PETER C. HARVEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex
25 Market Street
PO Box 093
Trenton, NJ 08625-0093
Attorney for Plaintiffs

By: Brendan Ruane Deputy Attorney General (609) 984-5016

Gordon C. Rhea, Esq.
Special Counsel to the Attorney General
Richardson, Patrick, Westbrook & Brickman, L.L.C.
1037 Chuck Dawley Boulevard, Building A
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
(843) 727-6501

SU CALLES A FILE ON A SURFICE COUNTY

John K. Dema, Esq.
Special Counsel to the Attorney General
Law Offices of John K. Dema, P.C.
1236 Strand Street, Suite 103
Christiansted, St. Croix
U.S. Virgin Islands 00820-5008
(340) 773-6142

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - PASSAIC COUNTY DOCKET NO. \$\L - 1685-05\$

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION and THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE NEW JERSEY SPILL COMPENSATION FUND,

Plaintiffs.

v.

SAINT-GOBAIN PERFORMANCE PLASTICS CORPORATION;
CHEMPLAST, INC.;
JOSEPH L. MUSCARELLE, INC.;
"ABC CROPORATIONS" 1-10 (Names Fictitious); and
"JOHN DOES" 1-5 (Names Fictitious),

Defendants.

Civil Action

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") and the Administrator of the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund ("Administrator") (collectively, "the Plaintiffs"), having their principal offices at 401 East State Street in the City of Trenton, County of Mercer, State of New Jersey, by way of Complaint against the above-named defendants ("the Defendants"), say:

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiffs bring this civil action pursuant to the Spill Compensation and Control Act (the "Spill Act"), N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11 to -23.24, and the common law, for reimbursement of the cleanup and removal costs and damages they have incurred, and will incur, as a result of discharges of hazardous substances at the Chemplast, Inc. site located in Wayne Township, Passaic County. The costs and damages the Plaintiffs seek include the damages they have incurred, and will incur, for any natural resource of this State that has been, or may be, injured as a result of the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast, Inc. site, and to compel the Defendants to perform, under plaintiff DEP's oversight, or to fund plaintiff DEP's performance of, any further assessment and restoration of any natural resources that has been, or may be, injured as a result of the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast, Inc. site.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff DEP is a principal department within the Executive Branch of the State government, vested with the authority to conserve and protect natural resources, protect the environment, prevent pollution, and protect the public health and safety. N.J.S.A. 13:1D-9.
- 3. In addition, the State is the trustee, for the benefit of its citizens, of all natural resources within its jurisdiction for which plaintiff DEP is vested with the authority to protect

this public trust and to seek compensation for damages to the natural resources of the State. N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11a.

- 4. Plaintiff Administrator is the chief executive officer of the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund ("the Spill Fund"). N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11j. As chief executive officer of the Spill Fund, Plaintiff Administrator is authorized to approve and pay any cleanup and removal costs Plaintiff DEP incurs, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11f.c and d, and to certify the amount of any claim to be paid from the Spill Fund, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11j.d.
- 5. Defendant Chemplast, Inc. ("Chemplast") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey with its principal place of business located at 150 Dey Road, Wayne, New Jersey 07470.
- 6. In 1982, defendant Chemplast merged with Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corporation ("SG Plastics (Delaware)"), a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business located at 150 Dey Road, Wayne, New Jersey 07470. SG Plastics (Delaware), formerly known as Norton Performance Plastics Corporation ("Norton Plastics"), was the surviving entity of the merger.
- 7. On February 29, 2000, SG Plastics (Delaware) merged into Defendant Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corporation ("SG Plastics"), a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business located at I-295 and Harmony Road, Mickelton, New Jersey 08056.
- 8. Defendant SG Plastics is the successor-in-interest to defendant Chemplast and its predecessors, SG Plastics (Delaware), and Norton Plastics.

- 9. Defendant Joseph L. Muscarelle, Inc. ("Muscarelle") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, with its principal place of business located at 99 West Essex Street, Maywood, NJ 07607.
- 10. Defendants "ABC Corporations" 1-10, these names being fictitious, are entities whose identities cannot be ascertained as of the filing of this Complaint, certain of whom are corporate successors to, or are otherwise related to, defendants Chemplast, SG Plastics (and its predecessors), and/or Muscarelle.
- 11. Defendants "John Does" 1-5, these names being fictitious, are individuals whose identities cannot be ascertained as of the filing of this Complaint, certain of whom are partners, principals, and/or shareholders of defendants Chemplast, SG Plastics (and its predecessors), Muscarelle, and/or one or more of the ABC Corporations Defendants.

AFFECTED NATURAL RESOURCE

Ground Water

- 12. Ground Water is an extremely important natural resource for the people of New Jersey, supplying more than 900 million gallons of water per day, which provides more than half of New Jersey's population with drinking water.
- 13. Not only does ground water serve as a source of potable water, it also serves as an integral part of the State's ecosystem.
- 14. Groundwater provides base flow to streams and other surface water bodies and influences surface water quality, wetland ecology, and the health of aquatic ecosystems.
- 15. Ground water also provides cycling and nutrient movement, prevents salt water intrusion, provides ground stabilization, prevents sinkholes, and provides maintenance of critical water levels in freshwater wetlands.

- 16. Ground water is also used for commercial, industrial, and agricultural purposes.
- 17. There are more than 6,000 contaminated sites in New Jersey that have ground water confirmed to be contaminated with hazardous substances.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. The Chemplast, Inc. site consists of approximately 20.5 acres of real property located at 150 Dey Road, Wayne Township, Passaic County, New Jersey, this property being known and designated as 604, Lot 17 (formerly Block 178, Lot 1), on the Tax Map of Wayne Township, ("the Chemplast Property"), and all other areas where any hazardous substance discharged there has become located (collectively, "the Site"), which plaintiff DEP has designated as Site Remediation Program Interest No. 004469.
- 19. In 1962 or 1963, defendant. Muscarelle purchased the Chemplast Property, which it conveyed in 1976 to defendant Chemplast, which, as of the filing of this Complaint, still owns.
- 20. During the time that defendants Muscarelle and Chemplast owned the Chemplast Property, "hazardous substances," as defined in N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b, were "discharged" there within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11f.b.(2), which substances include trichloroethene, dichloroethane, petroleum hydrocarbons, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, and metals.
- 21. From 1963 to present, defendants Chemplast, SG Plastics, and their predecessors conducted precision plastic manufacturing at the Chemplast Property, which activities involved the generation, storage, handling, and disposal of "hazardous substances," as defined in N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b, certain of which were "discharged" there within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b., which substances included trichloroethene, dichloroethane, petroleum hydrocarbons, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, and metals.

- Order with plaintiff DEP requiring, in part, defendant Chemplast to investigate and remediate the contamination at the Site pursuant to the Environmental Cleanup Responsibility Act ("ECRA"), now known as the Industrial Site Recovery Act ("ISRA"), N.J.S.A. 13:1K-6 to -14, in order to facilitate defendant Chemplast's merger with the Saint-Gobain entities.
- 23. On June 22, 1990, defendant Chemplast submitted its Phase I Sample Plan for Environmental Evaluation of the Site to plaintiff DEP, in which defendant Chemplast described its plan to investigate the nature and extent of the contamination at the Site for the purpose of formulating a remediation plan.
- 24. Sampling results from defendant Chemplast's investigation revealed the presence of various hazardous substances at concentrations exceeding plaintiff DEP's cleanup criteria in the ground water, soils, and other receptors at the Site, which substances included trichloroethane, tetrachloroethene, methylene chloride, and petroleum hydrocarbons.
- 25. The initial phases of the remediation defendant Chemplast undertook involved the excavation and removal of contaminated soils from the Chemplast Property, the installation of numerous monitoring wells for sampling ground water and the delineation of the contaminant plumes.
- 26. In 1991, defendant Chemplast submitted the Phase II and Phase III Sampling and Cleanup Reports to plaintiff DEP, which, in relevant part, provided for further soils and groundwater sampling in additional areas of concern plaintiff DEP identified.
- 27. In September 1995, defendant Chemplast submitted the Supplemental Remedial Investigation and Remedial Alternative Analysis report to plaintiff DEP, at which time defendant

Chemplast noted that it had not sufficiently delineated the nature and extent of the groundwater contamination, and, thus, could not begin remediating the contaminated ground water.

- 28. In July 2000, defendant Chemplast submitted the Remedial Action Workplan to plaintiff DEP, in which defendant Chemplast described the selected remedial alternatives for the Site, which included continued monitoring of the ground water, further excavating contaminated soils, and implementing air sparging/soil vapor extraction to remove contaminant source materials from the soils.
- 29. In April 2001, plaintiff DEP approved a Classification Exception Area ("CEA"), which excludes designated ground water from use as a potable water source, and a Well Restriction Area ("WRA"), which excludes the installation and use of potable wells, for the Site.
- 30. The CEA and WRA, which include the Chemplast Property and properties in the immediate vicinity, extend to a vertical depth of 25 feet, and are expected to continue until at least 2019. The designation of the CEA excludes the designated ground water from use as Class II-A potable water due to the contamination.
- 31. On June 10, 2002, defendant Chemplast activated an air sparge/soil vapor extraction remediation system consisting of 12 "IAS" wells and 10 vapor monitoring points as part of the remediation plaintiff DEP approved for the Site, which system defendants Chemplast and/or Saint-Gobain have continued to operate to the present.
- 32. As of the date of the filing of this Complaint, the expected remediation completion date has yet to be determined.
- 33. Although defendants Chemplast and Saint-Gobain have initiated the remediation of the Site, the groundwater and soils contamination continues.

FIRST COUNT

Spill Act

- 34. Plaintiffs repeat each allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 33 above as though fully set forth in its entirety herein.
 - 35. Each Defendant is a "person" within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b.
- 36. Plaintiff DEP has incurred, and will continue to incur, costs as a result of the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property.
- 37. Plaintiff Administrator may certify, for payment, valid claims made against the Spill Fund concerning the Site, and, further, has approved, and may continue to approve, other appropriations for the Site.
- 38. Plaintiffs have incurred, and will continue to incur, costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, for any natural resource of this State that has been, or may be, injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property.
- 39. The costs and damages the Plaintiffs have incurred, and will incur, for the Site are "cleanup and removal costs" within the meaning of N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11b.
- 40. Defendants Chemplast and SG Plastics, as dischargers, or successors-in-interest to dischargers, of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property, are liable, jointly and severally, without regard to fault, for all cleanup and removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs have incurred, and will incur, to assess, mitigate, restore, or replace, any natural resource of this State that has been, or may be, injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property. N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11g.c.(1).

- 41. Defendants Chemplast and Muscarelle, as the owners of the Chemplast Property at the time hazardous substances were discharged there, also are persons otherwise responsible for the discharged hazardous substances, and are liable, jointly and severally, without regard to fault, for all cleanup and removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs have incurred, and will incur, to assess, mitigate, restore, or replace, any natural resource of this State that has been, or may be, injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property. N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11g.c.(1).
- 42. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11u.a.(1)(a) and N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11u.b., plaintiff DEP may bring an action in the Superior Court for injunctive relief, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11u.b.(1); for its unreimbursed investigation, cleanup and removal costs, including the reasonable costs of preparing and successfully litigating the action, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11u.b.(2); for natural resource restoration and replacement costs, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11u.b.(4); and for any other unreimbursed costs or damages plaintiff DEP incurs under the Spill Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10-23.11u.b.(5).
- 43. Pursuant to <u>N.J.S.A</u>. 58:10-23.11q., plaintiff Administrator is authorized to bring an action in the Superior Court for any unreimbursed costs or damages paid from the Spill Fund.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs DEP and Administrator pray that this Court:

a. Order the Defendants to reimburse the Plaintiffs, jointly and severally, without regard to fault, for all cleanup and removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs have incurred for any natural resource of this State injured by the

discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property, with applicable interest;

- b. Enter declaratory judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, without regard to fault, for all cleanup and removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs will incur for any natural resource of this State injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property;
- c. Enter judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, without regard to fault, compelling the Defendants to compensate the citizens of New Jersey for the injury to their natural resources as a result of the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property, by performing, under plaintiff DEP's oversight, or funding plaintiff DEP's performance of, any further assessment and compensatory restoration of any natural resource injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property;
- d. Award the Plaintiffs their costs and fees in this action; and
- e. Award the Plaintiffs such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

SECOND COUNT

Public Nuisance

- 44. Plaintiffs repeat each allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 43 above as though fully set forth in its entirety herein.
 - 45. Ground water is a natural resources of the State held in trust by the State.
- 46. The use, enjoyment and existence of uncontaminated natural resources is a right common to the general public.

- 47. The contamination of ground water at the Site constitutes a physical invasion of public property and an unreasonable and substantial interference, both actual and potential, with the exercise of the public's common right to these natural resources.
- 48. As long as ground water remains contaminated due to the Defendants conduct, the public nuisance continues.
- 49. Until the ground water is restored to its pre-injury quality, the Defendants are liable for the creation, and continued maintenance, of a public nuisance in contravention of the public's common right to clean ground water.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs DEP and Administrator pray that this Court:

- a. Order the Defendants to reimburse the Plaintiffs for all cleanup and removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs have incurred for any natural resource of this State injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property, with applicable interest;
- b. Enter declaratory judgment against the Defendants for all cleanup and removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs will incur for any natural resource of this State injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property;
- c. Enter judgment against the Defendants compelling the Defendants to compensate the citizens of New Jersey for the injury to their natural resources as a result of the discharges of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property, by

performing, under plaintiff DEP's oversight, or funding plaintiff DEP's performance of, any further assessment and compensatory restoration of any natural resource injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property;

- d. Award the Plaintiffs their costs and fees in this action; and
- e. Award the Plaintiffs such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

THIRD COUNT

Trespass

- 50. Plaintiffs repeat each allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 49 above as though fully set forth in its entirety herein.
- 51. Ground water is a natural resource of the State held in trust by the State for the benefit of the public.
- 52. The Defendants are liable for trespass, and continued trespass, since the time hazardous substances were first discharged at the Site by defendants Chemplast, SG Plastics and/or their predecessors.
- 53. As long as ground water remains contaminated, the Defendants' trespass continues.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs DEP and Administrator pray that this Court:

a. Order the Defendants to reimburse the Plaintiffs for all cleanup and removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs have incurred for any natural

resource of this State injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the

Chemplast Property, with applicable interest;

Enter declaratory judgment against the Defendants for all cleanup and b.

removal costs and damages, including lost use, existence value damages, and

reasonable assessment costs, that the Plaintiffs will incur for any natural resource

of this State injured by the discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast

Property;

Enter judgment against the Defendants compelling the Defendants to

compensate the citizens of New Jersey for the injury to their natural resources as a

result of the discharge of hazardous substances at the Site, by performing, under

plaintiff DEP's oversight, or funding plaintiff DEP's performance of, any further

assessment and compensatory restoration of any natural resource injured by the

discharge of hazardous substances at the Chemplast Property;

Award the Plaintiffs their costs and fees in this action; and d.

Award the Plaintiffs such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

RICHARDSON, PATRICK, WESTBROOK LAW OFFICES OF JOHN K. DEMA, P.C. & BRICKMAN, L.L.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Special Counsel to the Attorney General

Special Counsel to the Attorney General

Dated:

Dated:

PETER C. HARVEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW
JERSEY
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Bv:

Brendan Ruane

Deputy Attorney General

Dated: 3/27/05

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to R. 4:25-4, the Court is advised that Gordon C. Rhea, John K. Dema amd Scott E. Kauff, Special Counsel to the Attorney General, are hereby designated as trial counsel for the Plaintiffs in this action.

CERTIFICATION REGARDING OTHER PROCEEDINGS AND PARTIES

Undersigned counsel hereby certifies, in accordance with \underline{R} . 4:5-1(b)(2), that the matters in controversy in this action may be considered to be the subject of another pending action: New <u>Jersey Society for Environmental, Economic Development, et al. v. Bradley M. Campbell, et al., Docket No. A-6537-03T3. Otherwise, the matters in controversy in this action are not the subject of any other pending or contemplated action in any court or arbitration proceeding known to the Plaintiffs at this time, nor is any non-party known to the Plaintiffs at this time who should be joined in this action pursuant to \underline{R} . 4:28, or who is subject to joinder pursuant to \underline{R} . 4:29-1. If, however, any such non-party or new issue, including claims to recover other cleanup and</u>

removal costs, later becomes known to the Plaintiffs, an amended certification shall be filed and served on all other parties and with this Court in accordance with \underline{R} . 4:5-1(b)(2).

RICHARDSON, PATRICK, WESTBROOK LAW OFFICES OF JOHN K. DEMA, P.C. & BRICKMAN, L.L.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Special Counsel to the Attorney General

John K. Dema, Esq.

Special Counsel to the Attorney General

Dated:

Dated:

PETER C. HARVEY ATTORNEY **GENERAL** OF **NEW JERSEY** Attorney for Plaintiffs

Brendan Ruane

Deputy Attorney General

Dated: 3/27/05

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION (CIS)	ON STATEMENT	FOR USE BY CLERK'S OFFICE ONLY
Use for initial pleadings (not mo	otions) under R. 4:5-1.	PAYMENT TYPE: CR CG CA
Pleadings will be rejected for filing under R. 1:5-6(c) is information above the black bar is not completed or is attorney's signature is not affixed.		CHG/CK NO.:
		if amount:
		OVERPAYMENT:
	·	BATCH NUMBER:
Deputy Attorney General (609) 984-5016		COUNTY OF VENUE: Passaic
FIRM NAME (If Applicable): NEW JERSEY ATTORNEY GENERAL		DOCKET NUMBER (When Available): N/A
OFFICE ADDRESS: Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex 25 Market Street		DOCUMENT TYPE: Complaint
25 Market Street P.O. Box 093 Trenton, NJ 08625-0093		JURY DEMAND: YES X NO
NAME OF PARTY (e.g., John Doe, Plaintiff): New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection & Administrator, New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund (Plaintiffs) CAPTION: New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection & the Administrator of the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corp., et al.		
CASE TYPE NUMBER	IS THIS A PROFESS:	ONAL MALPRACTICE CASE? YES X NO
(See reverse side for listing): 156	If You Have Chec Applicable Case L Affidavit of Merit	ked "Yes," See N.J.S.A. 2A:53A-27 and aw Regarding Your Obligation to File an
RELATED CASES PENDING? X YES	NO IF YES, LIST DOG	KET NUMBERS: A-6537-03T3
DO YOU ANTICIPATE ADDING ANY PARTIES (a out of the same transaction or occurrence	· ·	ANT'S PRIMARY INSURANCE COMPANY, IF KNOWN
X YES NO	NONE	X UNKNOWN
THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS FORK CANNOT BE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE.		
THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON	THIS FORM CANNOT E	BE INTROBUCED INTO EVIDENCE
THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE		"
	S OF DETERMINING IF CA	"
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP:	S OF DETERMINING IF CA	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION FRIEND-NEIGHBOR X OTHER (explain)
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY?	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION FRIEND-NEIGHBOR
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION _ FRIEND-NEIGHBOR
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY?	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES	FRIEND-NEIGHBOR X OTHER (explain) Regulatoy BUSINESS NO PERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION FRIEND-NEIGHBOR X OTHER (explain) Regulatoy BUSINESS NO PERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES	FRIEND-NEIGHBOR X OTHER (explain) Regulatoy BUSINESS NO PERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION FRIEND-NEIGHBOR X OTHER (explain) Regulatoy BUSINESS NO PERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION FRIEND-NEIGHBOR
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES Y SPECIAL CASE CHARACT	FRIEND-NEIGHBOR X OTHER (explain) Regulatoy BUSINESS NO PERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSI A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN MANAGEMENT OR ACCELERATED DISPOSITION. DO YOU OR YOUR CLIENT NEED ANY DIS.	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES Y SPECIAL CASE CHARACT	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION _ FRIEND-NEIGHBOR
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IS FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN MANAGEMENT OR ACCELERATED DISPOSITION. DO YOU OR YOUR CLIENT NEED ANY DISACCOMMODATIONS?	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES Y SPECIAL CASE CHARACT	SE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION _ FRIEND-NEIGHBOR
CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSE A. DO THE PARTIES HAVE IF YES, IS THAT A CURRENT, PAST OR RELATIONSHIP: RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP? YESNO B. DOES THE STATUTE GOVERNING THIS CASE IF FOR PAYMENT OF FEES BY THE LOSING PARTY? USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE COURT TO AN MANAGEMENT OR ACCELERATED DISPOSITION. DO YOU OR YOUR CLIENT NEED ANY DISACCOMMODATIONS? YES X NO	ES OF DETERMINING IF CA EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE FAMILIAL PROVIDE X YES Y SPECIAL CASE CHARACT	FRIEND-NEIGHBOR X OTHER (explain) Regulatoy BUSINESS NO PERISTICS THAT MAY WARRANT INDIVIDUAL PASSING COUNT PASSIN