interdiction Assistance Program (DIAP). The conference will be held at the Omni San Antonio Hotel, San Antonio, Texas. The primary purpose of the national conference is to encourage the continued exchange of intelligence information relative to the use of commercial vehicles by smugglers and to give a national overview of current drug trafficking trends. The conference will be attended by representatives from local, state, federal, and international agencies that are involved in the intelligence and operational components of narcotics interdiction. The conference will be directly related to overland drug interdiction activities with special emphasis on multi-agency networking. The agenda includes presentations on intelligence briefings, commercial motor vehicle concealment techniques, commercial vehicle drug interdiction, rapid response for controlled deliveries, legal considerations, mobile clandestine lab detection, and technology updates in the area of drug detection.

Trooper present assignment includes responsibility for compiling intelligence data and preparing the Intelligence Bureau's (Reduce Interstate Drug Shipments - R.I.D.S.) newsletter. The R.I.D.S. Newsletter is published periodically by the Intelligence Bureau and is disseminated to many police
agencies nationwide, many of whom will be attending this conference. The information and law enforcement contacts developed during this conference will greatly aid Trooper Guidetti in his present assignment.

Trooper will be departing on September 21, 1998, from Newark Airport on Delta Airlines at 8:40 AM and arriving in San Antonio at 12:59 PM. He will be staying at the Omni San Antonio Hotel. Trooper Guidetti will be departing on September 25, 1998 from San Antonio Airport on Delta Airlines at 12:40 PM and arriving at Newark Airport at 8:19 PM. These are connecting flights and no meals are served.

The cost breakdown of this trip for Tpr. is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airfare, Newark to San Antonio, Roundtrip</td>
<td>$1,547.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference Registration</td>
<td>125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging 4 nights @ $91.00</td>
<td>364.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals Sept. 21 thru Sept. 25, 1998</td>
<td>145.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Breakfasts @ $7.00, 5 Lunches @ $7.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Dinners @ $18.00, Incidentals - 5 days @ $2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation-Hotel provides free shuttle</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. - Gratuities (BellHop/Maid)</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$1,547.00 represents the highest possible airfare.
$ 417.00 represents the lowest airfare available.

$2,193.00 total using highest possible airfare quote.
$1,063.00 total represents the lowest airfare quote.

Transportation costs are not applicable due to hotel providing free bus shuttle service to/from airport.

All costs incurred will be reimbursed by the Byrne Memorial Fund Grant.
**FY:** 99
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**DATE:** 9/21-25/98

**TITLE:** The 8th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction, Intelligence & Networking Conference

**TYPE:** CO

**EVENT CODE:** I 3

---
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Phone #: 882-2000 ex 2243  
Department of: N.J.S.P.  
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<table>
<thead>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES AMT</td>
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### STATE OF NEW JERSEY
#### PAYMENT VOUCHER
(VENDOR INVOICE)

**CONTRACT NO:**

**AGENCY REF:**

**BUYER:**

**(B) TERMS:**

**PAYEE:**

**SEE INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING ITEMS (A) THROUGH (G) **

**TOTAL AMOUNT:** $604.00

**PAYEE NAME AND ADDRESS:**

New Jersey State Police
PO Box 7068
W. Trenton, N.J. 08628-0068

**SEND COMPLETED FORM TO:**

**PAYEE DECLARATIONS**

I CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN PAYMENT VOUCHER IS CORRECT IN ALL ITS PARTICULARS, THAT THE DESCRIBED GOODS OR SERVICES HAVE BEEN FURNISHED OR RENDERED AND THAT NO BONUS HAS BEEN GIVEN OR RECEIVED ON ACCOUNT OF SAID DOCUMENT.

**PAYEE SIGNATURE:**

Detective 10-4-98

**PAYEE TITLE:** BILLING DATE

#### LINE NO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGCY</th>
<th>ORG CODE</th>
<th>SUB-ORG</th>
<th>APPR UNIT</th>
<th>OBJECT CD</th>
<th>REV SRCE</th>
<th>SUB-OBJ</th>
<th>PROJECT/JO NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>3060</td>
<td>574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### RPT CT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BS ACT</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Louis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ITEM NO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMODITY CODE/DESCRIPTION OF ITEM</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>80.50</td>
<td>322.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals: Breakfast</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>72.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Grat. M &amp; D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidents</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ea</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL:** $604.00

**CERTIFICATION BY RECEIVING AGENCY:**

**CERTIFICATION BY APPROVAL OFFICER:**

125698

GC 002968
GUIDETT, RAYMOND
R6389851 DPT. OF PUBLIC SAFETY
PO BOX 700
PINE BROOK NJ 07058

ROOM NUMBER: 1015
DAILY RATE: $70.00
ROOM TYPE: DDN
NO. OF GUESTS: 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>ROOM NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>REFERENCE</th>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/21/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/18:56/1/2102258500</td>
<td>GGOVT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/21/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/00:21/2/2102258500</td>
<td>GGOVT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/21/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>ROOM CHARGE</td>
<td>#1015 GUIDETT, RAYMOND</td>
<td>6389851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/21/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/21/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/22/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>ROOM CHARGE</td>
<td>#1015 GUIDETT, RAYMOND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/22/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/22/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/21:18/1/6965400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/21:29/1/411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/21:32/2/6965400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/21:37/2/6965400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>ROOM CHARGE</td>
<td>#1015 GUIDETT, RAYMOND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/15:41/2/6252900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/16:55/2/2244555</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/18:04/2/2258500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>LOCAL PHONE</td>
<td>1015/18:16/1/7591694</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>ROOM CHARGE</td>
<td>#1015 GUIDETT, RAYMOND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td>CITY TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/24/98</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td>STATE OCCUPANCY TAX @ 6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL DUE: $327.15

TERMS: DUE AND PAYABLE UPON PRESENTATION. I AGREE THAT MY LIABILITY FOR THIS BILL IS NOT WAIVED AND AGREED TO HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE ABOVE AMOUNT.
8TH ANNUAL DRUG INTERDICTION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM CONFERENCE

SEPTEMBER 21-25 1998

RECEIPT

NAME: _______________________

AMOUNT PAID: $125.00
REQUEST FOR TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

STATE OF NEW JERSEY • DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
DIVISIONS OF OFFICE MANAGEMENT & BUDGET • GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

PART A — GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: 8/8/97
DEPARTMENT: Law & Public Safety
DIVISION/UNIT: State Police
EMPLOYEE NAME: Sergeant
EMPLOYEE TITLE: Sergeant
EMPLOYEE PHONE NO.: 609-530-3082

CHECK REASON FOR TRAVEL:
☐ State Business
☒ Conference/Convention
☐ Start Training

EXPLAIN REASON BELOW AND LIST NAMES OF OTHER EMPLOYEES TRAVELING ON SAME MISSION
Sgt. and Det. instruct a course on narcotic trafficking trends. This conference will enable them to update their information for presentation during their in-service training.

IF 100% PENALTY IS AVAILABLE AT TIME OF APPROVAL, WE WOULD PREFER TO USE THIS FARE. $ __________________ (FARE) ☐ YES ☐ NO

PART B — TRAVEL DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHECK</th>
<th>DEPARTURE</th>
<th>ARRIVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>Orlando</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>9/15/97</td>
<td>9/15/97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>10:55 AM</td>
<td>1:30 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENALTY</td>
<td>443.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTIMATED COST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOTEL</th>
<th>Holiday Inn International</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date Needed</td>
<td>9/15, 9/16, 9/17, 9/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NUMBER OF NIGHTS</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGLE ROOM</td>
<td>XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>306 36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CAR RENTAL | |
| City | |
| DOWNTOWN | |
| AIRPORT | |
| Date Needed | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEALS</th>
<th>Breakfast</th>
<th>Lunch</th>
<th>Dinner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENTER NUMBER OF EACH SERVING</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>127 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTHER: (Please explain. Attach additional sheets if necessary)
Registration Fee $110.00
Miscellaneous $18.00

All expenses will be paid by the Byrne Memorial Grant

TOTAL $1447 36

PART C — AGENCY CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY that (1) the charges to be made will be in accordance with State Travel Regulations and will be limited to those required in an official capacity and (2) sufficient funds are available for these charges in the travel account of this agency.

Authorized Signature: __________________________ Title: __________________________ Date: __________________________

PART D — TO AGENCY REQUESTING TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

☐ APPROVED IN A SUM NOT TO EXCEED: $ __________________________

☐ DISAPPROVED:

Travel Complete
O Balance

12/29/97

Signature: __________________________ Date: __________________________

GC 002971

125701

The purpose of this travel is to attend the 9th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction Intelligence Networking and Training Conference sponsored by the Florida Highway Patrol, El Paso Intelligence Center and the Drug Enforcement Administration. The conference will be held at the Holiday Inn International Drive Resort, Orlando, Florida. The primary purpose of the conference is to continue the exchange of intelligence and information relative to the use of commercial vehicles by smugglers. Presentations by representatives of State, Local and Federal Agencies will be directed toward informing participants of emerging overland drug trafficking trends and innovative interdiction tactics. Sgt. in Det.I are tasked with the responsibility of presenting a block of instruction titled "Narcotic Trafficking Trend, Methods of Concealment" for In-Service training. The information gathered and the personal contacts made during this conference will enable them to stay abreast of the latest trafficking trends which they will include in their presentations.

The expenses will be reimbursed by the Byrne Memorial Grant.

(13) Section/Bureau/Troop/Unit
Investigations Section/Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau

(14) Name (Signature)
Lt. H. Butt #2690

(15) Phone/Extension
609-530-3080

(16) Purchasing Authorization
Amount $_________ Date ________

(17) Budget Authorization
Amount $_________ Date ________

NOTE: THIS FORM DOES NOT PERMIT ANY PURCHASES TO BE MADE. NO PURCHASE CAN BE MADE WITHOUT RECEIPT OF AN APPROVED PURCHASE ORDER.
REQUEST TO ATTEND COMMERCIAL M.V. INTELLIGENCE/NETWORKING SEMINAR

I AM CURRENTLY RESPONSIBLE, ALONG WITH DETECTIVE #4303 INVESTIGATIONS SECTION SPECIAL OPERATIONS UNIT, FOR DEVELOPING, UPDATING AND PRESENTING THE DIVISION'S NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING TRENDS HIGHWAY DRUG INTERDICTION SEMINAR TO SWORN DIVISION PERSONNEL. DETECTIVE I AND MYSELF HAVE PRESENTED ONE SUCH SEMINAR ON APRIL 8, 1997 AND ARE SCHEDULED TO PRESENT THE NEXT SEMINAR ON AUGUST 21, 1997. IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT THESE SEMINARS WILL CONTINUE TO BE PRESENTED TO SWORN DIVISION PERSONNEL ON A QUARTERLY BASIS. IN ADDITION DETECTIVE AND MYSELF PRESENTED A SEGMENT RELATING TO CURRENT NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING TRENDS DURING THE NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE N.O.C.E. IMPACT: DRUGS IN NEW JERSEY SEMINAR ON APRIL 10, 1997. IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT DETECTIVE AND MYSELF UTILIZE ALL MEANS POSSIBLE TO KEEP ABREAST OF THE EVER CHANGING DEVELOPMENTS AND TRENDS RELATED TO NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING.


ALL EXPENSES INCURRED WILL BE FUNDED THROUGH THE BYRNE MEMORIAL GRANT.

ALL TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS WILL BE MADE BY THE NARCOTICS ORGANIZED CRIME BUREAU.
Seventh Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction
Intelligence Networking and Training Conference
Orlando, Florida

PRE-REGISTRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME/TITLE</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>830 Bear Tavern Rd., Suite 106</td>
<td>West Trenton, N.J. 08628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET OR POST OFFICE BOX</th>
<th>CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE NUMBER</th>
<th>PAGER</th>
<th>CELLULAR NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>609-530-3082</td>
<td>x Yes</td>
<td>___ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Will you be attending the banquet?  

Will there be a guest attending the banquet  (with you? ($30.00 additional fee)  

What is your preference?  

If there is a guest attending the banquet  with you, what is their preference?  

Will you be extending your stay in Florida?  

*Tuition Fee of $110 will be collected during registration on Monday, September 15, 1997

!! DO NOT PREPAY !!

RSVP COMPLETED FORM BY MAIL OR FAX BY AUGUST 15, 1997 TO:

Drug Interdiction Assistance Program-DIAP
901 North Stuart Street, Suite 305
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Attention: Lynette Ford
Telephone (703)235-0503 Fax (703)235-0517
DATE 9/10/92  TIME  7:30 2:15
NUMBER OF PAGES 5  (INCLUDING THIS SHEET)
TO Bob Marut

FAX NUMBER 984-3393
FROM MaryAnn  EXT. 2244

COMMENTS Copy of Hotel as Per Toni Chapman
Doc # 1200 0000 187

Thank you
MaryAnn AB

TRANSMISSION REPORT

THIS DOCUMENT (REDUCED SAMPLE ABOVE) WAS SENT

** COUNT **
# 5

*** SEND ***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>REMOTE STATION I.D.</th>
<th>START TIME</th>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>#PAGES</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 609 292 7052</td>
<td>8-0-80</td>
<td>9:02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL 0:00 47 5  XEROX TELECOPIER 7020

GC 002975 125705
FUNCTION: DOCID: TE 066 12000000187 09/08/97 01:36:28 PM
STATUS: PEND EMPLOYEE-TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION INPUT SCREEN
ORG:
DATE: 09 08 97 ACCTG PRD: BUDGET FY: 98 ACTION: E
EMPLOYEE ID:
NAME: COMMENTS: TRVL SRVCE ACTION FLAG: Y
PHONE: 609 882 2000 APPROVAL DEADLINE FLAG: Y
EVENT FY.: 98 EVENT CODE: I3DI DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERDICT INTELLIGENCE
TRIP DATES: FROM: 09 15 97 TO: 09 19 97 TRAV-IND:
AIR/RAIL AMT: 886.00 I/D: CAR RENTAL AMT: 0.00 I/D:
 HOTEL AMT: 306.36 I/D: GROUND TRANS AMT: 0.00 I/D:
 MEALS AMT: 127.00 I/D: REGISTRATION AMT: 110.00 I/D:
MISC EXP AMT: 18.00 I/D:
TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMT: 1,447.36 CALCULATED DOC TOTAL: 1,447.36
A--S001-APPROVAL 1 APPLIED A--S002-APPROVAL 2 APPLIED
A--HS60-DOCUMENT MARKED FOR READ ONLY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>004 YPAT 3020</td>
<td>1,447.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- 01- 01 - 100 066 1200 004 YPAT 3020 Y
- 02- 066
- 03- 066
- 04- 066
- 05- 066
- 06- 066

**Function:** Employee Travel Authorization Input Screen
**Status:** PEND
**DocID:** TE 066 12000000187
**Date:** 09/08/97
**Time:** 01:36:30 PM
**Org:** 001:001 OF 001
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANS CD</th>
<th>TRANS AGY</th>
<th>TRANS NUMBER</th>
<th>APPROVAL DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>120000000187</td>
<td>09 10 97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

01- *L030 ALL LINES ADDED
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEXT</th>
<th>LINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01- ATTEND 7TH ANNUAL COMMERCIAL VEHICLE DRUG INTERDICTION, INTELLIGENCE</td>
<td>001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02- NETWORKING CONFERENCE, EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON DRUG TRAFFICKING.</td>
<td>003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03- DEPART NEWARK 9/15 AT 10:55AM/ARRIVE ORLANDO FL 9/15 AT 1:30PM</td>
<td>005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04- DEPART ORLANDO FL 9/19 AT 5:55PM/ARRIVE NEWARK 9/19 AT 8:30PM</td>
<td>007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05- AIRFARE-886.00, HOTEL 306.36,69.00 PER NITE 11% TAX, MISC. 18.00</td>
<td>009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06- REGISTRATION 110.00, MEALS B4,L5,D3 127.00</td>
<td>011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07- ALL EXPENSES REIMBURSED BY THE BYRNE MEMORIAL GRANT.</td>
<td>013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08- ALSO ATTENDING DET. IANNONE</td>
<td>015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-*L031 ALL LINES CHANGED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: DSG

FROM: KRISTIN TURNER, HOLIDAY INN

DATE: AUGUST 8, 1997

RE: DIAP RESERVATION, ROOM RENTALS

ROOM RENTAL PER NIGHT IS $69.00 plus 11% TAX = $76.59 PER NIGHT

76.59 x 4 nights = $306.36 PER ROOM

2 ROOMS AT 4 NIGHTS = $612.72
**New Jersey State Police**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/08/97</td>
<td>Major R.D. Dunlop</td>
<td>Captain J. Ruggeri</td>
<td>TRAVEL/CONFERENCE MEETING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investigations Officer</td>
<td>Bureau Chief, Fiscal Control Bureau</td>
<td>OUT-OF-STATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via Lt. Col. L.R. Roberson</td>
<td>via Major B. Roberson Administrative Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/14/97 Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via Lt. Col. M. Fedorko</td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The purpose of this travel is to attend the 7th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction Intelligence Networking and Training Conference sponsored by the Florida Highway Patrol, El Paso Intelligence Center and the Drug Enforcement Administration. The conference will be held at the Holiday Inn International Drive Resort, Orlando, Florida. The primary purpose of the conference is to continue the exchange of intelligence and information relative to the use of commercial vehicles by smugglers. Presentations by representatives of State, Local and Federal Agencies will be directed toward informing participants of emerging overland drug trafficking trends and innovative interdiction tactics.

Sgt. and Det.I are tasked with the responsibility of presenting a block of instruction titled "Narcotic Trafficking Trends, Methods of Concealment" for In-Service training. The information gathered and the personal contacts made during this conference will enable them to stay abreast of the latest trafficking trends which they will include in their presentations.
The projected expenses are itemized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airfare</td>
<td>$886.00@ (2 det.)</td>
<td>$1772.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging</td>
<td>$ 76.59@ (4 nights x 2 det.)</td>
<td>$ 612.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals</td>
<td>$ 34.00@ (5 days x 2 det.)</td>
<td>$ 254.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9/15 L,D,I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9/16 B,L,D,I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9/17 B,L,I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9/18 B,L,D,I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9/19 B,L,I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration Fee</td>
<td>$110.00@ (2 det.)</td>
<td>$ 220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$ 18.00@ (2 det.)</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = $2894.72

NOTE: Airfare could be reduced to a minimum of $204.00 per ticket if booked 21 days in advance. The registration cost includes a dinner on September 17, 1997.

The expenses will be reimbursed by the Byrne Memorial Grant.

For your information and approval.
TRAVEL EVENT/AGENCY TABLE

KEY IS FISCAL YEAR, EVENT CODE AND AGENCY CODE
FY: 98 EVENT: I3DI AGENCY: 066 PERSONS AUTH: 120 PERSONS ACTUAL: 000
EVENT DESC: DRUG INTERDICTION INTELLIGENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHORIZED AMOUNTS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL:</td>
<td>HOTEL:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR RENTALS:</td>
<td>GROUND TRANSP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION:</td>
<td>TUITION:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES:</td>
<td>MISC:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTUAL AMOUNTS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL:</td>
<td>HOTEL:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR RENTALS:</td>
<td>GROUND TRANSP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION:</td>
<td>TUITION:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES:</td>
<td>MISC:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

01-NIJO2E PERS AUTH > MAXIMUM ALLOWED  01-*L033 NO LINES ADDED
DATE 8/22/92  TIME 3:30

NUMBER OF PAGES 3 (INCLUDING THIS SHEET)

TO  

FAX NUMBER 530-6639

FROM Maryann EXT. 2244

COMMENTS W-9 Forms

Thank you
VENDOR TABLE

01- VENDOR ID: 149461514 00 MISC VENDOR IND: N --- ALTERNATE-NAME/ADDRESS ---

NAME: RICARDO A OCETNIK

ADDRESS: 123 SWEETBRIAR LANE

- N PLAINFIELD NJ 07060

PHONE: 609 - 882 - 2000 LAST ACTION DATE: 960105

CONTACT: 1 COUNTRY: US INACTIVE: N

FEDERAL ID NUMBER: ___ VENDOR TYPE: EP HOLD: N

TEXT IND: COUNTY/MUNIC: PP EXEMPT: Y

COMMENT: DISTRICT: ACH VENDOR: N

ACCOUNT: REC TYPE: 1099: N

A/R CONT: REPT-3: COMPANY SIZE: U

DISCNT: PAY DAY: REPT-4: IN-STATE: Y


W9 WITHHELD AMT: 0.00 BUSINESS TYPE: I

COMMODITY: VEND YR END:

CALENDAR YTD AMT: 0.00 PRIOR CAL YR AMT: 102.32

FY YTD AMT: 0.00 PRIOR FY AMT: 0.00

DATE ENTERED: 950616 USERID: TYMMIT1
FY: 98
MONTH: September
DATE: 09/15 TO 09/19/97
TITLE: 7th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction Intelligence Networking & Training Conference
TYPE: CO

EVENT CODE: 1 3 D I

Requested by: Maryann Pelosi
Phone #: 882-2000 x 2244
Dept: NJSP
Date: 09/05/97

---------------------------------

Months A Da
Jan = A 1 <->
Feb = B 8 <->
Mar = C 15 <->
Apr = D 22 <->
May = E 29 <->
Jun = F
g = G
Jul = H
Aug = I
Sep = J
Oct = K
Nov = L
Dec = L

11 year = AY NO DAYS

$125.00
STATE OF NEW JERSEY
QUESTIONNAIRE

THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY REQUIRES COMPLETION OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE TO VERIFY ESTABLISH YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND TAXPAYER ID ON STATE RECORDS. PLEASE REVIEW THE INFORMATION BELOW, CORRECT ERRORS, AND ANSWER THE QUESTIONS PER SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS. RETURN THE COMPLETED FORM TO THE STATE IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

REQUEST

IF NOT PAID BY THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY UNTIL THIS FORM IS COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY. FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CALL (800) 293-9124

NAME/ADDRESS

REQUEST FOR TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AND CERTIFICATION

Enter your taxpayer identification number and indicate whether it is a social security or employer identification number by marking the appropriate box.

Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)

For payees exempt from backup withholding

(Request the IRS for instructions)

(Requester's name and address (optional))

Social Security Number

Employer Identification Number

Check your TIN:

☐ TIN differs from the TIN printed on the box.

☐ TIN is correct.

Certification: Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:

☐ The number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number (or I am waiting for a number to be issued to me)

☐ AND

☐ (2) I am not subject to backup withholding because: (a) I am exempt from backup withholding; or (b) I have not been notified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of failure to report all interest or dividends, or (c) the IRS has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding.

Certification Instructions: You must cross out item (2) above if you have been notified by the IRS that you are currently subject to backup withholding because of unreported interest or dividends on your tax return. For real estate transactions, item (2) does not apply. For mortgage interest paid, the acquisition or abandonment of secured property, contributions to an IRA, and generally payments other than interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the Certification, but you must provide your correct TIN.

Signature

Date

STATE OF NEW JERSEY INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE
(COUNTY MUNICIPAL CODE TABLE ON BACK)

1. Enter the code from the list below that best describes your business function:

☐ VA = RETAILER
☐ VH = NURSING HOME
☐ XP = HOSPITAL
☐ PC = PETTY CASH FUND
☐ CU = COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY

☐ VD = DEALER OR DISTRIBUTOR
☐ VP = MANUFACTURER/PRODUCER
☐ CF = CONFIDENTIAL FUND
☐ VM = MAINT/REPAIR SERVICES

☐ VC = PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT SERVICES
☐ VA = FACILITY RENOVATION/ALTERATIONS
☐ CF = CONFIDENTIAL FUND
☐ VT = MAINT/REPAIR SERVICES

☐ BA = STATE AGENCY
☐ BD = SCHOOL DISTRICT
☐ HC = HEALTH CARE FACILITY
☐ OA = OTHER (Please Specify)

☐ EP = NJ STATE EMPLOYEE

2. Enter primary contact information below.

PHONE:

NAME:

TITLE: SGT

IF YOU ARE A NJ STATE EMPLOYEE, NJ MANAGER OF A CONFIDENTIAL FUND OR A PETTY CASH FUND, DO NOT ANSWER THE BALANCE OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

3. Do you currently receive or expect to receive RENT PAYMENTS from the State of New Jersey? 

☐ YES

☐ NO

4. What is the principal activity of your organization?

☐ M = MANUFACTURING
☐ G = SERVICE
☐ C = CORPORATION

☐ H = HEALTH RELATED SERVICE
☐ O = GOVERNMENT
☐ I = INDIVIDUAL

☐ S = SERVICE
☐ P = PARTNERSHIP
☐ A = ASSOCIATION

☐ O = OTHER (Please Specify)

☐ J = JOINT

5. Enter the code from the list below that best describes your organization:

Enter your 4 digit County/Municipality Code for NJ Addresses Only (See reverse side for appropriate code):

6. IMPORTANT: ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (Please print or type clearly)

GC 002987

125717
DATE  9/10/97  
TIME  7:30 2:15

NUMBER OF PAGES 5 
(INCLUDING THIS SHEET)

TO  Bob Marut

FAX NUMBER 984-3373

FROM  MARY ANN  EXT. 2244

COMMENTS  Copy of Hotel as per Toni Chapman  
Doc # 1200 0000 187  
Thank you  

Mary Ann Richala

GC 002988  125718
TRAVEL APPROVAL

The attached printout shows the total amount approved for your trip in each category. If any expenses exceed 10% of the approved amount in that category, written justification is required before any increase will be considered or approved. **ALL** increases must be approved before a reimbursement can be processed. **ALL** increases must go through the normal travel process for final approval. This includes the Attorney General’s Office and Department of Treasury.

NAME:  

DESTINATION: Orlando, Fla.

DATE: 9/15/97 - 9/19/97

TOTAL AMOUNT APPROVED: $1,447.36

**ALL TRAVEL EXPENSES MUST BE RECONCILED WITHIN FIVE (5) DAYS UPON RETURN OF TRIP. REQUESTS FOR REIMBURSEMENT WITH ALL RECEIPTS REQUIRED MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PAYMENT VOUCHER.**

**IF PAYMENT IS BEING MADE TO A VENDOR FOR REGISTRATION/TUITION/CONFERENCE FEE, THE INVOICE AND NOTIFICATION OF ATTENDANCE AND RECEIPT FOR AMOUNT DUE IS ALSO SUBMITTED AT THE SAME TIME.**

Capt. Joseph Ruggeri  
Fiscal Officer
ACTION: R SCREEN: OTH1 USERID: LPPPEKA
TRIP RECORD TABLE
KEY IS EMPLOYEE NUMBER, TRANSACTION CODE, AGENCY, AND NUMBER
EMPLOYEE NUMBER: 
EMPLOYEE NAME: 

TRANS CODE: TE TRANS AGENCY: 066 TRANS NUMBER: 12000000187
PHONE: 609 882 2000 TRAV SERV ACTION FLAG: Y
TRIP DATES: START: 09 15 97 END: 09 19 97
BUDGET FY: 98 STATUS: O

EVENT FY: 98 EVENT CODE: I3DI DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERDICTION INTELLIGENCE
LAST REFERENCE TRANS: DATE:

AUTHORIZED AMOUNTS:
AIR/RAIL AMT: 886.00 CAR RENTAL AMT: 0.00
HOTEL AMT: 306.36 GROUND TRANS AMT: 0.00
MEALS AMT: 127.00 REGISTRATION AMT: 110.00
FEES AMT: 0.00 TUITION AMT: 0.00
MISC EXP AMT: 18.00

TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMOUNT: 1,447.36
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTEL AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC EXP AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR RENTAL AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUND TRANS AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUITION AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILEAGE AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEE ADVANCES</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYEE EXPENSES</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT EXPENSES</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTSTAND ADVANCES</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ACTUAL AMOUNT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REFUND AMOUNT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET ACTUAL AMOUNT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIP CLOSE DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans Code</td>
<td>Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-</td>
<td>066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-</td>
<td>066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air/Rail AMT:</td>
<td>440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel AMT:</td>
<td>276.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals AMT:</td>
<td>127.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Exp AMT:</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Rental AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Trans AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration AMT:</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuition AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mileage AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Advances:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Actual Amount:</td>
<td>969.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Expenses:</td>
<td>529.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Refund Amount:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Expenses:</td>
<td>440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Actual Amount:</td>
<td>969.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRIP CLOSE DATE: 478.36
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>SCREEN</th>
<th>ORQL USERID</th>
<th>LPPMANG</th>
<th>12/02/97 11:17:41 AM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPEN REQUISITION LINE TABLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEY IS TRANS CODE, REQ AGENCY, REQ NO, LINE NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS CODE: TE</td>
<td>REQ AGENCY: 066</td>
<td>REQ NO: 12000000187</td>
<td>LINE NO: 01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUND: 100</td>
<td>AGENCY: 066</td>
<td>ORG: 1200</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: YPAT</td>
<td>OBJECT: 3020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPR UNIT: 004</td>
<td>I/G FUND:</td>
<td>I/G AGENCY:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT NUMBER:</td>
<td>LINE AMT: 1,447.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOB NUMBER:</td>
<td>CLOSED AMT: 969.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTING CATEGORY:</td>
<td>OBLIG AMT: 969.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAST REF TRANS#/DATE: TV06612002006474 971114</td>
<td>OUTSTG AMT: 478.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS CODE: TE</td>
<td>REQ AGENCY: 066</td>
<td>REQ NO: 12000000188</td>
<td>LINE NO: 01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUND: 100</td>
<td>AGENCY: 066</td>
<td>ORG: 1200</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: YPAT</td>
<td>OBJECT: 3020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPR UNIT: 004</td>
<td>I/G FUND:</td>
<td>I/G AGENCY:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT NUMBER:</td>
<td>LINE AMT: 1,447.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOB NUMBER:</td>
<td>CLOSED AMT: 999.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTING CATEGORY:</td>
<td>OBLIG AMT: 999.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAST REF TRANS#/DATE: TV06612002006573 971114</td>
<td>OUTSTG AMT: 448.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUTHORIZED AMOUNTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL AMT:</td>
<td>886.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTEL AMT:</td>
<td>306.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS AMT:</td>
<td>127.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC EXP AMT:</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR RENTAL AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUND TRANS AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION AMT:</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUITION AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMOUNT:</td>
<td>1,447.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION INPUT SCREEN

BATCH DATE: 12 03 97

NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS: 1
ACTUAL BATCH COUNT: 1

NET AMOUNT: 478.36
ACTUAL BATCH AMOUNT: 478.36
FUNCTION:       DOCID: TE 066 12000000187  12/03/97  02:58:10 PM
STATUS: PEND3    BATID: TE 066 ANN39 ORG:
               EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION INPUT SCREEN
DATE: 12'03 97  ACCTG PRD:  BUDGET FY: 98  ACTION: M
EMPLOYEE ID:
               NAME: BABBAS, J. G/T/NTK
               PHONE: 609 882 2000
               EVENT FY: 98  EVENT CODE: I3DI  DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERD:ION INTELLIGENCE
TRIP DATES: FROM: 09 15 97  TO: 09 19 97  TRAV-IND:
AIR/RAIL AMT:  446.00 I/D: D  CAR RENTAL AMT:  0.00 I/D:
               HOTEL AMT:  30.36 I/D: D  GROUND TRANS AMT:  0.00 I/D:
               MEALS AMT:  0.00 I/D:  REGISTRATION AMT:  0.00 I/D:
MISC EXP AMT:  2.00 I/D: D
TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMT:  478.36  CALCULATED DOC TOTAL:  478.36
H---S403-READY FOR APPROVAL 3
H---S405-READY FOR APPROVAL 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>ORG UNIT</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>OBJ</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TXT</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01-</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>YPAT</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td></td>
<td>478.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC 002998

125728
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>01-</th>
<th>TRANS CODE: TE</th>
<th>REQ AGENCY: 066</th>
<th>REQ NO: 12000000187</th>
<th>LINE NO: 01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FUND: 100</td>
<td>AGENCY: 066</td>
<td>ORG: 1200</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: YPAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APPR UNIT: 004</td>
<td>I/G FUND:</td>
<td>I/G AGENCY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECT NUMBER:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JOB NUMBER:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REPORTING CATEGORY:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAST REF TRANS#/DATE: TV06612002006474 971114</td>
<td>OUTSTG AMT: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LINE AMT: 969.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLOSED AMT: 969.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OBLIG AMT: 969.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>02-</th>
<th>TRANS CODE: TE</th>
<th>REQ AGENCY: 066</th>
<th>REQ NO: 12000000188</th>
<th>LINE NO: 01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FUND: 100</td>
<td>AGENCY: 066</td>
<td>ORG: 1200</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: YPAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APPR UNIT: 004</td>
<td>I/G FUND:</td>
<td>I/G AGENCY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROJECT NUMBER:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JOB NUMBER:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REPORTING CATEGORY:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAST REF TRANS#/DATE: TV06612002006573 971114</td>
<td>OUTSTG AMT: 0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LINE AMT: 999.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLOSED AMT: 999.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OBLIG AMT: 999.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TRAVEL VOUCHER INPUT SCREEN

DATE: 11 03 97    ACCTG PRD: BUDGET FY: 98    ACTION: E    TYPE: S
CMNTS: PP START: SCH PAY DT: STATUS FLAG: 0
REF TRAVEL DOCUMENT: TRANS CD: TE TRANS AGY: 066 TRANS NUMBER: 12000000187
CHECK CAT: 99 SINGLE CHECK FLAG: Y    TRIP DATES: FROM: 09 15 97 TO: 09 19 97
EMPLY ID:    RV ASGT CLS: VENDOR:
NAME:
ADDR: 100 W NEWBURY AVE
NY 07000
EVENT FY: 98 EVENT CODE: I3DI DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERDICIION INTELLIGENCE
MILEAGE: I/D: AMOUNT: 0.00
AIR/RAIL AMT: 0.00 I/D: CAR RENTAL AMT: 0.00 I/D:
HOTEL AMT: 276.00 I/D: GROUND TRANS AMT: 0.00 I/D:
MEALS AMT: 127.00 I/D: REGISTRATION AMT: 110.00 I/D:
FEES AMT: 0.00 I/D: TUITION AMT: 0.00 I/D:
MISC EXP AMT: 16.00 I/D: ADVANCE AMT: 0.00 I/D:
TOTAL ACTUAL AMT: 529.00 CALCULATED DOC TOTAL: 529.00
A--S001-APPROVAL 1 APPLIED A--S002-APPROVAL 2 APPLIED
A--HS00-DOCUMENT MARKED FOR READ ONLY

11/5/97

GC 003000    125730
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LN</th>
<th>ORD/REQ REFERENCE</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>AGY</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>LN</th>
<th>TE/TH LINE</th>
<th>PAYEE REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>YPAT 3020</td>
<td>529.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**STATE OF NEW JERSEY**  
**PAYMENT VOUCHER**  
**(VENDOR INVOICE)**

**CONTRACT NO:**  
**AGENCY REF:**  
**BUYER:**  
**TERMS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE NO</th>
<th>REFERENCE</th>
<th>PAYEE REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ocetnick-Orlando</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGCY</th>
<th>ORG CODE</th>
<th>SUB-ORG</th>
<th>APPR UNIT</th>
<th>ACTIVITY CD</th>
<th>OBJECT CD</th>
<th>SUB-OBJ</th>
<th>REV SRCE</th>
<th>SUB-REV</th>
<th>PROJECT/ JOB NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td>.1200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YPAT</td>
<td>.3020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPT CT</th>
<th>BS ACT</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>PF</th>
<th>TX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>529.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM NO</th>
<th>COMMODITY CODE/DESCRIPTION OF ITEM</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>REIMBURSEMENT FOR SGT. RICARDO A. OCETNIK WHILE ATTENDING THE 7/TH ANNUAL COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE DRUG INTERDICATION INTELLIGENCE NETWORKING AND TRAINING CONFERENCE. ORLANDO, FL. 9/15/97 - 9/19/97.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **HOTEL**
- **MEALS $34.00/Q (5 DAYS). 4B, 5L, 3D**
- **REGISTRATION FEE**
- **MISCELLANEOUS**
  - SKY CAP
  - BELL HOP
  - MAID

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM NO</th>
<th>COMMODITY CODE/DESCRIPTION OF ITEM</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TE 066/1200 0070157</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERTIFICATION BY RECEIVING AGENCY:</th>
<th>I certify that the above articles have been received or services rendered as stated herein.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERTIFICATION BY APPROVAL OFFICER:</th>
<th>I certify that this Payment Voucher is correct and just, and payment is approved.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorized Signature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room</td>
<td>Arrive Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

checked in by: LUC 06/15/07
Checked out by: 

Indepebndently owned and operated by Bristol Hotels and Resorts

I authorize you to bill the full balance of my account to my credit card which was presented upon registration.

SIGNATURE

The management is not responsible for any valuables not secured in safety deposit boxes provided at the hotel office. I agree that my liability for the charges is not waived and agree to be held personally liable in the event that the indicated person, company or association fails to pay for any part or the full amount of such charges.

SIGNATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/15</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0915000</td>
<td>CASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/16</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/16</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/16</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/16</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0916000</td>
<td>JSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/17</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/17</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/17</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0917000</td>
<td>LAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0918000</td>
<td>JSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/19</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>609002</td>
<td>WRG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL: 125733**

GC 003003
Registration Receipt

Seventh Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction Intelligence Networking and Training Conference
Orlando, Florida

Lieutenant Martin V. Duncan
Florida Highway Patrol
Hosting Agency

**This receipt is proof of payment of $110.00 registration fee to the Seventh Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction Intelligence Networking and Training Conference**
TRAVEL VOUCHER INPUT SCREEN

DATE: 11/03/97  ACCTG PRD:  BUDGET FY: 98  ACTION: E  TYPE: S
CMNTS:  PP START:  SCH PAY DT:  STATUS FLAG: O
REF TRAVEL DOCUMENT: TRANS CD: TE TRANS AGY: 066 TRANS NUMBER: 12000000187
CHECK CAT: 99  SINGLE CHECK FLAG: Y  TRIP DATES: FROM: 09/15/97 TO: 09/19/97
EMPLOYEE ID: 1482456789  TRAV ASGT CLS:  VENDOR:
NAME:  
ADDR:  

EVENT FY: 98  EVENT CODE: I3DI  DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERDICTION INTELLIGENCE
MILEAGE:  I/D:  AMOUNT:  0.00
AIR/RAIL AMT:  0.00 I/D:  CAR RENTAL AMT:  0.00 I/D: 
HOTEL AMT:  276.00 I/D:  GROUND TRANS AMT:  0.00 I/D: 
MEALS AMT:  127.00 I/D:  REGISTRATION AMT:  110.00 I/D: 
FEES AMT:  0.00 I/D:  TUITION AMT:  0.00 I/D: 
MISC EXP AMT:  16.00 I/D:  ADVANCE AMT:  0.00 I/D: 
TOTAL ACTUAL AMT:  529.00 CALCULATED DOC TOTAL:  529.00
A--*HS60-DOCUMENT MARKED FOR READ ONLY  H--*S404-READY FOR APPROVAL 4
H--*S405-READY FOR APPROVAL 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>AGY</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>LN</th>
<th>TE/TH LINE</th>
<th>PAYEE REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>YPAT</td>
<td>3020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SETTLEMENT 9/15/97-9/19/97

529.00
ACTION: R  SCREEN: OTH1 USERID: LPPMANG 09/12/97 11:46:41 AM
TRIP RECORD TABLE SCREEN ONE OF TWO
KEY IS EMPLOYEE NUMBER, TRANSACTION CODE, AGENCY, AND NUMBER

EMPLOYEE NUMBER: TRAVEL ASSIGNMENT CLASS: A
EMPLOYEE NAME: R

TRANS CODE: TE TRANS AGENCY: 066 TRANS NUMBER: 12000000187
PHONE: 609 882 2000 TRAV SERV ACTION FLAG: Y
TRIP DATES: START: 09 15 97 END: 09 19 97
BUDGET FY: 98 STATUS: O COMMENTS:
EVENT FY: 98 EVENT CODE: I3DI DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERDICATION INTELLIGENCE
LAST REFERENCE TRANS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHORIZED AMOUNTS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL AMT:</td>
<td>886.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTEL AMT:</td>
<td>306.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS AMT:</td>
<td>127.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC EXP AMT:</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR RENTAL AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUND TRANS AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION AMT:</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUITION AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMOUNT:</td>
<td>1,447.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGANIZATION NAME</td>
<td>TELEPHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JERSEY STATE POLICE</td>
<td>609-882-2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**QUESTIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO APPROPRIATE STATE ORGANIZATION LISTED ABOVE.**

**TOTAL:** 52900

**HECK**
**IMBER:** 0A0002692654 **DATE:** 11/17/97 **PAYEE**

**DETACH BEFORE CASHING CHECK AND RETAIN AS EVIDENCE OF PAYMENT**

---

**PAY** Five Hundred Twenty Nine and 00/100 Dollars

**FATES BANK OF DELAWARE NA**

**To The**

**Order Of:**

**$529.00**

**VOID 180 Days After This Date**
REQUEST FOR TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

STATE OF NEW JERSEY - DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
DIVISIONS OF OFFICE, MANAGEMENT & BUDGET - GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

PART A - GENERAL INFORMATION

DATE: 8/8/97
DEPARTMENT: Law & Public Safety
DIVISION/UNIT: State Police
ACCOUNT NO.: 100-066-1200-004-3020-100

EMPLOYEE NAME: Frank Iannone
EMPLOYEE TITLE: Detective I
PHONE NO.: 609-530-3082

CHECK REASON FOR TRAVEL:
- [X] Conference/Convention
- [ ] State Business
- [ ] Staff Training

EXPLAIN REASON BELOW AND LIST NAMES OF OTHER EMPLOYEES TRAVELING ON SAME MISSION:
Sgt. and Det. instruct a course on narcotic trafficking trends. This conference will enable them to update their information for presentation during their in-service training.

IF 100% PENALTY IS AVAILABLE AT TIME OF APPROVAL, WE WOULD PREFER TO USE THIS FARE.

PART B — TRAVEL DESCRIPTION

CHECK | DEPARTURE | ARRIVAL |
-------|------------|---------|
AIR | Newark | Orlando |

9/15/97 10:55 AM | 9/15/97 1:30 PM |

44300

X | Orlando | Newark |

9/19/97 5:55 AM | 9/19/97 8:30 AM |

44300

HOTEL:
Name: Holiday Inn International
Dates Needed: 9/15, 9/16, 9/17, 9/18
Total Number of Nights: 4

30636

CAR RENTAL:
City: [ ] Downtown [ ] Airport
Dates Needed: [ ]
Total Number of Days: [ ]

MEALS:
Enter Number of each required:
Breakfast: 4
Lunch: 5
Dinner: 3

12700

OTHERS:
Registration Fee: $160.00
Miscellaneous: $18.00

12800

All expenses will be paid by the Byrne Memorial Grant

TOTAL: $144736

PART C — AGENCY CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY that (1) the charges to be made will be in accordance with State Travel Regulations and will be limited to those required in an official capacity and (2) sufficient funds are available for these charges in the travel account of this agency.

Authorized:
Signature: __________________________ Title: __________________________ Date: __________________________

PART D — TO AGENCY REQUESTING TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

O.M.B. ACTION | TRAVEL RESERVATIONS CONFIRMED | AMOUNT
-------------|-----------------------------|------
[ ] APPROVED IN A SUM NOT TO EXCEED: $ [ ]
[ ] BALANCE

12/29/97

125739
**EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION INPUT SCREEN**

**DATE:** 09 08 97  **EVENT FLY:** 98  **EVENT CODE:** I3DI  **DESCRIPTION:** DRUG INTERDICTIO INTELLIGENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>I/D</th>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>I/D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL AMT</td>
<td>886.00</td>
<td>I/D</td>
<td>CAR RENTAL AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>I/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTEL AMT</td>
<td>306.36</td>
<td>I/D</td>
<td>GROUND TRANS AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>I/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS AMT</td>
<td>127.00</td>
<td>I/D</td>
<td>REGISTRATION AMT</td>
<td>110.00</td>
<td>I/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC EXP AMT</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>I/D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMT:** 1,447.36  **CALCULATED DOC TOTAL:** 1,447.36

A---S001-APPROVAL 1 APPLIED  A---S002-APPROVAL 2 APPLIED  A---HS60-DOCUMENT MARKED FOR READ ONLY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TXT</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,447.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-</td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEXT</td>
<td>LINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01- ATTEND 7TH ANNUAL COMMERCIAL VEHICLE DRUG INTERDICTION, INTELLIGENCE</td>
<td>001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02- NETWORKING CONFERENCE, EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON DRUG TRAFFICKING.</td>
<td>003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03- DEPART NEWARK 9/15 AT 10:55AM/ARRIVE ORLANDO FL 9/15 AT 1:30PM</td>
<td>005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04- DEPART ORLANDO FL 9/19 AT 5:55PM/ARRIVE NEWARK 9/19 AT 8:30PM</td>
<td>007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05- AIRFARE 886.00, HOTEL 306.26, $69 PER NITE 11% TAX, MISC. 18.00</td>
<td>009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06- REGISTRATION 110.00, MEALS B4,L5,D3 127.00</td>
<td>011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07- ALL EXPENSES REIMBURSED BY THE BYRNE MEMORIAL GRANT</td>
<td>013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08- ALSO ATTENDING: SGT. OCETNIK</td>
<td>015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-*LO30 ALL LINES ADDED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans Code</td>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>Transaction Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>12000000188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

01-*L030 ALL LINES ADDED
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE

Date August 08, 1997

MEMORANDUM TO: Edward Salter, Resource Analyst
Accounting and Procurement Operation

SUBJECT: Travel/Conference Meeting
Out-of-State

Request authorization for Sgt. 131, assigned to Troop "B"
Headquarters Tactical Patrol Office and Det.I Fr assigned to the
Narcotics and Organized Crime Bureau to travel to Orlando, Florida, departing on
Monday, September 15, 1997 and returning on Friday, September 19, 1997.

The purpose of this travel is to attend the 7th Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug
Interdiction Intelligence Networking and Training Conference sponsored by the Florida
Highway Patrol, El Paso Intelligence Center and the Drug Enforcement Administration.
The conference will be held at the Holiday Inn International Drive Resort, Orlando,
Florida. The primary purpose of the conference is to continue the exchange of
intelligence and information relative to the use of commercial vehicles by smugglers.
Presentations by representatives of State, Local and Federal Agencies will be directed
toward informing participants of emerging overland drug trafficking trends and
innovative interdiction tactics.

Sgt. and Det.I I are tasked with the responsibility of presenting a block of
instruction titled "Narcotic Trafficking Trends, Methods of Concealment" for In-Service
training. The information gathered and the personal contacts made during this
conference will enable them to stay abreast of the latest trafficking trends which they
will include in their presentations.

All expenses will be reimbursed by the Byrne Memorial Grant.

For your information and approval.

Robert D. Dunlop

GC 003014 125744
Seventh Annual Commercial Motor Vehicle Drug Interdiction Intelligence Networking and Training Conference Orlando, Florida

PRE-REGISTRATION

Det. ___________________________/ New Jersey State Police

NAME/TITLE

830 Bear Tavern Rd., Suite 106 / West Trenton, N. J. 08628

STREET OR POST OFFICE BOX / CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

609/530/3082 / ______________________ / N/A

OFFICE NUMBER / PAGER / CELLULAR NUMBER

Will you be attending the banquet?  ___ Yes  ___ No

Is there a guest attending the banquet with you? ($30.00 additional fee)  ___ Yes  ___ No

What is your preference?  ___ Prime rib  ___ Chicken

If there is a guest attending the banquet with you, what is their preference?  ___ Prime rib  ___ Chicken

Will you be extending your stay in Florida?  ___ Yes  ___ No

*Tuition Fee of $110 will be collected during registration on Monday, September 15, 1997

!! DO NOT PREPAY !!

RSVP COMPLETED FORM BY MAIL OR FAX BY AUGUST 15, 1997 TO:

Drug Interdiction Assistance Program-DIAP
901 North Stuart Street, Suite 305
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Attention: Lynette Ford
Telephone (703)235-0503  Fax (703)235-0517

GC 003015  125745
# Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>Registration and Hospitality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/15/97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/16/97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0830</td>
<td>Greetings and Introductions ...................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DIAP, DEA, EPIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Opening Ceremony and Remarks Florida Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0930</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Overland Drug Smuggling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overview and Threat Assessment ...............</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pipeline ~ Convoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Trafficking Patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Intelligence Briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Program Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>El Paso Intelligence Center, Drug Interdiction Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>Southwest Land Border ~ Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Trafficking Patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Intelligence Briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Program Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/A Art Doty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drug Enforcement Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>Southeastern United States ~ Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Trafficking Patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Intelligence Briefing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Program Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lieutenant Martin Duncan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Florida Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0830</td>
<td>The Southwest Border States</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Presentations and Moderated Panel Discussion</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arizona, California, New Mexico, Texas, Federal Agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ Trafficking Patterns ○ Intelligence Briefing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ Investigations ○ Program Activities ○ Trends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0930</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>The Southwest Border States Panel, Contd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1130</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>&quot;The Corridor / Destination&quot; States</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Presentations and Moderated Panel Discussion</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Mississippi,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Missouri, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ Trafficking Patterns ○ Intelligence Briefing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ Investigations ○ Program Activities ○ Trends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430</td>
<td>Break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>&quot;The Corridor / Destination&quot; States, Contd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>Adjourn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Hospitality ................. Banquet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Featured Speaker:**

Mr. Thomas Constantine  
- Administrator,  
- Drug Enforcement Administration

**AWARDS**

From DEA, EPIC, and DIAP to Outstanding Highway Drug Interdiction Units, Individuals, and Instructors for 1997
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>0830</td>
<td>Canadian Border and Highway Drug Interdiction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/18/97</td>
<td></td>
<td>Const. Rob Ruiters ... Royal Canadian Mounted Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Larry Lackie ... Canada Customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0930</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Roadside - Post Arrest Investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Officer Joe David ... California Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>Media Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sergeant Tim Cardwell ... North Carolina Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. John J. Williams ... Louisiana District Attorney's Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Forth Amendment Considerations and Civil Liability Issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Iain Johnston ... Illinois Attorney General's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>Mobile Vehicle X-Ray ... U.S. Customs Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>Adjourn, Networking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>0830</td>
<td>Drug Detection Tools and Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/97</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal Imagery ... Missouri State Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drug Detector Dogs ... California Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Density Meters ... United States Customs Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flex Scope ... Oklahoma Highway Patrol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tracking Devices ... Illinois State Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X-Ray ... United States Customs Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0930</td>
<td>Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Drug Detection Tools, contd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>Closing Comments ... Adjourn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TRAVEL APPROVAL

The attached printout shows the total amount approved for your trip in each category. If any expenses exceed 10% of the approved amount in that category, written justification is required before any increase will be considered or approved. ALL increases must be approved before a reimbursement can be processed. ALL increases must go through the normal travel process for final approval. This includes the Attorney General's Office and Department of Treasury.

NAME: [Name]

DESTINATION: Orlando, Fla.

DATE: 9/15/97 - 9/19/97

TOTAL AMOUNT APPROVED: $447.36

ALL TRAVEL EXPENSES MUST BE RECONCILED WITHIN FIVE (5) DAYS UPON RETURN OF TRIP. REQUESTS FOR REIMBURSEMENT WITH ALL RECEIPTS REQUIRED MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THE PAYMENT VOUCHER.

IF PAYMENT IS BEING MADE TO A VENDOR FOR REGISTRATION/TUITION/CONFERENCE FEE, THE INVOICE AND NOTIFICATION OF ATTENDANCE AND RECEIPT FOR AMOUNT DUE IS ALSO SUBMITTED AT THE SAME TIME.

Capt. Joseph Ruggeri
Fiscal Officer
ACTION: R SCREEN: OTH1 USERID: LPPMANG 09/12/97 11:45:39 AM
TRIP RECORD TABLE SCREEN ONE OF TWO

KEY IS EMPLOYEE NUMBER, TRANSACTION CODE, AGENCY, AND NUMBER
EMPLOYEE NUMBER: TRAVEL ASSIGNMENT CLASS:

EMPLOYEE NAME:  :

TRANS CODE: TE TRANS AGENCY: 066 TRANS NUMBER: 12000000188
- PHONE: 201 239 1110 TRAV SERV ACTION FLAG: Y
TRIP DATES: START: 09 15 97 END: 09 19 97
BUDGET FY: 98 STATUS: O
COMMENTS:
EVENT FY: 98 EVENT CODE: I3DI DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERDICTION INTELLIGENCE
DATE:
LAST REFERENCE TRANS:

AUTHORIZED AMOUNTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL AMT:</td>
<td>886.00</td>
<td>CAR RENTAL AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTEL AMT:</td>
<td>306.36</td>
<td>GROUND TRANS AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS AMT:</td>
<td>127.00</td>
<td>REGISTRATION AMT:</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>TUITION AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC EXP AMT:</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMT:</td>
<td>1,447.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACTION: R SCREEN: OTH1 USERID: LPPPEKA 10/10/97 03:03:46 PM
TRIP RECORD TABLE
KEY IS EMPLOYEE NUMBER, TRANSACTION CODE,' AGENCY, AND NUMBER
EMPLOYEE NUMBER: __________________ TRAVEL ASSIGNMENT CLASS: 
EMPLOYEE NAME: _________ 

TRANS CODE: TE TRANS AGENCY: 066 TRANS NUMBER: 12000000188 
PHONE: 201 239 1110 TRAV SERV ACTION FLAG: Y 
TRIP DATES: START: 09 15 97 END: 09 19 97 
BUDGET FY: 98 STATUS: O COMMENTS: 
EVENT FY: 98 EVENT CODE: I3DI DESCRIPTION: DRUG INTERDICTION INTELLIGENCE 
LAST REFERENCE TRANS: 

AUTHORIZED AMOUNTS:
AIR/RAIL AMT: 886.00 CAR RENTAL AMT: 0.00 
HOTEL AMT: 306.36 GROUND TRANS AMT: 0.00 
MEALS AMT: 127.00 REGISTRATION AMT: 110.00 
FEES AMT: 0.00 TUITION AMT: 0.00 
MISC EXP AMT: 18.00 
TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMOUNT: 1,447.36
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTEL AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEALS AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEES AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISC EXP AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR RENTAL AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROUND TRANS AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUITION AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILEAGE AMT.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ACTUAL AMOUNT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REFUND AMOUNT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET ACTUAL AMOUNT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIP CLOSE DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS CODE</td>
<td>REQ AGCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOB NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTING CATEGORY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAST REF TRANS#/DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANS CODE</th>
<th>REQ AGCY</th>
<th>REQ NO</th>
<th>LINE NO</th>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>ORG</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>OBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>120000000189</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>YEMR</td>
<td>3010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I/G FUND:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LINE AMT:</td>
<td>359.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOB NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLOSED AMT:</td>
<td>359.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTING CATEGORY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OBLIG AMT:</td>
<td>285.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAST REF TRANS#/DATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OUTSTG AMT:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STATE OF NEW JERSEY
QUESTIONNAIRE

THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY REQUIRES COMPLETION OF THIS QUESTIONNAIRE TO VERIFY/ESTABLISH YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND TAXPAYER ID ON STATE RECORDS. PLEASE REVIEW THE INFORMATION BELOW, CORRECT ERRORS, AND ANSWER THE QUESTIONS PER SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS. RETURN THE COMPLETED FORM TO THE STATE IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

IMPORTANT:
YOU WILL NOT BE PAID BY THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY UNTIL THIS FORM IS COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY, FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CALL (406) 202-8124.

PART I. NAME/ADDRESS

REQUEST FOR TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AND CERTIFICATION

Enter your taxpayer identification number and indicate whether it is a social security or employer identification number by marking the appropriate box.

Select one:
☐ SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
☐ EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

PART II. Certification:

Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:

1. The number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number (or I am waiting for a number to be issued to me)

AND

2. I am not subject to backup withholding because: (a) I am exempt from backup withholding; or (b) I have not been notified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of failure to report all interest or dividends, or (c) the IRS has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding.

Certification Instructions: You must cross out item (2) above if you have been notified by the IRS that you are currently subject to backup withholding because of unreported interest or dividends on your tax return. For real estate transaction item (2) does not apply. For mortgage interest paid, the acquisition or abandonment of secured property, contributions to an IRA, and generally payments other than interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the Certification, but you must provide your correct TIN.

Signature: ____________________________ Date: __8-28-91__________________

PART III. STATE OF NEW JERSEY INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

(COUNTY/MUNICIPAL CODE TABLE ON BACK)

1. Enter the code from the list below that best describes your business function:

   VA = RETAILER
   VO = DEALER OR DISTRIBUTOR
   VC = PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT SERVICES
   SA = STATE AGENCY
   NH = NURSING HOME
   VP = MANUFACTURER/PRODUCER
   VA = FACILITY RENOVATIONS/ALTERATIONS
   SD = SCHOOL DISTRICT
   FD = FIRE DISTRICT
   AC = AUTHORITY/COMMISSION
   SS = SOCIAL SERVICE BOARD
   WC = WELFARE BOARD
   HP = HOSPITAL
   CM = COUNTY/MUNIC. GOVT.
   HC = HEALTH CARE FACILITY
   EP = NJ STATE EMPLOYEE
   PC = PETTY CASH FUND
   CF = CONFIDENTIAL FUND
   CA = COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY
   CU = COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY
   VM = MAINT/REPAIR SERVICES
   OT = OTHER (Please specify)

2. Enter Primary Contact Information below.

   PHONE: (09) 530-3140
   NAME: ________________________
   TITLE: Detective

IF YOU ARE A NJ STATE EMPLOYEE, NJ MANAGER OF A CONFIDENTIAL FUND OR A PETTY CASH FUND, DO NOT ANSWER THE BALANCE OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

3. Do you currently receive or expect to receive RENT PAYMENTS from the State of New Jersey? _____ YES _____ NO

4. What is the principal activity of your organization?

   ☐ M = MANUFACTURING
   ☐ S = SERVICE
   ☐ O = OTHER (Please specify)
   ☐ H = HEALTH RELATED SERVICE
   ☐ Q = GOVERNMENT
   ☐ P = PARTNERSHIP
   ☐ T = INDIVIDUAL
   ☐ J = JOINT
   ☐ O = OTHER (Please specify)

5. Enter the code from the list below that best describes your organization:

   ☐ A = CORPORATION
   ☐ I = INDIVIDUAL
   ☐ P = PARTNERSHIP
   ☐ G = GOVERNMENT
   ☐ J = JOINT
   ☐ O = OTHER (Please specify)

6. Enter your 4 digit County/Municipality Code for NJ Addresses ONLY (See reverse side for appropriate codes.)

   ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

IMPORTANT: ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS (Please print or type clearly)
ACTION: R SCREEN: VEND USERID: LPPMANG 09/08/97 08:40:54 AM
VENDOR TABLE: KEY TO VENDOR CODE
01- VENDOR ID: ———— RC VENDOR IND: N -- ALTERNATE-NAME/ADDRESS ---
   NAME: ————
ADDRESS: 6

- GREI
   PHONE: 201 - 239 - 1110 LAST ACTION DATE: 970722
CONTACT: FRANK IANNONE COUNTRY: US INACTIVE: N
FEDERAL ID NUMBER: 137604333 VENDOR TYPE: EP HOLD: N
TEXT IND: COUNTY/MUNC: PP EXEMPT: Y
COMMENT: DISTRICT: ACH VENDOR: N
ACCOUNT: REC TYPE: 1099: N
A/R CONT: REPT-3: COMPANY SIZE: U
DISCNT: REPT-4: IN-STATE: Y
W9 WITHHELD AMT: 0.00 BUSINESS TYPE: I
COMMODITY: VEND YR END:
   CALENDAR YTD AMT: 1,168.00 PRIOR CAL YR AMT: 1,740.65
   FY YTD AMT: 364.00 PRIOR FY AMT: 1,536.00
DATE ENTERED: 950412 USERID: TYMCOOK
ACTION: A SCREEN: EVA^ USERID: LPPMANG          09/08/97  11:52:30 AM
TRAVEL EVENT/AGENCY TABLE
KEY IS FISCAL YEAR, EVENT CODE AND AGENCY CODE
FY: 98' EVENT: IDI AGENCY: 066 PERSONS AUTH: 002 PERSONS ACTUAL: 000
EVENT DESC: DRUG INTERDICTIOIN INTELLIGENCE

---- AUTHORIZED AMOUNTS ----

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HOTEL</th>
<th>MEALS</th>
<th>CAR RENTALS</th>
<th>GROUND TRANSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: 0.00

---- ACTUAL AMOUNTS ----

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HOTEL</th>
<th>MEALS</th>
<th>CAR RENTALS</th>
<th>GROUND TRANSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR/RAIL</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGISTRATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: 0.00

01-**L030 ALL LINES ADDED
### Travel Voucher Input Screen

**Date:** 10.15.97  
**Action:** E  
**Type:** S

**Check Cat:** 99  
**Single Check Flag:** Y  
**Trip Dates:** From: 09 15 97  
**To:** 09 19 97

**Employee ID:**  
**ASGT CLS:**  
**Vendor:**

**Name:**  
**Address:**  

**Event FY:** 98  
**Event Code:** I3DI  
**Description:** Drug Interdiction Intelligence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>I/D:</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air/Rail AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>I/D:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel AMT</td>
<td>306.36</td>
<td>I/D:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals AMT</td>
<td>127.00</td>
<td>I/D:</td>
<td>110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>I/D:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Exp AMT</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>I/D:</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Actual AMT:</strong></td>
<td>559.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>559.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calculated Doc Total:** 559.36  
**Ready for Approval:** 4

---

**GC 003027**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LN</th>
<th>NO CD. AGY</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>LN TE/TH LINE</th>
<th>PAYEE REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FND AGCY ORG SUB ORG APPR UNIT ACT Y OBJT SUB OBJ JOB NO RPT C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSAC DESCRIPTION AMOUNT I/D P/F TXT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01- 01 010 066 1200 004 YPAT 3020</td>
<td>559.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Payment Voucher Details

**Vendor Invoice**

**Payment Details**
- **PO #**
- **PV Date**
- **Contract No**
- **Agency Ref.**
- **Buyer**
- **Terms**
- **Payee Name and Address**
  - Division of State Police
  - P.O. Box 7068
  - West Trenton, NJ 08628
- **Send Completed Form To**
  - Division of State Police
  - P.O. Box 7068
  - West Trenton, NJ 08628

**Payee Declarations**
I certify that the within Payment Voucher is correct in all its particulars, that the described goods or services have been furnished or rendered and that no bonus has been given or received on account of said document.

**Line Numbers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE NO</th>
<th>REFERENCE</th>
<th>(G) PAYEE REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGCY</th>
<th>ORG CODE</th>
<th>SUB-ORG</th>
<th>APPR UNIT</th>
<th>ACTIVITY CD</th>
<th>OBJECT CD</th>
<th>SUB-OBJ</th>
<th>REV SRCE</th>
<th>SUB-REV</th>
<th>PROJECT/JOB NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>4PAT</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rpt Co**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPT CT</th>
<th>BS ACT</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>PF</th>
<th>TX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Certifications**

**Cost Summary**
- **Item No.**
- **Commodity Code/Description of Item**
- **Quantity**
- **Unit**
- **Unit Price**
- **Amount**

- Reimbursement for Detective I
  - Hotel
  - Meals $34.00 @ (5 days) 4½%, 5L, 3L
  - Registration Fee
  - Miscellaneous
    - Sky Cap
    - Bell Hop
    - Maid

  **Total** 559.36

**Certifications by Receiving Agency**
- I certify that the above articles have been received or services rendered as stated herein.

**Certifications by Approval Officer**
- I certify that this Payment Voucher is correct and, if paid, payment is approved.

**Signature**

---

**Identification Numbers**

- **GC 003029**
- **125759**
ACTION: R  SCREEN: OPVL USERID: LPPMANG  12/02/97  11:01:02 AM
OPEN PV LINE TABLE

KEY IS VENDOR CODE, VOUCHER AGENCY, VOUCHER NUMBER, PAYEE REFERENCE, LINE NO
VEND: 1  VOUCHER AGENCY: 066  VOUCHER NO: 12002006185
PAYEE REFERENCE: SETTLEMENT FL.9/15-9/19/97  LINE NO: 01
TRANS CD: TV  FUND: 100  JOB NUMBER:  TEXT FLAG:
    AGENCY: 066  PROJECT:  DESCRIPTION:
    ORG: 1200  BS ACCOUNT:  DISC/W9/GARN AMT:  0.00
    SUB-ORG:  OBJECT: 3020  PV LINE AMOUNT:  559.36
APPR UNIT: 004  SUB-OBJ:  CLOSED AMT:  559.36
ACTIVITY: YPAT  REPT CATG:  DISB AMT:  559.36
REV SRCE:  SUB-REV SRCE:  COMMODITY:  CONTRACT NBR:
DISC PCT: 0.00  DISC TYPE:  W9 WHLD: N GARN: N
LAST CHECK/MW: AD00002655610  LAST CHECK/MW DATE: 971023

*************** REFERENCE DOCUMENT **********************

TRANS CODE: TE  AGENCY: 066  NUMBER: 12000000188  LINE: 01  DATE: 10 22 97
# Holiday Inn

ORLANDO INTERNATIONAL DRIVE RESORT
6515 International Drive
Orlando, FL 32819
407-351-3500
Fax 407-351-5727

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room</th>
<th>7908-11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrive Date</td>
<td>09/15/97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept. Date</td>
<td>09/19/97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folio #</td>
<td>VIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room Rate</td>
<td>2-CAMEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account</td>
<td>2-DIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independently owned and operated by Bristol Hotels and Resorts

I authorize you to bill the full balance of my account to my credit card which was presented upon registration.

SIGNATURE

The management is not responsible for any valuables not secured in safety deposit boxes provided at the front office. I agree that my liability for the charges is not waived and I agree to be held personally liable in the event that the indicated person, company or association fails to pay for any part or the full amount of such charges.

SIGNATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCT. NO.</th>
<th>DATE OF CHARGE</th>
<th>POLO NO./CHECK NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0915 112 091500</td>
<td>0915001</td>
<td>CAH STATE TAX 69.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0915 112 091500</td>
<td>0915002</td>
<td>CAH RESORT TAX 3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0916 112 091600</td>
<td>0916001</td>
<td>JCS CONV/GROUP 69.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0916 112 091600</td>
<td>0916002</td>
<td>JCS STATE TAX 4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0917 112 091700</td>
<td>0917001</td>
<td>LAB CONV/GROUP 69.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0917 112 091700</td>
<td>0917002</td>
<td>LAB STATE TAX 4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0918 112 091800</td>
<td>0918001</td>
<td>JCS CONV/GROUP 69.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0918 112 091800</td>
<td>0918002</td>
<td>JCS RESORT TAX 3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0919 112 091900</td>
<td>0919001</td>
<td>HCH CASH PAYMENT 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***TOTAL***

| 69.00 | 0.00 | 69.00 |
| 4.14 | 0.00 | 73.14 |
| 3.45 | 0.00 | 76.59 |
| 145.59 | 0.00 | 149.73 |
| 153.18 | 0.00 | 222.18 |
| 226.32 | 0.00 | 229.77 |
| 230.52 | 0.00 | 230.52 |
| 299.52 | 0.00 | 299.52 |
| 303.66 | 0.00 | 307.11 |
| (307.11) | 0.00 | 0.00 |

ACCT. NO.

CARD MEMBER NAME

ESTABLISHMENT NO. & LOCATION

GC 003033

125763
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authorized Amount</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air/Rail AMT</td>
<td>$866.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel AMT</td>
<td>$306.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals AMT</td>
<td>$127.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees AMT</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Exp AMT</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Rental AMT</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Trans AMT</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration AMT</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuition AMT</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Authorized Amount</td>
<td>$1,447.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

- **Event FY:** 98
- **Event Code:** I3DI
- **Description:** Drug Interdiction Intelligence
**Key is Employee Number, Transaction Code, Agency, and Number**

**Employee Number:** 1

**Employee Name:** F

**Mileage:** 0

**Transaction Code:** TE

**Transaction Agency:** 066

**Transaction Number:** 12000000188

**Actual Amounts:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air/Rail AMT</td>
<td>440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel AMT</td>
<td>306.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals AMT</td>
<td>127.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees AMT</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc Exp AMT</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Advances</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Expenses</td>
<td>559.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Expenses</td>
<td>440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding Advances</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Car Rental AMT:** 0.00

**Ground Trans AMT:** 0.00

**Registration AMT:** 110.00

**Tuition AMT:** 0.00

**Mileage AMT:** 0.00

**Total Actual Amount:** 999.36

**Total Refund Amount:** 0.00

**Net Actual Amount:** 999.36

**Trip Close Date:**

---

**GC 003035**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trans Code</th>
<th>Req Agcy</th>
<th>Req No</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Org</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Appr Unit</th>
<th>I/G Fund</th>
<th>I/G Agency</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Line Amt</th>
<th>Closed Amt</th>
<th>Oblig Amt</th>
<th>Outstg Amt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>12000000188</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>YPAT</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,447.36</td>
<td>999.36</td>
<td>999.36</td>
<td>448.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>12000000189</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>YEMR</td>
<td>3010</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>359.00</td>
<td>359.00</td>
<td>285.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EMPLOYEE TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION INPUT SCREEN
BATCH DATE: 12 03 97

NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS: 1
ACTUAL BATCH COUNT: 1

NET AMOUNT: 448.00
ACTUAL BATCH AMOUNT: 448.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>ORG UNIT CODE</th>
<th>OBJT</th>
<th>OBJ NUMBER</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TXT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>066</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>YPAT 3020</td>
<td></td>
<td>448.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12/29/97  01:22:26 PM

GC 003039

OPEN REQUISITION LINE TABLE
KEY IS TRANS CODE, REQ AGENCY, REQ NO, LINE NO

01-
TRANS CODE: TE  REQ AGENCY: 066  REQ NO: 12000000188  LINE NO: 01
FUND: 100  AGENCY: 066  ORG: 1200  ACTIVITY: YPAT  OBJECT: 3020
APPR UNIT: 004  I/G FUND:  I/G AGENCY:
  PROJECT NUMBER:  LINE AMT:  999.36
  JOB NUMBER:  CLOSED AMT:  999.36
REPORTING CATEGORY:  OBLIG AMT:  999.36
LAST REF TRANS#/DATE:  TV06612002000573  971114  OUTSTG AMT:  0.00

02-
TRANS CODE: TE  REQ AGENCY: 066  REQ NO: 12000000189  LINE NO: 01
FUND: 100  AGENCY: 066  ORG: 1200  ACTIVITY: YEMR  OBJECT: 3010
APPR UNIT: 577  I/G FUND:  I/G AGENCY:
  PROJECT NUMBER:  LINE AMT:  359.00
  JOB NUMBER:  CLOSED AMT:  359.00
REPORTING CATEGORY: FHWA  OBLIG AMT:  285.02
LAST REF TRANS#/DATE:  TV066120020005968  970925  OUTSTG AMT:  0.00

125769
1. The Training Bureau will be conducting a five-day Drug Enforcement Course to be held at the Training Bureau, Sea Girt, New Jersey, from May 18, 1998 through May 22, 1998.

2. Allocations for course attendees per Section are itemized in the table below. These allocations may be increased if necessary and operationally feasible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIELD OPNS</th>
<th>INVEST.</th>
<th>DIVISION STAFF</th>
<th>INTELL SVCS</th>
<th>C.A.T.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Section Supervisors are to submit names of members under their command through channels, to the Training Bureau via Division Staff Section Supervisor no later than May 1, 1998.

4. Personnel are to wear appropriate civilian attire. Jeans, tee shirts, sweat shirts and sneakers are not permitted. The course will be held in Building #11, North Classroom, commencing at 9:00 a.m. each day of the course.

5. Sleeping accommodations may be available. Please contact the Training Bureau one week prior to starting dates of course for accommodations. Meals will be available at our mess hall facility for a nominal fee.

6. Vehicles will be parked in parking area #1, located to the right, immediately after entering the gate house of the Training Center.

7. Forwarded for your information and compliance.

BY ORDER OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

Michael A. Fedorbo
ADVANCED NARCOTICS INVESTIGATION COURSE
CLASS #5
APRIL 29 - MAY 3, 1996

BERGEN COUNTY
Teaneck
DSG Dean B. Kazinski

CAPE MAY COUNTY
Wildwood Crest
Det./Sergeant Thomas M. DePaul

ESSEX COUNTY
Bloomfield
Patrolman Edward T. Sousa
Bloomfield
Detective Thomas G. Walsh
Roseland
Patrol Officer Paul J. Crosta

HUDSON COUNTY
Bayonne
Detective Phillip M. Higgins
Bayonne
Det./Sergeant Neil E. Ward

MERCER COUNTY
Hightstown
Detective Richard A. Warshany
Lawrence Township
Detective William C. Eggert, Jr.

MONMOUTH COUNTY
Keansburg
Detective James S. Nelson
Keansburg
Detective Robert B. Sheehan
Keansburg
Detective Michael A. Thompson
Keansburg
Patrolman Kevin J. White
Neptune Township
Detective Joseph S. Burst
Spring Lake Heights
Patrolman Andrew J. O'Neill

OTHER AGENCY
Port Authority of N.Y. & N.J. Police Dept.
Officer John Frank

GC 003041
NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE

Intelligence Section
Detective I Duane W. Daniels
Detective I Martin H. Dutt
Detective I Paul M. Kuras
Detective I Joseph F. Monahan

Division Staff Section
Trooper I Michael J. Popek

Special & Technical Services Section
Trooper II Kevin M. Flanagan

Investigations Section
Detective I Kenneth Johnson
Detective I William J. Robb
Sr. Inspector Robert N. Zambor

Emergency Management Section
MPO Donald J. Hall
DSG Michael J. Pepe
MPO John A. Seel
DSFC Stephen A. Wolfer

Field Operations Section - Traffic Bureau
Troop A
Trooper II Casmier K. Naprawa
Trooper II Brian C. Beebe
Trooper II Chad D. King
Trooper Yvonne M. Mellon-Shugar
Trooper II Joseph E. Shanahan

Troop B
Trooper II Nicholas Cagnole
Trooper II Glenn P. Lubertazzi
Trooper II Paul M. Miller

Troop C
Trooper I Peter C. Brown
Trooper II Don T. Ciaccio
Trooper II Mark B. Jordy

Troop D
Detective I John S. Cuzzupe
Detective I David R. Stebbins

Troop E
Trooper II Clifford S. Menafra
Trooper I Albert W. Waldron, II
# ADVANCED NARCOTICS COURSE #5
APRIL 29 - MAY 3, 1996

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>MONDAY APRIL 29</th>
<th>TUESDAY APRIL 30</th>
<th>WEDNESDAY MAY 1</th>
<th>THURSDAY MAY 2</th>
<th>FRIDAY MAY 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 TO 9:50</td>
<td>REGISTRATION AND ORIENTATION</td>
<td>SURVEILLANCE</td>
<td>MARIJUANA FIELDS</td>
<td>DETECTION DOGS</td>
<td>ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSFC Militano</td>
<td></td>
<td>DSG Grant</td>
<td>Det. I O'Leary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 TO 10:50</td>
<td>ARREST/SEARCH AND SEIZURE</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Det. I Reilly</td>
<td></td>
<td>Det. I Silver</td>
<td>Tpr. I McSorley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Det. I DeSantis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 TO 11:50</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>AFFIDAVITS</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>EVIDENCE OF COLLECTION</td>
<td>FINAL PRACTICAL EXERCISE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tpr. I McSorley</td>
<td>Det. I Rodgers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forensic Scientist</td>
<td>Tpr. I McSorley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mrs. Hoover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LUNCH**

| 1:00 TO 1:50| UNDERCOVER OPERATIONS | PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF UNDERCOVER OPERATOR | USE OF INFORMANTS | CURRENT ISSUES |
|             |                  |                  |                  | "            |
|             |                  |                  |                  |                |                |              |
| 2:00 TO 2:50| "                | "              | "              | "            |
|             |                  |                  |                  |                |                |              |
| 3:00 TO 3:50| "                | DRUG UPDATE      | SEARCH WARRANTS | HOTEL/MOTEL INTERDICATION | GRADUATION |
|             |                  |                  |                  |                | Tpr. I McSorley | Det. I Reilly |
|             |                  |                  |                  | Det. I Rozwadoski |              |
| 4:00 TO 4:50| "                | "              | "              | "            |

GC 003043
1. The Training Bureau will be conducting a five-day Advanced Narcotics Investigation Course at the Training Bureau, Sea Girt, New Jersey, April 29, 1996 through May 3, 1996.

2. Personnel will report in appropriate civilian attire. Jeans, tee shirts, or sweat shirts are not permitted. Class will be held in dormitory building #8, upper classroom U-4, commencing at 9:00 a.m. each day of the course.

3. Sleeping accommodations are available. Meals will be available at our mess hall facility for a nominal fee.

4. Vehicles will be parked in parking area #1, located to the right immediately after entering the Training Center.

5. Personnel on the attached sheet are assigned to attend this school.

6. Forwarded for your information and compliance.

BY ORDER OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

Valcocean Littles, Lt. Colonel
Deputy Superintendent
INTELLIGENCE SERVICES SECTION
Det. I J. Monahan #3210
Det. I D. Daniels #3373
Det. I M. Dutt #3529
Det. I P. Kuras #3544

INVESTIGATIONS SECTION
DSG C. McEady #3723
Det. I M. Parmenter #3733
Det. I W. Robb #3737
Det. I J. Serrao #3739
Det. I L. Arce #3755
Det. I J. McNally #4082

SPECIAL & TECHNICAL SERVICES SECTION
Det. I J. Corbley #3963

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SECTION
Lt. P. Kane #2788
SFC S. Wolfer #2426
DSG M. Pepe #3048
MPO D. Hall #9649

FIELD OPERATIONS SECTION
Tpr. II B. Beebe #4428 Troop A
Tpr. II C. King #4890 Troop A
Tpr. II J. Shanahan #4921 Troop A
Tpr. Y. Mellon-Shugar #4990 Troop A
Tpr. II P. Miller #4476 Troop B
Tpr. II G. Lubertazzi #4636 Troop B
Tpr. II N. Cagnole #4949 Troop B
Tpr. I P. Brown #4275 Troop C
Tpr. II D. Ciaccio #4679 Troop C
Tpr. II M. Jordy #4888 Troop C
Det. I J. Cuzzupe #3966 Troop D
Det. I D. Stebbins #4004 Troop D
Tpr. I A. Waldron #3675 Troop E
Tpr. II C. Menafra #4903 Troop E
Tpr. II C. Naprawa #4907 Traffic Bureau
NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE TRAINING BUREAU  
SEA GIRT, NEW JERSEY

NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING TRENDS SEMINAR #3  
AUGUST 21, 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMABIL, John D.</td>
<td>Sergeant</td>
<td>Middlesex Co. S.P.C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANOWIAK, John R.</td>
<td>Investigator</td>
<td>Middlesex Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYCHALCHYK, Walter M.</td>
<td>Sergeant</td>
<td>Middlesex Co. S.P.C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGANO, Glenn A.</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Park Ridge P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAMPOLLA, Joseph J.</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Park Ridge P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHROEDER, Thomas</td>
<td>Officer</td>
<td>Park Ridge P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTT, Linda S.</td>
<td>Investigator</td>
<td>Bergen Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABLINE, Michael R.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTOBELLO, Joseph A.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APONTE, Robert</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMAGNO, Angelo J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATKINSON, Charles W.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALA, David B.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLASCO, Manuel</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOEHM, Michael F.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUCCHERE, Louis</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURNHAM, James A.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURNS, Thomas J.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARPENTER, William</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOBORDA, Stephen V.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIECWICZ, Steven C.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOKE, Harry D.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNELLY, Thomas G.</td>
<td>Detective II</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSGROVE, Richard J.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWDEN, Robert R.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COZZI, Steven J.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANIELS, Duane W.</td>
<td>DSG</td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVIS, Michael A.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeANGELIS, Neil T.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENGEL, Robert W.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DICKSON, Kenneth</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiGIUSEPEPE, William</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONNELLY, Glenn H.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUGAN, James E.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIELLO, Debra A.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FALLON, Michael</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GALLAGHER, Patrick W.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GETCLIFFE, Brian H.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHILON, Christopher R.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLENNAN, Robert M.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLETZ, Thomas T.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GORE, John L.</td>
<td>DSFC</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANITZSKI, David E.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREENE, Vincent E.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRICE, Ancle M.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUILFOY, Patrick J.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUTTER, Russell S.</td>
<td>Detective II</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HANCOCK, John W.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONKINS, James C.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INGRAHAM, David F.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHNSON, Henry L.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KANE, Eric P.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAVANAGH, Walter J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KITTS, Edward R.</td>
<td>Detective II</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUSHNIR, David W.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LABRIOLA, David P.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAYTON, Walter G.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG, Brian K.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUBERTO, Gregg V.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUTCHKO, Brian W.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGUIRE, Bryce E.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASSA, Nicholas M.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDONALD, Sharon D.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDONEL, Edward M.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McPherson, Brian K.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITTEN, Daniel J.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOORE, Michael</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris, Gerard G.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosher, Robert A.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Donnell, Kyle J.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEAK, Richard H.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIOTROWSKI, Catherine A.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIZZURO, John R.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLLARD, Clifford C.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POHA, Michael C.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPE, David J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRICE, James E.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROBINSON, Andre</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROMANZUK, Edward J.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYAN, David A.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALTERS, James E.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCARDINO, Thomas A.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHMIDT, John P.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHMITT, Joseph E.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHULTE, Robert J.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTER, Gregory B.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAW, David W.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAW, Jeffrey T.</td>
<td>Sergeant</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMPSON, Edward R.</td>
<td>Detective</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINATRA, Mario</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOTO, Johnny</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPALOSS, Anthony T.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEIN, Christopher M.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STINTSMAN, Keith N.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOLINSKI, William M.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STUKES, Steven A.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUCKOW, Stephen J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULLIVAN, John L.</td>
<td>Sergeant</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOBEY, Robert M.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROTTE, Gregory A.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TULLY, Dennis P.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIELDHOUSE, Kevin W.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VINCENT, Steven J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAGNER, Christopher D.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAGNER, Edward R.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILLIAMS, Jeffrey F.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISHART, Rodney J.</td>
<td>Detective I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOLLERMANN, Steven J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAGER, Michael J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAKUP, Joseph J.</td>
<td>Trooper</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAGER, David J.</td>
<td>Trooper II</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZARRILLI, Richard J.</td>
<td>Trooper I</td>
<td>Traffic Bureau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. The Training Bureau will be conducting a one-day Narcotics Trafficking Trends Seminar at the Training Bureau, Sea Girt, New Jersey, on August 21, 1997.

2. Personnel will report in appropriate civilian attire. Jeans, tee shirts, or sweatshirts are not permitted. Class will be held in Building #26, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

3. Attendees will not be permitted to leave the Training Center grounds during lunch, however, meals will be available at our mess hall facility for a nominal fee for those interested.

4. Students will park in the parking lot to the right of the gate house.

5. Personnel on the attached sheet are assigned to attend this seminar.

6. Forwarded for your information and compliance.

BY ORDER OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

Lanny R. Roberson,
Lt. Colonel
Deputy Superintendent
### INTELLIGENCE SERVICES SECTION

- Det. I W. DiGiuseppe  #3237  Det. I M. Andrews  #4010
- Det. I D. Daniels  #3373  Det. I K. Bevacqui  #4013
- Det. I C. Atkinson  #3511  Det. I J. Menafra  #4085
- Det. I D. Butler  #3611  Det. I M. Cartagena  #4143
- Det. I R. Collins  #3618  Det. I E. Kane  #4187
- Det. I M. Doyle  #3625  Det. I R. Schulte  #4339
- Det. I T. Burns  #3691  Det. I E. Simpson  #4340
- Det. I J. Dugan  #3694  Det. I H. Johnson  #4456
- Det. I T. Alexander  #3750  Det. II P. Guilfoy  #4794
- Det. I J. Griffin  #3780

### TROOP A

- Tpr. I H. Johnson  #3540  Tpr. II D. Mitten  #4905
- Tpr. I S. Cozzi  #3767  Tpr. II J. Shanahan  #4921
- Tpr. I D. Tully  #4497  Tpr. II J. Burnham  #4947
- Tpr. I N. Massa  #4551  Tpr. II R. Glennan  #4967
- Tpr. I R. Cowden  #4608  Tpr. P. Gallagher  #5153
- Tpr. I T. Scardino  #4656  Tpr. E. Kitts  #5171
- Tpr. I W. Peacock  #4732  Tpr. J. Williams  #5328
- Tpr. II R. Little  #4895

### TROOP B

- Tpr. I E. Romanczuk  #4230  Tpr. K. Yieldshee  #5109
- Tpr. I B. Long  #4712  Tpr. P. Callahan  #5243
- Tpr. I B. Lutchko  #4713  Tpr. M. Sinatra  #5320
- Tpr. II J. Salters  #5009

### TROOP C

- Tpr. I K. Stintsman  #4241  Tpr. D. Ryan  #5311
- Tpr. I D. Pope  #4566  Tpr. P. Tzitzis  #5325
- Tpr. I J. Altobello  #4668  Tpr. V. Greene  #5353
- Tpr. J. Yakup  #5116  Tpr. C. Stein  #5404
- Tpr. G. Septer  #5215
### TROOP D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I S. Jiras</td>
<td>#3979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I W. Layton</td>
<td>#4195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I G. Donnelly</td>
<td>#4520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I R. Gutter</td>
<td>#4527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I G. Morris</td>
<td>#4553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I R. Mosher</td>
<td>#4554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I B. McPherson</td>
<td>#4720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I G. Moore</td>
<td>#4721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I M. Moore</td>
<td>#4722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I R. Peak</td>
<td>#4733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. II H. Cooke</td>
<td>#4776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. II M. Finnegar</td>
<td>#4790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. II K. O'Donnell</td>
<td>#4821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. II A. Grice</td>
<td>#4880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. II M. Davis</td>
<td>#4957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. II J. Hankins</td>
<td>#4970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. B. Getcliffe</td>
<td>#5056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TROOP E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sgt. M. Porter</td>
<td>#3438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgt. J. Shaw</td>
<td>#3669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I S. Wollermann</td>
<td>#4420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I M. Abline</td>
<td>#4503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I G. Trotte</td>
<td>#4586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. R. Aponte</td>
<td>#5025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. M. Blasco</td>
<td>#5029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. M. Yager</td>
<td>#5115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. W. Carpenter</td>
<td>#5129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. E. Wagner</td>
<td>#5326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TROOP F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. J. Andres</td>
<td>#5418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. B. Maguire</td>
<td>#5476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. J. Schmitt</td>
<td>#5514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. W. Stolinski</td>
<td>#5529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. S. Vincent</td>
<td>#5539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TRAFFIC BUREAU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I D. Bala</td>
<td>#3956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I N. DeAngelis</td>
<td>#4039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I L. Tokash</td>
<td>#4249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I R. Zarrilli</td>
<td>#4261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I S. Ciecwiecz</td>
<td>#4279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I G. Luberto</td>
<td>#4309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I D. Labriola</td>
<td>#4460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I J. Massimino</td>
<td>#4465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I J. Connell</td>
<td>#4607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I D. Ingraham</td>
<td>#4628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. I R. Denge</td>
<td>#4685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. II D. Yeager</td>
<td>#4938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpr. D. Granitzski</td>
<td>#5060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/26/96</td>
<td>Captain J. Mattos Commandant Training Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lvd att.</td>
<td>via</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major M. A. Fedorko Supervisor Division Staff Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LTC V. Littles Deputy Superintendent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: ALL POLICE AGENCIES - STATE OF NEW JERSEY

THE NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE TRAINING BUREAU IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE AND RACKETEERING BUREAU WILL BE HOSTING A TWO-DAY SEMINAR ENTITLED, "NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING TREND 1996." THIS COURSE WILL BE HELD AT THE SEA GIRT TRAINING FACILITY ON AUGUST 20-21, 1996 FROM 8:30 A.M. TO 4:30 P.M. THERE IS NO FEE FOR THIS TRAINING. TOPICS INCLUDE HIGHWAY OPERATIONS, HOTEL/MOTEL OPERATIONS, TRAIN/BUS OPERATIONS, AND SEARCH/SEIZURE CASE LAW. LODGING WILL BE AVAILABLE AT NO COST. DIRECT ANY INQUIRIES TO THE CRIMINAL SCIENCE UNIT, AT 908-449-5200, EXT. 215.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT/AGENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BARLOW, Eric P.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEKEFI, Robert S.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENUS, Matthew</td>
<td>P.O.</td>
<td>Saddle Brook P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERGER, Todd F.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOYLE, Michael</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Paterson P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRYANT, Robert L.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Somerset Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUOYE, Dennis P.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURKE, Francis M.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARRINGTON, Anthony</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Ocean Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARROLL, Joseph M.</td>
<td>D/Chief</td>
<td>Saddle Brook P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHERNEY, Dennis P.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Cinnaminson P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAVEZ, Andrew S.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIACCHIO, Frank A.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Cinnaminson P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRISTMAS, Mark</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONNERS, John J.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COON, Jeffrey A.</td>
<td>S/Inv.</td>
<td>Union Co. Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVERT, William K.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Cinnaminson P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROSS, David A.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Maplewood P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUCCINIELLO, Samuel V.</td>
<td>Inv.</td>
<td>Atlantic Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeLORME, Patrick E.</td>
<td>Inv.</td>
<td>Ocean Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DITTMAR, Michael C.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOWD, Timothy D.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Kenilworth P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DURBIN, Warren D.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERMAN, Nicholas R.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Egg Harbor City P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAHR, Dale R.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Carneys Point P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIELLO, Debra A.</td>
<td>Det. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FANE, Keith J.</td>
<td>Inv.</td>
<td>Atlantic Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAZIO, Joseph B.</td>
<td>P.O.</td>
<td>Saddle Brook P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLARITY, Thomas F.</td>
<td>Det. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILES, George T.</td>
<td>Det. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GILLESPIE, John F.</td>
<td>S.O.</td>
<td>Union Co. Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUIDETTI, Raymond A.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUIDI, Anthony A.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUZZI, Raymond P.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADLEY, Steven</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Atlantic Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HESTER, Brian L.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>New Hampshire State Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HICKMAN, David J.</td>
<td>Tpr. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUBER, Edwin H.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HULINGS, James T.</td>
<td>D/CPL.</td>
<td>Delaware State Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IANNONE, Frank P.</td>
<td>Det. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>RANK</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT/AGENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAMES, James E.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHNSON, Scott E.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KALENDEK, Kevin T.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Union Twsp. P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAVANAGH, Walter J.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIERNAN, James P.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLIMEK, William J.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRONENFELD, Jeffrey</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRYCH, Alexander</td>
<td>Det. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOGUE, James L.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Delaware State Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUBERTAZZI, Glenn P.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASON, Scott A.</td>
<td>Sgt.</td>
<td>Cape May Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCUE, Mark D.</td>
<td>DSG</td>
<td>Linden P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonough, Thomas C., Jr.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Carneys Point P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDEA, Denise R.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTICELLO, Nicholas M.</td>
<td>Det. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULVEY, John P.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUNZIATO, Christopher</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'NEILL, Christopher</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Rahway P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAROCCI, David R.</td>
<td>DSG</td>
<td>Union Twsp. P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PITTMAN, Anthony R.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PONENTI, Albert M.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRICE, James E.</td>
<td>Det. I</td>
<td>NJSP - Investigations Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAAB, William P.</td>
<td>P.O.</td>
<td>Union Twsp. P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REED, John A.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Stafford Twsp. P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REISS, Robert M.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Union Twsp. P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICA, Daniel J.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Kenilworth P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROADSIDE, Michael J.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RONAN, Thomas S.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Union Twsp. P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHMIDLIN, Timothy S.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHULZ, Christian A.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHWARTZ, Michael J.</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIEBERT, Edward A.</td>
<td>DSG</td>
<td>Summit P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMBOL, Howard</td>
<td>Lt.</td>
<td>Passaic P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPENCER, Alane G.</td>
<td>Inv.</td>
<td>Atlantic Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEELMAN, Ralph C.</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULLIVAN, Andrew F.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Mountainside P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGHELLA, Steven O.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Somerset Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEISSMAN, Zachary</td>
<td>Inv.</td>
<td>Passaic Co. Pros. Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIDOVIC, John</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOODLAND, David</td>
<td>Tpr. II</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YACONO, Joseph L. III</td>
<td>Tpr.</td>
<td>NJSP - Troop D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOUNG, Timothy J.</td>
<td>Ptl.</td>
<td>Cinnaminson P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOUNG, Craig D.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Passaic P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAGORSKI, Steven G.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Summit P.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIMMERMAN, John V.</td>
<td>Det.</td>
<td>Kenilworth P.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE ACADEMY
TRENDS IN NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING

COURSE SCHEDULE

AUGUST 20, 1996

8:30 AM - 9:00 AM  Registration/Opening Remarks
9:00 AM - 9:50 AM  Overview/Trends in Narcotics Trafficking
10:00 AM - 10:50 AM Narcotics Network Intelligence
11:00 AM - 12:00 PM Deceptive/Non-Verbal Communication

LUNCH

1:00 PM - 2:20 PM  Search & Seizure Laws Update
2:30 PM - 4:30 PM  Bus/Train Trafficking Operations

AUGUST 21, 1996

8:30 AM - 12:00 PM Highway Trafficking Operations

LUNCH

1:00 PM - 3:30 PM  Hotel/Motel/Airport Trafficking Operations
3:30 PM - 4:30 PM  Critique/Graduation
The attached Interoffice Communication submitted by Captain Blaker is self-explanatory. As you are aware, the Internal Affairs Bureau is preparing a comprehensive proposal to deal exclusively with the auditing of motor vehicle stops. The completed recommendations should be available for your review by May 20, 1998.

I am concerned about the insinuation made by the attorney representing the Davis et al plaintiffs. In a conversation with SDAG Sally Ann Fields, the Davis plaintiffs’ attorney told her that they were approached to testify in the Desoto (Gloucester County) case. They refused to testify in favor of drug defendants. However, the attorney intimated that the Davis plaintiffs will testify as to what they view as racial profiling in the State Police in the recent turnpike shooting case. If this is true, we must attempt to investigate their allegations of racial profiling in an effort to identify and take corrective actions with those who may be violating our policy.

Of equal concern is a letter written by the Davis plaintiffs’ attorney to SDAG Fields wherein he attaches a Special Report submitted by Sgt. J the report dated January 10, 1996, Sgt. complained of improper profiling on the Turnpike. He included the names of 14 minority troopers he interviewed regarding their perceptions of racial profiling at the Moorestown Station. These members should be formally interviewed and statements taken to determine what knowledge they may possess regarding racial profiling.

With your consent, I will proceed to contact SDAG Fields and inquire about setting up a meeting with the Davis plaintiffs to discuss their concerns.

For your consideration.
Yesterday we discussed possible ways in which to ensure that our enlisted members are complying with all state and federal laws and regulations concerning motor vehicle stops on New Jersey’s roadways. It is my recollection that it was decided the Internal Affairs Bureau Inspection Unit would become more active in conducting audits similar to the Moorestown Station audit it did from May 1 through July 31, 1996 (Note that Moorestown and Cranbury stations maintain a daily statistical analysis relating to motor vehicle stops that forms the basis of a monthly report that is forwarded to the Field Operations Section—analysis was instituted on March 26, 1997 and is current to this date).

The audit program is just one factor in an attempt by Division management to ensure that our troopers do not engage in racial profiling. It is also indicative that management is cognizant of the fact that we are not perfect and that there is a possibility that a small minority of troopers may engage in racial profiling.

On May 12, 1998, I had a conversation with SDAG Sally Ann Fields concerning the Davis et al case. She had faxed me a copy of a letter she received from the Davis plaintiffs’ attorney, attached. SDAG Fields related to me that their attorney told her that the Davis plaintiffs were approached to testify in the DeSoto (Gloucester County) case. They refused since they did not want to testify in favor of drug defendants. However, the attorney stated that all bets were off with respect to the shooting involving Troopers Hogan and Kenna since that case involves young athletes, not drug defendants. The intimation is that the Davis defendants will testify as to what they view as racial profiling in the State Police.

The Division is taking positive steps to ensure our enlisted members do not engage in profiling. We should not give others ammunition that would tend to diminish the positive steps we are taking. It is imperative that we do not appear to be attempting to stonewall the profiling issue that was brought to a head by the most recent shooting.

Statements made by spokespersons of Division that indicate that the NJSP does not engage in racial profiling are undermining our corrective efforts. Others see this as a denial that anyone in the NJSP engages in racial profiling. In my opinion, it would be
much better to acknowledge that we are not perfect. Our posture should be that it is our policy that race plays no part in a decision to stop a vehicle, but we know that policy may not be followed by everyone, and we are taking steps to identify and deal with those who violate our policy.

For your consideration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/3/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos</td>
<td>AUDIT OF MOTOR VEHICLE STOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Division Staff</td>
<td>IAB is conducting an audit of motor vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>stops. SDAG Fields has been involved with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mlb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>this process, and I do not think we should</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>deviate from our present course of action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Therefore, your request to meet with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>parties is denied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For your information and compliance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
May 12, 1998

Sally Ann Fields, S.D.A.G.
Department of Law and Public Safety
Hughes Justice Complex
25 W. Market Street
Trenton, NJ 08625

Re: Davis v. N. J. Division of State Police, et al.

Dear Sally:

I wanted to let you know the results of a recent meeting I had with my clients in connection with the above case. A few of them continue to report harassment and taunting, but all of them believe that when it comes to their individual claims, the State Police will try to divide and conquer. To prevent that from happening, all of them have voted to take an equal share of a lump sum award, and therefore, the financial aspects of the case can be handled along with the request for systemic relief.

I thought about this over the weekend, reread the Bellaran decision and concluded that although my clients may have different claims with respect to promotion, educational opportunities and discipline, all of them have been victims of the racially hostile work environment as found by Judge Cooper in the Bellaran case. Indeed, they have been and continue to be the targets of the racial hostility Bellaran observed and experienced.

Perhaps an example of one specific instance of retaliation that is of recent special interest is reflected in the attached Special Report. As you can see, the Special, dated January 10, 1996 from Sgt. Jimmy Smith to Capt. Silvert, complained of improper profiling on the Turnpike. Sgt. Smith interviewed 14 minority troopers regarding their perceptions of racial profiling at the Moosestown Station. The net result of this Special was that several of the African American troopers were transferred off Turnpike duty and replaced by troopers who were all white. No investigation was conducted and, in fact, Capt. Silvert was subsequently promoted.

Accordingly, I would like the State to consider our financial demand of $10,000,000 to resolve all of the damages of all of the troopers I represent.
Sally Ann Fields, S.D.A.G.  
Page 2  

May 12, 1998  

I wanted to send this letter to you (and talk to you if I get the chance) before I send it to Allyn Lite. I feel, however, that I must send it to Allyn no later than tomorrow so that he will have sufficient notice of this development in our case.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
David L. Harris

DLH:va  
D1634/2  
05/12/98 0518709.01

Enclosure

cc: Renee Steinhagen, Esq.  
Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.
IMPROPER PATROL PROCEDURES AT MOORESTOWN STATION

On October 19th, 1995 the undersigned spoke with Lt. B. Gilbert #2445, Station Commander of Moorestown Station, in regards to the concerns of several minority troopers assigned to Moorestown Station. The minority troopers feel that several Moorestown Station personnel are using improper patrol procedures when stopping motorists.

Lt. Gilbert advised the undersigned that he will not tolerate any improper patrol procedures and instructed the undersigned to talk to all the minority troopers with concerns and do a Special Report - as follows:

Interviewed Tpr. II E: squad I of Moorestown Station; he advised that since being assigned to Moorestown Station, he feels that there were some improper patrol procedures by one of his fellow squad members. He conveyed to the undersigned of an incident with Tpr. II J: in regards to what he felt was an illegal search of a Black motorist's vehicle.

Interviewed Tpr. TPU #5 of Cranbury Station: who advised that on a stop of a Black motorist for speeding, the motorist became very upset and advised that he had just been stopped by a white trooper who searched his vehicle for no apparent reason. Tpr. later advised that he tried to find out what trooper stopped the vehicle but met with negative results. He also stated that Squad I was working at the time the motorist was stopped.

Interviewed Tpr. V: TPU #1 of Cranbury Station, who feels there are several members of the Moorestown Station who are using improper patrol procedures. Tpr. advises that although his bases are only a perception he feels that there is racial profiling used by some troopers at the Moorestown Station.

Interviewed Tpr. II J: that when he was a member of Squad 3 there were other squad members he feels were racially profiling motorists, but he has no facts to support his feelings.

Interviewed Tpr. II I: formerly of Moorestown Station Squad 5. Tpr. has since been transferred to Troop A Red Lion Station. He advised that he had no actual findings against any personnel assigned to the Moorestown Station but feels there is racial profiling being used by several members of the Moorestown Station.

The undersigned also interviewed several other minority troopers assigned to the Moorestown Station, who wish to remain anonymous but was advised by them that they also felt there were improper patrol procedures being used at the Moorestown Station. However their concerns were only a perception and not factual.
NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE

MOORESTOWN

CAPTAIN M. SILVERT
COMMANDING TROOP "D"
CRANBURY, NJ

SGT. D.
SQUAD 06 SUPERVISOR
MOORESTOWN, NJ

SPECIAL REPORT / CONTINUATION PAGE

SUBJECT:

IMPROPER PATROL PROCEDURES AT MOORESTOWN STATION

The undersigned interviewed the below listed minority troopers about the above topic:

M
V
J
2
T
J
P

Respectfully submitted...

GC 003064
LT. JB,

I DON'T THINK THAT THE MAJOR SHOULD MEET WITH THESE INDIVIDUALS. THESE ISSUES ARE ALREADY PART OF WHAT IAB IS LOOKING AT. ATTACHED YOU WILL FIND A PACKAGE OF THE INQUIRY THAT WAS CONDUCTED SUBSEQUENT TO THE SPECIAL SUBMITTED BY JIMMY SMITH. THEY (DAVIS ET AL) WERE NEVER DIRECTLY TOLD OF THE SCOPE OF THE INQUIRY, ITS FINDINGS, OR THE EXISTENCE OF THESE REPORTS. HOWEVER, AS PART OF THE INITIAL DISCOVERY LISTS, THERE IS MENTION OF THE SMITH SPECIAL AND THE REPORT BY L.T. GILBERT. THE IAB REPORTS WERE NOT IDENTIFIED ON THE DISCOVERY LIST. SALLY HAS TOLD THE MEDIATOR OF THEIR EXISTENCE, AND WILL BE PROVIDING THEM TO HIM FOR THE MEDIATION PROCESS. THE MEDIATOR MUST KEEP THIS INFORMATION CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT WE (SP) AUTHORIZE THEIR RELEASE TO THE PLAINTIFFS.

WE COULD TAKE AN APPROACH OF REINTERVIEWING THEM, BUT IT COULD BE CHARACTERIZED AS RETALIATORY. I BELIEVE WE HAVE A STRONG POSITION IN RESTING WHAT'S ALREADY BEEN DONE, PARTICULARLY IF WE FOCUS ON THE 1/10/96 FOLLOW-UP SPECIAL REPORT PREPARED BY SGT. S. ___ H IN WHICH HE IS UNABLE TO DOCUMENT ANY SIGNIFICANT, SPECIFIC INFORMATION FROM THE MINORITY TROOPERS WHO ARE IDENTIFIED. IF WE END UP IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS ON THE TPK. SHOOTING, WE WILL HAVE THE CHANCE TO DEPOSE THEM PRIOR TO THEIR TESTIFYING AND LOCK IN THEIR POTENTIAL TESTIMONY. IF THEY PROVIDE INFORMATION OTHER THAN THAT IN SMITH'S SPECIAL, THIS WOULD BE THE TIME WE COULD GIVE THEM A HARD TIME FOR NOT HAVING COMPLIED WITH ARTICLE 5, SECTION 8 OF THE RULES AND REGS. (ATTACHED)

FYI

TG 3635
Are these concerns of our minority members based on a perception or fact? The data presented by Lt. Gilbert is insufficient and will not resolve these issues. His collection of the available data has established a level of concern; and if not addressed will effect the unity within our ranks.

With the lack of racial documentation for all our motor vehicle activities during the period addressed (1995) it would be extremely laborious at this time to establish an accurate finding. Even with a careful study of the graphs which were developed with the assistance of the Intelligence Analytical Unit one can only speculate an individual conclusion. It is interesting to note however, that the Staff Inspection Unit's initial audit following the Superintendent's racial documentation directive showed that Moorestown was one of the Stations with a high level of minority violators stopped. This was our preliminary audit with less than the desired compliance to the new directive throughout the State. Since our inspection audit did not include the time period reviewed by Lt. Gilbert it can not be utilized to draw a final conclusion in this matter.

Quoting Lt. Gilbert's comment on page #3 (top) Special Report, in part; "In light of the Gloucester County decision, non-minority troopers--have concerns that should be addressed regarding procedure modifications and the efforts on troopers who are aggressively motivated in the PRA program". These uncertainties should immediately be addressed directly with those members of Lt. Gilbert's command to ensure them that they can pursue their interests and be in compliance with the Superintendent's policies.

This command concurs with the recommendations of Captain M. Silvert, Troop "D" Commander. If there is racial harmony among the troopers at the Moorestown Station as Lt. B. Gilbert reports, mediation and additional sensitivity training by EEOC/AA Bureau would be of little value. However the expression of continued support from the Superintendent and the ongoing efforts of the Division's Search and Seizure Committee to provide additional education to our members should be reinforced at the Station level. In addition the continued monitoring of the conditions by the EEOC/AA Bureau is encouraged.
It is the recommendation of this command that the Staff Inspection Unit with the assistance of the Intelligence Analytical Unit conduct an audit of stopping/arrest pattern at the Moorestown Station for a period of three (3) months from May-July 1996.

Forwarded for your information and consideration.
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For Minorities Leading to Arrests
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/13/96</td>
<td>Major M.A. Fedorko</td>
<td>Captain R. E. Touw</td>
<td>PATROL ISSUES/CONCERNS AT MOORESTOWN STATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>Internal Affairs Bureau</td>
<td>The attached correspondence is self-explanatory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff Section</td>
<td>Captain J. R. Brennan</td>
<td>Please review and submit recommendations to this office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEO/Affirmative Action Bureau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/96</td>
<td>Major A. J. Sparano</td>
<td>Major M. Fedorko</td>
<td>PATROL ISSUES/CONCERNS AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations</td>
<td>Division Staff</td>
<td>MOORESTOWN STATION - SPECIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>via Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>REPORTS OF LT. B. GILBERT &amp; SGT. J. SMITH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L. R. Roberson</td>
<td>V. Liskes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Office</td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Request the Internal Affairs Bureau and Equal Employment Opportunities/Affirmative Action Bureau review the attached special reports and submit their recommendations so that an informed decision may be made regarding this situation.
May 14, 1996

SUBJECT: State Police Patrol Issues/Concerns at Moorestown Station, Troop "D"

TO: Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
    New Jersey State Police, West Trenton, New Jersey

ATTN.: Major Anthony J. Sparano, Field Operations Officer

Attached are Special Reports submitted by Lt. B. Gilbert, Station Commander, and Sgt. J. Smith #2892, Squad Supervisor, Moorestown Station, Troop "D", regarding the patrol practices at the Moorestown Station.

It is the opinion of this Command that the inquiry conducted has failed to substantiate any factual concerns, however, there are "perceived" issues of concern.

This Command does not agree with the "Suggested Division/Troop remedial action" of Lt. Gilbert, with the exception of continuing education for our troopers in the area of search and seizure, which is always of great importance due to the ever changing legal system. The remedial action listed as "Station Level" is encouraged and is currently in place throughout Troop "D".

Forwarded for your information and consideration.

Michael M. Silvert, Captain
Commanding Troop "D"

MMS:LLC:dd
STATE POLICE PATROL ISSUES/CONCERNS

Acting on the information provided by Sergeant James Smith #2892 regarding a perception by minority Troopers that racial profiling may be utilized for motor vehicle stops, SFC Albert Sacchetti and myself met with the following Sergeants to re-emphasize the fact that we and the Division of State Police do not, will not and have never condoned or encouraged the illegal act of racial profiling for motor vehicle stops:

Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  
Sgt.  

The Sergeants were instructed to insure that all personnel under their command complied with proper patrol procedures and that stops based on race would not be tolerated.

Sergeant  ? was ordered to conduct interviews with minority Troopers and submit a Special Report documenting the information learned in this fact finding assignment (see attached Special Report). The purpose of these interviews was to determine if any Troopers could substantiate specific instances of racial profiling.

As a follow-up to the concerns documented in the report of Sergeant  ?, I conducted interviews of the following Division members:

Tpr. I  
Tpr. I  
Tpr. I  
Tpr. I  
Tpr. I  
Tpr. I  
Tpr. I  
Tpr. I  
Tpr. II  
Tpr. II  
Tpr. II  

Lt. B. M. Gilbert  
Station Commander  
Moorestown
As a result of the interviews, the following facts were learned. Racial harmony exists among Troopers at Moorestown Station. However, minority Troopers have expressed concerns regarding the appearance of profile stops. This perception by minority Troopers is based on the following factors/experiences:

1. Disparity of minority vs. non-minority arrests.
2. Disparity of minority vs. non-minority motor vehicle stops.
3. Use of roadside spotlighting.
4. Third party experiences resulting from motor vehicle stops by non-minority Troopers.
5. Minority Troopers being waved off in back-up situations.
6. Division Awards Program based on quantity of arrests (encourages profiling).
8. Race of occupants called in as "unknown".
9. Minor offenses used as reason for stops.

In addition, most minority Troopers feel that the Gloucester County court case proved that profiling is an accepted practice and that the statistics presented during the case substantiated their perception. However, no information or evidence was developed against any specific Division member to support this perception.
PATROL ISSUES / CONCERNS AT MOORESTOWN STATION

As directed, the Staff Inspection Unit conducted an audit regarding patrol issues / concerns at the Moorestown Station, Troop "D", Field Operations Section.

This audit was initiated following a complaint by minority members at Moorestown to their Station Commander, Lt. B. Gilbert #2445, that racial profiling may be practiced by non-minority members on motor vehicle stops.

For the purpose of this audit, motor vehicle stops entered on Moorestown's radio logs for a three month period, from May 1, 1996 through July 31, 1996, were used.

Daily assignment sheets were used to identify each trooper and his vehicle number on each shift. The racial characteristics of vehicle occupants in each motor vehicle stop was then attributed to that individual trooper. The occupants of these motor vehicle stops were then broken down into the following categories; White, Black, other, and unknown.

The category other included minorities other than Black, such as Hispanic, Indian, Oriental, etc. In instances where vehicle occupants were a mixture of races, these stops were included in this category.

The unknown category included stops where the occupants were not identified, or where the handwriting of the individual assigned to the radio log was illegible.

The information gathered was then given to the Intelligence Services Section's Analytical Unit for the purpose of obtaining statistical information on these stops, relative to the individual troopers.

Below is the racial distribution of motor vehicle stops by non-minority / minority members stationed at Moorestown while on solo patrol during this three month period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Stops</th>
<th>%White</th>
<th>%Black</th>
<th>%Other</th>
<th>%Minority</th>
<th>%Unk.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Minority</td>
<td>3524</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troopers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority</td>
<td>1751</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troopers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PATROL ISSUES / CONCERNS AT MOORESTOWN STATION

As directed, the Staff Inspection Unit conducted an audit regarding patrol issues / concerns at the Moorestown Station, Troop “D”, Field Operations Section.

This audit was initiated following a complaint by minority members at Moorestown to their Station Commander, Lt. B. Gilbert #2445, that racial profiling may be practiced by non-minority members on motor vehicle stops.

For the purpose of this audit, motor vehicle stops entered on Moorestown’s radio logs for a three month period, from May 1, 1996 through July 31, 1996, were used.

Daily assignment sheets were used to identify each trooper and his vehicle number on each shift. The racial characteristics of vehicle occupants in each motor vehicle stop was then attributed to that individual trooper. The occupants of these motor vehicle stops were then broken down into the following categories; White, Black, other, and unknown.

The category other included minorities other than Black, such as Hispanic, Indian, Oriental, etc. In instances where vehicle occupants were a mixture of races, these stops were included in this category.

The unknown category included stops where the occupants were not identified, or where the handwriting of the individual assigned to the radio log was illegible.

The information gathered was then given to the Intelligence Services Section’s Analytical Unit for the purpose of obtaining statistical information on these stops, relative to the individual troopers.

Below is the racial distribution of motor vehicle stops by non-minority / minority members stationed at Moorestown while on solo patrol during this three month period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Stops</th>
<th>%White</th>
<th>%Black</th>
<th>%Other</th>
<th>%Minority</th>
<th>%Unk.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Minority</td>
<td>3524</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troopers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Troopers</td>
<td>1751</td>
<td>59.0%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PATROL ISSUES / CONCERNS AT MOORESTOWN STATION

These figures reflect the fact that minority stops by non-minority troopers is 1% greater than the number of minority stops by minority troopers, even though non-minority troopers accounted for over twice as many motor vehicle stops as did minority troopers.

This audit also included the racial distribution of motor vehicle stops by dual patrols on midnight shifts during this same period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Stops</th>
<th>%White</th>
<th>%Black</th>
<th>%Other</th>
<th>%Minority</th>
<th>%Unk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Minority</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Patrols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Patrols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority/</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Minority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Patrols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These figures show an increase by non-minority dual patrols in identified stops of 2.6% over non-minority solo patrols, while there is an 18.8% increase by minority dual patrol stops, as opposed to minority solo patrol stops.

As a result of this audit, there appears to be no validity to the perception that non-minority troopers assigned to the Moorestown Station are profiling minorities on motor vehicle stops.

The Inspection Unit would be remiss if it did not acknowledge the assistance received from the Analytical Unit, especially from Mr. Peter Lynch, in preparing the statistical data for this audit.
In light of the Gloucester County decision, non-minority Troopers, believing that their patrol procedures are in compliance with Federal/State mandates have concerns that should be addressed regarding procedure modifications and the effects on Troopers who are aggressively motivated in the PRA Program.

The following is a statistical review of Moorestown Station criminal activities and personnel facts for the year of 1995:

48 Road Troopers including squad supervisors
18 Minority Troopers = 37.5%
30 Non-minority Troopers = 62.5%

CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES - 1995

627 Body arrests
336 Motor vehicle stops resulting in criminal arrests
382 Minority body arrests - 61% of 627
191 Motor vehicle stops with minority drivers - 57% of 336
89 Body arrests generated by minority Troopers - 14% of 627
39 Minority body arrests generated by minority Troopers - 44% of 89
538 Body arrests generated by non-minority Troopers - 86% of 627
343 Minority body arrests generated by non-minority Troopers - 64% of 538
67 Motor vehicle stops/criminal arrests generated by minority Troopers - 20% of 336
31 Motor vehicle stops/criminal arrests with minority drivers generated by minority Troopers - 46% of 67
269 Motor vehicle stops/criminal arrests generated by non-minority Troopers - 80% of 336
160 Motor vehicle stops/criminal arrests with minority drivers generated by non-minority Troopers - 59% of 269
COURT SEARCHES - 1995

144 Consent searches conducted
89 Minority driver consent searches - 62% of 144
55 Non-minority driver consent searches - 38% of 144
125 Consent searches conducted by non-minority Troopers - 87% of 144
19 Consent searches conducted by minority Troopers - 13% of 144
51 Consent searches with non-minority drivers conducted by non-minority Troopers - 41% of 125, 35% of 144
74 Consent searches with minority drivers conducted by non-minority Troopers - 59% of 125, 51% of 144
4 Consent searches with non-minority drivers conducted by minority Troopers - 21% of 19, 3% of 144
15 Consent searches with minority drivers conducted by minority Troopers - 79% of 19, 10% of 144

PROBABLE CAUSE SEARCHES - 1995

26 Probable cause searches conducted.
25 Probable cause searches conducted by non-minority Troopers 96% of 26.
1 Probable cause search conducted by minority Troopers - 4% of 26.
8 Non-minority drivers, probable cause searches conducted by non-minority Troopers - 32% of 25, 31% of 26.
17 Minority drivers, probable cause searches conducted by non-minority Troopers - 68% of 25, 65% of 26.
1 Non-minority driver, probable cause search conducted by minority Troopers - 4% of 26.
In conclusion, it is a difficult task to effectively respond to and correct problems/conditions that are based on a perception rather than fact. But the issue must be addressed to preserve the work environment presently enjoyed at the Moorestown Station.

The challenge is clear but the resolution is unclear. Suggested Division/Troop remedial action:

1. Mediation involvement by EEOC/AA Unit.
2. Additional sensitivity training.
3. Intensive Search and Seizure In-Service.
4. Formation of a committee to conduct open discussions at troop levels.
5. Formation of a Law Enforcement Committee to conduct conferences with judicial members to discuss problems confronted by police officers.

Remedial action to be taken at the Station level:

1. Open discussions on flex days
2. Squad briefings
3. I.O.C.‘s and directives regarding legislation and court decisions involving patrol procedures, etc.

For your information and further action.
MOTOR VEHICLE SEARCH

On September 12, 1995, at approximately 1544 Hours, Trooper II...ed a 1991 red Pontiac bearing Maryland Registration CEA-052 for a speeding violation, 74/55 at Milepost 14 SB on the New Jersey Turnpike. The driver was unable to produce a driver’s license, vehicle registration, or any other form of identification.

Trooper initiated conversation with the driver and a passenger who claimed ownership of the vehicle. Based on the facts that the proper vehicle credentials could not be produced and the conversation with the occupants of the vehicle, Trooper conducted a probable cause search of the front interior of the vehicle. The alleged owner of the vehicle also granted verbal consent to the search. The probable cause search revealed a digital scale under the driver’s seat and a considerable amount of currency wrapped with rubber bands, in the glove box. Trooper II arrived at the scene, provided backup, and observed Tpr. searching the interior of the vehicle. Suspicious and believing that the occupants may be involved in criminal activity, Trooper asked for permission to search the vehicle in the presence of Trooper . The alleged owner of the vehicle verbally consented to the search but refused to sign the form. Trooper Ogg asked Trooper to sign as witness to the consent search and the owner’s verbal consent. Trooper , believing a search had already been conducted, was reluctant to sign as witness but eventually did sign the form.

Not knowing all the details of the motor vehicle stop, Trooper was in fact justified in questioning the actions of Trooper . The actions of Trooper were legal and in compliance with Federal/State laws but deviated from NJSP S.O.P. F31 regarding consent searches. Troopers and Sergeant K. were counseled regarding proper consent search procedures as delineated in S.O.P. F31.

Attached is the documentation of the above incident.

Lt. B. M. Gilbert
Station Commander
Moorstown
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</th>
<th>REGISTRATION NUMBER</th>
<th>LOCATION MILE POST NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1148</td>
<td>ROLL CALL, BRIEFING / LST NAHMO</td>
<td>0020</td>
<td>0-020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205</td>
<td>M/C 21</td>
<td>0020</td>
<td>0-020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1252</td>
<td>ATTEND COURT CT. 010 95-170</td>
<td>MUN. R606</td>
<td>T-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>BENCH ON TPEF</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>0-10 A105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>8VRS59181 (69-55) 15</td>
<td>926185</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>0082477 (499) 18</td>
<td>2056149</td>
<td>14.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344</td>
<td>8VRS59182 (74-55) 16</td>
<td>C6905</td>
<td>14.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445</td>
<td>8VRS59183 (3-10) 17</td>
<td>9-428</td>
<td>T-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0-10 MV AND O15 IAT 137</td>
<td>8N285</td>
<td>24.5N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>0-06 M602</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td>RADIO CHECK</td>
<td></td>
<td>T-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>641</td>
<td>0-20 M/2474 (501) 18</td>
<td>81694</td>
<td>15.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715</td>
<td>CHECK PLAHA</td>
<td></td>
<td>T-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>755</td>
<td>0-00 FUEL</td>
<td></td>
<td>DIST 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>930</td>
<td>CAR RAMP / SIGN IN 120</td>
<td></td>
<td>0-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>TYPE OF ACTIVITY</td>
<td>REGISTRATION NUMBER</td>
<td>LOCATION MILE POST NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:40</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:20</td>
<td>Sig 21</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>804K</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:40</td>
<td>357P</td>
<td>210072 - 3/2-28</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:50</td>
<td>604P</td>
<td>506442 - 3/2-28</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:40</td>
<td>Sig 22 - 1/801 ref 4/1 5th</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>14.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30</td>
<td>Sig 22 - 1/801 ref 4/1 5th</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>14.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30</td>
<td>Sig 22 - 1/801 ref 4/1 5th</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>14.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Cal. Lad. sev. veh. &amp; 7咱 cell</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>0620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE

CONSENT TO SEARCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Station/Unit</th>
<th>(2) Code</th>
<th>(3) Area Code, Phone Number and Extension</th>
<th>(4) Prosecutor's Case Number</th>
<th>(5) Division Case Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morristown</td>
<td>D020</td>
<td>609-275-1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>09-428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I, [name], residing at [address], hereby authorize [name], a member of the New Jersey State Police and any other officer designated to assist, to conduct a complete search of

1991 RED PONTIAC GRAND AM MD REG 6EG052

located at

NJTPKE SB MD 14 G.E. GREENWILL TWP

GLOUCESTER CO., NJ

I further authorize the above member of the New Jersey State Police to remove and search any letters, documents, papers, materials, or other property which is considered pertinent to the investigation, provided that I am subsequently given a receipt for anything which is removed.

I have knowingly and voluntarily given my consent to the search described above.

I have been advised by [name] and fully understand that I have the right to refuse giving my consent to search.

I have been further advised that I may withdraw my consent at any time during the search.

Witnesses: [name/signature]

Date: 9-12-95 Time: 3:55 PM 4:30 PM

Nothing Taken

(16) ITEMIZED LIST OF ARTICLES TAKEN AS EVIDENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Include Complete Description, Quantity, Where and By Whom Found</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC 003086

125816
Division of State Police without the consent of the Superintendent and shall be classed as a deserter and may be dismissed, pursuant to law, from the Division of State Police.

SECTION 3.
A member shall keep physically fit and be subject to duty at all times, unless otherwise excused on recommendation of a Division physician with the approval of the Superintendent.

SECTION 4.
A member is subject to call to duty twenty-four hours a day and may be recalled from any authorized absence from duty, including vacation, whenever necessity demands.

SECTION 5.
No member's duty shall be performed in a culpably inefficient manner. As used in this Section, culpably inefficient manner is that inefficiency for which there is no reasonable or just excuse.

SECTION 6.
No member shall malinger or feign illness. A high degree of self-control is required at all times of every member. Concerted action and mutual protection are necessary in the proper performance of duty, and therefore no member shall shirk danger, avoid responsibility or manifest cowardice in the performance of duty.

SECTION 7.
The failure on the part of a member while on duty or on authorized absence from duty, and whether in uniform or not, to promptly report and take proper police action in any situation reasonably requiring such action, is neglect of duty.

SECTION 8.
A member shall communicate promptly through the Division chain of command all crimes, breaches of the peace, suicides, attempted suicides, fires, accidents, complaints, misconduct, or other information of which the Division takes cognizance, that may come to the member's attention, during the performance of such member's duty. A member shall not withhold any information on such matters for any reason.
As a result of the Gloucester County Profiling Case (Soto et al), the Field Operations Section has instituted a means to capture statistical data specific to allegations that the Division engaged in profiling practices during enforcement efforts on the New Jersey Turnpike.

An assessment of the activities at the Cranbury and Moorestown Stations, Troop “D” has been compiled and forwarded for your review.

The attached tables depict figures relative to two categories of data captured during the six month period beginning April, 1997 through September, 1997. The two categories include: 1.) Daily Radio Log Synopsis; and 2.) Consent Search Synopsis. Each table captures data specific to the discriminatory allegations derived from this case.

The Field Operations Section will continue to capture this data and will have same available upon request.

Forwarded for your information.
# Daily Radio Log

## Cranbury Station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Stops</th>
<th>White %</th>
<th>Black %</th>
<th>Hispanic %</th>
<th>Asian %</th>
<th>Other %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>907 (58.9%)</td>
<td>386 (25.0%)</td>
<td>149 (9.8%)</td>
<td>59 (3.8%)</td>
<td>37 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>965 (61.4%)</td>
<td>371 (23.6%)</td>
<td>112 (7.3%)</td>
<td>73 (4.6%)</td>
<td>50 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>752 (58.7%)</td>
<td>313 (24.4%)</td>
<td>125 (9.7%)</td>
<td>47 (3.6%)</td>
<td>44 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>782 (56.6%)</td>
<td>361 (26.3%)</td>
<td>122 (8.8%)</td>
<td>72 (5.2%)</td>
<td>43 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>1149</td>
<td>677 (58.9%)</td>
<td>296 (25.7%)</td>
<td>106 (9.2%)</td>
<td>42 (3.6%)</td>
<td>31 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>841 (59.6%)</td>
<td>350 (24.8%)</td>
<td>132 (9.4%)</td>
<td>60 (4.3%)</td>
<td>28 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 Month Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>8330</strong></td>
<td><strong>4924 (59.1%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>2077 (24.9%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>746 (8.9%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>353 (4.3%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>233 (2.8%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Moorestown Station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Stops</th>
<th>White %</th>
<th>Black %</th>
<th>Hispanic %</th>
<th>Asian %</th>
<th>Other %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>2686</td>
<td>1602 (59.6%)</td>
<td>795 (29.6%)</td>
<td>132 (4.9%)</td>
<td>100 (3.7%)</td>
<td>57 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>2939</td>
<td>1709 (58.1%)</td>
<td>863 (29.4%)</td>
<td>176 (5.9%)</td>
<td>129 (4.4%)</td>
<td>62 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>2922</td>
<td>1714 (58.6%)</td>
<td>861 (29.4%)</td>
<td>165 (5.6%)</td>
<td>125 (4.2%)</td>
<td>57 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1441 (55.4%)</td>
<td>819 (31.5%)</td>
<td>186 (7.1%)</td>
<td>117 (4.5%)</td>
<td>37 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>2710</td>
<td>1552 (57.3%)</td>
<td>837 (30.8%)</td>
<td>152 (5.7%)</td>
<td>113 (4.2%)</td>
<td>56 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>2712</td>
<td>1533 (56.2%)</td>
<td>827 (30.5%)</td>
<td>169 (6.4%)</td>
<td>111 (4.2%)</td>
<td>72 (2.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 Month Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>16569</strong></td>
<td><strong>9551 (57.6%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>5002 (30.2%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>980 (5.9%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>695 (4.2%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>341 (2.1%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Total Searches</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5 (62.5%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>2 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4 (28.5%)</td>
<td>6 (43.0%)</td>
<td>4 (28.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4 (30.8%)</td>
<td>6 (46.2%)</td>
<td>2 (15.4%)</td>
<td>1 (7.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5 (27.8%)</td>
<td>9 (50.0%)</td>
<td>4 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 (18.2%)</td>
<td>7 (63.6%)</td>
<td>2 (18.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4 (23.0%)</td>
<td>8 (47.6%)</td>
<td>5 (29.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Month Totals</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>24 (29.6%)</td>
<td>37 (45.5%)</td>
<td>19 (23.4%)</td>
<td>1 (1.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Searches</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8 (29.6%)</td>
<td>14 (51.8%)</td>
<td>5 (18.6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7 (28.0%)</td>
<td>12 (48.0%)</td>
<td>5 (18.0%)</td>
<td>1 (4.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3 (17.6%)</td>
<td>6 (35.2%)</td>
<td>7 (41.2%)</td>
<td>1 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (57.2%)</td>
<td>3 (42.8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4 (23.5%)</td>
<td>9 (53.0%)</td>
<td>4 (23.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (44.5%)</td>
<td>5 (55.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Month Totals</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>22 (21.7%)</td>
<td>49 (48.0%)</td>
<td>29 (28.4%)</td>
<td>2 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a result of the Gloucester County Profiling Case (Soto et al), the Field Operations Section has instituted a means to capture statistical data specific to allegations that the Division engaged in profiling practices during enforcement efforts on the New Jersey Turnpike.

An assessment of the activities at the Cranbury and Moorestown Stations, Troop "D" has been compiled and forwarded for your review.

The attached tables depict figures relative to two categories of data captured during the six month period beginning April, 1997 through September, 1997. The two categories include: 1.) Daily Radio Log Synopsis; and 2.) Consent Search Synopsis. Each table captures data specific to the discriminatory allegations derived from this case.

The Field Operations Section will continue to capture this data and will have same available upon request.

Forwarded for your information.
### DAILY RADIO LOG  
**GRANBURY STATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL STOPS</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>BLACK</th>
<th>HISPANIC</th>
<th>ASIAN</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>APRIL</strong></td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>907 (58.9%)</td>
<td>386 (25.0%)</td>
<td>149 (9.6%)</td>
<td>59 (3.8%)</td>
<td>37 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAY</strong></td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>965 (61.4%)</td>
<td>371 (23.6%)</td>
<td>112 (7.3%)</td>
<td>73 (4.6%)</td>
<td>50 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JUNE</strong></td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>752 (58.7%)</td>
<td>313 (24.4%)</td>
<td>125 (9.7%)</td>
<td>47 (3.6%)</td>
<td>44 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JULY</strong></td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>782 (56.6%)</td>
<td>361 (26.3%)</td>
<td>122 (8.8%)</td>
<td>72 (5.2%)</td>
<td>43 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUGUST</strong></td>
<td>1149</td>
<td>677 (55.9%)</td>
<td>296 (25.7%)</td>
<td>106 (9.2%)</td>
<td>42 (3.6%)</td>
<td>31 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEPT</strong></td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>841 (59.6%)</td>
<td>350 (24.8%)</td>
<td>132 (9.4%)</td>
<td>60 (4.3%)</td>
<td>28 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 MONTH TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>8330</td>
<td>4924 (59.1%)</td>
<td>2077 (24.9%)</td>
<td>746 (8.9%)</td>
<td>353 (4.3%)</td>
<td>233 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DAILY RADIO LOG  
**MOORESTOWN STATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL STOPS</th>
<th>WHITE</th>
<th>BLACK</th>
<th>HISPANIC</th>
<th>ASIAN</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>APRIL</strong></td>
<td>2686</td>
<td>1602 (59.6%)</td>
<td>795 (29.6%)</td>
<td>132 (4.9%)</td>
<td>100 (3.7%)</td>
<td>57 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAY</strong></td>
<td>2939</td>
<td>1709 (58.1%)</td>
<td>863 (29.4%)</td>
<td>176 (5.9%)</td>
<td>129 (4.4%)</td>
<td>62 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JUNE</strong></td>
<td>2922</td>
<td>1714 (58.6%)</td>
<td>861 (29.4%)</td>
<td>165 (5.6%)</td>
<td>125 (4.2%)</td>
<td>57 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>JULY</strong></td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>1441 (55.4%)</td>
<td>819 (31.5%)</td>
<td>186 (7.1%)</td>
<td>117 (4.5%)</td>
<td>37 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUGUST</strong></td>
<td>2710</td>
<td>1552 (57.3%)</td>
<td>837 (30.8%)</td>
<td>152 (5.7%)</td>
<td>113 (4.2%)</td>
<td>56 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEPT</strong></td>
<td>2712</td>
<td>1533 (56.2%)</td>
<td>827 (30.5%)</td>
<td>169 (6.4%)</td>
<td>111 (4.2%)</td>
<td>72 (2.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 MONTH TOTALS</strong></td>
<td>16569</td>
<td>9551 (57.6%)</td>
<td>5002 (30.2%)</td>
<td>980 (5.9%)</td>
<td>695 (4.2%)</td>
<td>341 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC 003092  
125822
## CONSENT SEARCH

**GROSSE POINTE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 Month Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>81</strong></td>
<td><strong>24</strong></td>
<td><strong>37</strong></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>81</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GROSSE POINTE West**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 Month Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>102</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>49</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>102</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 Month Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>102</strong></td>
<td><strong>22</strong></td>
<td><strong>49</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GC 003093**
May 12, 1998

Dear Col. Williams:

I am writing on behalf of the Black Ministers Council of New Jersey to request a meeting with you and the Executive Committee of the Council at your earliest convenience.

As you are aware there are a host of concerns regarding the New Jersey State Police which we would like to discuss with you. Because the incident on the New Jersey Turnpike is under investigation we are aware you cannot discuss it, and we will not bring it up.

Please call my office at (973)678-1217 at your earliest convenience to inform us if you agree to meet.

Best wishes.

Sincerely,

Reginald T. Jackson,
Executive Director

The Rev. Caleb Oates, President

The Reginald T. Jackson, Executive Director

Phone (973) 678-1217
Fax(973) 678-1293
MEMORANDUM TO: Regina M. Garb, Director
Citizens’ Services

SUBJECT: Attorney General Referral of May 14, 1998 -

Date May 20, 1998

Attached is original correspondence of 

Mr. ’s letter was initially sent to Colonel Carl A. Williams and subsequently received as an Attorney General’s referral.

This matter has been referred to our Internal Affairs Bureau, and, as a result, an investigation has been initiated.

Forwarded for your information.

FOR COLONEL CARL A. WILLIAMS
SUPERINTENDENT

Robert D. Dunlop
Lt. Colonel
Executive Officer

sc

att.
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services

Date: 05-18-1998

Received Date: 05-15-1998
Correspondence Date: 05-07-1998
Subject: Complaint Against State Trooper
Name: Mr. I
Affiliation:
Assigned to: Colonel Williams
Division of State Police
Due Date: 05-29-1998
Issue: complaint that Trooper stopped him because he is African American.
Comment: Note - This is a duplicate letter to the one sent to DSP as a governor's referral
Response Type: For your information - keep original
Response Date: 05-15-1998

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625

GC 003096
May 7, 1998

Attorney General
Peter Vernerio
RJ Hughes Justice Complex
25 Market Street
Trenton, NJ 08625

Mr. Vernerio:

Attached is a letter I sent to Colonel Carl Williams regarding an encounter I had with New Jersey State Police Officer

I am sure that you agree that racial targeting has no place in New Jersey, 1998. I hope that actions can be taken to prevent the obvious targeting of black men on the New Jersey Turnpike in the future. These discriminatory actions do nothing except to cause people to lose faith in the police and to ensure future racial division.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Handwritten note: I]
May 7, 1998

Colonel Carl A. Williams
New Jersey State Police
Administration Building #1
River Road
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Colonel Williams:

I would like you to know about an incident I had with one of your police officers.

On April 29, 1998 at approximately 0940, I was driving southbound from the George Washington bridge toward the New Jersey Turnpike. Just south of where the road splits into 80 and 95 I saw a burgundy, unmarked police car as I rounded the corner. I looked down at my speedometer and noted that I had the cruise control on 55mph. After passing the police car I noticed that he had left the spot in the middle of the road, but was unconcerned because I knew I wasn’t speeding. The police car came right up behind me and followed me for approximately 1.5 miles. Finally the lights flashed and I was pulled over.

The policeman, d, came to the passenger window of the minivan and asked for my license and registration. When I asked him why he stopped me he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast I was going he did not answer.

For the next ten minutes, Officer looked throughout the van (from the outside) and asked me numerous questions. Where was I going? (Philadelphia) Who owned the van? (Avis rent-a-car) etc. Finally I asked him again why I was pulled over and he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast he just said again that I was speeding. Finally I told him that I felt that he pulled me over because I was a young black man (29 years old) driving a new van. At that point he ordered me to step out of the van. He then told me that I had an attitude because I was answering his questions with a smirk. After approximately five more minutes of questioning, including what I do for a living, he let me go without issuing a ticket.

Colonel Williams, I honestly feel that the only reason I was pulled over was because I am a young black man and Officer I wanted a look inside the new van I was driving. I come to this conclusion for a few reasons:
1. Officer never answered my question as to how fast I was going. This was because he knew I was not speeding and perhaps he did not have me tracked on radar.
2. Officer took my license to his car and had it checked. I am under the impression that all traffic stops are to be called in and thus he would have had to call in my drivers license.
Furthermore Officer d was quite rude. He asked many questions and I answered them. Then for him to tell me, a grown man, that I have an attitude and that I was smirking, makes me feel that to him, I was equivalent to someone in high school rather than the adult.

I thought that police had to have probable cause to pull someone over. Officer d did not have probable cause. Clearly, if he thought that I had an ‘attitude’ and was deserving of a speeding ticket, he would have issued me one. If he did not have that probable cause, than my civil rights were clearly violated because I was pulled over only because of my race.

Colonel Williams, I found the whole experience to be degrading and humiliating. This is the first time in my 29 years that I have experienced this kind of racism from the police. I have lived in Detroit, Rockland County New York, Reading PA, Melbourne FL and Atlanta and this is the first time I have ever experienced such outright bigotry. I must state that these actions have no place in the year 1998. I now clearly understand why people mistrust the police. As long as this kind of racial targeting continues, race relations will always be strained.

Some facts about me: I am 29 years old, black, a major airline pilot, no points currently on my license, no police record of any kind.

Some facts about the minivan I was driving: 1998 Chevrolet Ventura, owned by Avis, Pennsylvania plates.

Sir at the very least I would like to know why I was stopped. I feel that I have presented enough facts so that the incident can be researched. I feel that my civil rights were violated and something needs to be done about it.

If you have any questions, please contact me and I will make time available to answer them.

I look forward to hearing your response. Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,
Dear Mr.

I am in receipt of your letter, to Attorney General Peter Verniero, regarding your disappointment in the way the New Jersey State Police has handled your complaint. Thank you for bringing your concerns to my attention.

The Internal Affairs Bureau investigation of your complaint has been a very thorough one. The allegation which you allege is most serious and as such must be scrutinized completely. It is not the goal of the New Jersey State Police to cover up allegations of misconduct nor would it be prudent to blanketly protect police officers if in fact they have acted inappropriately. However as in any legal system in the country, prior to a conviction or discipline being issued, there must be every effort made to factually prove the allegation. The fact that you stated you identified the trooper’s photograph from a photo line up goes a long way toward the substantiation that you were stopped by the trooper in question.

The Internal Affairs Bureau follows the same process in every allegation against members of the New Jersey State Police. This process is time consuming. The process goes as follows:

- Complaint investigation and report preparation.
- Complaint review at the Internal Affairs Bureau.
- Complaint review by an Advisory Board of the Superintendent.
- Complaint review by the Superintendent for final decision.
- Notification of outcome of complaint.
Please be advised that all complaints are investigated and yours is certainly not frivolous. The Internal Affairs process is designed to protect citizens against abuse by state troopers and to protect state troopers against perceived allegations that are without merit.

The report regarding your complaint is being prepared by the investigator and will soon be reviewed by the Internal Affairs Bureau.

The New Jersey State Police, Internal Affairs Bureau is devoting every effort toward your complaint to ensure your civil rights are protected.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Captain Roy Van Tassel Jr.
Bureau Chief
Internal Affairs Bureau
Dear Mr. 

Thank you for writing to me. I appreciate the opportunity to consider your correspondence. Clearly, the thoughts, ideas, and concerns of the many people who write to me each day are invaluable in keeping me informed and helping me to fulfill my responsibilities as Governor.

Given the nature of your correspondence, I have asked Attorney General Peter Verniero of the Department of Law and Public Safety to review your concerns with respect to that agency's role in addressing them, and I have asked that a response be sent to you directly on my behalf. I trust that the reply you receive will be helpful to you.

Should you wish to contact Attorney General Verniero, you can write to the Department of Law and Public Safety, Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex, P.O. Box 081, Trenton, N.J. 08625, or call (609) 292-4925.

Again, thank you for writing. Best wishes.

Sincerely yours,

Christine Todd Whitman
Governor
August 22, 1998

Governor Christie Whitman
Trenton, NJ 08625

Governor Whitman:

Enclosed are two letters I have sent to the New Jersey State Police regarding a racial profile incident I encountered on the New Jersey Turnpike. To date, I have not been given the courtesy of letting me know the results of the investigation.

I guess the civil rights of black males will continue to be in jeopardy every time they drive in New Jersey.
August 22, 1998

Captain Roy Van Tassel, Jr.
Bureau Chief, Internal Affairs Bureau
Department of Law and Public Safety
Division of State Police
P.O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

RE: #98-069D

Captain Van Tassel:

I writing to convey my disappointment on how your department has handled a complaint I made against a New Jersey State Police Officer.

Enclosed is a copy of my original complaint of May 7, 1998. On May 21, 1998, your office sent me a letter stating that there would be an investigation of my complaint. I have been dealing with one of your investigators, CD n on two occasions. The first time was on 6/24/98 and it lasted over two hours. The second time was on 7/2/98 and included a photo lineup. Nine weeks after the incident I picked the offending officer d) out of the lineup. At that point I did everything I thought was possible to substantiate my complaint. I would also like to point out that I attended these interviews on days off while I was participating in a very demanding training course for my job. However, I felt that the abuse of my civil rights was important enough to give up my days off.

The picking out of the officer in the photo lineup was crucial because apparently there was some question as to whether or not the stop actually happened. In fact, the day after the second interview, Sgt. n told me that since my parents know a police officer who works in New Jersey, there was some concern that I was able to pick out the officer after so long because someone may have shown me his picture. How absurd, that would take a conspiracy which, quite frankly, my life would not permit me the time to participate in. Furthermore, Sgt. l once again brought up this possibility on 7/23/98.

Why doesn’t your department accept the obvious: I WAS STOPPED!! That was the reason why I was able to pick out Officer . The fact that there was even a question as to whether or not the stop even occurred says it all. I can understand your department’s desire to protect one of your officers, but this should not apply when one of your officers does something wrong. By not doing something about racial profiling, you and your department are condoning it. Also, by accusing me of possible wrongdoing is WRONG, WRONG, WRONG. All I can say is shame on your department for doing that to me when all I was trying to do was bring the problem to your attention so you can handle it.
Your lack of interest in this case is evidenced by the fact that as of today, 15 weeks after my original complaint, I have not heard the results of the investigation of which I have apparently wasted my time to participate in.
May 7, 1998

Colonel Carl A. Williams  
New Jersey State Police  
Administration Building #1  
River Road  
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Colonel Williams:

I would like you to know about an incident I had with one of your police officers.

On April 29, 1998 at approximately 0940, I was driving southbound from the George Washington bridge toward the New Jersey Turnpike. Just south of where the road splits into 80 and 95 I saw a burgundy, unmarked police car as I rounded the corner. I looked down at my speedometer and noted that I had the cruise control on 55mph. After passing the police car I noticed that he had left the spot in the middle of the road, but was unconcerned because I knew I wasn’t speeding. The police car came right up behind me and followed me for approximately 1.5 miles. Finally the lights flashed and I was pulled over.

The policeman, -----, came to the passenger window of the minivan and asked for my license and registration. When I asked him why he stopped me he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast I was going he did not answer.

For the next ten minutes, Officer looked throughout the van (from the outside) and asked me numerous questions. Where was I going? (Philadelphia) Who owned the van? (Avis rent-a-car) etc. Finally I asked him again why I was pulled over and he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast he just said again that I was speeding. Finally I told him that I felt that he pulled me over because I was a young black man (29 years old) driving a new van. At that point he ordered me to step out of the van. He then told me that I had an attitude because I was answering his questions with a smirk. After approximately five more minutes of questioning, including what I do for a living, he let me go without issuing a ticket.

Colonel Williams, I honestly feel that the only reason I was pulled over was because I am a young black man and Officer wanted a look inside the new van I was driving. I come to this conclusion for a few reasons:

1. Officer never answered my question as to how fast I was going. This was because he knew I was not speeding and perhaps he did not have me tracked on radar.

2. Officer took my license to his car and had it checked. I am under the impression that all traffic stops are to be called in and thus he would have had to call in my drivers license.
Furthermore Officer C was quite rude. He asked many questions and I answered them. Then for him to tell me, a grown man, that I have an attitude and that I was smirking, makes me feel that to him, I was equivalent to someone in high school rather than the adult.

I thought that police had to have probable cause to pull someone over. Officer 1 did not have probable cause. Clearly, if he thought that I had an ‘attitude’ and was deserving of a speeding ticket, he would have issued me one. If he did not have that probable cause, than my civil rights were clearly violated because I was pulled over only because of my race.

Colonel Williams, I found the whole experience to be degrading and humiliating. This is the first time in my 29 years that I have experienced this kind of racism from the police. I have lived in Detroit, Rockland County New York, Reading PA, Melbourne FL and Atlanta and this is the first time I have ever experienced such outright bigotry. I must state that these actions have no place in the year 1998. I now clearly understand why people mistrust the police. As long as this kind of racial targeting continues, race relations will always be strained.

Some facts about me: I am 29 years old, black, a major airline pilot, no points currently on my license, no police record of any kind.

Some facts about the minivan I was driving: 1998 Chevrolet Ventura, owned by Avis, Pennsylvania plates.

Sir at the very least I would like to know why I was stopped. I feel that I have presented enough facts so that the incident can be researched. I feel that my civil rights were violated and something needs to be done about it.

If you have any questions, please contact me and I will make time available to answer them.

I look forward to hearing your response. Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,
September 14, 1998

Dear Mr.:

I am in receipt of your letter, to Attorney General Peter Verniero, regarding your disappointment in the way the New Jersey State Police has handled your complaint. Thank you for bringing your concerns to my attention.

The Internal Affairs Bureau investigation of your complaint has been a very thorough one. The allegation which you allege is most serious and as such must be scrutinized completely. It is not the goal of the New Jersey State Police to cover up allegations of misconduct nor would it be prudent to blanketly protect police officers if in fact they have acted inappropriately. However as in any legal system in the country, prior to a conviction or discipline being issued, there must be every effort made to factually prove the allegation. The fact that you stated you identified the trooper’s photograph from a photo line up goes a long way toward the substantiation that you were stopped by the trooper in question.

The Internal Affairs Bureau follows the same process in every allegation against members of the New Jersey State Police. This process is time consuming. The process goes as follows:

- Complaint investigation and report preparation.
- Complaint review at the Internal Affairs Bureau.
- Complaint review by an Advisory Board of the Superintendent.
- Complaint review by the Superintendent for final decision.
- Notification of outcome of complaint.
Please be advised that all complaints are investigated and yours is certainly not frivolous. The Internal Affairs process is designed to protect citizens against abuse by state troopers and to protect state troopers against perceived allegations that are without merit.

The report regarding your complaint is being prepared by the investigator and will soon be reviewed by the Internal Affairs Bureau.

The New Jersey State Police, Internal Affairs Bureau is devoting every effort toward your complaint to ensure your civil rights are protected.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Captain Roy Van Tassel Jr.
Bureau Chief
Internal Affairs Bureau
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/2/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M.A. Fedorko</td>
<td>Captain R. Van Tassel</td>
<td>ATTORNEY GENERAL REFERRAL -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Bureau Chief Internal Affairs Bureau</td>
<td>via</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major J. Mattos Supervisor Division Staff Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached correspondence from Mr. [Redacted], Attorney General's office, was received by the Attorney General's office.

Please respond on behalf of the Attorney General and forward that response to this office before sending it to Mr. [Redacted] for the Attorney General's review.

Please refer to our file number 0096047B in your reply. Note that your response is due back to this office no later than September 21, 1998.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/14/98</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos Jr.</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>ATTORNEY GENERAL REFERRAL OF REF: 0096047B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM/aja</td>
<td>Supervisor Division Staff</td>
<td>M. A. Fedorko Deputy</td>
<td>The attached correspondence is self-explanatory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>att.</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>Forwarded for your review and further processing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services

Date: 05-15-1998

Received Date : 05-14-1998
Correspondence Date : 05-07-1998
Subject : Complaint Against State Trooper
Name : Mr.
Affiliation :
Assigned to : Colonel Williams
Division of Motor Vehicles
Due Date : 05-28-1998
Issue : Concerns racial profiling by Trooper
Comment : c: AG Verniero, Al Ramey
Response Type : Respond on behalf of Governor
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Regina M. Garb
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625
State of New Jersey
Office of the Governor
CN-001
Trenton NJ 08625-0001

COVER PAGE

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES:

NAME:legis

LOCATION: AG's office

FAX NUMBER: (201) 2-3508

DATE: 5/14/98

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: 3

(INCLUDING COVER PAGE)

PAGES SENT BY:

NAME:

OFFICE OF CONSTITUENT RELATIONS

PHONE #: (609) 7-2523

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

COMMENTS: Re: Re: FYI: Please handle this.
Agreed to place your name on a letter (envelope enclosed)
Ann customized to Ms. n w/ my signature
stating we released it to AG's office.

********** THANK YOU **********

GC 003113 125843
May 7, 1998

Governor Christie Whitman
Trenton, NJ 07625

Governor Whitman:

Attached is a letter I sent to Colonel Carl Williams regarding an encounter I had with New Jersey State Police Officer

I am sure that you agree that this kind of racial targeting has no place in New Jersey, 1998. These kind of actions only foster a distrust of the police and ensures future racial division. I am sure that you do not condone this type of obvious racial discrimination and I hope that you can do something to eradicate this type of behavior.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
May 7, 1998

Colonel Carl A. Williams
New Jersey State Police
Administration Building #1
River Road
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Colonel Williams:

I would like you to know about an incident I had with one of your police officers.

On April 29, 1998 at approximately 0940, I was driving southbound from the George Washington bridge toward the New Jersey Turnpike. Just south of where the road splits into 80 and 95 I saw a burgundy, unmarked police car as I rounded the corner. I looked down at my speedometer and noted that I had the cruise control on 55mph. After passing the police car I noticed that he had left the spot in the middle of the road, but was unconcerned because I knew I wasn’t speeding. The police car came right up behind me and followed me for approximately 1.5 miles. Finally the lights flashed and I was pulled over.

The policeman, d, came to the passenger window of the minivan and asked for my license and registration. When I asked him why he stopped me he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast I was going he did not answer.

For the next ten minutes, Officer l looked throughout the van (from the outside) and asked me numerous questions. Where was I going? (Philadelphia) Who owned the van? (Avis rent-a-car) etc. Finally I asked him again why I was pulled over and he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast he just said again that I was speeding. Finally I told him that I felt that he pulled me over because I was a young black man (29 years old) driving a new van. At that point he ordered me to step out of the van. He then told me that I had an attitude because I was answering his questions with a smirk. After approximately five more minutes of questioning, including what I do for a living, he let me go without issuing a ticket.

Colonel Williams, I honestly feel that the only reason I was pulled over was because I am a young black man and Officer l wanted a look inside the new van I was driving. I come to this conclusion for a few reasons:

1. Officer l never answered my question as to how fast I was going. This was because he knew I was not speeding and perhaps he did not have me tracked on radar.

2. Officer l took my license to his car and had it checked. I am under the impression that all traffic stops are to be called in and thus he would have had to call in my drivers license.
Furthermore Officer was quite rude. He asked many questions and I answered them. Then for him to tell me, a grown man, that I have an attitude and that I was smirking, makes me feel that to him, I was equivalent to someone in high school rather than the adult.

I thought that police had to have probable cause to pull someone over. Officer did not have probable cause. Clearly, if he thought that I had an ‘attitude’ and was deserving of a speeding ticket, he would have issued me one. If he did not have that probable cause, than my civil rights were clearly violated because I was pulled over only because of my race.

Colonel Williams, I found the whole experience to be degrading and humiliating. This is the first time in my 29 years that I have experienced this kind of racism from the police. I have lived in Detroit, Rockland County New York, Reading PA, Melbourne FL and Atlanta and this is the first time I have ever experienced such outright bigotry. I must state that these actions have no place in the year 1998. I now clearly understand why people mistrust the police. As long as this kind of racial targeting continues, race relations will always be strained.

Some facts about me: I am 29 years old, black, a major airline pilot, no points currently on my license, no police record of any kind.

Some facts about the minivan I was driving: 1998 Chevrolet Ventura, owned by Avis, Pennsylvania plates.

Sir at the very least I would like to know why I was stopped. I feel that I have presented enough facts so that the incident can be researched. I feel that my civil rights were violated and something needs to be done about it.

If you have any questions, please contact me and I will make time available to answer them.

I look forward to hearing your response. Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,
Office of the Attorney General  
Citizens' Services

Date: 09-01-1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Received Date</th>
<th>08-31-1998</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correspondence Date</td>
<td>08-22-1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Complaint Against State Trooper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Mr. I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Assigned to       | Colonel Williams  
                   | Division of State Police |
| Due Date          | 09-14-1998 |
| Issue             | Concerns his disappointment with the way his complaint against a New Jersey State Police Officer was handled |
| Comment           | Jim - What is the status of this case. The AG would like to see State Police's reply before it is sent out. |
| Response Type     | Respond on behalf of Attorney General |
| Response Date     |            |

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Regina M. Garb  
Citizens' Services  
Office of The Attorney General  
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex  
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080  
Trenton, NJ 08625
August 22, 1998

Peter Verniero  
Attorney General  
State of New Jersey  
Department of Law and Public Safety  
Division of Law  
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex  
25 Market Street  
CN 112  
Trenton, NJ 08625-0112

Mr. Verniero:

Enclosed is a letter I sent to Captain Van Tassel of the Internal Affairs Bureau of the New Jersey State Police. The letter conveys my disappointment in the way a complaint I made against a New Jersey State Police Officer was handled.

My case involved a racial-profile stop. To date it seems like nothing has been done about it. I participated in an investigation of which to date I have not gotten the results of. Obviously my time has been wasted.

Until people like you withing the New Jersey State government decide to do something about racial-profile stops, black males like me, will continue to have their civil rights in jeopardy every time they drive in New Jersey.
August 22, 1998

Captain Roy Van Tassel, Jr.
Bureau Chief, Internal Affairs Bureau
Department of Law and Public Safety
Division of State Police
P.O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

RE: #98-069D

Captain Van Tassel:

I writing to convey my disappointment on how your department has handled a complaint I made against a New Jersey State Police Officer.

Enclosed is a copy of my original complaint of May 7, 1998. On May 21, 1998, your office sent me a letter stating that there would be an investigation of my complaint. I have been dealing with one of your investigators, CD: . I have been interviewed by Sgt. n on two occasions. The first time was on 6/24/98 and it lasted over two hours. The second time was on 7/2/98 and included a photo lineup. Nine weeks after the incident I picked the offending officer ( out of the lineup. At that point I did everything I thought was possible to substantiate my complaint. I would also like to point out that I attended these interviews on days off while I was participating in a very demanding training course for my job. However, I felt that the abuse of my civil rights was important enough to give up my days off.

The picking out of the officer in the photo lineup was crucial because apparently there was some question as to whether or not the stop actually happened. In fact, the day after the second interview, Sgt. n told me that since my parents know a police officer who works in New Jersey, there was some concern that I was able to pick out the officer after so long because someone may have shown me his picture. How absurd, that would take a conspiracy which, quite frankly, my life would not permit me the time to participate in. Furthermore, Sgt. n once again brought up this possibility on 7/23/98.

Why doesn’t your department accept the obvious: I WAS STOPPED!! That was the reason why I was able to pick out Officer . The fact that there was even a question as to whether or not the stop even occurred says it all. I can understand your department’s desire to protect one of your officers, but this should not apply when one of your officers does something wrong. By not doing something about racial profiling, you and your department are condoning it. Also, by accusing me of possible wrongdoing is WRONG, WRONG, WRONG. All I can say is shame on your department for doing that to me when all I was trying to do was bring the problem to your attention so you can handle it.
Your lack of interest in this case is evidenced by the fact that as of today, 15 weeks after my original complaint, I have not heard the results of the investigation of which I have apparently wasted my time to participate in.
May 7, 1998

Colonel Carl A. Williams  
New Jersey State Police  
Administration Building #1  
River Road  
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Colonel Williams:

I would like you to know about an incident I had with one of your police officers.

On April 29, 1998 at approximately 0940, I was driving southbound from the George Washington bridge toward the New Jersey Turnpike. Just south of where the road splits into 80 and 95 I saw a burgundy, unmarked police car as I rounded the corner. I looked down at my speedometer and noted that I had the cruise control on 55mph. After passing the police car I noticed that he had left the spot in the middle of the road, but was unconcerned because I knew I wasn’t speeding. The police car came right up behind me and followed me for approximately 1.5 miles. Finally the lights flashed and I was pulled over.

The policeman, , came to the passenger window of the minivan and asked for my license and registration. When I asked him why he stopped me he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast I was going he did not answer.

For the next ten minutes, Officer C looked throughout the van (from the outside) and asked me numerous questions. Where was I going? (Philadelphia) Who owned the van? (Avis rent-a-car) etc. Finally I asked him again why I was pulled over and he said that I was speeding. When I asked him how fast he just said again that I was speeding. Finally I told him that I felt that he pulled me over because I was a young black man (29 years old) driving a new van. At that point he ordered me to step out of the van. He then told me that I had an attitude because I was answering his questions with a smirk. After approximately five more minutes of questioning, including what I do for a living, he let me go without issuing a ticket.

Colonel Williams, I honestly feel that the only reason I was pulled over was because I am a young black man and Officer C wanted a look inside the new van I was driving. I come to this conclusion for a few reasons:

1. Officer C never answered my question as to how fast I was going. This was because he knew I was not speeding and perhaps he did not have me tracked on radar.

2. Officer C took my license to his car and had it checked. I am under the impression that all traffic stops are to be called in and thus he would have had to call in my drivers license.
Furthermore Officer ( ) was quite rude. He asked many questions and I answered them. Then for him to tell me, a grown man, that I have an attitude and that I was smirking, makes me feel that to him, I was equivalent to someone in high school rather than the adult.

I thought that police had to have probable cause to pull someone over. Officer ( ) did not have probable cause. Clearly, if he thought that I had an ‘attitude’ and was deserving of a speeding ticket, he would have issued me one. If he did not have that probable cause, than my civil rights were clearly violated because I was pulled over only because of my race.

Colonel Williams, I found the whole experience to be degrading and humiliating. This is the first time in my 29 years that I have experienced this kind of racism from the police. I have lived in Detroit, Rockland County New York, Reading PA, Melbourne FL and Atlanta and this is the first time I have ever experienced such outright bigotry. I must state that these actions have no place in the year 1998. I now clearly understand why people mistrust the police. As long as this kind of racial targeting continues, race relations will always be strained.

Some facts about me: I am 29 years old, black, a major airline pilot, no points currently on my license, no police record of any kind.

Some facts about the minivan I was driving: 1998 Chevrolet Ventura, owned by Avis, Pennsylvania plates.

Sir at the very least I would like to know why I was stopped. I feel that I have presented enough facts so that the incident can be researched. I feel that my civil rights were violated and something needs to be done about it.

If you have any questions, please contact me and I will make time available to answer them.

I look forward to hearing your response. Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,
MEMORANDUM TO: Regina Garb, Director
Citizens' Services
Office of the Attorney General

Date September 16, 1998

SUBJECT : Mr. I's Complaints

The Division of State Police is in receipt of four referrals (dated 5/14/98, 5/15/98, 9/1/98 and 9/9/98) regarding Mr. I

Enclosed is a response to the September 1, 1998 referral for the Attorney General's review.

Mr. I's complaint is part of an active internal affairs investigation. Upon completion of the investigation, a copy of the findings will be forwarded to your office for review.

Lieutenant James Bruncati
Administrative Officer
Deputy Superintendent's Office

dab
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens’ Services

Date: 09-09-1998

Received Date : 09-08-1998
Correspondence Date : 08-22-1998
Subject : Complaint Against State Trooper
Name : Mr. I
Affiliation :
Assigned to : Colonel Williams
Division of State Police
Due Date : 09-22-1998
Issue : complaint he has not received a status of his two previous complaints against state police for racial profiling.
Comment : JIm - This is a duplicate letter.
Response Type : Respond on behalf of Governor
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens’ Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE
MEMORANDUM

Date January 7, 1999

TO : Assistant Attorney General Alfred Ramey

FROM : Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent

SUBJECT : Internal Investigation: Allegation of Racially Motivated Motor Vehicle Stop and Improper Attitude and Demeanor Against Trooper (98-0690)

CONFIDENTIAL

Attached as per your request is the Internal Investigation.

Date of Receipt: ____________________________

Signature: __________________________________

ec
0096047E

GC 003126
125856
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE
MEMORANDUM

Date January 7, 1999

TO: Assistant Attorney General Alfred Ramey
FROM: Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent

SUBJECT: Internal Investigation: Officer S. Morton, Jr., Allegation of Racially Motivated Motor Vehicle Stop and Improper Attitude and Demeanor Against Trooper N. Adams (98-0690)

CONFIDENTIAL

Attached as per your request is the Officer Morton, Jr. Internal Investigation.

Date of Receipt: 1/7/99

Signature: [Signature]

ec
0096047E

GC 003127 125857
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services

Date: 05-21-1998

Received Date: 05-21-1998
Correspondence Date: 05-18-1998
Subject: Complaint Against State Trooper
Name: Mr.
Affiliation: 
Assigned to: Colonel Williams
Division of State Police
Due Date: 06-04-1998
Issue: complaint against Trooper from Troop D for assault and racial harassment.
Comment: 
Response Type: For your action - keep original
Response Date: 

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens' Services
Office of the Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625
May 18, 1998

Peter Verniero
Attorney General's Office
25 Market Street
Trenton, NJ 08625

Dear Mr. Verniero:

I'm writing this letter to request a thorough investigation of my matter with Troop "D" Headquarters in Cranbury, NJ. This matter took place on April 28, 1997 on the NJ Turnpike. I was pulled over on the Turnpike at about 7:30 pm, on this date, a NJ State Trooper by the name of was the leading officer who pulled me over. I was assaulted and racially harassed and then maced by three to four other NJ State Troopers. Then a C.D.S. was planted on my person.

I was never allowed a telephone call to anyone, I also filed a complaint with Troop "D" headquarters and received a formal letter stating that the investigation was closed. May you note that noone from that office came down to talk to any person involved in this matter, and there was an eye witness. Along with letter you will find a copy of the original letter sent to me from that office. Thankyou for your time and consideration of this matter and I look forward to talking to you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
April 20, 1998

CERTIFIED MAIL R.R.R.

Dear Mr.

This letter is to inform you that your complaint of assault and racial harassment against members of the Division of State Police and the resultant internal investigation has been reviewed by the Deputy Superintendent of the New Jersey State Police.

The Deputy Superintendent, Lt. Colonel Michael A. Fedorko, has concluded that your allegations could not be substantiated.

As a result of these findings, no further action will be taken.

Sincerely,

R. H. Franz, Captain
Commanding Troop "D"
New Jersey State Police
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services

Date: 06-09-1998

Received Date : 06-08-1998
Correspondence Date : 06-04-1998
Subject : Complaint Against State Trooper
Name :
Affiliation :
Assigned to : Colonel Williams
            Division of State Police
Due Date : 06-22-1998
Issue : encloses a copy of a response received from Capt. Van Tassel indicating the investigation into his complaint against Trooper has been completed. He alleges he never received any notice to contact State Police to provide more information.
Comment :
Response Type : For your action - keep original
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625
JUNE 4, 1998

Captain Roy Van Tassel, Jr. and  
Peter Verniero - Attn Gen

Dear Sirs;

I am writing this letter in a follow up from your letter I received on June 3, 1998 regarding your conclusion in the matter with officer Kampo and the other state police. On April 28, 1997.

May you note that I did speak to Lt. Dellett on several occasions in June of 1997, Lt. Dellett told me that Anthony Simmons advised him that he was not retained to represent me, which is not so. I have a copy of a receipt from his office saying so! Following on a different date Lt. Dellett advised me that I needed to speak to an attorney when I contacted him within ten days of the letter, he sent to me.

On June 10, 1997 I then advised Lt. Dellett that I had a appointment with Attorney Jaime Kaigh and Rodney D. Ray of Cherry Hill, NJ. May you note that was on June 23, 1997. I told Lt. Dellett to contact their office so that he could talk to myself and to Candice Holland who was a the passenger in my vehicle on April 28, 1997.

There was no other information sent to me or any other telephone calls made, until I received a formal letter from Trooper "D" headquarters on April 21, 1998. May you note that my Attorney Jaime Kaigh has the the letter that was signed for by Darlene Holland.

There was no other information told to me regarding this matter although I asked. I was later told to contact the NAACP, I spoke to Marie Jones of Trenton, NJ and was advised to write to Peter Verniero, and I did so. I really hope that you consider these facts and follow through to do a thorough investigation into this matter, Thankyou in advance for your time and I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

GC 003132

125862
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOW PAID</th>
<th>BALANCE DUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paying</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Received Of: Jacqueline Cohen & King, D.C.
Address: 4010 South Laflin

Date: 4/29/97
Number: 7018

Amount: $1,000.00

For:

CDS

By: [Signature]

[REDFORM 6L620 carbonless]
June 2, 1998

Dear Mr.,

Your letter to the Attorney General dated May 18, 1998, regarding your complaint concerning Tpr. has been forwarded to this office for a response.

A review of the case file indicated Lt. Dellett made several attempts to telephonically contact you in May, 1997. On May 30, 1997, you spoke with Lt. Dellett on the telephone and advised him all inquiries should be directed to your attorney, Mr. Anthony Simmons. Lt. Dellett contacted Mr. Simmons on June 5, 1997, and Mr. Simmons advised Lt. Dellett he had not been retained to represent you. In order to proceed with this matter, a registered letter was sent to you which was delivered on June 10, 1997 (signed for by Darlene Holland) which advised you had ten days to contact Lt. Dellett or the investigation would proceed without any input from you. Since Lt. Dellett did not hear from you during that time and other attempts to contact you were unsuccessful, the investigation was conducted without your input. You were advised of the outcome of this investigation via a certified letter dated April 20, 1998. As such, no further action will be taken in this case. Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Captain Roy Van Tassel, Jr.
Bureau Chief
Internal Affairs Bureau
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/30/98</td>
<td>Lt. L. Dellett</td>
<td>Capt. R. Van Tassel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Troop &quot;E&quot; Operations Officer</td>
<td>Internal Affairs Bureau Chief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIA</td>
<td></td>
<td>VIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. K. Wondrack</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Troop &quot;E&quot; Commander</td>
<td>Division Staff Section Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIA</td>
<td>VIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. L. Cartwright</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M. Fedorko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Field Operations</td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference Internal Investigation: 97-88D**

As a result of my investigation, I recommend that no further action should take place in the aforementioned investigation. This investigation has passed all review through the Advisory Board, with the same recommendation of No Further Action.

I spoke to Mr. ... on May 30, 1997 and he advised he would not speak to me without conferring with his attorney, Mr. Anthony Simmons. On June 5, 1997 I spoke to Mr. Simmons and he advised he had not been paid, therefore he was not representing Mr. ... at this time.

I have exhausted all known means of contacting Mr. ..., i.e. phone calls and certified mailings (List of Attachments). Mr. ... has avoided any and all contact with this investigator.

Without Mr. ...'s testimony, the allegations are not only less than credible, but cannot be substantiated. This along with the fact that all investigative avenues have been exhausted, there is no further recourse in this matter other than recommending No Further Action.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/27/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop, Executive Officer</td>
<td>Captain R. VanTassel, Bureau Chief, Internal Affairs Bureau</td>
<td>Attorney General Referral of May 21, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via Major J. Mattos, Supervisor, Division Staff Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via Lt. Colonel M. A. Fedorko, Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached correspondence from is self-explanatory.

Forwarded for your review and appropriate action.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/10/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R.D. Dunlop, Executive Officer</td>
<td>Capt. R. Van Tassel, Bureau Chief</td>
<td><strong>Attorney General Referral of June 8, 1998 -</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Affairs Bureau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major J. Mattos, Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M.A. Fedorko, Deputy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached correspondence from [explanatory.

Forwarded for your appropriate action.
### New Jersey State Police

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/4/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R.D. Dupop</td>
<td>Capt. R. Van Tassel, Jr.</td>
<td>AG REFERRAL -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td>Bureau Chief</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Affairs Bureau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major J. Mattos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M.A. Fedorko</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached interoffice communication from Capt. L. Cartwright regarding the investigation is self-explanatory.

This command concurs with the recommendation of Capt. Cartwright that no further action be taken in this matter.

For your information and appropriate action.
Attached correspondence was previously forwarded on October 6, 1998. IAB advises they never received it. It is being forwarded at their request in order to close out this case.

It is the opinion of this section that no further action should be taken in this matter. On the original complaint exhaustive attempts were made to contact the complainant and his attorney with negative results. No further contact has been made by the complainant since his letter dated May 18, 1998 advising that the case was closed.

This case has been reviewed by an advisory board and the superintendent with the determination that no further action will be taken.

For your consideration.

LLC:llc
Att.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/30/98</td>
<td>Lt. L. Dellett</td>
<td>Capt. R. Van Tassel</td>
<td>Reference Internal Investigation: 97-88D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Troop “E” Operations Officer</td>
<td>Internal Affairs Bureau Chief</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIA</td>
<td>VIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. K. Wondrack Commander</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos Division Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIA</td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. L. Cartwright Acting Field Operations Section Supervisor</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M. Fedorko Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIA</td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result of my investigation, I recommend that no further action should take place in the aforementioned investigation. This investigation has passed all review through the Advisory Board, with the same recommendation of No Further Action.

I spoke to Mr. ______ on May 30, 1997 and he advised he would not speak to me without conferring with his attorney, Mr. Anthony Simmons. On June 5, 1997 I spoke to Mr. Simmons and he advised he had not been paid, therefore he was not representing Mr. ______ at this time.

I have exhausted all known means of contacting Mr. ______, i.e. phone calls and certified mailings (List of Attachments). Mr. ______ has avoided any and all contact with this investigator.

Without Mr. ______'s testimony, the allegations are not only less than credible, but can not be substantiated. This along with the fact that all investigative avenues have been exhausted, there is no further recourse in this matter other than recommending No Further Action.
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE
MEMORANDUM

Date June 3, 1998

TO : BILL FLAHIVE
     STATE POLICE UNIT
FROM : LT ROBERT A. MANNEY
SUBJECT : REQUEST FOR COMPLAINTS OF RACISM AGAINST THE STATE POLICE
          - THE PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER

The attached request from the Philadelphia Inquire reporter, Doug Campbell, was hand delivered to our Public Information Office on June 1, 1998. Upon review it appears that the majority of the requested information may fall within our Internal Affairs Bureau investigations which we believe to be confidential in nature.

Please review the request and render a legal opinion as to which material must be released along with any suggestions you may have. Please return A.S.A.P.

Thank you.
**SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO**

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams  
Date: 6-2-98  
Subject: The Philadelphia Inquirer-Reporter's request for S.P. Information

To:  
- [ ] Deputy Superintendent FYI  
- [ ] Executive Officer FYI  
- [ ] Section Supervisor  
- [ ] Assistant Section Supervisor  

_L.T. R. Manney - Please_  

_Forward to DAG Bill Flahive_  

_For his review & suggestions as to appropriate response._

- [ ] Administration  
- [ ] Investigations  
- [ ] Division Staff  
- [ ] Records & Identification  
- [ ] Emergency Management  
- [ ] Special & Technical Services  
- [ ] Field Operations  
- [ ] P.I.U. - No Request  

_Given out at this time._

Submit Draft Reply By:  
Note Comments:  
For Your Further Action  
Return By:  
For Your Information:
MEMORANDUM

TO: Roger Shatzkin
Director of Public Affairs
Attorney General’s Office

FROM: John R. Hagerty
Public Information
New Jersey State Police

RE: ATTACHED LETTER REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

DATE: June 2, 1998

The attached letter was hand-delivered to the New Jersey State Police Public Information Office on Mon., June 1 by Philadelphia Inquirer reporter Doug Campbell.

In reviewing this information request, it appears that most of the requested data falls under the umbrella of internal State Police investigations. In regard to the release of Internal Affairs Unit investigation(s), data, information, findings, sanctions against troopers, etc., the State Police has steadfastly maintained that the material is not subject to public release.

Please have appropriate legal staff review this request and advise regarding State Police response. In the interim, I will acknowledge reporter Campbell's request via the attached reply.

C: Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
Lt. Col. Michael Fedorko, Deputy Superintendent
Lt. Colonel Robert Dunlop, Executive Officer
June 2, 1998

Mr. Douglas A. Campbell
Mr. Robert J. Terry
c/o The Philadelphia Inquirer
New Jersey Bureau
53 Haddonfield Road
Suite 300
Cherry Hill, NJ 08092

Dear Mr. Campbell & Mr. Terry:

The New Jersey State Police Public Information Office is in receipt of your information request dated June 1, 1998.

The request has been forwarded to the Office of Attorney General for appropriate review. A response will be provided upon completion of that review.

Thanking you for your interest in the New Jersey State Police,
I am

Sincerely,

John R. Hagerty
Director of Communications
New Jersey State Police

c: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Superintendent
New Jersey State Police
TO: John Hagerty, New Jersey State Police

Re: Complaints of racism against the State Police

Please provide us with a record of complaints filed since 1993 by members of the public against officers of the New Jersey State Police involving allegations of racial discrimination, sexual discrimination and/or the use of undue force during encounters with members of the public.

Please also provide us with a description of the method by which such complaints are handled when received by either the State Police or another government agency, including details on who investigates and how a determination is made whether the complaint is valid.

Please also indicate the response of the state to each of these complaints.

Similarly, please provide us with a record of complaints filed by State Police officers alleging racial discrimination in their workplace. These could be either EEOC complaints, law suits filed or complaints filed within the State Police chain of commands. Please detail how each of these has been resolved: i.e. settlement, finding of founded or unfounded, court verdict.

Please indicate the method by which each of these types of complaints is investigated.

Please provide a record of discipline imposed on State Police officers at all levels for proven incidents of racial discrimination against both the public and against fellow State Police. This should include the penalties imposed in each case.

Please provide a gender breakdown for ranks within the uniformed State Police.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Terry, Douglas A. Campbell
June 1, 1998
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/15/98</td>
<td>Lt. R. A. Manning</td>
<td>Capt. R. Van Tassel</td>
<td>REQUEST FOR COMPLAINTS OF RACISM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Office of the Superintendent</td>
<td>Bureau Chief IAB</td>
<td>AGAINST THE STATE POLICE - THE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Col. C. A. Williams</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>LTC M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy</td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide information requested in items #1 & #2 of the attached memorandum. This information will be provided to DAG Sally Field who is responding to a Philadelphia Inquirer's request for information.

Please refer to our file number 0096355B in your reply. Note that your response is due back to this office no later than June 23, 1998.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/15/98</td>
<td>Lt. R. A. Manny</td>
<td>Capt. R. Van Tassell</td>
<td>REQUEST FOR COMPLAINTS OF RACISM AGAINST THE STATE POLICE - THE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>att.</td>
<td>Office of the Superintendent</td>
<td>Bureau Chief IAB</td>
<td>PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Col. C. A. Williams</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>Supervisor Division Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LTC M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide information requested in items #1 & #2 of the attached memorandum. This information will be provided to DAG Sally Field who is responding to a Philadelphia Inquirer’s request for information.

Please refer to our file number 0096355B in your reply. Note that your response is due back to this office no later than June 23, 1998.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/15/98</td>
<td>Lt. R. A. Mairney</td>
<td>Capt. R. Van Tassel</td>
<td>REQUEST FOR COMPLAINTS OF RACISM Against the State Police - The Philadelphia Inquirer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>att.</td>
<td>Office of the Superintendent</td>
<td>Bureau Chief IAB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Col. C. A. Williams</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos Supervision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>Division Staff Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LTC M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide information requested in items #1 & #2 of the attached memorandum. This information will be provided to DAG Sally Field who is responding to a Philadelphia Inquirer's request for information.

Please refer to our file number 0096355B in your reply. Note that your response is due back to this office no later than June 23, 1998.
From: Colonel Carl A. Williams
date: 6-7-98
Subject: The Philadelphia Inquirer-Reporters
Terry/ Campbell Request for S.P. Information
To: Deputy Superintendent FYI
Executive Officer FYI
Section Supervisor
Assistant Section Supervisor

Lt. R. Mannery - Please
Forward to Dag Hill Franks

For His Review & Suggestions As To Appropriate Response.
Administration Investigations
Division Staff Records & Identification
Emergency Management Special & Technical Services
Field Operations P.I.U. - No Requested Information to Be Given Out at This Time
Intelligence Services

Submit Draft Reply By:
Note Comments:
For Your Further Action
For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
MEMORANDUM

TO: Roger Shatzkin
Director of Public Affairs
Attorney General's Office

FROM: John R. Hagerty
Public Information
New Jersey State Police

RE: ATTACHED LETTER REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

DATE: June 2, 1998

The attached letter was hand-delivered to the New Jersey State Police Public Information Office on Mon., June 1 by Philadelphia Inquirer reporter Doug Campbell.

In reviewing this information request, it appears that most of the requested data falls under the umbrella of internal State Police investigations. In regard to the release of Internal Affairs Unit investigation(s), data, information, findings, sanctions against troopers, etc., the State Police has steadfastly maintained that the material is not subject to public release.

Please have appropriate legal staff review this request and advise regarding State Police response. In the interim, I will acknowledge reporter Campbell's request via the attached reply.

c: Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
Lt. Col. Michael Fedorko, Deputy Superintendent
Lt. Colonel Robert Dunlop, Executive Officer
TO: John Hagerty, New Jersey State Police

Re: Complaints of racism against the State Police

Please provide us with a record of complaints filed since 1993 by members of the public against officers of the New Jersey State Police involving allegations of racial discrimination, sexual discrimination and/or the use of undue force during encounters with members of the public.

Please also provide us with a description of the method by which such complaints are handled when received by either the State Police or another government agency, including details on who investigates and how a determination is made whether the complaint is valid.

Please also indicate the response of the state to each of these complaints.

Similarly, please provide us with a record of complaints filed by State Police officers alleging racial discrimination in their workplace. These could be either EEOC complaints, law suits filed or complaints filed within the State Police chain of commands. Please detail how each of these has been resolved: i.e. settlement, finding of founded or unfounded, court verdict.

Please indicate the method by which each of these types of complaints is investigated.

Please provide a record of discipline imposed on State Police officers at all levels for proven incidents of racial discrimination against both the public and against fellow State Police. This should include the penalties imposed in each case.

Please provide a gender breakdown for ranks within the uniformed State Police.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Terry, Douglas A. Campbell
June 1, 1998
June 2, 1998

Mr. Douglas A. Campbell
Mr. Robert J. Terry
c/o The Philadelphia Inquirer
New Jersey Bureau
53 Haddonfield Road
Suite 300
Cherry Hill, NJ 08092

Dear Mr. Campbell & Mr. Terry:

The New Jersey State Police Public Information Office is in receipt of your information request dated June 1, 1998.

The request has been forwarded to the Office of Attorney General for appropriate review. A response will be provided upon completion of that review.

Thanking you for your interest in the New Jersey State Police,
I am

Sincerely,

John R. Hagerty
Director of Communications
New Jersey State Police

c: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Superintendent
New Jersey State Police
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/7/98</td>
<td>Colonel C.A. Williams</td>
<td>SFC M. Nutt Supervisor</td>
<td>OPERATION PIPELINE WEEKLY TELETEYPE REPORTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>ODU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M.A. Fedorko</td>
<td>Captain L. Hillman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Bureau Chief</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Field Services Bureau</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major T. Davies</td>
<td>Emergency Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Priority teletype messages which are periodically received from the F.B.I. and E.P.I.C. will continue to receive full distribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Field Operations Section/Operation S.P.I.R.I.T. will be responsible for informing personnel on the availability of the weekly &quot;Pipeline&quot; teletypes and the value of the information contained therein.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major M. Silvert</td>
<td>Field Operations Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/31/99</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop</td>
<td>Major K. McPartland</td>
<td>EPIC AUTHORIZATION UPDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td>Supervisor Intelligence Services Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Captain F. Madden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Supervisor Investigations Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached correspondence from John B. Brown, Special Agent in Charge, Drug Enforcement Administration, requesting we update and verify those members authorized to access “Operation Pipeline” information through the El Paso Intelligence Center is forwarded for your review.

Major McPartland will consolidate the information from both sections and provide reply correspondence to SAC John B. Brown.
March 24, 1999

Lt. Colonel Michael A. Fedorko
Superintendent
New Jersey State Police
Department of Law & Public Safety
P. O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Dear Lt. Colonel Fedorko:

In the interest of security, I am providing a list of your officers/unit locations that presently have Operation Pipeline access to the El Paso Intelligence Center (EPIC). Please note that we have automated our system and if the individuals are not in the system, they will be unable to access EPIC. Request you review the list and advise us of any changes and acknowledge receipt of this letter, to include any and all changes needed to have your list up to date.

This list of authorized personnel will be sent annually for your approval. In the interim, should any authorized personnel within your agency terminate or transfer, request you provide EPIC with written notification, or by facsimile at (915)760-2492.

When adding personnel, please provide the following information:

Barracks/Post/District Name
Address (Street, City, Zip Code)
Telephone Number (24 hour number)
ORI/NCIC Number
Unit Commander/Supervisor
Date of Birth
Social Security Number
Date of Hire

Your assistance and support in this matter is greatly appreciated. If additional assistance is required, please contact the State & Local Liaison Unit at (915)760-2490 or (800)927-0468.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John B. Brown, III
Special Agent in Charge

Enclosure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSN</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>EDATE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>PHONE</th>
<th>NCI</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>061986</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>042663</td>
<td>030483</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>042193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>072740</td>
<td>061305</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>012558</td>
<td>012679</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>113066</td>
<td>021089</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>052652</td>
<td>011478</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091558</td>
<td>062979</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>101164</td>
<td>082780</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>050659</td>
<td>062184</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>121367</td>
<td>011593</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098925190</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091457</td>
<td>012679</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>021984</td>
<td>012486</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>123165</td>
<td>082780</td>
<td>W NEW TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>050466</td>
<td>060186</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6095303410</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091865</td>
<td>022588</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>072664</td>
<td>012486</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091561</td>
<td>061385</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>082364</td>
<td>052786</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>101059</td>
<td>061984</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>010255</td>
<td>052786</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>020455</td>
<td>062780</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>082350</td>
<td>041973</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>112662</td>
<td>061385</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>090861</td>
<td>061385</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>061364</td>
<td>011593</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>080452</td>
<td>052077</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>040166</td>
<td>011587</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>060963</td>
<td>081486</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>040158</td>
<td>062979</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>112459</td>
<td>021182</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>032986</td>
<td>040682</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>112766</td>
<td>011593</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>111352</td>
<td>052177</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>013560</td>
<td>062184</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>034464</td>
<td>012486</td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>072725</td>
<td>043081</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>121154</td>
<td>011978</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>111660</td>
<td>070282</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>071262</td>
<td>063184</td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td>01399</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/24/99</td>
<td>Lt. A. Sacchetti</td>
<td>LTC R. Dunlop</td>
<td>UPDATE OF TROOP “D” AUDIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Inspection Unit</td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Captain R. Yan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tassel Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau Chief</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major J. Matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of this date, March 24, 1999, personnel assigned to the Audit of Troop “D” Patrol Practices have completed PHASE ONE AND PHASE TWO of Cranbury and Moorestown Stations.

PHASE THREE of Cranbury Station has been discontinued to expedite the completion of PHASE ONE and PHASE TWO of Newark Station. As of this date, a total of 636 interviews have been conducted in PHASE THREE of Cranbury Station. Approximately 1336 interviews need to be conducted to meet the guidelines set by the Eco-Stat Corp.

PHASE THREE of Cranbury Station will be continued upon completion of PHASE ONE and PHASE TWO of Newark Station.

PHASE ONE of Newark Station commenced on March 9, 1999. It is anticipated that PHASE ONE of Newark Station will be completed on April 9, 1999. PHASE TWO of Newark Station will commence on April 12, 1999. It is unknown at this time the number of individuals who will be scheduled for PHASE TWO.

In addition, eight Troopers have been identified as having discrepancies that warrant the initiation of an Internal Investigation. These investigations are pending.
MEMORANDUM TO: Paul H. Zoubek  
First Assistant Attorney General

SUBJECT: Request for Consent Search and Daily Radio Log for Moorestown and Cranbury Stations

The daily radio log and consent search data attached to the Interoffice Communication, dated December 20, 1998, from Major Sparano to Colonel Williams and captioned, "Cranbury and Moorestown Station, Troop D, Six Month Assessment of Enforcement Activity," were compiled manually at the individual stations for the six month period beginning April 1997 through September 1997.

The manually compiled reports were forwarded to the Field Operations Section where SFC Daniel E. Morocco, #3654, consolidated the reports submitted by the Cranbury and Moorestown Stations and produced the six month consolidated statistical report in question. SFC Morocco believes he utilized the software program, "Word Perfect." The computer document is no longer available. The underlying manually generated documents are available if needed.

The only other consolidated report generated to date covers the time period of April 1998, to September 1998. The report was prepared by Tpr. I Casmier K. Naprawa, #4907, Field Operations Section. The consolidated report is attached to an Interoffice Communication, dated November 25, 1998, from Capt. L. Cartwright to Colonel Williams.

Tpr. I Naprawa utilized the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet software to consolidate the manually generated reports submitted by the two stations. Attached is a computer disk with the electronic data. The underlying documents are available if needed.

Presently, our Intelligence Services Section and Records and Identification Section are producing a computer database to capture the consent and probable cause information from 1996 to date.

It is anticipated that a statistical report covering 1997 and 1998 be available within two weeks.
Should you need any further information, please let me know.

FOR LT. COLONEL MICHAEL A. FEDORKO
ACTING SUPERINTENDENT

Robert D. Dunlop
Lt. Colonel
Executive Officer

sc
atts.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/25/99</td>
<td>Captain Lee L. Cartwright Acting Field Operations Section Supervisor</td>
<td>LTC R.D. Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td>DOCUMENTATION REQUEST FROM Paul Zoubek, First Asst. A.G.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The enclosed computer disk captures the information contained in the paper report that is attached. This disk is generated from the Field Operations Office, with the information being captured in Microsoft Excel. The disk captures information from April 1998 to date.

It should be noted there is no running data base at this level that captures the information on a daily basis or other timely manner. The information is captured from hard copy synopsis prepared by Troop “D” and forwarded to this office on a monthly basis.

This report began with the capturing of statistics in April 1997 and has continued to date.

Forwarded for your information.

LLC:llc
Att.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/7/98</td>
<td>Colonel C.A. Williams</td>
<td>SFC M. Nutt</td>
<td>OPERATION PIPELINE WEEKLY TELETYPE REPORTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>Supervisor ODU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M.A. Fedorko</td>
<td>Captain L. Hillman</td>
<td>The Drug Enforcement Administration/El Paso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Bureau Chief Field</td>
<td>Intelligence Center (EPIC) distributes a weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Services Bureau</td>
<td>&quot;Operation Pipeline&quot; teletype. The teletype provides up to date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>information on recent narcotics seizures, trends and concealment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>methods. This information is of value to all patrol, investigative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and intelligence personnel. Each Friday, EPIC will be directing the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>incoming message to the main ORI: NJNISP0000. Please ensure that this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>message receives full distribution within the Division.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td>Priority teletype messages which are periodically received from the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F.B.I. and E.P.I.C. will continue to receive full distribution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major T. Davies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major M. Silvert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Field Operations Section/Operation S.P.I.R.I.T. will be responsible for informing personnel on the availability of the weekly "Pipeline" teletypes and the value of the information contained therein.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/31/99</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop, Executive Officer</td>
<td>Major K. McPartland, Supervisor Intelligence Services Section Captain F. Madden Acting Supervisor Investigations Section</td>
<td>EPIC AUTHORIZATION UPDATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached correspondence from John B. Brown, Special Agent in Charge, Drug Enforcement Administration, requesting we update and verify those members authorized to access “Operation Pipeline” information through the El Paso Intelligence Center is forwarded for your review.

Major McPartland will consolidate the information from both sections and provide reply correspondence to SAC John B. Brown.
March 24, 1999

Lt. Colonel Michael A. Fedorko  
Superintendent  
New Jersey State Police  
Department of Law & Public Safety  
P. O. Box 7068  
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Dear Lt. Colonel Fedorko:

In the interest of security, I am providing a list of your officers/unit locations that presently have Operation Pipeline access to the El Paso Intelligence Center (EPIC). Please note that we have automated our system and if the individuals are not in the system, they will be unable to access EPIC. Request you review the list and advise us of any changes and acknowledge receipt of this letter, to include any and all changes needed to have your list up to date.

This list of authorized personnel will be sent annually for your approval. In the interim, should any authorized personnel within your agency terminate or transfer, request you provide EPIC with written notification, or by facsimile at (915)760-2492.

When adding personnel, please provide the following information:

- Barracks/Post/District Name
- Address (Street, City, Zip Code)
- Telephone Number (24 hour number)
- OR/NCIC Number
- Unit Commander/Supervisor
- Date of Birth
- Social Security Number
- Date of Hire

Your assistance and support in this matter is greatly appreciated. If additional assistance is required, please contact the State & Local Liaison Unit at (915)760-2490 or (800)927-0468.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John B. Brown, III  
Special Agent in Charge
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>SSN</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>EDATE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>PHONE</th>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>SAQTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>113861</td>
<td>061298</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>042663</td>
<td>030465</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>072746</td>
<td>061395</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>011358</td>
<td>012679</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>113066</td>
<td>021089</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>052652</td>
<td>011478</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091558</td>
<td>062979</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>101164</td>
<td>082787</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>050659</td>
<td>062184</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098315990</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>121367</td>
<td>011593</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091457</td>
<td>012679</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>021964</td>
<td>021446</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>121165</td>
<td>082787</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>050466</td>
<td>060190</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6095103410</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091865</td>
<td>022588</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>072664</td>
<td>012486</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>091561</td>
<td>061386</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>092366</td>
<td>052736</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>101059</td>
<td>061984</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>010255</td>
<td>052786</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>102957</td>
<td>062780</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6095103145</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>082350</td>
<td>041973</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>113662</td>
<td>061385</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>098461</td>
<td>061386</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>061364</td>
<td>011593</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>080452</td>
<td>062077</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>046166</td>
<td>011587</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>060963</td>
<td>081486</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>040168</td>
<td>052976</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>112459</td>
<td>012182</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>032956</td>
<td>040682</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>112766</td>
<td>011593</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>113152</td>
<td>052177</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>031650</td>
<td>062976</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>030446</td>
<td>012486</td>
<td></td>
<td>W TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>072750</td>
<td>040381</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098609000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>121154</td>
<td>011978</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>111660</td>
<td>070382</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>071262</td>
<td>062184</td>
<td></td>
<td>WEST TRENTON, NJ</td>
<td>6098822000</td>
<td>NJSP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/24/99</td>
<td>Lt. A. Sacchetti</td>
<td>LTC R. Dunlop</td>
<td>UPDATE OF TROOP “D” AUDIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Inspection Unit</td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Captain R. Van</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tassel Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau Chief</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major J. Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of this date, March 24, 1999, personnel assigned to the Audit of Troop “D” Patrol Practices have completed PHASE ONE AND PHASE TWO of Cranbury and Moorestown Stations.

PHASE THREE of Cranbury Station has been discontinued to expedite the completion of PHASE ONE and PHASE TWO of Newark Station. As of this date, a total of 636 interviews have been conducted in PHASE THREE of Cranbury Station. Approximately 1336 interviews need to be conducted to meet the guidelines set by the Eco-Stat Corp.

PHASE THREE of Cranbury Station will be continued upon completion of PHASE ONE and PHASE TWO of Newark Station.

PHASE ONE of Newark Station commenced on March 9, 1999. It is anticipated that PHASE ONE of Newark Station will be completed on April 9, 1999. PHASE TWO of Newark Station will commence on April 12, 1999. It is unknown at this time the number of individuals who will be scheduled for PHASE TWO.

In addition, eight Troopers have been identified as having discrepancies that warrant the initiation of an Internal Investigation. These investigations are pending.
MEMORANDUM TO: Paul H. Zoubek
First Assistant Attorney General

SUBJECT: Request for Consent Search and Daily Radio Log for Moorestown and Cranbury Stations

The daily radio log and consent search data attached to the Interoffice Communication, dated December 20, 1998, from Major Sparano to Colonel Williams and captioned, "Cranbury and Moorestown Station, Troop D, Six Month Assessment of Enforcement Activity," were compiled manually at the individual stations for the six month period beginning April 1997 through September 1997.

The manually compiled reports were forwarded to the Field Operations Section where SFC Daniel E. Morocco, #3654, consolidated the reports submitted by the Cranbury and Moorestown Stations and produced the six month consolidated statistical report in question. SFC Morocco believes he utilized the software program, "Word Perfect." The computer document is no longer available. The underlying manually generated documents are available if needed.

The only other consolidated report generated to date covers the time period of April 1998, to September 1998. The report was prepared by Tpr. I Casmier K. Naprawa, #4907, Field Operations Section. The consolidated report is attached to an Interoffice Communication, dated November 25, 1998, from Capt. L. Cartwright to Colonel Williams.

Tpr. I Naprawa utilized the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet software to consolidate the manually generated reports submitted by the two stations. Attached is a computer disk with the electronic data. The underlying documents are available if needed.

Presently, our Intelligence Services Section and Records and Identification Section are producing a computer database to capture the consent and probable cause information from 1996 to date.

It is anticipated that a statistical report covering 1997 and 1998 be available within two weeks.
Should you need any further information, please let me know.

FOR LT. COLONEL MICHAEL A. FEDORKO
ACTING SUPERINTENDENT

[Signature]
Robert D. Dunlop
Lt. Colonel
Executive Officer

sc
atts.
The enclosed computer disk captures the information contained in the paper report that is attached. This disk is generated from the Field Operations Office, with the information being captured in Microsoft Excel. The disk captures information from April 1998 to date.

It should be noted there is no running data base at this level that captures the information on a daily basis or other timely manner. The information is captured from hard copy synopsis prepared by Troop “D” and forwarded to this office on a monthly basis.

This report began with the capturing of statistics in April 1997 and has continued to date.

Forwarded for your information.

LLC:llc
Att.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Lt. Robert Manny
Superintendent’s Office
New Jersey State Police

FROM: John R. Hagerty
Public Information Office

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION -- PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER

DATE: July 8, 1998

The attached is a final draft response prepared by Deputy Attorney General Sally Fields to the recent request for State Police-related information sought by The Philadelphia Inquirer.

If you have any concerns and/or corrections, please advise DAY Fields ASAP.

Spoke w John Hagerty 7/10 ok to go
Spoke w Sally 7/21/98 she is currently consolidating request will send us draft prior to mailing.
July 8, 1998

Robert J. Terry
Douglas A. Campbell
The Philadelphia Inquirer
New Jersey Bureau
53 Haddonfield Road
Suite 300
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08092

Re: Letter dated June 1, 1998 to the New Jersey State Police Public Information Office

Dear Messrs. Terry and Campbell:

The above-captioned letter has been referred to me for a response. Your letter requests both general and specific information from the State Police.

The State Police cannot provide you with a specific record of complaints filed since 1993 by members of the public against State Troopers by the type of allegation. The following is a numerical breakdown of the number of citizen complaints filed with the Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>70 (as of June)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following is an explanation of the process by which complaints are investigated against members of the State Police:

Complaints may be received or initiated at any New Jersey State Police station or unit. Internal investigations are conducted in accordance with Standing Operating Procedures. An internal complaint form is then completed and submitted through the chain of command to the IAB. When the internal complaint form is received at the IAB, it is then reviewed by the Supervisor of the Investigation Unit (a Lieutenant), the Assistant Bureau Chief (also a Lieutenant), and the Bureau Chief (a Captain). The Bureau Chief then decides whether the action alleged in the complaint requires an internal investigation, and, if so, whether it will be investigated by the Internal Affairs Bureau or returned to the Troop or appropriate Section for investigation. If the investigation reveals possible violations of criminal law, the Bureau Chief will refer the matter to the Division of Criminal Justice for review.

Once the investigation is completed, it is then reviewed by the appropriate Troop Commander and Section Supervisor (if the investigation was completed by the Troop or Section) and then forwarded to the Internal Affairs Bureau for additional review. The completed investigation is then reviewed by the Assistant Investigation Unit Supervisor (DSFC), the Investigation Unit Supervisor (Lieutenant), the Assistant Bureau Chief (Lieutenant), and the Bureau Chief (Captain). Each may determine that additional work is required to complete the investigation of the alleged violations of the Rules and Regulations of the Division. The Bureau Chief reviews the conclusion submitted by the investigator. If the Bureau Chief does not agree with the conclusion of the investigator, he/she will submit an explanatory cover memo which is attached to the investigation report.

When the investigation is approved by the IAB, it is sent to one of two Advisory Boards. Each Advisory Board is comprised of six Captains from various sections of the Division. The Board members review the investigation and determine whether discipline should be imposed or that no further action is required. If discipline is to be imposed, the Board recommends what type of discipline should be imposed.

The Advisory Board's recommendation is then reviewed by the Deputy Superintendent (Lieutenant Colonel) (LTC) in cases where no further action is recommended. If the LTC approves of this recommendation, the investigation is returned to the IAB for closure. If the Advisory Board recommends that discipline should be imposed, the investigation report is reviewed by the Deputy
discipline of the Advisory Board or change the recommendation by increasing or decreasing the type of discipline.

If discipline is imposed, it may be in the form of a written reprimand, a written reprimand with suspension (1-5 days suspension), a Summary Disciplinary Hearing (up to 1 month suspension), or a General Disciplinary Hearing (any amount of suspension to termination).

As to your request for a record of complaints filed by members of the public or State Troopers, enclosed please find a list for the years 1993 through 1998 of all civil matters filed in state or federal court and docketed with the Division of Law in which the State Police was named as a defendant. The list shows, by year, the case name, the date we opened the file, the date we closed the file, and the court docket number. The court docket number shows whether the case was filed in federal or state court, (C and L indicate the Chancery and Law Divisions of the Superior Court, SC indicates Small Claims Court, DC indicates the Superior Court - Special Civil Part, 2 digit year plus 4 digits indicates the federal district court.) The number of cases by year is: 1993 - 51; 1994 - 43; 1995 - 51; 1996 - 49; 1997 - 45; 1998 - 5. Please note that these numbers include all cases in which the Division of State Police was named as a defendant regardless of the nature of the complaint. In some of those cases, other state, local, or private parties may also be named. You should also note that this list does not include all cases in which the representation was referred to outside counsel for handling.

Unfortunately, at this time, we are not able to provide you with the precise information you requested on the disposition of each of these cases or precise information on the fact or amount of any settlement. Our docketing system was designed for internal tracking purposes and was not designed to provide the specific type of information sought in your request. The only way for us to obtain that information is to retrieve the listed files from storage and to review those files manually.

As to your request for a record of discipline imposed on State Troopers for proven incidents of racial discrimination, please be advised that personnel information related to discipline is privileged and confidential and cannot be released.

Finally, in response to your request for a gender breakdown for ranks within the State Police, enclosed please find a chart depicting enlisted female members breakdown in the New
If you should have further questions, please contact John Hagerty in the Public Information Office of the State Police.

PETER VERNIERO
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY

By: ________________________________
Sally Ann Fields
Senior Deputy Attorney General

SAF/rh
enclosures
MEMORANDUM

TO: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Superintendent
New Jersey State Police

FROM: John R. Hagerty
Public Information Office

RE: THE STAR-LEDGER INFORMATION REQUEST -- FOLLOW-UP

DATE: July 27, 1998

Reporter Mike Drewniak, The Star-Ledger, has filed two information requests with the State Police (see attached).

Preliminarily, the AG’s Office advises that the overall request to review and/or obtain arrest reports and/or data falls under Executive Order 69 and, therefore, State Police must make the requested information available.

Specifically, The Star-Ledger has requested records or data on State Police (patrol-related) arrests occurring on the New Jersey Turnpike during the most recent 12-month period. The information request includes: arresting trooper, date and location of arrest, charge(s), defendant, defendant’s address, phone number, DOB, race and reason for the traffic stop that preceded the arrest in each case. Additionally, The Star-Ledger has specifically requested all arrest records for Troopers Hogan and Kenna.

State Police needs to determine how best to respond to the request (at least the request for overall Turnpike-related arrests). Given the specific data requested, however, I’m not sure how best to accomplish this. For example, which State Police section, bureau and/or unit maintains the arrest report(s) and how are those reports made available?
July 27, 1998
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Once the data is located, how does the State Police make the information available to The Star-Ledger -- does State Police provide actual copies of arrest reports (for a per page fee); do we allow The Star-Ledger reporter to review (in-house) arrest reports without providing hard copies; do we put together a separate report which captures the requested information and submit to The Star-Ledger?

Given that the initial request was submitted on June 26, the State Police should respond, in some form, as soon as practical with the appropriate data.

Please advise.

c: Colonel Michael Fedorko, Deputy Superintendent
Lt. Colonel Robert Dunlop, Executive Officer
Roger Shatzkin, AG's office of Public Affairs
To: John Hagerty, New Jersey State Police  
    Roger Shatzkin, Attorney General's Office  
From: Mike Drewniak and David Tucker  
re: our Turnpike arrest records request

To clarify our request of June 26, we do not require the information in computer data format. Making records available for inspection would be fine.

Also, we have received your letter of July 1 informing us that our information request has been forwarded to the Attorney General's Office for review.

We have been informed the information may be made available but only after an undefined period of attorney review. We respectfully ask that our request be fulfilled forthwith, given that the information is readily available to State Police, is a matter of utmost public interest and is part of the public record.

We do not seek investigatory information. We do, however, invoke the spirit and letter of Executive Order 123 in seeking the arrest information as soon as possible.

To move things along, as a first step, we could review arrest records for just Troopers Hogan and Kenna. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Mike Drewniak  
David Tucker
June 26, 1998

To: John Hagerty, New Jersey State Police
From: Mike Drewniak
re: Turnpike arrest records

As we discussed today, The Star-Ledger is requesting records or data on State Police arrests occurring on the New Jersey Turnpike during the most recent 12-month period available. If possible, we would like the data through April.

The information we seek would be: arresting officer, date and location of arrest, charge(s), defendant, defendant's address, phone number, date of birth and race. We would also like to have the reason for the traffic stop that preceded the arrest in each case.

The information could be handled by us in either Microsoft Excel or Dbase computer format.

Also, we request the most recent annual Uniform Crime Report compiled by State Police.

Please contact me or Trenton bureau chief Dave Tucker with questions. As always, thank you for your help and cooperation.

Sincerely,

Mike Drewniak
Mr. David Herron  
Education Chairperson  
National Association for the  
Advancement of Colored People  
Montclair Branch  
29 Elmwood Avenue  
Montclair, New Jersey 07042

Dear Mr. Herron:

I apologize for my delay in thanking you for your letter, in which you shared with me your thoughts and concerns regarding the troubling allegations made against members of the New Jersey State Police following an April 23, 1998, traffic stop on the New Jersey Turnpike.

Clearly, the long-standing mission of the State Police is one of protection and service in behalf of all citizens, residents and visitors alike. Certainly no policies contrary to that mission can be tolerated, and allegations of inappropriate behavior must be investigated fairly and completely.

An established Attorney General protocol helps to ensure the exhaustive and fair investigation of every incident involving the use of deadly force by State Police personnel. Attorney General Peter Verniero and State Police Superintendent Col. Carl Williams appreciate the need for a thorough investigation of this incident, and a state grand jury will consider all the facts in this case. With you, the Troopers involved, and all New Jerseyans, I am eager for the prompt resolution of this matter.

As you may know, the installation of video cameras in police vehicles – in a number of New Jersey communities, as well as in other states around the country – has been proving effective in helping to augment investigations, document evidence, assist in prosecutions, and provide the public with confidence in the integrity of law enforcement. Confident that this technology would be of great value to the Troopers patrolling our highways, I recently asked New Jersey’s toll road authorities to underwrite the costs of installing video cameras in State Police cars that patrol the Turnpike, the Garden State Parkway, and the Atlantic City Expressway. New Jersey is also seeking funds from the federal Department of Justice to expand the program so that all State Police cars can be equipped with video cameras. The first cruisers with the new cameras could be patrolling our state’s toll roads by the fall of this year.

Again, thank you for writing. Best wishes.

Sincerely yours,

Christine Todd Whitman  
Governor

August 8, 1998
Governor Christine Whitman  
PO Box 004  
Trenton NJ 08625  

September 26, 1998

Dear Governor:

This is in regards to the appalling actions committed by your appointee, Essex County Prosecutor Patricia Hurt, while she was recently traveling on the Garden State Parkway.

Since the press have detailed her dangerous behavior from all angles, there is no need to do it here. But it must be said that the use of a red light (and, quite possibly, a siren) to "respond" to what can be described as a social function, forcing other drivers to make serious evasive moves to get out of the way of a speeding "emergency vehicle," is the height of hypocrisy and irresponsibility on the part of a prosecutor!

What makes this event even that more disgusting, is the fact that Ms. Hunt chose to hide behind her race as an excuse for her being lawfully stopped by a New Jersey State Trooper, who was responding to NUMEROUS 911 reports of her carelessness, forcing the State Police as an agency to take a defensive position in this matter.

If Dr. Martin Luther King, after dying for a cause to prevent serious abuse of the black citizens of this country, was to come back to life in 1998, and was told that black public officials were allowed to use their race as an excuse to avoid responsibility for serious motor vehicle violations, he, too, would be appalled!

Your recent call for the resignation of President Clinton was very commendable. His unlawful actions must be addressed appropriately. In light of that, I must ask that you, as Governor, and as the one who appointed Ms. Hurt to her present position, to take some appropriate actions against Ms. Hurt. It is unfortunate that her driver must answer for the summons issued by the Trooper, instead of Ms. Hurt, but this makes it all that much more necessary to issue some punishment to Ms. Hunt, for either ordering or allowing her driver to act in such an irresponsible manner.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

GC 003179
Mr. Peter Verniero, Attorney General
Department of Law and Public Safety
Office of the Attorney General
P. O. Box 080
Trenton, NJ 08625

Dear Mr. Verniero:

I read the article (enclosed) about the Essex County Prosecutor being stopped by the New Jersey State Police in what she is calling a racially motivated incident.

If I understand the article correctly, she was being driven in a government owned vehicle, by one of her investigators, while accompanied by her niece and two of her niece’s friends. She was late for a prosecutor’s meeting, in Atlantic City, so she was speeding and using her emergency lights to get people to move out of her way. As a result of these actions, 40 calls are made to the state police reporting the erratic driver. The State Police had to issue a teletype to try to locate this vehicle as a result of the complaints. Apparently there were so many calls that the state police were very concerned about this vehicle. *Now she claims this is racially motivated?*

Several questions come to mind:

♦ Why did she hire an attorney? She was not charged with any violation.

♦ Why is her niece and her two friends in a government owned vehicle, allegedly going to an official function of the prosecutor’s office?

♦ Since when does improper planning on her part (leaving late), create an “emergency” so she can improperly use her emergency lights? As you know, 39:4-91 states “... *in response to an emergency call...*” which certainly does not appear to be the case.

♦ Would the justification of being late for a meeting have been an acceptable reason for using emergency lights, if someone was killed in an auto accident as a result of this erratic driving?

I am always truly amazed by the methods people use to divert responsibility for their actions. I am amazed that this case is being turned around to make it racially motivated! This is a classic case of what I call “RDS” - *Responsibility Diversion Syndrome.*

I’ll be interested to see the outcome of this case. Will it simply go away to avoid the “race” issue, or will she be held accountable for her improper actions?

Very truly yours,
When Hunter received the ticket, she was shocked and speechless. Her lawyer said, "He was just doing his job." The ticket was for speeding, with a violation code of "H." Hunter's lawyer explained that the ticket was issued in Ocean County, New Jersey, due to a radar or laser speed detection device. Hunter's lawyer also stated that Hunter was challenging the ticket, as he believed it was issued in error.

The Star-Ledger
Friday, September 22, 1998

The ticket was issued in Ocean County, New Jersey, for speeding. Hunter was scheduled to go to court on October 22, 1998, to contest the ticket.

The Star-Ledger's staff photo of Hunter is included, showing her driving a car with a New Jersey license plate.

The Star-Ledger's staff photo of Hunter's lawyer is also included, showing him in a suit and tie.

Hunter's lawyer, Peter J. Hart, stated that he would fight the ticket in court, asserting that it was issued in error due to a malfunction of the speed detection device.
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Regina M. Garb  
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8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080  
Trenton, NJ 08625
September 28, 1998

Honorable Christine Todd Whitman
State of New Jersey
Office of the Governor
125 West State Street
P. O Box 001
Trenton, NJ 08625

Dear Governor Whitman,

I am writing to let you know of my concern and disgust at the behavior of the Essex County Prosecutor, Patricia A. Hurt in regard to her incident with the New Jersey State Police on September 12th and urge you to request her resignation.

As the highest level law enforcement official in Essex County, she should display the utmost care in following and obeying all laws. It is contemptible that she should wave the flag of racism in a situation when numerous colorblind motorists called the authorities to report erratic and dangerous driving. Further, I find it inexcusable that she would act in such a manner when children are involved. These relatives should not be riding around in an official state vehicle at taxpayer expense in the first place.

In this case, Prosecutor Hurts' behavior was intolerable and should not be condoned. A public apology should also be forthcoming from the prosecutor to State Trooper for this incident.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

cc: Attorney General Peter Verniero
ficial Sees Racism in a Traffic Stop

DAVID M. HERSZENHORN

ARK, Sept. 25 — Patricia A. the Essex County prosecutor, one of the top black law-enforcement officials in New Jersey, has said that a state trooper used profiling when he pulled over official government sedan for erratic driving on the Garden Parkway earlier this month.

A state police official, who has been under fire in recent months because of accusations troopers use race as a criterion to stop motorists, quickly retracted Ms. Hunt's assertion, saying 911 operators received multiple complaints from motorists who reported seeing the trooper's car speeding and weaving in and out of lanes with its dashboard-mounted light flashing.

Ms. Hunt was a passenger in the car, a black Ford Crown Victoria, driven by Assistant Prosecutor Lascia Rosa, a Hispanic investigator in the prosecutor's office, when it was stopped, said Al Della Fave, a New Jersey State Police spokesman. The car was pulled over in Brick Township by Trooper Scott Ebner while it was traveling south on the Garden State Parkway at 4:30 pm. on Sept. 12, he said.

Motorists who called 911 on their cellular phones complained that the sedan was being "operated in an unsafe manner and also that they felt the individuals were posing as police," said Sergeant Della Fave.

Ms. Hunt declined to be interviewed about the incident, which was reported to The Star-Ledger of New Jersey by a black prosecutor in the prosecutor's office, who referred most questions to her private lawyer, Peter C. Harvey, of Morristown. Mr. Harvey did not return messages left at his office today.

The issue of profiling came into full view in April when state troopers on the New Jersey Turnpike pulled over a van carrying one young Hispanic man and three young black men to a college basketball clinic. State troopers fired 11 shots into the van, wounding three of those inside.

Sergeant Della Fave said that because of a complaint filed by Ms. Hunt, the state police would investigate the actions of Trooper Ebner, who is white. Until that investigation is complete, Sergeant Della Fave said the state police cannot discuss the interaction between Trooper Ebner and Ms. Hunt.

But Sergeant Della Fave did describe the circumstances surrounding the stop. He said that after the complaint was filed, the license plate of the black Ford sedan was checked on state police computers, which found no record of it. Such a result is not uncommon in a state with a large fleet of vehicles, he said.

A black prosecutor says a trooper used racial profiling.

He also said that one of the first complaints about the sedan's erratic driving had come from a motorist on the Parkway in Sayreville "who was pushed over to the right" as the car sped down the left lane and who then watched as the car approached the Raritan toll plaza, turned off its flashing light, slowed down to pay the toll and then turned the light back on.

Several officials said that Ms. Hunt's young niece, Andrea Patricia Hurt, and two of her teen-age friends were in the back seat of the sedan when it was pulled over.

In an interview with The Star-Ledger, Mr. Harvey was quoted as saying: "I can only imagine what his assumptions were. But he probably didn't know Essex County had a black woman prosecutor." In The Star-Ledger's report, Mr. Harvey did not deny that the car had been speeding with its light on.

Under state law, official vehicles are allowed to exceed the speed limit only in emergencies.

Mr. Weiss confirmed that Ms. Hunt was on her way to a county prosecutors' convention in Atlantic City, and he identified Ms. Rosa as an investigator in the prosecutor's office.

Sergeant Della Fave said that Ms. Rosa was issued a $77 summons for careless driving, a single charge. While that charge could encompass a wide range of motor vehicle mischief, Ms. Rosa was not issued tickets for speeding or other such traffic infractions. A hearing is scheduled for Oct. 8 in Municipal Court in Brick Township.

Chuck Davis, a spokesman for the New Jersey Attorney General, Peter G. Verniero, whose office oversees both the 21 county prosecutors and the state police department, said, "The only thing we can say at this point is we are aware of the incident and at this point the matter is going to go before a Municipal Court Judge and it will be resolved."

Trooper Ebner, 29, who has been on the state police force since January 1995, will remain on active duty, officials said. Ms. Hunt was not issued a summons or charged with any crime. When she was appointed by Gov. Christine Todd Whitman last year, Ms. Hunt became the second black woman to be named a county prosecutor in New Jersey.
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Mr.

Dear Mr.

Your communication to Governor Whitman has been referred to me for response. You indicate your concerns with the behavior of Prosecutor Hurt regarding a motor vehicle stop on the Garden State Parkway.

The motor vehicle charges are now pending in municipal court and the State Police have initiated an internal investigation regarding the interactions between the trooper and Ms. Hurt. Once the facts are disclosed through these two proceedings, I will review the incident in its entirety and take whatever additional action may be appropriate or necessary.

Thank you for sharing your views on this subject with us.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General
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Official Sees Racism in a Traffic Stop

Black County Prosecutor Says New Jersey Trooper Single Her Out

By DAVID M. HERSZENHORN

NEWARK, Sept. 25 — Patricia A. Hurt, the Essex County prosecutor and one of the top black law-enforcement officials in New Jersey, has complained that a state trooper used racial profiling when he pulled over her official government sedan for driving erratically on the Garden State Parkway earlier this month.

But state police officials, who have increasingly come under fire in recent months because of accusations that troopers use race as a criterion for stopping motorists, quickly rebuffed Ms. Hurt's assertion, saying that 911 operators received multiple complaints from motorists who reported seeing the prosecutor's car speeding and weaving in and out of lanes with its dashboard-mounted red light flashing.

Ms. Hurt was a passenger in the car, a black Ford Crown Victoria and Festus Rocca, a Hispanic investigator in the prosecutor's office, was driving it when it was stopped, said Sgt. Al DeFerra, a New Jersey State Police spokesman. The car was pulled over in Brick Township by Trooper Scott Ebermeyer while it was traveling south on the Garden State Parkway at 4:30 p.m. on Sept. 12, he said.

Motorists who called 911 on their cellular phones complained that the sedan was being "operated in an unsafe manner" and also that the individuals were posing as police," said Sergeant DeFerra.

Ms. Hurt declined to be interviewed about the incident, which was first reported in The Star-Ledger of Newark. Ray Weiss, a spokesman in the prosecutor's office, referred most questions to his private lawyer, Peter C. Harvey, of Morristown. Mr. Harvey did not return repeated messages left at his office today.

The issue of profiling came to full view last April when state troopers on the New Jersey Turnpike pulled over a van carrying one young man and three young black men to a college basketball clinic. State troopers fired 11 shots into the van, wounding three of the passengers.

Sergeant DeFerra said that the cause of a complaint filed by the state was the same: 4 m.p.h. over the speed limit.

But Sergeant DeFerra said that the prosecutor's car was being used to block traffic and describe the circumstances surrounding the stop. He said that the state police to the left of the state police department.

The incident took place on the Garden State Parkway in Sayreville, "A large police car rode up." The state police were later in the rear of the car.

He also said that one of the first complaints about the state's traffic driving had come from a motorist on the Parkway in Sayreville who was pushed over to the right as the car tended down the left lane and who then watched as the car approached the driver's lane, turned off its flashing light, slowed down to the limit and then turned the light back on.

Several officials said that Ms. Hurt's complaint, a woman deputy, Andrea Patricia Hurt, and two of her teen-age friends, were in the car at the time of the sedan's stop. Mr. Harvey was asked if he could imagine what his assumptions were, but he probably didn't know Essex County had a black woman prosecutor in The Star-Ledger interview, Mr. Harvey did not deny that the car had been speeding with its lights on and that they were in the speed limit, but he dissuaded the emergency state police from investigating the incident.

Patricia A. Hurt, 37, the Essex County prosecutor, said she was driving to a 3 p.m. to 5 p.m. meeting with New Jersey Attorney General Peter B. Coughlin.

Sergeant DeFerra said that Ms. Hurt's car was issued a $75 fine for speeding and a $25 charge for an overtaking violation. That charge could encompass a single vehicle moving from one lane to another.

But Sergeant DeFerra said that the prosecutor's car was being used to block traffic and describe the circumstances surrounding the stop. He said that the state police to the left of the state police department.

The incident took place on the Garden State Parkway in Sayreville, "A large police car rode up." The state police were later in the rear of the car.

The issue of profiling came to full view last April when state troopers on the New Jersey Turnpike pulled over a van carrying one young man and three young black men to a college basketball clinic. State troopers fired 11 shots into the van, wounding three of the passengers.

Sergeant DeFerra said that the cause of a complaint filed by the state was the same: 4 m.p.h. over the speed limit.

But Sergeant DeFerra said that the prosecutor's car was being used to block traffic and describe the circumstances surrounding the stop. He said that the state police to the left of the state police department.

The incident took place on the Garden State Parkway in Sayreville, "A large police car rode up." The state police were later in the rear of the car.

He also said that one of the first complaints about the state's traffic driving had come from a motorist on the Parkway in Sayreville who was pushed over to the right as the car tended down the left lane and who then watched as the car approached the driver's lane, turned off its flashing light, slowed down to the limit and then turned the light back on.

Several officials said that Ms. Hurt's complaint, a woman deputy, Andrea Patricia Hurt, and two of her teen-age friends, were in the car at the time of the sedan's stop. Mr. Harvey was asked if he could imagine what his assumptions were, but he probably didn't know Essex County had a black woman prosecutor in The Star-Ledger interview, Mr. Harvey did not deny that the car had been speeding with its lights on and that they were in the speed limit, but he dissuaded the emergency state police from investigating the incident.

Patricia A. Hurt, 37, the Essex County prosecutor, said she was driving to a 3 p.m. to 5 p.m. meeting with New Jersey Attorney General Peter B. Coughlin.
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<td>COUNTY PROSECUTOR</td>
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<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
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Attached are various letters supporting the action of the New Jersey State Police with regards to the above incident.
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October 9, 1998

Mr. 

Dear Mr. 

Your communication to Governor Whitman has been referred to me for response. You indicate your concerns with the behavior of Prosecutor Hurt regarding a motor vehicle stop on the Garden State Parkway.

The motor vehicle charges are now pending in municipal court and the State Police have initiated an internal investigation regarding the interactions between the trooper and Ms. Hurt. Once the facts are disclosed through these two proceedings, I will review the incident in its entirety and take whatever additional action may be appropriate or necessary.

Thank you for sharing your views on this subject with us.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General

amc
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services
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REFERRED TO DEPT: Department of Law and Public Safety
ATTENTION: Peter Verniero
DATE REFERRED: 10/01/98
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COPIES TO:

DOCUMENT LOCATOR NO: 000325510
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COMMENTS:
From: <feedback@state.nj.us>
To: State House.State House (OCR)
Date: 9/28/98 4:42pm
Subject: Newark prosecutor speeding on the parkway

Internet E-mail to the Governor

** FROM **
Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip: 0710
Country: USA
Phone:
E-mail:

** AFFILIATION **
Group: n/a
Relationship: n/a

** MESSAGE **
SUBJECT: Newark prosecutor speeding on the parkway

I think enough is enough with this prosecutor from Newark speeding on the parkway with emergency lights operating. She has some nerve blaming the state police for stopping her because she is black. This is an outrage that our police officers can't respond to calls from the public and do their jobs. I am sure the Attorney General is going to intimidate the state police so that the only people to be stopped will be white and low income so that no one will listen to their complaints. I try very hard not to be a racist but its things like this that make me very angry.
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Mr.

Dear Mr.:

Your communication to Governor Whitman has been referred to me for response. You indicate your concerns with the behavior of Prosecutor Hurt regarding a motor vehicle stop on the Garden State Parkway.

The motor vehicle charges are now pending in municipal court and the State Police have initiated an internal investigation regarding the interactions between the trooper and Ms. Hurt. Once the facts are disclosed through these two proceedings, I will review the incident in its entirety and take whatever additional action may be appropriate or necessary.

Thank you for sharing your views on this subject with us.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General
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Please mark the envelope which contains the referral to the attention of the OCR staff person indicated next to the 'G.O.C.R. RESPONSIBLE' entry or simply FAX back to us.

COMMENTS:
From: <feedback@state.nj.us>
To: State House.State House (OCR)
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Subject: Prosecutor's Offices

Internet E-mail to the Governor

** FROM **
Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Phone:
E-mail:

** AFFILIATION **
Group: N/A
Relationship: N/A

** MESSAGE **
SUBJECT: Prosecutor's Offices

Dear Governor:
ThankYou for your recent correspondence. The behavior of the Essex County Prosecutor and her employee this week again demonstrate the need for the State to take over the every day operations of these offices. For the highest ranking law enforcement officer in a county to misuse a government conveyance is reprehensible. What kind of signal send to the criminals and the ordinary citizen ? The fact that the driver resides with the Prosecutor and was acting at her direction is even more troubling. I think the Trooper was right, these people were going to the beach. If not, why were there young people in the car. The time is now for the State to act. If you do not, more of these incidents will continue, not less.

Respectfully,
Date: 10-05-1998
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October 9, 1998

Mr.

Dear Mr.

Your communication to Governor Whitman has been referred to me for response. You indicate your concerns with the behavior of Prosecutor Hurt regarding a motor vehicle stop on the Garden State Parkway.

The motor vehicle charges are now pending in municipal court and the State Police have initiated an internal investigation regarding the interactions between the trooper and Ms. Hurt. Once the facts are disclosed through these two proceedings, I will review the incident in its entirety and take whatever additional action may be appropriate or necessary.

Thank you for sharing your views on this subject with us.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General
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125932

GC 003202
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services

Date: 10-19-1998

Received Date : 10-16-1998
Correspondence Date : 10-09-1998
Subject : Correspondence Assigned To First Assistant
Name : Mr.
Affiliation :
Assigned to : Dave Hespe
            Office of the Attorney General
Due Date : 10-30-1998
Issue : various questions concerning the incident involving the Essex County
        Prosecutor and a State Trooper.
Comment : AG Verniero, Colonel Williams
Response Type : Respond on behalf of Governor
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625

Received
OCT 20 1998
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
1641180
OCT 19 1998

GC 003203
125933
October 19, 1998

Mr. 

Dear Mr.

Your October 9, 1998 letter to Governor Whitman has been referred to me for response. You indicate your concerns with the behavior of Prosecutor Hurt regarding a motor vehicle stop on the Garden State Parkway.

The driver has pled guilty to the motor vehicle charges, but the State Police have not yet concluded their internal investigation regarding the interactions between the trooper and Ms. Hurt. Once the remaining facts are disclosed, I will review the incident in its entirety and take whatever additional action may be appropriate or necessary.

Thank you for sharing your views on this subject with us.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General

amc
| DATE: 10/15/98 | FROM Lt. Colonel R.D. Dunlop Executive Officer | TO Captain L. Cartwright Acting Supervisor Field Operations Section | SUBJECT ATTORNEY GENERAL REFERRALS RE THE RECENT INCIDENT INVOLVING THE ESSEX COUNTY PROSECUTOR PATRICIA HURT |

Attached are various letters supporting the action of the New Jersey State Police with regards to the above incident.

Forwarded for your information and distribution to the appropriate personnel.
Office of the Attorney General  
Citizens' Services

Date: 10-05-1998

Received Date : 10-02-1998
Correspondence Date : 09-26-1998
Subject : Correspondence Assigned To Attorney General
Name : Mr.
Affiliation :
Assigned to : Attorney General Verniero
Office of the Attorney General
Due Date : 10-16-1998
Issue : concerns the recent incident where Essex County Prosecutor Patricia Hu
accused a State Trooper of stopping her because of her race.
Comment : Note - This is from the Governor's reading file. c: FAAG Hesper

Response Type : Respond on behalf of Governor
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625

GC 003206
REFERRED TO DEPT: Department of Law and Public Safety
ATTENTION: Peter Verniero
DATE REFERRED: 10/01/98
PLEASE RETURN BY: 10/15/98
COPIES TO:

DOCUMENT LOCATOR NO: 000325566
ISSUE ADDRESSED: Governor's Reading File (GRF)
CONSTITUENT NAME:
ADDRESS:

G.O.C.R. RESPONSIBLE: Brigid McGuigan
G.O.C.R. COMMENTS:

INSTRUCTIONS: Please return this referral slip with a copy of the response sent and the original correspondence.

NOTE:
Please mark the envelope which contains the referral to the attention of the OCR staff person indicated next to the 'G.O.C.R. RESPONSIBLE' entry or simply FAX back to us.

COMMENTS:
V

327330 Oct 10, 1998

Dear Joe Whitman:

Another example of Clay County's administrators attitude. They are above the law and getting away with it.

[Handwritten note]

[Handwritten note]

[Handwritten note]

[Handwritten note]
Essex County prosecutor was driven to death

Paul Mulshine

Her lawyer argued that law enforcement officials are entitled to special treatment regarding speed limits.

As it happens, however, there is a case that almost perfectly mirrors this one. A Superior Court judge by the name of Donald Colester was reprimanded by the state Su-

If there's any justice, Patricia Hurt will at some point have to stop hiding behind her lawyer and come out and explain to the public why a person sworn to uphold the law can also ignore the law when it gets between her and her dinner.

Supreme Court for a remarkably similar offense in 1991. Stopped by the police for speeding and drunken driving, Colester tried to use his influence to get favorable treatment. In recommending disciplinary action, the state Ad-

Maybe not. Or maybe he just didn't know that Essex County had a black woman prosecutor.

EBNER ordered all the occupants to put their hands where he could see them. He asked the driver for her license. According to the State Police, Rosa at first refused to hand it over. Instead, the passenger presented him with a badge.

EBNER at first refused to take it. This, according to Hurt's attorney, Peter Harvey, was evidence of racism. "I can only imagine what his assumptions were," he said in comments in The Star-Ledger. "But he probably didn't know Essex County had a black woman prosecutor."

In any case, once Ebner got his hands on Rosa's driver's license, he let her off easy, with a $77 ticket for careless driving. Try duplicating her stunt driving and see if you don't spend the night in the Ocean County Jail.

That should have been the end of it, but Hurt filed charges against the trooper.

Through her lawyer, she made numerous allegations about the officer's conduct, all of which boil down to a charge that the trooper did not give her the respect due her office.
OFFICE OF CONSTITUENT RELATIONS (OCR)
STATE HOUSE
CN 001
PHONE: (609) 292-6000
FAX: (609) 292-3454

***************
* REFERRAL SLIP *
***************

REferred TO DEPT: Department of Law and Public Safety
ATTENTION: Peter Verniero
DATE REFERRED: 10/23/98
PLEASE RETURN BY: 11/06/98
COPIES TO:

DOCUMENT LOCATOR NO: 000327330
ISSUE ADDRESSED: Essex County Prosecutor Parkway stop
CONSTITUENT NAME:

ADDRESS:

G.O.C.R. RESPONSIBLE: Katherine Lyons
G.O.C.R. COMMENTS:

INSTRUCTIONS: Please return this referral slip with a copy of the response sent and the original correspondence.

NOTE:
Please mark the envelope which contains the referral to the attention of the OCR staff person indicated next to the 'G.O.C.R. RESPONSIBLE' entry or simply FAX back to us.

COMMENTS:
Date: 10-27-1998

Received Date : 10-27-1998
Correspondence Date : 10-23-1998
Subject : Correspondence Assigned To First Assistant
Name : Ms.
Affiliation :
Assigned to : Dave Hespe
Office of the Attorney General
Due Date : 11-10-1998
Issue : Concerns the actions of Prosecutor Patricia Hurt
Comment : c: AG Verniero, Colonel Williams, Director Zoubek
Response-Type : Respond on behalf of Governor
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Regina M. Garb
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/30/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td>Colonel C.A. Williams Superintendent</td>
<td>ATTORNEY GENERAL REFERRAL RE THE INCIDENT INVOLVING THE ESSEX COUNTY PROSECUTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sc att.</td>
<td></td>
<td>via Lt. Colonel M.A. Fedorko Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capt. Cartwright</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attached is AG referral correspondence from New Jersey, regarding the above incident.

Forwarded for your information.
August 14, 1998

Colonel Carl Williams
Superintendent, N. J. State Police
River Road, P.O.B. 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08625

Dear Colonel Williams:

I write to invite your input in connection with an important project being planned by my office.

Shortly after I became Attorney General my office hosted what was believed to be the first-ever statewide law enforcement summit on October 8, 1996. This extraordinary gathering of the law enforcement officers from all levels of government resulted in a major report containing selected issues and priorities still used today as a guidepost for law enforcement activities throughout the state.

My office is now in the planning stages for a second summit to be organized around three major topics. They are: Race and Law Enforcement; Youth Violence and School Safety; and Drug Enforcement.

**Race and Law Enforcement.** According to recent surveys, citizens who are persons of color do not express the same level of confidence in law enforcement as other citizens. As the chief law enforcement officer of the state, I want all New Jerseyans, regardless of color, to have confidence in law enforcement at all levels. This segment of the summit will feature panelists and other speakers in an effort to engage in a statewide dialogue raising sensitivity and awareness on all sides of the issue.
Youth Violence and School Safety. Recent tragic events in other states teach us that we must be prepared to use all lawful means to keep firearms, other deadly weapons, and illicit drugs out of our schools and away from children. We have already taken an important step by preparing a comprehensive, 273-page school search policy manual intended for use by law enforcement and school officials so that searches are properly conducted to maintain order and discipline in the schools. This segment of the summit will build on our continuing efforts by discussing strategies and professional techniques to better equip communities to address these twin issues of teen violence and school safety.

Drug Enforcement. Governor Whitman used the occasion of the 1996 summit to announce her Drug Enforcement, Education and Awareness Program, which remains the cornerstone of our enforcement efforts. This second summit will provide a general update on this most important public policy issue. We cannot have an intelligent or complete discussion of the criminal justice system without including the subject of drugs because the issue is so pervasive in scope and impact. Our efforts have shown real gains but this is an issue which must remain uppermost in our minds to make lasting improvement.

Our goal is to be in a position to host this all-day gathering in November or December of this year. Invitees would include representatives of all levels of law enforcement -- federal, state, county and municipal -- in addition to other representatives of the executive branch, legislators, civil-rights advocates, education officials and other community leaders. My office will contact you shortly to obtain your comments and suggestions regarding proposed panelists and specific subject points within the three topic areas described above as well as your suggested list of specific invitees.

Thank you in advance for your anticipated assistance and participation as we prepare for this important event.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General
11:00am - 12:30pm  Breakout Panels
   A. Race Relations in Law Enforcement
   B. Youth Violence and School Safety
   C. Drug Enforcement
(These panels will be repeated in the afternoon session so that each conferee will be able to attend two of the three panel discussions.)

(The panels will spend 45 minutes discussing case studies of programs that work. The remaining 45 minutes will be used for an interactive discussion, led by a facilitator, where conferees will be asked to comment on the case studies and will be encouraged to propose other specific suggestions for dealing with the problem. Panel secretaries will record these questions, comments, and suggestions.)

12:30pm - 1:45pm  Luncheon

1. Discussion of progress in preventing youth violence and maintaining safety and security in schools.

2. Local Group Activity (conferees from each local or county jurisdiction will sit at the same table; a recorder from each table will fill out a simple questionnaire or checklist to describe existing collaborative programs or opportunities for dialogue; conferees will be asked to list programs in their communities that work.)

1:45pm - 3:15pm  Repeat Morning Panel Programs

3:15pm - 3:45pm  Summit Wrap-Up and Concluding Remarks

1. Announcement of new programs and initiatives consistent with the Summit theme, e.g., (1) availability of a $3 million Safe and Secure Communities fund surplus to support technical assistance and local planning grants to establish local community justice programs; (2) new Drug Enforcement Strategy and renewed emphasis on Quality of Life programs; (3) PTC training on community relations; (4) minority recruitment issues; (5) deployment of police vehicle video cameras; and (6) new search and seizure protocols designed to enhanced public confidence in law enforcement.

2. Receive panels' reports; review luncheon activity checklists and successful programs; wrap up the Summit and discuss the next steps toward establishing problem-solving teams in every jurisdiction.
MEMORANDUM

To: Col. Carl Williams
   Division of State Police

   Director Paul Zoubek
   Division of Criminal Justice

   Senior Executive Staff

Fr: Peter Verniero
    Attorney General

Dt: August 10, 1998

Re: Law Enforcement Summit

As you know, shortly after I became Attorney General we hosted what was believed to be the first-ever statewide law enforcement summit on October 8, 1996. This extraordinary gathering of the law enforcement officers from all levels of New Jersey government resulted in a major report containing selected issues and priorities still used today as a guidepost for law enforcement activities throughout the state.

I believe we should host a second summit to be organized around three major topics. They are: Race and Law Enforcement; Teen Violence and School Safety; and Drug Enforcement.

Race and Law Enforcement. According to recent surveys, minority citizens do not express the same level of confidence in law enforcement as non-minority citizens. As the chief law enforcement officer of the state, I want all New Jerseyans, regardless of color, to have confidence in law enforcement at all levels. That confidence is best achieved by having a police force that is both qualified and diverse. This segment of the summit would feature panelists and other speakers in an effort to engage in a statewide dialogue raising sensitivity and awareness on all sides of the issue.
Teen Violence and School Safety. Recent tragic events in other states teach us that we must be prepared to use all lawful means to keep firearms, other deadly weapons, and illicit drugs out of our schools and away from children. We have already taken an important step by preparing a comprehensive, 273-page school search policy manual intended for use by law enforcement and school officials so that searches are properly conducted to maintain order and discipline in the schools. This segment of the summit would build on our previous efforts by discussing strategies and professional techniques to better equip communities to address these twin issues of teen violence and school safety.

Drug Enforcement. Governor Whitman used the occasion of the 1996 summit to announce her Drug Enforcement, Education and Awareness Program, which remains the cornerstone of our enforcement efforts. This second summit will provide a general update on this most important public policy issue. We cannot have an intelligent or complete discussion of the criminal justice system without including the subject of drugs because the issue is so pervasive in scope and impact. Our efforts have shown real gains but this is an issue which must remain uppermost in our minds to make lasting improvement.

My goal is to be in a position to host this all-day gathering in October or November of this year. Invitees would include representatives of all levels of law enforcement -- federal, state, county and municipal -- in addition to other representatives of the executive branch, legislators, civil-rights advocates, education officials and other community leaders.

Attached is a proposed letter to be sent to the following individuals to invite their input and planning suggestions:
Commissioner Leo Klagholz
Col. Carl Williams
Director Paul Zoubeck
Director Rolando Torres
Executive Director Paul Donnelly
President of NJ Prosecutors Association
President of Chiefs of Police Association
Prosecutor Patricia Hurt
Rev. Reginald Jackson
Rev. Edward Verner
Rev. DeForest Soaries
U.S. Attorney for District of NJ
NJ Director of FBI

Please let me have your comments to the above no later than Wednesday, August 12, 1998. I am open to suggestions on all aspects of this idea. Thank you for your anticipated attention and assistance.
August 1998

Dear

I write to invite your input in connection with an important project being planned by my office.

Shortly after I became Attorney General my office hosted what was believed to be the first-ever statewide law enforcement summit on October 8, 1996. This extraordinary gathering of the law enforcement officers from all levels of New Jersey government resulted in a major report containing selected issues and priorities still used today as a guidepost for law enforcement activities throughout the state.

My office is now in the planning stages for a second summit to be organized around three major topics. They are: Race and Law Enforcement; Teen Violence and School Safety; and Drug Enforcement.

**Race and Law Enforcement.** According to recent surveys, minority citizens do not express the same level of confidence in law enforcement as non-minority citizens. As the chief law enforcement officer of the state, I want all New Jerseyans, regardless of color, to have confidence in law enforcement at all levels. That confidence is best achieved by having a police force that is both qualified and diverse. This segment of the summit will feature panelists and other speakers in an effort to engage in a statewide dialogue raising sensitivity and awareness on all sides of the issue.

**Teen Violence and School Safety.** Recent tragic events in other states teach us that we must be prepared to use all lawful means to keep firearms, other deadly weapons, and illicit drugs out of our schools and away from children. We have already taken an important step by preparing a comprehensive, 273-page school search policy manual intended for use by law enforcement and school officials so that searches are properly conducted to...
maintain order and discipline in the schools. This segment of the summit will build on our previous efforts by discussing strategies and professional techniques to better equip communities to address these twin issues of teen violence and school safety.

**Drug Enforcement.** Governor Whitman used the occasion of the 1996 summit to announce her Drug Enforcement, Education and Awareness Program, which remains the cornerstone of our enforcement efforts. This second summit will provide a general update on this most important public policy issue. We cannot have an intelligent or complete discussion of the criminal justice system without including the subject of drugs because the issue is so pervasive in scope and impact. Our efforts have shown real gains but this is an issue which must remain uppermost in our minds to make lasting improvement.

Our goal is to be in a position to host this all-day gathering in October or November of this year. Invitees would include representatives of all levels of law enforcement -- federal, state, county and municipal -- in addition to other representatives of the executive branch, legislators, civil-rights advocates, education officials and other community leaders. My office will contact you shortly to obtain your comments and suggestions regarding proposed panelists and specific subject points within the three topic areas described above as well as your suggested list of specific invitees.

Thank you in advance for your anticipated assistance and participation as we prepare for this important event.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General
September 11, 1998

Colonel Carl Williams
Superintendent, N. J. State Police
River Road
P.O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08625

Re: Law Enforcement Summit

Dear Colonel Williams:

The Law Enforcement Summit has been scheduled for Friday, December 11, 1998 at the Sheraton Meadowlands, 2 Meadowlands Plaza, East Rutherford, NJ from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Representatives of my office will be reaching out to you shortly to solicit your views on the structure of the event.

Thank you for your continued participation in the planning of the Summit.

Sincerely yours,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General

amc
September 11, 1998

Colonel Carl Williams
Superintendent, N. J. State Police
River Road
P.O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08625

Re: Law Enforcement Summit

Dear Colonel Williams:

The Law Enforcement Summit has been scheduled for Friday, December 11, 1998 at the Sheraton Meadowlands, 2 Meadowlands Plaza, East Rutherford, NJ from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Representatives of my office will be reaching out to you shortly to solicit your views on the structure of the event.

Thank you for your continued participation in the planning of the Summit.

Sincerely yours,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General
FAX MESSAGE

TO: ROBERT CACCESE, DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS AUDIT, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

FAX NO: 609-292-8268

FROM: LT. COLONEL MICHAEL A. FEDORKO, DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE

SUBJECT: ATTORNEY GENERAL'S LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT

THE FOLLOWING STATE POLICE PERSONNEL ARE ATTENDING THE LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT ON DECEMBER 11, 1998:

COLONEL CARL A. WILLIAMS, SUPERINTENDENT
LT. COLONEL MICHAEL A. FEDORKO, DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT
LT. COLONEL ROBERT D. DUNLOP, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
MAJOR VINCENT MODARELLI, SUPERVISOR, SPECIAL & TECHNICAL SERVICES SECTION
MAJOR KEVIN MCPARTLAND, SUPERVISOR, INTELLIGENCE SERVICES SECTION
MAJOR THOMAS DAVIES, SUPERVISOR, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SECTION
MAJOR BARRY ROBERSON, SUPERVISOR, ADMINISTRATION SECTION
MAJOR JUAN MATTOS, SUPERVISOR, DIVISION STAFF SECTION
MAJOR RICHARD TOWU, SUPERVISOR, INVESTIGATIONS SECTION
CAPTAIN FRANK MCNULTY, ACTING SUPERVISOR, RECORDS & IDENTIFICATION SECTION
CAPTAIN LEE CARTWRIGHT, ACTING SUPERVISOR, FIELD OPERATIONS SECTION
CAPTAIN CARL LEISINGER, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, SPECIAL & TECHNICAL SERVICES SECTION
CAPTAIN WILLIAM HILL, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, INTELLIGENCE SERVICES SECTION
CAPTAIN KEVIN HAYDEN, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT SECTION
CAPTAIN RICHARD WILSON, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, ADMINISTRATION SECTION
CAPTAIN ERNEST VOLKMANN, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, DIVISION STAFF SECTION
CAPTAIN RICHARD PROCACCINO, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, INVESTIGATIONS SECTION
CAPTAIN RONALD FRANZ, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, FIELD OPERATIONS SECTION
CAPTAIN FREDERICK MADDEN, ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR, RECORDS & IDENTIFICATION SECTION
CAPTAIN JOSEPH BRENnan, TRAINING BUREAU CHIEF
CAPTAIN DAVID BLAKER, EEO/AA BUREAU CHIEF
CAPTAIN ROY VAN TASSEL, INTERNAL AFFAIRS BUREAU CHIEF
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/3/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>Attn.</td>
<td>ATTORNEY GENERAL'S LAW ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M.A. Fedorko</td>
<td></td>
<td>SUMMIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R.D. Dunlop</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td>V. Modarelli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S&amp;TS Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K. McPartland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. Davies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. Roberson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Mattos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R. Touw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Investigations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F. McNulty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R &amp; I Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c: Col. Williams</td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Bruncati</td>
<td>L. Cartwright</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Cosgrove</td>
<td>Acting Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Manney</td>
<td>Field Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSFC Campbell</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit will be conducted on December 11, 1998, at the Sheraton Meadowlands Hotel. Registration will begin at 8:30 a.m. Lunch will be provided.

For your information and for that of the members attending under your command.
MEMORANDUM TO: Robert Caccese, Director  
Operations Audit  
Office of the Attorney General

Date December 3, 1998

SUBJECT: LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT

The below listed Division of State Police personnel will attend the Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit scheduled for Friday, December 11, 1998:

Colonel Carl Williams  
Lt. Colonel Michael Fedorko  
Lt. Colonel Robert Dunlop  
Major Thomas Davies  
Major Juan Mattos Jr.  
Major Kevin McPartland  
Major Barry Roberson  
Major Richard Touw  
Captain Joseph Brennan  
Captain Howard Butt  
Captain Walter Butz  
Captain Lee Cartwright  
Captain Rudy Chesko  
Captain Dennis DelFava  
Captain John DeLucia  
Captain Ronald Franz  
Captain William Gronikowski  
Captain Kevin Hayden  
Captain Lorenzo Hillman  
Captain James Kenna  
Captain Albert Kemagis  
Captain Carl Leisinger  
Captain Eugene Lewis  
Captain Frederick Madden  
Captain Salvatore Maggio  
Captain Frank McNulty  
Captain Gilbert Miller  
Captain Edward Moore  
Captain Richard Procaccino  
Captain Joseph Ruggeri  
Captain Joseph Sarnecky  
Captain John Schroth  
Captain Leroy Smith  
Captain Frederick Tavener  
Captain Roy VanTassel  
Captain Ernest Volkmann  
Captain Robert Wilson  
Captain Kenneth Wondrack  
Lieutenant Daniel Cosgrove  
Lieutenant William Devlin  
Lieutenant Edgar Hess  
Lieutenant Francis Hinkle  
Lieutenant David Leonardis  
Lieutenant Charles Liber  
Lieutenant William Madden  
Lieutenant James Mulholland  
Lieutenant Dennis Nagy  
Lieutenant Robert Rich  
Lieutenant Eric Sorchik

Michael A. Fedorko  
Deputy Superintendent

GC 003225  
125955
Ron Ferguson, 44983
Os. Fajana 10
633-8557. Told
him it will be in Amex. See
12/3.
TO: Ron Knaeuser

FAX #: 633-0557

TELEPHONE: ________________________________

FROM: LTC MICHAEL A. FEDORKO  
DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT

COMMENTS: Law Enforcement Details

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS DURING THIS FAX TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CALL (609) 882-2000 X6505.  
THIS IS PAGE #1 OF A __ PAGE MESSAGE.

CONFIDENTIAL
MEMORANDUM TO: Robert Caccese, Director
Operations Audit
Office of the Attorney General

SUBJECT: LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT

The below listed Division of State Police personnel will attend the Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit scheduled for Friday, December 11, 1998:

Colonel Carl Williams
Lt. Colonel Michael Fedorko
Lt. Colonel Robert Dunlop
Major Thomas Davies
Major Juan Mattos Jr.
Major Kevin McPartland
Major Barry Roberson
Major Richard Touw
Captain Joseph Brennan
Captain Howard Butt
Captain Walter Butz
Captain Lee Cartwright
Captain Rudy Chesko
Captain Dennis DelFava
Captain John DeLucia
Captain Ronald Franz
Captain William Gronikowski
Captain Kevin Hayden
Captain Lorenzo Hillman
Captain James Kenna
Captain Albert Kernagis
Captain Carl Leisinger
Captain Eugene Lewis
Captain Frederick Madden
Captain Salvatore Maggio
Captain Frank McNulty
Captain Gilbert Miller
Captain Edward Moore
Captain Richard Procaccino
Captain Joseph Ruggeri

Captain Joseph Sarnecky
Captain John Schroth
Captain Leroy Smith
Captain Frederick Tavener
Captain Roy VanTassel
Captain Ernest Volkmann
Captain Robert Wilson
Captain Kenneth Wondrack
Lieutenant Daniel Cosgrove
Lieutenant William Devlin
Lieutenant Edgar Hess
Lieutenant Francis Hinkle
Lieutenant David Leonardis
Lieutenant Charles Liber
Lieutenant William Madden
Lieutenant James Mulholland
Lieutenant Dennis Nagy
Lieutenant Robert Rich
Lieutenant Eric Sorchik

Michael A. Fedorbo
Lt. Colonel, Michael A. Fedorbo
Deputy Superintendent

GC 003228
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/2/98</td>
<td>Major T. P. Davies</td>
<td>LTC M. Fedorko</td>
<td>Re: Attorney General's Summit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor EMS</td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>via</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LTC R. Dunlop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following personnel have been notified to attend the Attorney General's Summit on Friday, December 11, 1998:

- Captain Hayden ✓
- Captain Hillman ✓
- Captain DelFava ✓
- Captain Kenna ✓
- Lt. Sorchik ✓

Forwarded for your information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/30/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td>R. D. Dunlop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy</td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td>Major V.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Modarelli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S &amp; T S Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McPartland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intelligence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Services Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major T. Davies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major B. Roberson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major J. Mattos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major R. Touw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Investigations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Captain F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McNulty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R &amp; I Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c: Col. Williams</td>
<td>Captain L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cartwright</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Field Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Bruncati</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Guida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Cosgrove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Manney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSFC Campbell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit is scheduled for Friday, December 11, 1998 at the Sheraton Meadowlands, 2 Meadowlands Plaza, East Rutherford, NJ from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Accommodations have been made for you and you Assistant Section Supervisor to attend.

For your information and compliance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/02/98</td>
<td>Captain L. L. Cartwright Acting Field Operations Section Supervisor</td>
<td>LTC M. A. Fedorko Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>ATTORNEY GENERAL’S LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DECEMBER 11, 1998
0900 - 1600

The following members of the Field Operations Section will attend the Attorney General’s Summit on December 11, 1998.

- Captain L. L. Cartwright
- Captain R. Franz
- Captain S. Maggio
- Captain J. Sarnecky
- Captain K. Wondrack
- Captain A. DeLucia
- Captain A. J. Kernagis
- Lieutenant C. Liber
- Lieutenant W. Devlin
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/02/98</td>
<td>Capt. F. P. McNulty</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td>LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting R&amp;I Section Supervisor</td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following R&I personnel will attend the Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit scheduled for Friday, December 11, 1998:

- Captain Frank P. McNulty, Acting Supervisor
- Captain Fred Madden, Assistant Supervisor
- Captain Rudolph Chesko, SBI Bureau Chief
- Captain Howard Butt, CJR Bureau Chief

Forwarded as directed.

[iocs\colonels\fedorko]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/2/98</td>
<td>Major R. Touw</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>Re: Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investigations Officer</td>
<td>M. Fedorko Deputy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Via</td>
<td>Superintendent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lt. Colonel</td>
<td>R. D. Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following members of the Investigations Section will be attending the Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit on Friday, December 11, 1998:

- Major R. Touw
- Captain R. Procaccino
- Captain F. Tavener
- Lt. E. Hess
- Lt. D. Leonardis

For your information.

FR: pah
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/2/98</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos Jr. (Supervisor)</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M. A. Fedorko</td>
<td>LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM/aja</td>
<td>Division Staff Section</td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>DECEMBER 11, 1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The below listed members of the Division Staff Section are scheduled to attend the Attorney General’s Law Enforcement Summit:

- Major Juan Mattos Jr. #3038 ✓
- Captain Ernest Volkmann #2547 ✓
- Captain Joseph Brennan #3082 ✓
- Captain Roy Van Tassel Jr. #2594 ✓
- Lieutenant Dennis Nagy #2499

Captain David Blaker #2599 - Vacation

For your information.
Pursuant to your Interoffice Communication (0097692A) dated November 30, 1998, the below listed personnel will attend the Law Enforcement Summit on December 11, 1998.

Major K. McPartland, Section Supervisor 
Captain E. Moore, Bureau Chief, CS/SHWB 
Captain W. Butz, Bureau Chief, Intelligence Bureau 
Lt. W. Madden, Acting Bureau Chief, Casino Gaming Bureau

Capt W. Hill will be on vacation and will not attend.

For your information.

JD/kf
attach
98-618
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/2/98</td>
<td>Major B.W. Roberson BR</td>
<td>LTC M.A. Fedorko Deputy Supt.</td>
<td><strong>Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWR:cd</td>
<td>Administration Section Supv.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following Division members from the Administration Section will attend the Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit on December 11, 1998.

- Major B. Roberson ✓
- Capt. R. Wilson /
- Capt. W. Gronikowski /
- Capt. J. Ruggeri ✓
- Capt. J. Schroth /
- Lt. P. Hinkle ✓
- Lt. R. Rich ✓

For your information.
The attached memorandum of appreciation from Thomas J. O'Reilly, Administrator, Office of the Attorney General, is self-explanatory. Please convey Colonel Williams' sincere appreciation to the above noted individuals for a job well done. Advise them a copy of this memorandum will be placed in their personnel file.

c: HRMB
PIU
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Date: 1/30/98
Subject: ENFORCEMENT OF APPEALS - TOLI

To: 
- Deputy Superintendent
- Executive Officer
- Section Supervisor
- Assistant Section Supervisor

- Administration
- Division Staff
- Emergency Management
- Field Operations
- Intelligence Services
- Investigations
- Records & Identification
- Special & Technical Services
- P.I.U.

Submit Draft Reply By:

Note Comments:

For Your Further Action

For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
MEMORANDUM

TO: Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
New Jersey Division of State Police

FROM: Thomas J. O'Reilly, Administrator
Office of the Attorney General

DATE: December 21, 1998

SUBJECT: New Jersey Law Enforcement Summit

As you are aware, a project of this nature requires a coordinated effort among the various divisions in the Department of Law and Public Safety. In addition to yourself and other members of your staff who participated on panels or attended the Summit, a number of personnel from the Division of State Police provided technical assistance to my staff, and contributed to the success of the Summit.

I would like to recognize their contribution and thank them for their support. SFC Walter R. Anderson, Jr. (recruitment display), SFC John C. Gamberg, SFC Corneilus Vander Ploeg and Sgt. John Flynn (in car video demonstration), Sgt. Patrick N. Walker and Trooper Christian A. Schulz (technical assistance).

Your Division's participation and assistance helped to make the Summit a success.

TJO/mbj
c: AG Verniero
FAAG Hespe
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Date: 12-30-88
Subject: MEMORANDUM OF APPRECIATION
RE: N.J. LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT

To: ☐ Deputy Superintendent
    ☐ Executive Officer FYI
    ☐ Section Supervisor
    ☐ Assistant Section Supervisor

☐ Administration
☐ HR/MB - REORGANIZING
☐ Division Staff
☐ EEO/AA + TAXI-BUD
☐ Emergency Management
☐ Field Operations
☐ Intelligence Services
☐ Investigations
☐ Records & Identification
☐ Special & Technical Services
☐ F.I.U. POSSIBLE

☐ Submit Draft Reply By:

☐ Note Comments:

☐ For Your Further Action
☐ Return By:

☐ For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
MEMORANDUM

TO: Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
cwil
New Jersey Division of State Police

FROM: Thomas J. O'Reilly, Administrator
Office of the Attorney General

DATE: December 21, 1998

SUBJECT: New Jersey Law Enforcement Summit

As you are aware, a project of this nature requires a coordinated effort among the various divisions in the Department of Law and Public Safety. In addition to yourself and other members of your staff who participated on panels or attended the Summit, a number of personnel from the Division of State Police provided technical assistance to my staff, and contributed to the success of the Summit.

I would like to recognize their contribution and thank them for their support. SFC Walter R. Anderson, Jr. (recruitment display), SFC John C. Gamberg, SFC Corneilus Vander Ploeg and Sgt. John Flynn (in car video demonstration), Sgt. Patrick N. Walker and Trooper Christian A. Schulz (technical assistance).

Your Division's participation and assistance helped to make the Summit a success.

TJO/mbj
c: AG Verniero
FAAG Hesper
To: Peter Verniero  
Attorney General

From: Roger Shatzkin  
Director of Public Affairs

Date: October 6, 1998

Subject: Background for Law Enforcement Summit — Summary of National Poll Findings on Race, Police and the Criminal Justice System

Robin Parker provided me with two national polls that touch in part on the issue of how law enforcement and the criminal justice system are viewed differently by minorities and whites. These polls provide a national context in which to place the Eagleton Poll that found significant differences between the ways whites and minorities viewed the State Police. Here are a few highlights:


   Regarding “Police Harassment,” the poll indicates that:

   - 81.4 percent of blacks and 82.8 percent of Hispanics agreed that police were much more likely to harass and discriminate against blacks than against whites;

   - 55.6 percent of whites — a majority — also believed that police were more likely to discriminate against blacks; and

   - 69.4 percent of overall respondents from the Northeast indicated they thought police discrimination existed.

2. **Black/White Relations in the United States, A Gallup Poll Social Audit** (June 1997).

   Regarding “Who is Perceived as Treated More Harshly by Criminal Justice System — Blacks or Whites.”
Of all respondents, the Gallup poll indicates:

- 47 percent of respondents in the general public said that blacks are treated more harshly by the criminal justice system than whites;
- 43 percent of respondents said blacks and whites are treated both the same; and
- 3 percent of the respondents said whites are treated more harshly than blacks.

Of all black respondents, the poll indicates:

- 72 percent of blacks said that blacks are treated more harshly than whites by the criminal justice system;
- 23 percent of blacks said they are treated both the same; and
- 2 percent of blacks said whites are treated more harshly.

For your information, I am attaching copies of the poll materials. They contain other information that may be of interest on the subject of race relations.

Attachments

c: FAAG D. Hespe
   Adm. O'Reilly
   Dir. Zoubek (w/out attachments)
   Dir. Torres (w/out attachments)
   Col. Williams (w/out attachments)
   Dir. Caccese (w/out attachments)
   AAG Ramey (w/out attachments)
   Dpty. Dir. Susswein (w/out attachments)
   DAG Parker (w/out attachments)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/3/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel M.A. Fedorko</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R.D. Dunlop</td>
<td>ATTORNEY GENERAL'S LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major V. Modarelli</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>The Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit will be conducted on December 11, 1998, at the Sheraton Meadowlands Hotel. Registration will begin at 8:30 a.m. Lunch will be provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S&amp;TS Section</td>
<td>Major K. McPartland</td>
<td>For your information and for that of the members attending under your command.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intelligence Services Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major T. Davies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major B. Roberson</td>
<td>Major J. Mattos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administration Section</td>
<td>Division Staff Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major R. Touw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor Investigations Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Captain F. McNulty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Supervisor R &amp; I Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acting Supervisor Field Operations Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM TO: Robert Caccese, Director
Operations Audit
Office of the Attorney General

SUBJECT: LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT

The below listed Division of State Police personnel will attend the Attorney General's Law Enforcement Summit scheduled for Friday, December 11, 1998:

Colonel Carl Williams
Lt. Colonel Michael Fedorko
Lt. Colonel Robert Dunlop
Major Thomas Davies
Major Juan Mattos Jr.
Major Kevin McPartland
Major Barry Roberson
Major Richard Touw
Captain Joseph Brennan
Captain Howard Butt
Captain Walter Butz
Captain Lee Cartwright
Captain Rudy Chesko
Captain Dennis DelFava
Captain John DeLucia
Captain Ronald Franz
Captain William Gronikowski
Captain Kevin Hayden
Captain Lorenzo Hillman
Captain James Kenna
Captain Albert Kernagis
Captain Carl Leisinger
Captain Eugene Lewis
Captain Frederick Madden
Captain Salvatore Maggio
Captain Frank McNulty
Captain Gilbert Miller
Captain Edward Moore
Captain Richard Procaccino
Captain Joseph Ruggeri

Captain Joseph Sarnecky
Captain John Schroth
Captain Leroy Smith
Captain Frederick Tavener
Captain Roy VanTassel
Captain Ernest Volkmann
Captain Robert Wilson
Captain Kenneth Wondrack
Lieutenant Daniel Cosgrove
Lieutenant William Devlin
Lieutenant Edgar Hess
Lieutenant Francis Hinkle
Lieutenant David Leonardis
Lieutenant Charles Liber
Lieutenant William Madden
Lieutenant James Mulholland
Lieutenant Dennis Nagy
Lieutenant Robert Rich
Lieutenant Eric Sorchik

[Signature]
Lt. Colonel Michael A. Fedorko
Deputy Superintendent
Attached is Governor Whitman's speech from our law enforcement summit of December 11, 1998. The highlighted part pertains to grants. Please have the Auditing & Grants Management Unit personnel look at the availability of this for State Police. It is my understanding that we should be eligible for grants of $100,000.

It is also my understanding that the mechanics of how this is going to work has not been determined as of yet, but if at this point we start asking questions, we should be prepared for when the mechanics are in place. We can get updated at our next financial meeting as to what has been revealed.

For your information and compliance.
December 21, 1998

Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
New Jersey State Police, Division Headquarters
River Road, P.O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Dear Colonel Williams:

On behalf of Governor Christine Todd Whitman and the six hundred participants at our recent Law Enforcement Summit, I would like to thank you for taking time from your busy schedule to participate and contribute to the Summit’s success.

Your contributions during the opening panel discussion helped to establish the dialogue between law enforcement and community members we had hoped to achieve when planning the Summit.

Your participation in the discussion groups and panels further helped in achieving our goals for the Summit. We will continue to call on you for your insights as we move forward in addressing the issues discussed at the Summit.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General

PV:ch

Carl - Thanks for your help. Regas
GOVERNOR WHITMAN'S REMARKS
LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT
EAST RUTHERFORD
FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1998

Thank you for that introduction. Good morning, everyone. I want to welcome you all to the second statewide law enforcement summit.

At today's summit we will hold panel discussions on youth violence and school safety. We will also examine the state's strategies to combat illegal drug use. The majority of this conference, however, will focus on an issue on the minds of both police officers and citizens - race relations in law enforcement.

To effectively address this issue, we must have an open dialogue between those in law enforcement and the communities they serve.

That is why I am so pleased to welcome not only New Jersey law enforcement officers, but also government and community leaders, civil rights activists, and educators to this conference.

Today, we will hear from individuals with experience in confronting these issues. They include Charles Ramsey, the Chief of Police in Washington, D.C., the Reverend Reginald Jackson, of the Black Ministers Council of New Jersey, Ralph Martin, the District Attorney in Boston, Professor George Kelling, of Rutgers University, and Colonel Carl Williams, the Superintendent of the New Jersey State Police.

I know that each of the speakers and panelists will add to the informed discussion of the issues. But I hope that each of you will actively participate in the workshops and share your own ideas and experiences.

We are all here today with the same goal: to raise sensitivity to and awareness of race relations in law enforcement and to take a proactive step to address this issue at the local level.

The minority community has legitimate concerns about the role race plays in law enforcement. One can debate whether that concern stems from reality or perception, or a combination of the two. However, as Governor I believe that even the perception of racism demands our immediate attention.

All citizens of New Jersey have the right to be free from discrimination. And they have the right to be treated fairly and with respect by all members of the criminal justice system.
It is unacceptable for even one person to have reason to question the motives of the agencies that are entrusted with administering justice.

Yet, recent surveys tell us that people of color do not express the same level of confidence in law enforcement as other citizens.

We are here today to change that. We are here to strengthen the partnership between law enforcement and the minority community. And we all know the best way to achieve this is through shared responsibility. That means encouraging the community to be part of the solution, as we make certain law enforcement is not part of the problem.

That is why I am happy to announce that the Department of Law and Public Safety will award grants to establish up to ten pilot programs around the state to support the concept of community justice.

The programs will focus on strengthening collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve and improving the quality of life in New Jersey's neighborhoods.

The grants of up to $100,000 each will be made available to county prosecutors and State Police who will work with community groups, schools, local law enforcement agencies, and the Human Relations Commission to design programs that will improve relations.

These grants are an important step in bringing police chiefs and prosecutors together with mayors, schools, community leaders, and clergy. The programs will be a catalyst for change by helping break down the barriers between citizens and law enforcement agencies.

As we award these grants, there is, of course, another important way we can improve race relations: by bringing more members of minority communities into law enforcement careers.

That means making a commitment to increasing minority representation in our police force. Without diversity it becomes very difficult for any law enforcement community to maintain a level of credibility, respect, and confidence among those they serve.

I am happy to announce that we are launching a comprehensive long-range plan for State Police recruitment, with specific goals for increasing female and minority representation.

As part of this effort, the Division of State Police will expand its Recruitment Bureau to a full-time staff of recruiters who will actively seek candidates.
It is unacceptable for even one person to have reason to question the motives of the agencies that are entrusted with administering justice.

Yet, recent surveys tell us that people of color do not express the same level of confidence in law enforcement as other citizens.

We are here today to change that. We are here to strengthen the partnership between law enforcement and the minority community. And we all know the best way to achieve this is through shared responsibility. That means encouraging the community to be part of the solution, as we make certain law enforcement is not part of the problem.

That is why I am happy to announce that the Department of Law and Public Safety will award grants to establish up to ten pilot programs around the state to support the concept of community justice.

The programs will focus on strengthening collaboration between law enforcement and the communities they serve and improving the quality of life in New Jersey’s neighborhoods.

The grants of up to $100,000 each will be made available to county prosecutors and State Police who will work with community groups, schools, local law enforcement agencies, and the Human Relations Commission to design programs that will improve relations.

These grants are an important step in bringing police chiefs and prosecutors together with mayors, schools, community leaders, and clergy. The programs will be a catalyst for change by helping break down the barriers between citizens and law enforcement agencies.

As we award these grants, there is, of course, another important way we can improve race relations: by bringing more members of minority communities into law enforcement careers.

That means making a commitment to increasing minority representation in our police force. Without diversity it becomes very difficult for any law enforcement community to maintain a level of credibility, respect, and confidence among those they serve.

I am happy to announce that we are launching a comprehensive long-range plan for State Police recruitment, with specific goals for increasing female and minority representation.

As part of this effort, the Division of State Police will expand its Recruitment Bureau to a full-time staff of recruiters who will actively seek candidates.
Again, as I said earlier, this has to be a partnership. To ensure diversity, we must work together. I hope all of you here today—especially community leaders, civil rights advocates, and educators—will assist in these recruitment efforts by making recommendations for potential State Police recruits in your communities.

Let me add that as another step to increase diversity, we intend to make the recruitment process proceed on a more predictable cycle. As you may have read today, we will conduct smaller and more frequent academy classes. In fact, we are committing to accepting two classes of 50 new recruits every year. This will assist our efforts to attract the most qualified candidates.

Increased diversity within the police force will mean a law enforcement community more representative of the people it serves. This is not simply a matter of equity, it is a matter of justice.

But encouraging community involvement and increasing diversity in the ranks is not enough. We must do more to build the public trust in law enforcement procedures.

While I recognize that this will take time to develop, we are already taking steps to open the process.

For instance, earlier this week I fulfilled my commitment to provide State Police patrol cars on the Turnpike with video monitoring equipment.

Already, six out of every ten Turnpike patrol cars have been fitted with video cameras. The remainder will be equipped as the oldest patrol cars are replaced.

The cameras will be used during all traffic and criminal enforcement stops of motor vehicles, police pursuits, fatal motor vehicle accidents, and major crime scenes, and other situations in which the trooper determines that the incident should be recorded.

The in-car cameras will provide straightforward evidence of the law enforcement process—protecting both officers and the public. The cameras will increase accountability as well as help resolve situations of alleged misconduct. I believe this technology will benefit both our police and the public trust.

I keep talking about the fight against crime as a partnership. I believe it has to be.

While law enforcement agencies play a significant role in preserving public safety, they cannot do this alone. They must act in conjunction with the law-abiding residents of the communities they serve.

Law enforcement isn’t something that that is done for a community, it is something done hand-in-hand with a community. Policing is most effective when officers and the citizens collaborate.
That means taking a community-based approach to improving race relations. And that is exactly what we hope to encourage here today.

We can create real change if we take the ideas from today's discussion and work together to encourage community involvement in the law enforcement process.

I want to thank you all for your participation in this law enforcement summit, and for giving thoughtful attention to these important issues. Working together, we will improve our ability to protect and serve all of the people of New Jersey.

Thank you.
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Roger Shatzkin
   Director Public Affairs

FROM: Robert J. Caccese, Director
   Operations Audit Unit

DATE: November 24, 1998

SUBJECT: Law Enforcement Summit

Per our discussion attached is the list of individuals invited to the summit as of the close of business yesterday. There are a small number of additional individuals being added regularly. Also, I have included the final agenda for the summit.

If you require additional information please call me.
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Attachment
Cc: David C. Hespe, First Assistant Attorney General
    Thomas J. O'Reilly, Administrator
    Paul H. Zoubek, Director
    Paul Donnelly, Executive Director
    Col. Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
8:30 am - 9:15 am Registration/Continental Breakfast

9:15 am - 9:20 am WELCOME

Attorney General Peter Verniero

9:20 am - 9:40 am OPENING REMARKS

Governor Christine Todd Whitman

9:40 am - 10:15 am KEYNOTE ADDRESS

Charles Ramsey, Chief of Police, Metropolitan Police Department, Washington, D.C.

10:15 am - 11:25 am PANEL DISCUSSION ON COMMUNITY LAW ENFORCEMENT STRATEGIES AND DIVERSITY IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

MODERATOR: Kenneth Walker, Media Consultant, former ABC News Correspondent

PANELISTS: Craig B. Fraser, Ph.D. Police Executive Research Forum, Washington D.C.

Jan Pestka, Assistant Deputy Superintendent, Chicago Police Dept.

Reverend Reginald Jackson, Black Ministers Council of New Jersey

Janice Mitchell Mintz, Commissioner, NJ Department of Personnel

Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent, New Jersey State Police

Joseph Santiago, Director, Newark Police Department

11:25 am - 12:30 pm SIX CONCURRENT SESSIONS - DISCUSSION GROUPS

Race Relations and Law Enforcement, and Community-Based Approaches.

12:30 pm - 1:30 pm LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

REMARKS: Attorney General Peter Verniero

ADDRESS: Ralph C. Martin, District Attorney of Suffolk County, Boston, Mass. Community-based strategies, race relations and law enforcement.

1:30 pm - 1:45 pm Break

1:45 pm - 2:35 pm FOUR INTERACTIVE CONCURRENT WORKSHOPS

On causation issues and programs that work in controlling youth violence, Restorative Justice, Aftercare/Paterson Village Initiative and Youth Violence and Schools.

2:35 pm - 2:50 pm Break

2:50 pm - 3:50 pm PANEL DISCUSSION

The battle against drugs, public health dimensions, profiteering and How to ensure equality/public confidence in the war on drugs.

3:50 pm - 4:00 pm CLOSING REMARKS

George Kelling, Ph.D., Professor, Rutgers University

Attorney General Peter Verniero
Organizations

Law Enforcement Summit Histories
Northern New Jersey Conference of the Methodist Church

NAACP

Passaic Police
Hudson County
Newark City
Black Ministers Council of NJ

NAACP

NJ General Assembly
Essex County Prosecutor's Office
Albany County Office of Education
Hudson County Prosecutor's Office
Gloucester City Police

Association of Black Women Lawyers of New Jersey

Urban Coalition Council
Ottawa County Youth Services

NAACP/Atlantic County

Commander, Ocean County Narcotics Task Force

NAACP/Atlantic County

Public Authority Transit Police

Commander, Ocean County Narcotics Task Force

NAACP

Black Ministers Council of New Jersey

NAACP-Slate Conference of Branches

New Jersey State NAACP

Commander, Hudson County Narcotics Task Force

Commander, Herren County Narcotics Task Force

Law Enforcement Summit Invitations

Organization

1/24/98 1:56 PM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lund, Robert H., Sheriff</td>
<td>Somerset County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lundin, Clifford R., Mayor</td>
<td>Hopatcong Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutz, Arlene, Deputy Attorney General</td>
<td>Department of Law &amp; Public Safety, Div. Of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch, Michael, Chief</td>
<td>Lakewood Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macaulay, James, Chief</td>
<td>Phillipsburg Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machalek, Paul, Chief</td>
<td>IRS/CID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackiewicz, Kathleen,</td>
<td>Division of Youth &amp; Family Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maclean, Norbert, Chief</td>
<td>Lakehurst Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madonna, Michael, President</td>
<td>State PBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maher, John D., Chief</td>
<td>Lower Township Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mai, Sharon, Chairperson</td>
<td>NJ Prosecutors Victim-Witness Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majcher, Claude M., Chief</td>
<td>Paramus Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makowski, Elaine,</td>
<td>Cape May County Youth Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldonado, Guillermo, Executive Director</td>
<td>Puerto Rican Action Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manahan, Thomas V., Prosecutor</td>
<td>Union County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manning, James W., Mayor</td>
<td>Neptune Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannix, Richard, Chief</td>
<td>Union County Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manns, William D., Esq.</td>
<td>Attorney in Private Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchand, Arthur J., Prosecutor</td>
<td>Cumberland County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchand, Phyllis L., Mayor</td>
<td>Princeton Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marone, Joanne,</td>
<td>Salem County Interagency Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marrone, Fred W., Superintendent</td>
<td>Port Authority of NY &amp; NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez, Eddie, President</td>
<td>Latino American Law Enforcement Officers (LALEO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Corona, Dolores, Executive Director</td>
<td>Hispanic Association of Ocean County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maski, David, UCC Representative</td>
<td>Urban Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason-Reese, Charlene W., Director</td>
<td>City of Elizabeth, Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastronardy, Michael G., Chief</td>
<td>Dover Township Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May, Harold G., Chief</td>
<td>Salem Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May, Robert, President</td>
<td>Asian Law Enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazzeo, John, Lieutenant</td>
<td>Commander, Cumberland County Narcotics Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCargo, William, Mr.</td>
<td>New Jersey State Parole Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCormack, Robert J., Chair</td>
<td>The College of New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCormack, Tina, Coordinator</td>
<td>Middlesex County Youth Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCoy, Gloria, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGettigan, James, Sheriff</td>
<td>Atlantic County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGettigan, Steven V., Mr.</td>
<td>New Jersey School Boards Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Name
McGhee, Susie M., President
McGinnis, Eileen, Chief, Police & Planning
McKenna, Edward J., Mayor
McKinney, Calvin, Reverend
McLaughlin, Michael W., Sheriff
McWilliams, Albert T., Mayor
Meeker, Michael J., Chief
Megary, William C., SAIC
Mejia, Diana, Community Builder Fellow
Melick, George B., Director
Merrit, Calvin, President
Messina, Carmen, Chief
Meyer, J. David, First AP
Michaud, Thomas B., Chief
Milan, Milton, Mayor
Milan-Tyner, Nicole, Coordinator
Miliano, John, Chief
Millard, E. David, Prosecutor
Miller, Charles M., Commander
Miller, Ruth, Chairperson
Mirra, Catherine M., Coordinator
Moffett, William A., Chief
Montross, Donald G., Chief
Moody, Alonzo, Director
Mooney, Martin C., Mr.
Moore, Stephen D., Prosecutor
Morris, Carole Knopp, Superintendent
Morris, E. Patrick, Community Builder
Mosca, Carmen, Assistant
Motley, Howard, Community Builder
Muller, H. Philip, Chief
Murphy, James H., Executive Director
Nabors, Rev. Michael, President
Napoleano, Louis, Director
Nash, Jeffrey L., Director
Naughton, Tom, UCC Representative

Organization
NAACP
Office of the Governor
Red Bank Borough
Black Ministers Council of New Jersey
Camden County Sheriff's Office
Plainfield City
Newton Police
FBI Newark Office
HUD - New Jersey
Hunterdon County Board of Chosen Freeholders
NAACP/Passaic County
Matawan Boro. Police
Cape May County Prosecutor's Office
Princeton Borough Police Department
Camden City
Atlantic County Division of Public Health
Linden Police
Ocean County Prosecutor's Office
Commander, Salem County Narcotics Task Force
Human Relations Coalition of Bergen County
Bergen County Youth Services
Cherry Hill Police
Rariton Twp.
Village Initiative Executive Board
Burlington County Prosecutor's Office
Cape May County Prosecutor's Office
New Jersey Association of School Administrators
HUD - New Jersey
Office of Hispanic Affairs, City of Newark
HUD - New Jersey
Sea Isle City Police
NJ Association of School Administrators
NAACP
Long Branch City Police
Camden County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Urban Coordinating Council
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neary, William P., Mayor</td>
<td>East Brunswick Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson, Rev. Lawton, Chairperson</td>
<td>Atlantic County Human Relations Advisory Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesmith, Roosevelt, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ney, Martin, County Superintendent</td>
<td>Atlantic County Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niles, Charles J., Chief</td>
<td>Somerville Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noll, Mark J., Chief</td>
<td>Roxbury Township Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oates, Caleb, Reverend</td>
<td>Black Ministers Council of New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Brien, Patrick K., Director</td>
<td>New Jersey Regional Community Policing Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocasio, Ramon, Executive Director</td>
<td>La Casa de Don Pedro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Connor, Steven C., Chief</td>
<td>Stone Harbor Boro.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oey, S. Joseph, Mr.</td>
<td>Asian American Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Reilly, Thomas C., Chief</td>
<td>Newark Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxley, Joseph W., Sheriff</td>
<td>Monmouth County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page, John E., President</td>
<td>Garden State Bar Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paglione, Al, Lieutenant</td>
<td>Commander, Mercer County Narcotics Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paladino, Francis A., First AP</td>
<td>Salem County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaia, Honorable Joseph A., Senate President Pro Tem</td>
<td>NJ State Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palamarra, Steven, Chief</td>
<td>Irvington Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer, Douglas, Mayor</td>
<td>Trenton City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer, Peter S., Director</td>
<td>Somerset County Board of Chosen Freeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palumbo, Jerry, Chief</td>
<td>Old Bridge Township Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paparozzii, Mario A., Assistant Professor</td>
<td>The College of New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker, Larry D., Chief</td>
<td>Stafford Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker, Sunday,</td>
<td>City of Trenton, Division of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parrott, Joan, Community Builder</td>
<td>HUD - New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlak, Donald R., Chief</td>
<td>Wayne Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawling, Patti J., Vice President</td>
<td>NJ School Boards Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawlowski, Frank, Chief</td>
<td>Bayonne Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payne, Thoma President</td>
<td>NAACP/Camden County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeler, James, Chairperson</td>
<td>Camden County Human Relations Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelliccio, Joseph M., Chief</td>
<td>West New York Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelzer, Laura P., Senior Community Builder</td>
<td>HUD - New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn, Brian, Director</td>
<td>Broadway Oceanfront Neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perez, Abad,</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Society/Hudson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perez, Vincent A.,</td>
<td>Black and Latino Coalition, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perna, Joseph, President</td>
<td>NJ Police Academy Directors Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry, Brenda, UCC Representative</td>
<td>Urban Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters, Brian, UCC Representative</td>
<td>Urban Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petix, Robert, Principal</td>
<td>NJ Principals &amp; Supervisors Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrin, Edward K., Chief</td>
<td>Atlantic County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pettyman, John H., Chief</td>
<td>Lawrence Township Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce, Todd, Lieutenant</td>
<td>Commander, Cape May County Narcotics Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pintella, Paul P., President</td>
<td>Urban League of Metropolitan Trenton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plousis, James T., Sheriff</td>
<td>Cape May County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumeri, Samuel J., Sheriff</td>
<td>Mercer County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polhemus, William L., Sheriff</td>
<td>Ocean County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polifrone, Paul A., Lieutenant</td>
<td>Commander, Bergen County Narcotics Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk, Benn, Chief</td>
<td>Atlantic City Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollinger, John F., Chief</td>
<td>Middletown Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollio, Thomas, Lieutenant</td>
<td>Commander, Morris County Narcotics Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollos, H. James, Mayor</td>
<td>Highland Park Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porras, Fernando, Director</td>
<td>Hispanic Association of Ocean County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potena, Dominick A., President</td>
<td>NJ Association of School Administrators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunetti, Robert D., County Executive</td>
<td>Mercer County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn, James F., Mayor</td>
<td>Millville City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn, Marie, First Vice President</td>
<td>New Jersey Parent Teacher Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinn, Mark, Executive Director</td>
<td>First Baptist Community Development Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabadeau, Mary F., Chief</td>
<td>New Jersey Transit Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafferty, John K., Mayor</td>
<td>Hamilton Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsey, Julius D., President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reece, Mary M., Ed.D.</td>
<td>NJ Principals &amp; Supervisors Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed, Marvin R., Mayor</td>
<td>Princeton Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid, James (JR), Director</td>
<td>Save Our Waterfront, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reilly, Charles V., President</td>
<td>NJ School Boards Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reiter, Mary Ann, Public Health Consultant</td>
<td>Division of Youth &amp; Family Health, Dept. of Health &amp; Senior Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodes, Marianne, Manager, Government Relations</td>
<td>NJ State Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich, Professor Jayne, Chairperson</td>
<td>Cape May County Human Relations Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richie, Dean, UCC Representative</td>
<td>Urban Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley, Michael E., Acting First AP</td>
<td>Burlington County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivera, Anna, Vice-Chairperson</td>
<td>Middlesex County Human Relations Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivera, Patricia H., Associate Community Builder</td>
<td>HUD - New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson, Dr. Cleophus, President</td>
<td>NAACP/Greater Delaware Valley Branch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robinson, Jayne</td>
<td>Division of Mental Health, County of Mercer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson, Robert, President</td>
<td>NAACP/Bergen County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochford, Edward V., Sheriff</td>
<td>Morris County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez, Jose L., Captain</td>
<td>Essex County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez, Raymond, Chairperson</td>
<td>Monmouth County Human Relations Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogers, Steven L. Sgt.</td>
<td>Nutley Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rome, Virgil R., Lieutenant</td>
<td>Commander, Sussex County Narcotics Task Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose, Suzanne, Executive Director</td>
<td>New Jersey Health Officers Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross, William H., Mayor</td>
<td>Margate City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowe, Rev. Dr. Albert P., Pastor</td>
<td>Village Initiative Executive Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rowen, Barbara L., Mayor</td>
<td>Hillside Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubin, Stephen B., Prosecutor</td>
<td>Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubino, Thomas J., Dr.</td>
<td>Mercer County Superintendent of Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rush, Fred, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russo, Honorable David, Assemblyman, Chair Judiciary Committee</td>
<td>NJ General Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russo, Thomas, J., Chief</td>
<td>Montclair Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherford, Rev. William, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rybicki, James E., Chief of County Detectives</td>
<td>Cape May County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabine, Petero, Dean</td>
<td>Black Ministers Council of New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacco, Nicholas, Mayor</td>
<td>North Bergen Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salahuddin, Iman Ameer, Leader</td>
<td>Village Initiative Executive Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago, Edward G., Chief</td>
<td>Painfield City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago, Joseph, Director</td>
<td>Newark Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanyika, Dadisi, Director</td>
<td>Political Action NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saravalli, Susan K., Acting Director</td>
<td>Div. of Student Services, NJ Dept. of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarillo, Robert J., Director</td>
<td>Essex County Bureau of Narcotics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarpelli, Joseph C., Mayor</td>
<td>Brick Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaffer, Gerald J., Chief</td>
<td>Ventnor City Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheindlin, Lewis, Deputy Commissioner</td>
<td>Department of Personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schillari, Frank, Undersheriff</td>
<td>Hudson County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schindwein, JoAnn, Coordinator</td>
<td>Burlington County Youth Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt, William H., Prosecutor</td>
<td>Bergen County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schriver, Willard (Bill), Captain</td>
<td>Gloucester Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schuber, William, County Executive</td>
<td>Bergen County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert, JoAnne B., Mayor</td>
<td>South Bound Brook Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schundler, Bret, Mayor</td>
<td>Jersey City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwarz, John L., Deputy Director</td>
<td>US Department of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott, Grayce, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scutti, Anthony J., Chief</td>
<td>Westfield Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sellers-Quattlebaum, Valita,</td>
<td>Camden Department of Health Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semler, Margie, Mayor</td>
<td>Passaic City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senneca, Anthony, SAIC</td>
<td>DEA/NJ Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shafer, Eileen F., Supervisor</td>
<td>Paterson Public Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheehan, James J., Chairman</td>
<td>Bergen County Board of Chosen Freeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherry, John, Assistant Commissioner</td>
<td>Department of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi, Thomas M., President</td>
<td>Asian Pacific American Lawyers Association of NJ, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shields, David P., Mayor</td>
<td>West Deptford Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoemaker, Kurt M., Chief</td>
<td>Pennsville Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shore, Muriel M., Mayor</td>
<td>Fairfield Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shotmeyer, Henry J., Mayor</td>
<td>Wyckoff Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sico, Donald, Executive Director, Majority Office</td>
<td>NJ General Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simmons, Willie A., Reverend</td>
<td>United Community Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singleton, Dr. Russell, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sisco, Michael, Captain</td>
<td>Bloomfield Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skelly, Shawn T., UCC Representative</td>
<td>Urban Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Reno, President</td>
<td>NAACP/Morris County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Richard H., SAIC</td>
<td>US Customs/Philadelphia Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snyder, Chris A., Mr.</td>
<td>Martin Luther King Academy for Youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaries, DeForest &quot;Buster&quot;, Reverend</td>
<td>First Baptist Church of Lincoln Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon, Lee A., Prosecutor</td>
<td>Camden County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somerville, Gerlinda Gallegos, Assistant Secretary</td>
<td>New Jersey Public Health Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoda, Koji, Mr.</td>
<td>Japanese American Society of New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soucy, Christine, Community Builder</td>
<td>HUD - New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sowney, Wilbert D., Chief</td>
<td>Washington Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spadaro, George A., Mayor</td>
<td>Ecison Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spagnola, Lawrence, Chief</td>
<td>Pettersson Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparks, C. David, Director</td>
<td>Salem County Board of Chosen Freeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spears, Richard, President</td>
<td>100 Black Men of New Jersey, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spencer, Carol A., Mayor</td>
<td>Denville Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spicuzzo, Joseph C., Sheriff</td>
<td>Middlesex County Sheriff's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spina, Samuel A., Mayor</td>
<td>West Orange Township</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spriggs, Pauline, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squires, Richard E., County Executive</td>
<td>Atlantic County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stein, Charles, Assistant Exec. Director</td>
<td>NJ Principals &amp; Supervisors Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephens, Robert, UCC Representative</td>
<td>Department of Corrections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiles, Herbert D., Mayor</td>
<td>Elmer Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stollsteimer, John, Chief</td>
<td>Gloucester Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stout, Bruce, Deputy Chief, Police &amp; Planning</td>
<td>Office of the Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stouthamer, Donald C., Detective Captain</td>
<td>Wayne Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strickland, Lynne,</td>
<td>Garden State Coalition of Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stryker, Douglas G., Chief</td>
<td>Clinton Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suarez, John</td>
<td>Office of the Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, Daniel P., Chairman</td>
<td>Union County Board of Chosen Freeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susalis, Nicholas, Jr., Chief of Detectives</td>
<td>Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swan, Tracy L., Co-Director</td>
<td>Vineland Police Athletic League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanston, Wayne, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweeney, Stephen M., Director</td>
<td>Gloucester County Board of Chosen Freeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Szymbanski, Dolores M., Assistant Principal</td>
<td>NJ Principals &amp; Supervisors Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talty, Richard B., Acting Assistant Director</td>
<td>Village Initiative Executive Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taranto, Louis H., Chief</td>
<td>Long Beach Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tayari, Kabili,</td>
<td>Parents Council of New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, Edward,</td>
<td>Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, Ernestine, President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, Richard L., Chief</td>
<td>Hamilton Twp. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teal, Ella S., President</td>
<td>Urban League of Union County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teare, Siobhan A., Deputy First AP</td>
<td>Essex County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terhune, Jack, Commissioner</td>
<td>Department of Corrections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tessaro, Thomas R., Chief</td>
<td>Fort Lee Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetelman, Ed, UCC Representative</td>
<td>Urban Coordinating Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theemling, Fred J., Jr., Prosecutor</td>
<td>Hudson County Prosecutor's Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornton, Lisa P., President</td>
<td>NAACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thwaites, Robert, Chairperson</td>
<td>Burlington County Human Relations Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thynne, William J., Chief</td>
<td>Jersey City Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilton, Edward, Chief</td>
<td>Rahway Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirpok, Peter J., Chief</td>
<td>Flemington Borough Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toomer, William, Lt.</td>
<td>Matawan Boro. Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torpey, Michael, Chief of Staff</td>
<td>Office of the Governor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torres, Jose, President</td>
<td>Hispanic Police Officer Society of Camden</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

TO: See Distribution
FROM: Robert J. Caccese, Director
       Operations Audit Unit
DATE: October 20, 1998
SUBJECT: Invite List for the Law Enforcement Summit

As we discussed at yesterday's planning meeting for the law enforcement summit, attached please find the draft list of invites. As you know, the final number of attendees cannot exceed 600.

This list is an attempt to identify all parties who may have an interest in participating in the summit. I have made some initial decisions regarding the lists that were provided where some of the organizations or individuals would not be closely related to the broad topic areas being presented. We will be inviting a representative number of local police officials (Chiefs of Police, Public Safety Directors) and local government officials (Mayors, Freeholder Director, County Executives). I have not provided the police or government official lists as these lists will be adjusted to result in the final total to 600 after the other invitees' have been finalized.

I would ask that you get back to me by close of business on Wednesday, October 21, 1998 with any additions to the attached lists. To the extent possible, please provide me with the full name, address, and telephone number, and organization affiliation for any additions.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (609)292-4478.

djs
Distribution List:

David C. Hespe
Wayne S. Fisher
Ronald Susswein
Robin Parker
Rolando Torres
Alfred E. Ramey

Col. Carl A. Williams
Brian Litten
Paul H. Zoubek
Roger Shatzkin
## LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT ATTENDEE LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP/ASSOCIATION</th>
<th>NUMBER INVITED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bar</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feds</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Relations</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Association</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislators</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority Law Enforcement</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAACP</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey BIC</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Minority Organizations</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecutors (3 per office)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriffs</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal: 320

### LIST OF POTENTIAL INVITEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police Chiefs</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayors</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Executives/Freeholders</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor's Office/Cabinet/Directors</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAG/DCJ/NJSP Staff</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers/Panelists</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal: 335

Total Anticipated Invitees: 655

Based on this total we can consider additional representation from larger organizations, prosecutors, feds, civic and minority organizations, or others.
BAR ASSOCIATIONS OF NEW JERSEY

Asian Pacific American Lawyers Association of NJ, Inc.
President
Thomas M. Shi

Asian American Bar Association of the Delaware Valley
President
Julie Cheng

Association of Black Women Lawyers of New Jersey
President
Crystal Holmes-Bowie

Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers of New Jersey
President
Alan L. Zegas

Garden State Bar Association
President
John E. Page

Hispanic Bar Association of New Jersey, Inc.
President
Ramon De La Cruz
COUNTY EXECUTIVES

Atlantic County Executive
County Executive
Richard E. Squires

Bergen County Executive
County Executive
William Schuber

Essex County Executive
County Executive
James W. Treffinger

Hudson County Executive
County Executive
Robert C. Janiszewski

Mercer County Executive
County Executive
Robert D. Prunetti
FREEHOLDERS

Atlantic County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Chairman
Dennis Levinson

Bergen County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Chairman
James J. Sheehan

Burlington County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Theresa D. Brown

Camden County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Jeffrey L. Nash

Cape May County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Daniel Beyel

Cumberland County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Douglas H. Fisher

Essex County Board of Chosen Freeholders
President
Joseph N. DiVincenzo

Gloucester County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Stephen M. Sweeney

Hudson County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Chairperson
Neftali Cruz

Hunterdon County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
George B. Melick

Mercer County Board of Chosen Freeholders
President
Ann M. Cannon

Middlesex County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
David B. Crabel

Monmouth County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Harry Larrison

Morris County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Frank J. Druetzler

Ocean County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
James F. Lacey

Passaic County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Peter C. Eagler

Salem County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
C. David Sparks

Somerset County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Peter S. Palmer

Sussex County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Richard A. Durina

Union County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Chairman
Daniel P. Sullivan

Warren County Board of Chosen Freeholders
Director
Susan A. Dickey
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COUNTY PROSECUTORS

Atlantic County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Jeffrey S. Blitz

Bergen County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
William H. Schmidt

Burlington County Prosecutor's Office
Acting Prosecutor
Debra L. Stone

Camden County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Lee A. Solomon

Cape May County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Stephen D. Moore

Cumberland County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Arthur J. Marchand

Essex County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Patricia A. Hurt

Gloucester County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Andrew N. Yurick

Hudson County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Fred J. Theemling

Hunterdon County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Stephen B. Rubin

Mercer County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Daniel G. Giaquinto

Middlesex County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Glenn Berman

Monmouth County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
John Kaye

Morris County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
John B. Dangler

Ocean County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
E. David Millard

Passaic County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Ronald S. Fava

Salem County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
John E. Bergh

Somerset County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Wayne J. Forrest

Sussex County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Dolores M. Blackburn

Union County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
Thomas V. Manahan

Warren County Prosecutor's Office
Prosecutor
John G. Laky
COUNTY SHERIFFS

Atlantic County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
James M. Catigan

Bergen County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Gary L. Daniels

Burlington County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Michael W. McLaughlin

Cape May County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
James T. Plousis

Cumberland County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
James A. Forcinito

Essex County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Armando B. Fontoura

Gloucester County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Charles W. Gill

Hudson County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Joseph T. Cassidy

Hunterdon County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
William D. Doyle

Mercer County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Samuel J. Plumeri

Middlesex County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Joseph C. Spicuzzo

Monmouth County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Joseph W. Oxley

Morris County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Edward V. Rochford

Ocean County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
William L. Polhemus

Passaic County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Edwin J. Englehardt

Salem County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
John B. Cooksey

Somerset County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Robert H. Lund

Sussex County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Robert E. Untig

Union County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Ralph G. Froehlich

Warren County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff
Donald R. Kelly
EDUCATION ASSOCIATIONS

NJ Principals & Supervisors Association
President
John Foulks

NJ Principals & Supervisors Association
Executive Director
Joanne Bartoletti

NJ School Boards Association
Executive Director
Robert E. Booçe

NJ School Boards Association
President
Charles V. Reilly

Garden State Coalition of Schools
Lynne Strickland

NJ Association of School Administrators
President
Dominick A. Potena

NJ Association of School Administrators
Executive Director
James H. Murphy

NJ Association of School Business Officials
President
James Strimple, Jr.

NJ Association of School Business Officials
Executive Director
Edward Meglis, Jr.

NJ Education Association
President
Michael Johnson

NJ Education Association
Executive Director
Robert Bonazzi

NJ State Department of Education
Manager, Governmental Relations
Marianne Rhodes

NJ State Department of Education
Director, Governmental Relations
Edwin Carman

NJ State Department of Education
Commissioner
Leo Klagholz

NJ State Department of Education
Assistant Commissioner
John Sherry

NJ State Department of Education
Assistant Commissioner
Douglas B. Groff

NJ State Department of Education
Assistant Commissioner
Barbara Anderson

NJPTA
President
Syvonne Forkin

Atlantic County Office
County Superintendent
Martin Ney
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT

FBI Newark Office
SAIC
William C. Megary

US Customs/NJ Division
SAIC
Robert Cozzolina

IRS/CID
Chief
Paul Machalek

US Customs/Philadelphia Division
SAIC
Richard H. Smith

US Secret Service
SAIC
Jan Gilhooly,

FBI Philadelphia Office
SAIC
Robert Conforti

Amtrak Police
Inspector

Port Authority of NY & NJ
Superintendent
Fred W. Marrone

ATF
SAIC
Peter L. Gagliarti

ATF Philadelphia Office
SAIC
Lawrence Duchnowski

DEA/Philadelphia Division
SAIC
Lawrence McElynn

US Attorney's Office
US Attorney
Faith S. Hochberg
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HISPANIC ORGANIZATIONS

Aspira Incorporated of New Jersey
Executive Director
William Colon

La Casa de Don Pedro
Executive Director
Ramon Ocasio

Puerto Rican Action Board
Executive Director
Guillermo Maldonado

Government of Puerto Rico
Regional Director
Leida Arce

New Jersey Puerto Rican Congress
Executive Director
Lydia Valencia

Mercer County Hispanic Association
Executive Director
Frances Blanco

National Hispanic Bar Association, NJ
Chapter
Esquire
Marco Gonzalez

Hispanic Affairs & Resource Center of
Monmouth County, Inc.
Director
Iris Gonzalez

Hispanic Association of Ocean County
Executive Director
Dolores Martinez-Corona

Hispanic Association of Ocean County
Director
Fernando Porras

Hispanic Institute for Research &
Development of Bergen
Director
Emilio Fandino

Hispanic Community Affair
Committee

Hispanic Law Enforcement Society

Hispanic Youth Organization

Office of Hispanic Affairs
Assistant
Carmen Mosca

Puerto Rican Civic Association

Law Enforcement Society/Hudson
Abad Perez

Cuban American National Foundation

Puerto Rican Congress of New Jersey

Hispanic American Alliance
HUMAN RELATIONS ASSOCIATIONS

Atlantic County Human Relations Advisory Council
Karen Levin

Burlington County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
Robert Thwaites

Camden County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
Larry James

Cumberland County Community Relations Commission
Chairperson
Sam Clark

Gloucester County Human Relations Commission
Grace Lynn Johnson

Hudson County Commission on Human Relations
President
John Johnson

Human Relations Coalition of Bergen County
President
Ruth Miller

Mercer County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
Phyliss Deal

Middlesex County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
John Campbell

Morris County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
Meyer Rosenthal

Ocean County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
Saleem Abdullah

Passaic County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
Joan Waks

Salem County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
Ronald Epstein

Sussex County Human Relations Commission
Assistant Prosecutor
Bruce LaCarruba

Union County Human Relations Commission
Michael LaPolla

Warren County Human Relations Commission
Chairperson
James Janci
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSOCIATIONS

New Jersey Narcotic Enforcement Officers Association
*Need To Identify Current President*

New Jersey Police Academy Directors Association
Director
Joseph Perna

County Sex Crimes & Child Abuse Investigators Assoc.
President
Joseph W. Krisza

NJ Prosecutors Victim-Witness Association
Chairperson
Sharon Mai

NJ Police Academy Directors Association
President
Joseph Perna

NJ State Association of Chiefs of Police
Executive Director
Lorraine Kulick

NJ Juvenile Officers Association
President
Sgt. Joseph Britton

NJ Bias Crime Officers Association
President
Thomas Culp

*21 Presidents County Police Chiefs*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>Chief Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATLANTIC</td>
<td>Chief Guy P. Wilkins</td>
<td>1417 W. Brigantine Avenue, Brigantine, New Jersey 08203</td>
<td>609/266-7414</td>
<td>609/266-1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERGEN</td>
<td>Chief Robert Herndon</td>
<td>290 Franklin Turnpike, Allendale, New Jersey 07401-2093</td>
<td>201/818-4398</td>
<td>201/872-3555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURLINGTON</td>
<td>Chief Paul Tuliano, Jr.</td>
<td>500 Pemberton-Browns Mills Road, Pemberton, New Jersey 08068-1539</td>
<td>609/894-7938</td>
<td>609/894-0302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMDEN</td>
<td>Chief Theodore J. Howarth, Jr.</td>
<td>313 Monmouth Street, Gloucester City, NJ 08030</td>
<td>609/456-0408</td>
<td>609/456-3682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPE MAY</td>
<td>Chief Steven O'Connor</td>
<td>Stone Harbor P.D., 95th &amp; Second Avenue, Stone Harbor, New Jersey 08247</td>
<td>609/368-1455</td>
<td>609/368-1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUMBERLAND</td>
<td>Chief William J. Herman, Sr.</td>
<td>18 South High Street, P.O. Box 609 Millville, New Jersey 08332</td>
<td>609/825-3111</td>
<td>609/825-2899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESSEX</td>
<td>Chief Robert L. DeLitta</td>
<td>Nutley P.D., 228 Chestnut Street, Nutley, New Jersey 07110</td>
<td>973/284-4940</td>
<td>973/661-3784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOUCESTER</td>
<td>Chief William Giordano</td>
<td>East Greenwich Twp. P.D., 21 East Cohawklin Road, Clarksboro, New Jersey 08020</td>
<td>609/423-0524</td>
<td>609/423-0635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUDSON</td>
<td>Chief Paul Haneck</td>
<td>Union City P.D., 3715 Palisade Avenue Union City, New Jersey 07087</td>
<td>201/348-5780</td>
<td>201/348-5793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUNTERDON</td>
<td>Chief Douglas G. Stryker</td>
<td>Clinton Twp. P.D., 1370 Route 31 North, Annandale, New Jersey 08801</td>
<td>908/735-7230</td>
<td>908/735-9148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERCER</td>
<td>Chief Michael A. Chipowski</td>
<td>Hopewell Twp. P.D., 201 Washington Crossing-Pennington Road, Titusville, New Jersey 08560</td>
<td>609/737-3524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDDLESEX</td>
<td>Chief Edward Costello</td>
<td>100 Municipal Blvd., Edison, New Jersey 08817</td>
<td>732/248-7423</td>
<td>732/287-5719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONMOUTH</td>
<td>Chief William Koch</td>
<td>Ocean Twp. P.D., 399 Monmouth Road, Oakhurst, New Jersey 07755</td>
<td>732/831-1556</td>
<td>732/517-0385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORRIS</td>
<td>Chief Stanley J. Hansen</td>
<td>East Hanover Twp. P.D., 2 DeForest Avenue, East Hanover, New Jersey 07932</td>
<td>973/428-3054</td>
<td>973/887-7096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCEAN</td>
<td>Chief Larry Parker</td>
<td>Stafford Township P.D., 252 East Bay Avenue, Manahawkin, New Jersey 08050</td>
<td>609/597-8581</td>
<td>609/597-7029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASSAIC</td>
<td>Chief Frank LoGioco</td>
<td>Clifton P.D., 900 Clifton Avenue, Clifton, New Jersey 07013</td>
<td>973/470-5900</td>
<td>973/470-8729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALEM</td>
<td>Chief Ted Vengenock</td>
<td>Salem County Prosecutor's Office, 87 Market Street, Salem, New Jersey 08079</td>
<td>609/935-7510</td>
<td>609/339-9206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMERSET</td>
<td>Chief William Beachell</td>
<td>Montgomery Twp. P.D., 2261 Route 206 Belle Mead, New Jersey 08502</td>
<td>908/874-3381</td>
<td>908/874-8252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSSEX</td>
<td>Chief Michael J. Meeker</td>
<td>Newton P.D., 39 Trinity Street, Newton, New Jersey 07040</td>
<td>973/579-1094</td>
<td>973/383-0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNION</td>
<td>Chief Richard Mannix</td>
<td>Union Co. P.D., 300 North Avenue, Westfield, New Jersey 07090</td>
<td>908/654-9814</td>
<td>908/654-9872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARREN</td>
<td>Chief Robert Gara</td>
<td>Pohatcong Twp. P.D., 50 Municipal Drive, Phillipsburg, New Jersey 08865-7813</td>
<td>908/454-6427</td>
<td>908/454-3234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH JERSEY</td>
<td>Chief Al Lisicki</td>
<td>Egg Harbor Township P.D., 3515 Bargaintown Road Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey 08234-8321</td>
<td>609/926-4036</td>
<td>126020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW JERSEY HEALTH OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

New Jersey Health Officers Association
President
Lucy A. Forgione

New Jersey Health Officers Association
Executive Director
Suzanne Rose

New Jersey Local Boards of Health
Association
President
Joan Valas

New Jersey Public Health Association
President
Sylvia H. Bookbinder

New Jersey Society for Public Health
Education
President
Phyllis Kumpf

Division of Youth & Family Health
Kathleen Mackiewicz

Division of Youth & Family Health
Mary Ann Reiter

Division of Youth & Family Health
Nancy Kelly-Goodstein

Atlantic County Division of Public Health
Coordinator
Nicole Milan-Tyner

Bergen County Department of Health
Services
Mary Francis Dougherty

Camden Department of Health Services
Valita Sellers-Quattlebaum

City of Elizabeth, Department of Health
Arlene Enabulene

City of Trenton, Division of Health
Sunday Parker

City of Vineland
Louis Cresci

Division of Mental Health, County of Mercer
Administrator
Hugh Adams

Englewood Health Department
Violet P. Cherry

First Baptist Community Development
Corporation
Executive Director
Mark Quinn

HealthQuest of Monmouth County
Webster B. Trammell

Passaic Beth Israel Hospital
Ellen Ziff

Piscataway Township Board of Education
Dorothy Aquila

Plainfield Health Center
Donna Hamilton
LEGISLATOR ASSOCIATIONS

President, NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Donald T. DiFrancesco
NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Joseph V. Doria

NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Joseph A. Palaia
NJ General Assembly
Executive Director
Donald Sico

NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable John O. Bennett
NJ General Assembly
Executive Director
Francis X. Robinson

NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Richard J. Codey
NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable William Gormley

NJ State Senate
Executive Director
Gregg Edward
NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable James Cafiero

NJ State Senate
Executive Director
Kathleen Crotty
NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Robert Martin

NJ State Senate
Kenneth Raatz
NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable John Matheussen

NJ State Senate
Emery Ungrady
NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Louis-Kosco

Speaker, NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Jack Collins
NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Norman Robertson

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Paul DiGaetano
NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable John Girgenti

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Nicholas R. Felice
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NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable John Lynch

NJ General Assembly
Assemblywoman
Honorable Joan Quigley

NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Edward O'Connor

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Charles Zisa

NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Raymond Zane

NJ General Assembly
Assemblywoman
Honorable Marion Crecco

NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Anthony Bucco

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable James Holzapfel

NJ State Senate
Senator
Honorable Garry Furnari

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Thomas Smith

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable David Russo

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Joel Weingarten

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Christopher Connors

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Guy Talarico

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Richard Merkt

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Peter Barnes

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Christopher Bateman

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Alfred Steele

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Michael Carroll

NJ General Assembly
Assemblyman
Honorable Wilfredo Caraballo
NAACP ORGANIZATIONS

NAACP/Atlantic County
President
Pierre Hollingworth

NAACP/Bergen County
President
Robert Robinson

NAACP/Camden County
President
Thomas Payne

New Jersey State NAACP
President
Elaine Harrington

NAACP/Cape May County
President
John Cavicchio

NAACP/Cumberland County
President
Constance Johnson

NAACP/Gloucester County
President
Irene Hill-Smith

NAACP/Passaic County
President
Calvin Merrit

NAACP/Salem County
President
William Hallman

NAACP/State Conference/Newark
President
Keith Jones
**NJ Black Issues Convention**

* List of organizations, need to obtain addresses from Assemblyman Tucker - written request required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Contact Person(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NJ Alliance of Black School Educators</td>
<td>Bill Ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Garfield Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Civic Awareness Council</td>
<td>Addison McLeOn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carl Ross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Council Chartered Members of the National Black Police Association</td>
<td>Earl R. Wallace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Legislative Black &amp; Latino Caucus</td>
<td>Honorable Joseph Charles, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Honorable Wynona Lipman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ State Conference of Branches NAACP</td>
<td>Elaine C. Harrington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ State Council of Urban Leagues</td>
<td>Ella Teal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Black Caucus of Local Elected Officials</td>
<td>Honorable Donald K. Tucker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Honorable Carol Clark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Black Catholic Affairs</td>
<td>Lucille Forman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Centre, Inc.</td>
<td>John Tallaferro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human &amp; Civil Rights Association of New Jersey</td>
<td>Maureen Peterson-Kenney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jerry Eure, Sr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINORITY LAW ENFORCEMENT

National Black Police Officers Association
State Representative
Randolph

Latino American Law Enforcement Officers (LALEO)
President
Eddie Martinez

Latino Law Enforcement Officers, Mercer County
Investigator
Raul Virela

Hispanic Police Officer Society of Camden
President
Jose Torres
OTHER MINORITY ORGANIZATIONS

Anti-Defamation League of B'Nai B'rith
Associate Director
Todd Kadish

Asian American Association
S. Joseph Oey

Japanese American Society of New Jersey
Koji Sonoda

Cherry Hill Minorities Civic Association
Evelyn Lewis

The American Jewish Committee

Office of Human Concerns

Japanese American Citizens League

Ukrainian National Association

K. Wang & Company

Order Sons of Italy

Urban League of Essex County

Commission for Social Justice

Lithuanian American Community, Inc.

Asian American Civic Association

Indo-American Cultural Society

Cornstalk (Vivodh)

Asian Indian

Islamic Center of Jersey City

The Islamic Center of Paterson

West Orange Human Relations Community

Coalition For Peace Action
Session One
(Audience chooses one)

Session Two
(Audience chooses one)

Break

Panel Discussion

The Battle Against Drugs. Update on the depth of the problem, new initiatives, how to ensure equality and public confidence in war on drugs -- why interdiction is necessary -- ramifications of no interdiction.

1. Public health dimensions -- breaking cycle of addiction/user accountability -- Department of Health/Department of Education.

2. Profiteering/kingpin focused drug strategies. (Criminal Justice)

3. How to ensure equality/public confidence in war on drugs/community-focused solutions. (Chief Santiago)

- Questions and Answers.

Attorney General - Closing Remarks

10 minutes
November 9, 1998

Colonel Carl A. Williams, Superintendent
New Jersey State Police
Division Headquarters
P.O. Box 7068
River Road
West Trenton, New Jersey 08628-0068

Re: New Jersey Law Enforcement Summit
Friday, December 11, 1998
Sheraton Hotel, Sheraton Plaza Drive
East Rutherford, New Jersey

Dear Colonel Williams:

I am pleased to invite you to participate as a panelist at the 1998 Law Enforcement Summit on December 11, 1998 at the Sheraton Hotel in the Meadowlands.

This summit will bring together over 500 representatives from federal, state, county and municipal law enforcement agencies, representatives of the executive branch, legislators, civil rights advocates, education officials and other community leaders.

The summit will be organized around three major topics. They are: (1) Race, Community and Law Enforcement; (2) Youth Violence and School Safety; and (3) Drug Enforcement.

As Attorney General, I want all New Jersey citizens to be confident that they are being treated with equal dignity and respect by those who must enforce our laws. In this regard, we hope to discuss strategies and initiatives designed to enhance the public's confidence. Summit participants will also discuss strategies for reducing youth violence and promoting school safety. Finally, the summit will provide an opportunity to be updated on the state's activities to combat illicit drug use, which is at the root of a large percentage of crimes committed in New Jersey.
We will identify the impediments to achieving safe communities and how we can address these issues by strengthening the collaborative partnerships that are being developed under community policing and community justice initiatives.

I have asked Administrator Thomas J. O'Reilly to follow-up with your office to provide you with additional background information and to be of general assistance to you.

In the interim, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (609)292-4930.

Sincerely yours,

Peter Verniero
Attorney General

David C. Hespe, First Assistant Attorney General
Thomas J. O'Reilly, Administrator
Robert J. Caccese, Director, Operations Audit
Paul H. Zoubek, Director, Division of Criminal Justice
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: Thomas J. O’Reilly
Alfred E. Ramey
Roger Shatzkin
Robert Caccese
Paul Zoubek
Colonel Carl A. Williams
Rolando Torres
Paul Donnelly
Ronald Susswein
Wayne Fisher
Robin Parker

FROM: David C. Hespe
First Assistant Attorney General

DATE: October 8, 1998

SUBJECT: Law Enforcement Summit -- Next Steps

The Attorney General has approved the annotated agenda (final version is attached). This agenda is not to be shared outside of the Department, but should serve as our guide in soliciting speakers/panelists and identifying attendees. The names of speakers/panelists on the agenda are for discussion only and do not reflect any decisions or commitments.

My office will be arranging a meeting shortly. Please come to the meeting prepared to offer potential names for both speakers/panelists and attendees. Time is growing short to accomplish both these tasks and your cooperation will be appreciated. Thank you.

DCH:amc
Attachment
LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT
Annotated Agenda

MORNING SESSION
9:30 a.m.

Attorney General - Introduction: 10 minutes
- Welcome
- Overview of morning session - poll showing lack of confidence
- Overview of afternoon session - drugs and youth violence.

Keynote Speaker: 35 minutes
- Nationally respected law enforcement expert. The speaker will address the issue of race relations and law enforcement. He will then present the merits of a community-based framework for developing a solution -- participants as the community -- community as the solution; law enforcement is not the problem, but is part of that community and, therefore, part of the solution. Will also address the issues of drugs and youth violence within the context of community-focused problem solving. (George Kelling)

Panel Discussion: 70 minutes
- Media personality to moderate. No opening statements, but moderator will be given a list of questions for each presenter and allow others to rebut.

1. Minority community advocate in New Jersey with law enforcement background.

2. Member of clergy in minority community. (Rev. Jackson)

3. Law enforcement representative from New Jersey. (Prosecutor Lee Solomon) (State Police – Major Matos, Diversity Training/Recruitment)

5. Presenters on current applications of community-based solution. (Boston Project) (Represent both law enforcement and community perspective.)

6. Presenter on promoting diversity in law enforcement (Craig Fraser, Department of Personnel initiative.)
Break

Large Group Discussion:

- Six to ten rooms. (Participants divided by region.)
- Panel members from previous session serve as moderators and present short video on issue of race relations and law enforcement and then lead group discussion based on prepared questions regarding the video and the use of the tenets of community-based solutions to address law enforcement issues in individual communities. Moderator will write down the responses on flip chart.

*LUNCHEON SESSION*

*12:30 p.m.*

Attorney General - Announcement:

- Attorney General announces seed money for community-based pilot programs. Calls on each county prosecutor (Human Relations Council?) to lead a regional gathering of community leaders to discuss race-related issues locally and community-based solutions. Turn best ideas into reality.

Keynote Speaker:

Presents “best ideas” from the answers to the questions from the break-out sessions.

Break

*15 minutes*

*AFTERNOON SESSION*

*1:45 p.m.*

Youth Violence Workshops:

(Four break-out rooms: Two dealing with causation of youth violence and two focusing on responses to youth violence.)

*Presenters: Department of Education, Juvenile Justice Commission, Division of Criminal Justice, Paterson Project, UMDNJ Violence Institute.*
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TO: Thomas J. O'Reilly  
   Robert Caccese  
   Alfred Ramey  
   Roger Shatzkin  
   Paul Zoubek  
   Colonel Carl A. Williams (10 pages via fax 530-0718)  
   Rolando Torres (10 pages via fax 777-0466)  
   Wayne Fisher  
   Ronald Susswein  
   Robin Parker  

FROM: David C. Hespe  
   First Assistant Attorney General  

DATE: September 29, 1998  

SUBJECT: Law Enforcement Summit  

The next Law Enforcement Summit meeting will be held on Thursday, October 1 at 4:00 p.m. in the 8th Point Conference Room. In preparation of this meeting, I am attaching for your consideration a copy of the draft annotated agenda for the Summit and a draft paper on community justice prepared by Ron Susswein.  

I look forward to seeing you on October 1. Thank you.  

amc  
Attachments
LAW ENFORCEMENT SUMMIT
Annotated Agenda

MORNING SESSION
9:30 a.m.

Attorney General - Introduction: 10 minutes
- Welcome
- Overview of morning session - poll showing lack of confidence

Introductory Remarks
by Representative of Black Ministers Council 10 minutes

Keynote Speaker 1: 20 minutes
- Minority community advocate in New Jersey with law enforcement background to discuss the lack of confidence issue, profiling and its effect on minorities and law enforcement. (Identify the depth of the problem.)

Keynote Speaker 2: 30 minutes
- Charismatic national law enforcement expert in community focused problem-solving solutions to discuss the merits of a community justice solution. Puts context to the summit -- participant as the community -- community as the solution. Law enforcement is not the problem, but is part of that community and, therefore, part of the solution. (Transition to a solution.)

Panel Discussion: 50 minutes
- Community Justice in action.
- Three panelists present practical applications of community justice solution. (Cooperation, credibility through diversity, communication, creativity.)

Break 10 minutes

Group Discussion: 50 minutes
- Six rooms
- Moderators lead group discussion on how to use tenets of community justice to address law enforcement issues in individual communities.
LUNCHEON SESSION
12:30 p.m.

Keynote Speaker 3: 30 minutes

Presents "best ideas" from the break-out rooms.

Attorney General - Announcement: 30 minutes

- Attorney General announces seed money for community justice pilot program. Calls on each county prosecutor to lead a regional gathering of community leaders to put community justice programs into place.

Break 15 minutes

AFTERNOON SESSION
1:45 p.m.

Youth Violence Workshops:

(Four break-out rooms each dealing with a presentation on an aspect of youth violence.) Audience to pick two.

Session One 25 minutes

Session Two 25 minutes

Break 10 minutes

Panel Discussion 50 minutes

"Community Justice and Drug Interdiction." New policies to ensure equality and public confidence in war on drugs -- why interdiction is necessary -- ramifications of no interdiction.

Attorney General - Closing Remarks 10 minutes
Proposed Law Enforcement Summit Outline
As of September 10, 1998

Community Justice: Adapting Community Policing Strategies
to Address Persistent Criminal Justice Problems

Purpose:

The purpose of this Summit is to discuss three major criminal justice issues — race relations in law enforcement, youth violence, and the drug problem — in the context of a community-based “problem-solving” approach that will require the active contributions of all the constituencies represented at the Summit. The three problems will be discussed in a frank and candid way, identifying the impediments to achieving safe and drug-free communities. Much of the Summit will be devoted to identifying and highlighting programs and ideas that work, and especially initiatives that allow police departments to gain the respect and trust of minorities. The Summit will bring together leaders from five fields (law enforcement, local government, community groups, schools, and businesses), representing key constituents of local “problem-solving teams.” The Summit will initiate an ongoing process of dialogue, adapting proven community policing strategies to address the three aforementioned criminal justice problems. Follow-up will include the awarding of technical assistance grants to establish local community justice programs using surplus Safe and Secure Community funds administered by the Attorney General.

Summit Theme:

All citizens of New Jersey, regardless of where they live or how much they earn, have a right to be safe and secure in their homes and communities; a right to be treated fairly, impartially, and with respect by all members of the criminal justice system; a right to have their children attend schools that offer a safe, disciplined environment that is conducive to learning and free of the disruptive influence of fear, violence, weapons, and drugs; and a right to be heard by law enforcement officials and other governmental actors that are responsible for developing and implementing public safety programs.

These fundamental rights can only be achieved by strengthening the collaborative partnership between the law enforcement community and other key community actors than can impact on the quality of life in neighborhoods across the state. These actors, besides traditional law enforcement officials such as police chiefs and prosecutors, include local government officials (majors, town councils, local housing authorities, etc.); community groups (community leaders and activists, clergy, tenant associations); schools; and local business leaders.
All of these key actors share responsibility for ensuring the safety and security of citizens. These actors must work together to promote multi-disciplinary programs that foster a positive relationship between citizens and law enforcement officers, and must help to identify and showcase innovative programs, policies, and practices that have proven to be successful in preventing and responding decisively to crime.

**Community Justice: A Logical Extension of Community Policing Programs.**

**A. The Need for a Multi-Disciplinary Perspective.**

Law enforcement and prosecuting agencies have a significant role to play in preserving public safety, but cannot do it alone and cannot act in isolation from the law-abiding residents of the communities they serve. All of the key actors noted above must come to understand how they can contribute to solving the criminal justice problems facing our society — especially the problems of race relations in law enforcement, youth violence and school safety, and the drug epidemic — problems that are so complex and persistent that they demand a coordinated, multi-faceted response.

**B. Building on the Success of Community Policing Strategies.**

During the course of the last decade, many law enforcement agencies throughout New Jersey and the rest of the nation adopted an enforcement strategy known as "community policing." This approach recognizes that police agencies must become integral parts of, not isolated from, the communities and constituents their officers are sworn to protect and serve. Community policing stresses positive interaction between police officers and citizens, establishing a close working relationship and a sense of trust, mutual respect, and confidence. Many experts credit community policing for contributing to the significant decline in violent and property crime rates over the course of the last few years.

Community policing is also known as "problem solving" policing, where specially-trained officers work closely with citizens and community and business leaders to identify local problems and to marshal government and private sector resources to solve those problems that affect safety and security and impact on the quality of life.

Problem-solving policing strategies respond to what some criminologists in recent years have referred to as the "broken window" effect. Small unresolved problems, such as broken windows or streetlights, can have a cumulative and synergistic negative effect, and can lead to larger problems, including civil disorder and crime. This is especially likely to occur where local residents believe that...
government is either unable or unwilling to address local problems.

Problem-solving policing can only be accomplished where citizens meet regularly with law enforcement officers, either on an informal basis (the cop on the beat) or by establishing steering committees that provide an opportunity for residents to discuss neighborhood problems with high-ranking law enforcement officials. Pilot demonstration projects, such as the Weed and Seed Program and the Police Community Partnership Program, prove that this approach works and can be replicated in communities across the state using existing resources. Such programs have been able to restore a sense of community, pride, and enhanced respect and confidence in the ability of the law enforcement community to prevent crimes from occurring, and to respond decisively to those crimes that are committed in the target neighborhoods.

C. Applying Problem-Solving Strategies to Broader Criminal Justice Problems

Based on the nationwide success of the basic community policing strategy, it is now time to consider how to expand these problem-solving techniques to address three distinct but closely interrelated issues of special concern to the citizens of New Jersey: race relations in law enforcement, youth violence and school safety, and drug enforcement.

It is important to recognize that we are not writing on a clean slate and that much has already been done at the local level. The establishment of county Human Relations Commissions, for example, is a positive step toward identifying and resolving problems on a routine basis. This collaborative approach should be considered in every local jurisdiction.

One way to accomplish this goal would be to establish a statewide network of local problem-solving teams, comprised of high-ranking police officials; county prosecutors; narcotics task force commanders; other local governmental representatives (i.e., mayors and other local elected officials, housing authorities, human resource agencies, etc.); community and religious leaders; tenant associations; schools; local merchants and other representatives from the business community. These teams should stress a collaborative, multidisciplinary approach that can help to break down the artificial barriers that prevent citizens from communicating directly with law enforcement agencies, thus serving as a catalyst for local community justice initiatives.

The Summit will provide an opportunity to demonstrate the practical benefits of this community-based problem-solving strategy. Examples might include diversity recruitment programs and neighborhood revitalizations projects.
D. Key Elements of Community Justice Programs.

There are a number of key components of any effective community justice program, including:

1. Communication. Law enforcement and prosecuting agencies must interact closely and routinely with private citizens and other key actors, and must be prepared to listen carefully to their problems, concerns, and expectations. This dialogue is part of an educational process that can help to overcome myths and misconceptions, allowing all of the key actors to understand not only the nature and scope of local public safety problems, but also inventory the resources (governmental and private sector) that are available to address those problems. Just as community policing officers get out of their patrol cars to meet and befriend local residents and merchants, every jurisdiction must establish a process whereby community representatives can meet on a regular, routine basis with high-ranking law enforcement officials to discuss mutual concerns.

2. Credibility Through Mutual Respect and Diversity. For law enforcement and prosecuting agencies to be effective, they must earn and maintain the respect and confidence of the general public, and must not be viewed as adversaries of law-abiding citizens. The membership and command structure of law enforcement agencies should fairly represent the diverse communities they serve. Special attention must therefore be focused on minority recruitment and promotion issues. Law enforcement agencies must also earn a reputation for treating all persons fairly and impartially, and for deploying resources in a fair and equitable manner. Systems must be established and enforced to make certain that acts of prejudice or bigotry will not be tolerated and will be promptly detected and remedied.

3. Cooperation. An effective community justice strategy must stress the need for multi-disciplinary responses to complex local and societal problems. No one governmental agency can be expected single-handedly to solve such vexing and persistent problems as school violence and drug trafficking. Rather, it is necessary to ensure close cooperation, for example, between schools and police, and also to stress the need for better cooperation and coordination within the law enforcement community through the establishment of formal and informal multi-agency task forces, such as those in the Police Community Partnership Program and the Violent Offender Removal Program.
4. **Creativity.** The law enforcement community and other key actors must be prepared to tackle modern problems with modern solutions. These evolving criminal justice issues require law enforcement and prosecuting agencies, for example, to adapt their strategies and tactics, taking full advantage of new crime-fighting and crime-prevention technologies, so as to keep pace with the increasingly sophisticated methods of criminal enterprises. Community justice strategies must also be informed by objective research. Law enforcement and other governmental policymakers must be prepared to replicate those programs that work, and must also be willing to change or even abandon traditional programs, policies, or tactics that are dated or otherwise ineffective.

**Possible Summit Agenda:**

9:15am - 9:45am  Welcome; Overview of Summit Theme; Objectives and Expectations

(The Attorney General will spell out the goals of the Summit and explain that the objective is to initiate a process of dialogue on the local level. The Attorney General will identify the three major problem areas, noting the common thread, and discuss recent survey results that show the nature and scope of these problems. For example, the Attorney General will speak to surveys that show that a sizable segment of the population distrusts law enforcement and believes that police treat minorities worse than others.)

9:45am - 10:15am  Keynote Speaker

(The objective of this presentation is to challenge the conferees, focusing squarely on the problem of race relations in law enforcement. The speaker will candidly identify the impediments to effective relations, but will stress positive solutions, including the need for two-way communication (i.e., just as police agencies must reach out to the community, so too, community leaders must be prepared to reach out to police officials at the local level).)

10:15am - 10:45am  Reaction Panel to Keynote Speaker

10:45am - 11:00 am  Break
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/28/98</td>
<td>Lt. Colonel R. D. Dunlop Executive Officer</td>
<td>Major R. Touw Supervisor Investigations Section</td>
<td>REQUEST FOR DSFC, TO LECTURE AT THE OPERATION PIPELINE/CONVOY INTERDICTION SCHOOL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached correspondence from John B. Brown, Special Agent in Charge, U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, is self-explanatory.

Please facilitate this request if operationally feasible.
August 26, 1998

Colonel Carl Williams
New Jersey State Police
P. O. Box 7068
West Trenton, NJ 08628-0068

Dear Colonel Williams:

The Drug Enforcement Administration is sponsoring an Operation Pipeline/Convoy interdiction school in Macon, Georgia on August 31 through September 4, 1998. The school is being hosted by the Georgia Peace Officer Association. This letter is a request for Detective Sgt. First Class to teach at this school.

The Operation Pipeline/Convoy training is an ongoing and continuous effort by the El Paso Intelligence Center to coordinate drug/money concealment detection techniques for uniformed officers. Assistance from agencies such as yours is the foundation for the program's success. Thank you for your continued support.

All travel expenses will be funded by the Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC. If there are any questions regarding this request, please contact Special Agent Michelle Jong at 915-564-2491.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John B. Brown, III
Special Agent in Charge
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

NOTE: If you have any problems with this transmission (incorrect number of pages/poor quality), call the transmitter and request retransmission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER OF PAGES BEING TRANSMITTED</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Including this transmittal sheet)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSMITTED TO</th>
<th>FAX FTS NO.</th>
<th>FAX COMMERCIAL NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>609-530-0718</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME:</th>
<th>COLONEL CARL WILLIAMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANIZATION:</th>
<th>NEW JERSEY STATE POLICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TELEPHONE/EXTENSION</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSMITTED FROM</th>
<th>FAX FTS NO.</th>
<th>FAX COMMERCIAL NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>915-564-2492</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME:</th>
<th>S/A MICHELLE JONG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORGANIZATION:</th>
<th>DOJ/DEA/EPIC/STATE &amp; LOCAL LIAISON UNIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TELEPHONE/EXTENSION</th>
<th>915-564-2490 OR 800-927-0468</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMENTS:</th>
<th>COLONEL WILLIAMS: FOLLOWING IS OUR REQUEST FOR DET. SGT. 1ST CLASS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO TEACH AT A PIPELINE SCHOOL IN MACON, GA. PLEASE CALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. THANK YOU. | |
|-------------------------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROVED BY (If applicable)</th>
<th>NAME:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSMITTED BY (Name):</th>
<th>DATE:</th>
<th>TIME:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC 003314 126044
MEMORANDUM

TO: Colonel Carl A. Williams
   Superintendent
   New Jersey State Police

FROM: John R. Hagerty
      Public Information Office

RE: ATTACHED ARTICLE
   RE: ALLEGED RACIAL PROFILE STOP

DATE: September 2, 1998

The attached article from the Aug. 30 Bergen Record was forwarded by Roger Shatzkin.

Mr. Shatzkin asks if State Police can “get any additional info on this letter/situation. Please look into it and let me know.” (See attached Fax cover sheet.)

For your consideration.
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Date: 9-7-85

Subject: Alleged Racial Profile Stop

Herbert Morton

To: [ ] Deputy Superintendent
 [ ] Executive Officer
 [ ] Section Supervisor
 [ ] Assistant Section Supervisor

[ ] Administration

[ ] Division Staff

[ ] Emergency Management

[ ] Field Operations

[ ] Intelligence Services

[ ] Investigations

[ ] Records & Identification

[ ] Special & Technical Services

[ ] P.I.U.

Please read into attached newspaper article, prepare status and a response to A.G. Roger Smith.

Submit Draft Reply By: 11-15

Note Comments:

For Your Further Action

Return By: ASAP

For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
On police stops based on race

On April 29, I was involved in a racially motivated stop on the New Jersey Turnpike in Ridgfield, just across from the Public Service Electric and Gas plant.

I was pulled over while traveling southbound at 55 mph. After giving the officer my license and registration, I asked why I was pulled over. He did not give a reason, but instead, questioned me for the next 10 minutes. Among the questions asked were where I was going, why I was going there, where I came from, even where I worked.

After the questions, I stated that since he could not give me a reason as to why I was stopped, I had to assume I was stopped because I am a young black male. He then ordered me out of the vehicle and chastised me for my “attitude.” He then ordered me back into the car without giving me a citation. Luckily, I was able to make note of his name.

One week later, I wrote the New Jersey State Police to express concern as to the racial aspect of the stop. Two weeks later, I got a letter stating that there would be an inquiry. Three weeks after that, I was interviewed by the police to give my side of the story. I was then informed that they wanted to interview me again and that they wanted me to try and pick the officer out of a photo lineup. Apparently, this was necessary because the officer denied ever having stopped me.

I guess the only way for a black male to be believed in New Jersey is to take a bullet.

At the second interview, nine weeks after the incident, I picked the officer out of a photo lineup. At that point, I felt I had done everything asked of me and that the state police would now be able to take care of its problem.

I was wrong. Instead, the next day, I got a phone call from the investigator and was asked if I knew any police officers who worked in New Jersey. When I answered yes, he expressed concern that I was able to pick out the police officer after so long because someone may have shown me his picture.

The absurdity did not stop there. I have also been asked if I knew any black males who were stopped on the turnpike, because if so, then my complaint may be some form of retaliation. I was also told that despite the preponderance of the evidence, my complaint may not be substantiated due to a lack of physical evidence. I guess the only way for a black male to be believed in New Jersey is to take a bullet!

Unfortunately, black males will always be subject to these stops until New Jersey leadership decides to do something about this problem. I have heard both Governor Whitman and Col. Carl Williams, superintendent of the state police, say that racial-profile stops do not occur in New Jersey. They choose to stick their heads in the sand rather than acknowledge the problem. In essence, they condone the practice.

As for my complaint, after 15 weeks, I have heard nothing from the police on results of their inquiry. This inaction suggests that they are not interested in handling this type of problem. Thus the civil rights of young black males will always be jeopardized when they drive in New Jersey.

Herbert Morton
Congers, N.Y., Aug. 14
Date 8/31/96

To John

Fax #

From Roger

Subject attached

Number of pages including cover __________

Message

As asked if we could get any additional info on this letter/situation. Please look into it and let me know. Thanks.
**SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO**

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams

Date: 2-4-85

Subject: ALLEGED RACIAL PROFILE STOP HERBERT MORTON

To:  
- [ ] Deputy Superintendent
- [ ] Executive Officer
- [ ] Section Supervisor
- [ ] Assistant Section Supervisor

Dr. Administration  
- [ ] Division Staff
- [ ] Emergency Management
- [ ] Field Operations
- [ ] Intelligence Services
- [ ] Investigations
- [ ] Records & Identification
- [ ] Special & Technical Services
- [ ] P.I.U.

PLEASE V INTO ATTACHED NEWSPR.

ARTICLE, PREPARE STATUS AND A RESPONSE TO A.G. ROGER SHATAN.

Submit Draft Reply By:  

Note Comments:

For Your Further Action  
Return By: ASAP

For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Colonel M. A. Fedorko, Deputy Superintendent</td>
<td>Captain R. Van Tassel, IAB</td>
<td>ATTACHED ARTICLE RE: ALLEGED RACIAL PROFILE STOP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The attached Superintendent’s Action Memo and related correspondence are forwarded for your information and appropriate action.
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams

Date: 9-8-98

Subject: I.A.C.P. STATE POLICE "98 TROOPER OF THE YEAR"

To: □ Deputy Superintendent
    □ Executive Officer
    □ Section Supervisor
    □ Assistant Section Supervisor
    □ LT. R. MANNED

□ Administration  □ Investigations
□ Division Staff  □ Records & Identification
□ Emergency Management  □ Special & Technical Services:
□ Field Operations  □ P.I.U.
□ Intelligence Services

PLEASE HANDLE THIS NOTE BY DATE OF 10-16-98. OUR NOMINATION WILL BE DET. MATTHEW WILSON #4.

□ Submit Draft Reply By: 9-7-98
□ Note Comments: ____________________________

□ For Your Further Action  □ Return By: 9-14-98
□ For Your Information: ____________________________

SP-690A (Rev. 7/96)
Division of State & Provincial Police Regions

**Mountain Pacific Region**
Colonel James Hughes, Chairman
North Dakota Highway Patrol
600 East Boulevard Ave.
Bismarck, ND 58505
(701)328-2455

- ALASKA
- ARIZONA
- CALIFORNIA
- COLORADO
- IDAHO
- MONTANA
- NEVADA
- NEW MEXICO
- NORTH DAKOTA
- OREGON
- SOUTH DAKOTA
- UTAH
- WASHINGTON
- WYOMING
- RCMP PACIFIC REGION
- RCMP NORTH WEST REGION

**North Central Region**
Colonel Kenneth Marshall, Chairman
Ohio State Highway Patrol
1970 West Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43223
(614)466-2990

- ILLINOIS
- IOWA
- KANSAS
- MICHIGAN
- MINNESOTA
- MISSOURI
- NEBRASKA
- OHIO
- ONTARIO
- WISCONSIN
- RCMP CENTRAL REGION

**North Atlantic Region**
Colonel Paul Evanko, Chairman
Pennsylvania State Police
1800 Elmerton Avenue
Harrisburg, PA 17110
(717) 772-6924

- CONNECTICUT
- DELAWARE
- MAINE
- MARYLAND
- MASSACHUSETTS
- NEW HAMPSHIRE
- NEW JERSEY
- NEW YORK
- PENNSYLVANIA
- QUEBEC
- RHODE ISLAND
- VERMONT
- VIRGINIA
- WEST VIRGINIA
- RCMP ATLANTIC REGION

**Southern Region**
Chief Gary Adams, Chairman
Oklahoma Highway Patrol
P.O. Box 11415
3600 North King Avenue
Oklahoma City, OK 73116
(405)425-2003

- ALABAMA
- ARKANSAS
- FLORIDA
- GEORGIA
- KENTUCKY
- LOUISIANA
- MISSISSIPPI
- NORTH CAROLINA
- OKLAHOMA
- SOUTH CAROLINA
- TENNESSEE
- TEXAS
In order to facilitate anticipated production of the re-creations for broadcast and to time everything for the midyear conference, your nomination must be submitted to your S&P regional chair by October 16th. The enclosed nomination form is self-explanatory, but if you have questions, please contact S&P Director Dave Tollett, at 703-836-6767 ext. 210.

The complete schedule follows:

October 16th, 1998 – Deadline for agencies to submit one nomination to their S&P Division regional chair.

November 13th, 1998 – Agency heads will select one nominee from their region and forward to S&P Division headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia.

March 15th, 1999 – The winner of “Trooper of the Year” will be announced at the S&P midyear conference banquet.

I thank you in advance for your participation in this program, which gives long overdue recognition to our officers of state and provincial police agencies and the RCMP.

Attachments
MEMORANDUM

Date: September 1, 1998

To: Members, Division of State and Provincial Police

From: Superintendent LeRon Howland, General Chairman

Subject: Trooper of the Year Award

The men and women of state and provincial police agencies and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) perform some of the most remarkable work in law enforcement. For the fourth year, sponsors have been found to honor a "Trooper of the Year" and three runners up. I urge you to participate in this awards program specifically designed to focus on the outstanding achievements of state and provincial police officers.

Every state and provincial agency, as well as the four regions of the RCMP are invited to participate in this program. It is requested for each agency director to nominate one candidate for the award, using the enclosed form. The nominated trooper is to be recognized for a single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within the past two years (1997-98). The nomination is to be submitted to your S&P Division regional chairperson (see attached list). One candidate will be selected from each S&P region as the regional winner.

The four regional winners and their spouses will be guests of the S&P Division at our March 1999 midyear meeting in Alexandria, Virginia, where the national "Trooper of the Year" will be announced at the conference banquet.

This year's competition to determine the national winner will again involve the potential participation of millions of Americans. We are again trying to arrange for video re-creations of the actions or situations that resulted in selection of each of the four regional finalists. These videos will be broadcast during a special "Trooper of the Year" week. At the week's conclusion, viewers will see a summary of the four officers' achievements and then be asked to vote, by calling a 900-number, for their choice of the national winner. The viewing public's telephone vote will be the deciding factor in selecting the "Trooper of the Year."
Division of State and Provincial Police

Trooper of the Year Award Program

Nomination Form – Page One

Please forward to your Regional Chairperson no later than October 16, 1998

North Atlantic Region – Colonel Paul Evanko, Pennsylvania State Police
North Central Region – Colonel Kenneth Marshall, Ohio State Highway Patrol
Mtn. Pacific Region – Colonel James Hughes, North Dakota Highway Patrol
Southern Region – Colonel Gary Adams, Oklahoma Highway Patrol

S&P Region_____________________________________

Regional Chairperson_____________________________________

Nomination

State ___________________________ Agency________________________

Agency Director_____________________________________

Trooper Nominee_____________________________________

Description of Act or Performance

Must be single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within THE PAST TWO YEARS (97-98)

Description:_____________________________________

_____________________________________

_____________________________________

_____________________________________

_____________________________________

_____________________________________

GC 003325

126055
Description (continued): ______________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________

Will nominee agree to participate in a video recreation or will a substitute be needed? (Please explain)
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________

If nomination is made for a single event, are there any legal barriers that would restrict a video recreation? □ No □ Yes (explain) ________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________

If restrictions preclude a video recreation, can television or print media provide the basis for a recreation? □ No □ Yes (explain) ________________________________
__________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________

Agency Director's Signature: ________________________ Date: __________
SUPERINTENDENT'S ACTION MEMO

From: Colonel Carl A. Williams

Date: 9.29.98

Subject: SAP Division "Taco Div of the Year"

To:

☐ Deputy Superintendent
☐ Executive Officer
☐ Section Supervisor
☐ Assistant Section Supervisor

☐ Eileen - We are working on this. Please con.

☐ Administration
☐ Division Staff
☐ Emergency Management
☐ Field Operations
☐ Intelligence Services

☐ Submit Draft Reply By:

☐ Note Comments:

☐ For Your Further Action
☐ For Your Information:

SP-690A (Rev 7/96)
September 18, 1998

Colonel Carl Williams
New Jersey State Police
Box 7068
West Trenton, New Jersey 08628-0068

Dear Colonel Williams:

As indicated on the enclosed correspondence from the State and Provincial Division General Chairman, Superintendent LeRon Howland, it is again the time of year to nominate outstanding personnel from each of our respective agencies for the S&P Division “Trooper of the Year” award. Superintendent Howland’s correspondence explains the process. Please take note of the various deadline dates.

In order to facilitate a timely selection and submission of a North Atlantic Region candidate, I propose that we form a three-member Nomination Review Board composed of volunteer representatives from three different agencies in our region.

Once I receive all nominations, by the deadline date of October 16, 1998, I will forward copies of the nominations to each of the three review board members. The board will choose the North Atlantic Region nominee, and I will ensure proper submission on behalf of all regional member agencies to S&P Division Headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia, by the deadline of November 13, 1998.

I am asking for volunteers to serve on the Nomination Review Board. I believe the volunteer should be an agency head or deputy agency head. Please respond to this correspondence, either as a volunteer or with alternate suggestions, at your earliest convenience. It would be great to have this year’s “Trooper of the Year” be a representative from the North Atlantic Region.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Colonel Paul J. Evanko
Commissioner
MEMORANDUM

Date: September 1, 1998

To: Members, Division of State and Provincial Police

From: Superintendent LeRon Howland, General Chairman

Subject: Trooper of the Year Award

The men and women of state and provincial police agencies and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) perform some of the most remarkable work in law enforcement. For the fourth year, sponsors have been found to honor a "Trooper of the Year" and three runners up. I urge you to participate in this awards program specifically designed to focus on the outstanding achievements of state and provincial police officers.

Every state and provincial agency, as well as the four regions of the RCMP are invited to participate in this program. It is requested for each agency director to nominate one candidate for the award, using the enclosed form. The nominated trooper is to be recognized for a single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within the past two years (1997-98). The nomination is to be submitted to your S&P Division regional chairperson (see attached list). One candidate will be selected from each S&P region as the regional winner.

The four regional winners and their spouses will be guests of the S&P Division at our March 1999 midyear meeting in Alexandria, Virginia, where the national "Trooper of the Year" will be announced at the conference banquet.

This year's competition to determine the national winner will again involve the potential participation of millions of Americans. We are again trying to arrange for video re-creations of the actions or situations that resulted in selection of each of the four regional finalists. These videos will be broadcast during a special "Trooper of the Year" week. At the week's conclusion, viewers will see a summary of the four officers' achievements and then be asked to vote, by calling a 900-number, for their choice of the national winner. The viewing public's telephone vote will be the deciding factor in selecting the "Trooper of the Year."
In order to facilitate anticipated production of the re-creations for broadcast and to time everything for the midyear conference, your nomination must be submitted to your S&P regional chair by October 16th. The enclosed nomination form is self-explanatory, but if you have questions, please contact S&P Director Dave Tollett, at 703-836-6767 ext. 210.

The complete schedule follows:

October 16th, 1998 – Deadline for agencies to submit one nomination to their S&P Division regional chair.

November 13th, 1998 – Agency heads will select one nominee from their region and forward to S&P Division headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia.

March 15th, 1999 – The winner of “Trooper of the Year” will be announced at the S&P midyear conference banquet.

I thank you in advance for your participation in this program, which gives long overdue recognition to our officers of state and provincial police agencies and the RCMP.

Attachments
Division of State & Provincial Police Regions

Mountain Pacific Region
Colonel James Hughes, Chairman
North Dakota Highway Patrol
600 East Boulevard Ave.
Bismarck, ND 58505
(701)328-2455

ALASKA
ARIZONA
CALIFORNIA
COLORADO
IDAHO
MONTANA
NEVADA
NEW MEXICO
NORTH DAKOTA
OREGON
SOUTH DAKOTA
UTAH
WASHINGTON
WYOMING
RCMP PACIFIC REGION
RCMP NORTH WEST REGION

North Central Region
Colonel Kenneth Marshall, Chairman
Ohio State Highway Patrol
1970 West Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43223
(614)466-2990

ILLINOIS
INDIANA
IOWA
KANSAS
MICHIGAN
MINNESOTA
MISSOURI
NEBRASKA
OHIO
ONTARIO
WISCONSIN
RCMP CENTRAL REGION

North Atlantic Region
Colonel Paul Evanko, Chairman
Pennsylvania State Police
1800 Elmerton Avenue
Harrisburg, PA 17110
(717) 772-6924

CONNECTICUT
DELAWARE
MAINE
MARYLAND
MASSACHUSETTS
NEW HAMPSHIRE
NEW JERSEY
NEW YORK
PENNSYLVANIA
QUEBEC
RHODE ISLAND
VERMONT
VIRGINIA
WEST VIRGINIA
RCMP ATLANTIC REGION

Southern Region
Chief Gary Adams, Chairman
Oklahoma Highway Patrol
P.O. Box 11415
3600 North King Avenue
Oklahoma City, OK 73116
(405)425-2003

ALABAMA
ARKANSAS
FLORIDA
GEORGIA
KENTUCKY
LOUISIANA
MISSISSIPPI
NORTH CAROLINA
OKLAHOMA
SOUTH CAROLINA
TENNESSEE
TEXAS
Division of State and Provincial Police

Trooper of the Year Award Program

Nomination Form – Page One

Please forward to your Regional Chairperson no later than October 16, 1998

North Atlantic Region – Colonel Paul Evanko, Pennsylvania State Police
North Central Region – Colonel Kenneth Marshall, Ohio State Highway Patrol
Mtn. Pacific Region – Colonel James Hughes, North Dakota Highway Patrol
Southern Region – Colonel Gary Adams, Oklahoma Highway Patrol

S&P Region

Regional Chairperson

Nomination

State ____________________________ Agency ____________________________

Agency Director ____________________________

Trooper Nominee ____________________________

Description of Act or Performance

Must be single event or multiple interrelated events occurring within THE PAST TWO YEARS (97-98)

Description:

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Will nominee agree to participate in a video recreation or will a substitute be needed? (Please explain)

If nomination is made for a single event, are there any legal barriers that would restrict a video recreation? □ No  □ Yes (explain)

If restrictions preclude a video recreation, can television or print media provide the basis for a recreation? □ No  □ Yes (explain)

Agency Director’s Signature: ___________________________ Date: _______
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY  
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE  
MEMORANDUM  

Date October 2, 1998  

TO: Paul H. Zoubek, Director  
Division of Criminal Justice  

FROM: Colonel Carl A. Williams  
Superintendent, New Jersey State Police  

SUBJECT: Response to Your 9/25/98 Memorandum  
Model Policy Procedures - Motor Vehicle Stops  

As per your request I have attached copies of New Jersey State Police Standing Operating Procedure F3, “State Police Patrol Procedures” and F31, “Consent to Search.” Also attached is a copy of our July 98 S.P.I.R.I.T. Refresher In-Service Training Bulletin. This bulletin is a reminder to our troopers regarding motor vehicle stops.  

If you have any future requests or questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF STATE POLICE
MEMORANDUM

Date: October 2, 1998

TO: Paul H. Zoubek, Director
Division of Criminal Justice

FROM: Colonel Carl A. Williams
Superintendent, New Jersey State Police

SUBJECT: Response to Your 9/25/98 Memorandum
Model Policy Procedures - Motor Vehicle Stops

As per your request I have attached copies of New Jersey State Police Standing Operating Procedure F3, “State Police Patrol Procedures” and F31, “Consent to Search.” Also attached is a copy of our July 98 S.P.I.R.I.T. Refresher In-Service Training Bulletin. This bulletin is a reminder to our troopers regarding motor vehicle stops.

If you have any future requests or questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.
STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY  
DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE  

MEMORANDUM  

TO: Colonel Carl L. Williams, Superintendent  
New Jersey State Police  
FROM: Paul H. Zoubek, Director  
Division of Criminal Justice  
DATE: September 25, 1998  
SUBJECT: Model Policy Procedures - Motor Vehicle Stops  

During our September 23 "brainstorming" session to discuss the agenda for the upcoming Law Enforcement Summit, you indicated that the State Police have developed policies and procedures that help to make certain that motor vehicle stops are conducted lawfully and that help to bolster public confidence that decisions made by State Police officers during the course of a roadside encounter are never based upon impermissible criteria, such as a motorist's race or ethnic origin. It was mentioned during the meeting, for example, that (1) the Division of State Police has promulgated standard operating procedures that require all requests for permission to search to be memorialized in writing (whether or not the motorist or passenger agrees to the search and without regard to whether a consent search yields evidence of crime); (2) that State Police members may not ask for permission to search unless they have a reasonable articulable suspicion to believe that the vehicle or thing to be searched contains contraband or evidence of a crime; (3) and that a trooper is required to provide the dispatcher with a description of the occupants of a stopped vehicle at the earliest stage of a police encounter, thereby providing a reliable record of the race and ethnicity of all temporarily-detained motorists.  

I think that the State Police should be commended for having gone beyond the bare minimum requirements imposed by the courts under the State and Federal Constitutions. These kinds of internal procedures and reporting requirements make it possible for supervisors to review patrol logs and reports and quickly identify and remedy
any potential problems (e.g., where it appears that a particular officer has stopped a disproportionate percentage of minority motorists, or where it appears that an officer is more likely to ask minority motorists to consent to a search). I would very much like to review any written materials developed by the State Police with a view toward suggesting to the Attorney General that he consider recommending or possibly even requiring all police departments to adopt similar policies.

PHZ:RS:kb
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services

Date: 10-19-1998

Received Date : 10-16-1998
Correspondence Date : 10-09-1998
Subject : Correspondence Assigned To First Assistant
Name : Mr. 
Affiliation :
Assigned to :
   Dave Hespe
   Office of the Attorney General
Due Date : 10-30-1998
Issue : various questions concerning the incident involving the Essex County
   Prosecutor and a State Trooper.
Comment : c: AG Verniero
Response Type : Respond on behalf of Governor
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625
October 9, 1998

Office of the Governor  
PO Box 001  
Trenton, NJ 08625

Dear Governor's Office,

I am writing this letter to ask about the result and a few additional questions about an incident on the Garden State Parkway involving the Essex County prosecutor a few weeks ago.

I have read in the newspaper, she was traveling at 85 MPH using her four way flashers. Motorists along the roadway phoned the state police to file complaints about the state car. When she was finally stopped, she had her niece and a friend in the car. She claimed she was on her way to Atlantic City on business. She also claims she was stopped due to racial reasons. This is where my questions start.

1. She was issued a ticket for careless driving, but not speeding. Why? Why was not the fine doubled since all violations carry a double fine along the Parkway?  
2. Why did she have family in a state car while on business?  
3. She is claiming there is a racial motivation, but there should clearly be facts which point to the truth. Obviously a racial charge can damage this troopers career, so I believe once the facts are established the guilty party should be punished. If it is in fact the prosecutor, she should be investigated for her prejudice. Is there an oversight committee or any policing agency to determine the job she is doing? I can not help but to think with her attitude that she will give leniency to a black defendant, but a white man will encounter her wrath.  
4. Will her racial charge just die now because she paid a summons? Unfortunately in the USA you can get away with murder, literally, if you have a racial defense. I believe it is because many people don't have the courage to stand up for what is right and just. In our country justice is blind but not always color blind.

I believe there is a degree of prejudice which many people must face on a daily basis. This account really could harm many legitimate, sincere claims. It is like the boy who cried wolf. A professional law official crying racism when in fact she broke the law is really pitiful. If we allow her to get away with this, is even more pitiful.
Could you please enlighten me on this incident and I would like your thoughts on the questions I posed. I would be grateful for your insight.

My name and address are as follows:

Regards,
REferred to Dept: Department of Law and Public Safety
Attention: Peter Verniero
Date Referred: 10/15/98
Please Return By: 10/29/98
Copies To:

Document Locator No: 000327013
Issue Addressed: consumer complaints
Constituent Name:
Address:

G.O.C.R. Responsible: Katherine Lyons
G.O.C.R. Comments:

Instructions: Please return this referral slip with a copy of the response sent and the original correspondence.

Note:
Please mark the envelope which contains the referral to the attention of the OCR staff person indicated next to the ‘G.O.C.R. Responsible’ entry or simply FAX back to us.

Comments:
Office of the Attorney General
Citizens' Services

Date: 10-19-1998

Received Date : 10-16-1998
Correspondence Date : 10-08-1998
Subject : Correspondence Assigned To Attorney General
Name : Mr. David Herron
Affiliation : NAACP - Montclair Branch
Assigned to : Attorney General Verniero
Office of the Attorney General
Due Date : 10-30-1998
Issue : concerns racial profiling by State Police. Also, he mentions the incident involving Essex County Prosecutor Hurt.
Comment : Note - Governor would like to see response. cc: Dave Hesper, Colonel William
Response Type : Respond on behalf of Governor
Response Date :

Forward with all drafts, response copies, and original correspondence to:

Kristi J. Golden
Citizens' Services
Office of The Attorney General
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
8th Floor, West Wing, CN-080
Trenton, NJ 08625

126072
GC 003342