

SRPL Board Complaint 017-2025

DISPOSITION

On July 13, 2026 the Board voted to dismiss this complaint with no findings due to the inability to locate the subject of the complaint.

ISSUE

The Board initiated Complaint 017-2025 based on findings discovered during the investigation of Complaint 005-2025. The investigation found that a construction company removed an underground storage tank (UST) at an urban site in Newark, New Jersey, without involving or notifying the LSRP.

Background

In November 2019, the company removed a 2,500-gallon regulated underground storage tank from the property under City of Newark Permit Number 19-CP-002XXXX. The company conducted remediation activities at the site by collecting soil samples.

Basis of Complaint

Complaint 017-2025 alleged that the company and its associates performed remediation at a contaminated site without being managed or supervised by an LSRP, in violation of N.J.A.C. 7:26I-2.3(b).

Service of Complaint

The Complaint was mailed to the company's last known address in February 2026. It was returned marked "Return to Sender – Not Deliverable as Addressed – Unable to Forward."

Investigation Findings

Deputy Attorney General Zach Lawrence researched the company's corporate status and found that its charter was revoked in 2023 for nonpayment of annual reporting fees.

Additional investigation identified two known associates of the company. One associate was previously certified in UST Subsurface Evaluation and UST Closure. The other was previously certified in UST Subsurface Evaluation, UST Closure, and UST Installation - Entire. The company itself held certifications in UST Subsurface Evaluation, UST Closure, and UST Installation - Entire. None of these certifications are currently active.

Determination to Dismiss

The Board has been unable to locate current addresses for the company or its associates, and has determined that all relevant certifications have expired, indicating that the company and its associates are no longer actively working in the field of UST remediation. Under these circumstances, the Board determined that pursuing this complaint further would not be productive. As the subject of the complaint could not be located to answer the complaint, the Board decided to dismiss the complaint with no findings.