

SRPLB Complaint No. 007-2013

Disposition

The Board voted to dismiss the complaint due to finding that a former LSRP did not violate the Site Remediation Reform Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10C-1 et seq.

Nature of the Complaint

Complaint 007-2013 originated as an anonymous complaint regarding a former LSRP's failure to remove his designation as an LSRP from his Linked-In profile and company website after the date his temporary license expired. He was also designated as a "regular member" (LSRP member) on the LSRP Association website.

Synopsis

The Board was notified by an anonymous complainant that a former LSRP failed to remove his designation as an LSRP from his Linked-In profile and company website after his LSRP license expired on February 28, 2013. Board staff contacted the former LSRP, who promptly removed the LSRP designation from his Linked-In profile and company website. Board staff also contacted the LSRP Association, which immediately changed the LSRP's designation to "associate member" (non LSRP member).

A remaining issue was that the company website continued to state on the "About Us" page: "Our personnel hold numerous individual NJDEP and LSRP licenses". The Board was of the opinion that such a statement conveyed that the former LSRP or a member of the company staff was an LSRP. A review of the Board's LSRP database showed that there was no LSRP employed at the company. Therefore, the Board sent a letter to the former LSRP directing him, as president of the company, to revise or remove this statement from the company website within 10 days of receipt of the letter from the Board. This was done. The sentence was revised to "Our personnel hold numerous individual NJDEP and professional licenses".

Determination of the Board

The Board found that the former LSRP's failure to remove his designation as an LSRP from his Linked-In profile and company website after the date his temporary license expired was not an attempt to falsely advertise himself as an LSRP in violation of N.J.S.A. 58:10C-11, but rather, was an oversight. The former LSRP immediately removed the LSRP designation from the Linked-In profile and company website when notified by the Board. The former LSRP also modified the statement on the company website to remove reference to the LSRP license when notified by the Board.

Therefore, the Board dismissed the complaint.