MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:  Chairman Mark Lohbauer, Richard Prickett, Candace Ashmun (1st Alternate) and D’Arcy Rohan Green (2nd Alternate)

MEMBERS ABSENT: Leslie Ficaglia, Paul E. Galletta and Robert Jackson

OTHER COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:  Joe DiBello, Sean Earlen, John Haas, Ed Lloyd, Ed McGlinchey and Fran Witt

STAFF PRESENT: Executive Director Nancy Wittenberg, Larry Liggett, Susan Grogan, Stacey Roth, Branwen Ellis and Betsy Piner. Also present was Ms. Kerstin Sundstrom, with the Governor’s Authorities Unit.

Chairman Lohbauer called the meeting to order at 9:37 a.m.

Noting the presence of ten Commissioners, Ms. Roth read the Open Public Meetings Act Statement

1. Adoption of minutes from the June 28, 2013 CMP Policy & Implementation Committee meeting

Commissioner Ashmun moved the adoption of the June 28, 2013 meeting minutes. Commissioner Prickett seconded the motion. The motion carried with Commissioners Haas, Lloyd, McGlinchey and Rohan Green abstaining.

2. Continued discussion of South Jersey Gas' proposed project to repower B.L. England

Chairman Lohbauer made an opening statement thanking the many member of the public for their interest and attendance. He said South Jersey Gas has applied to lay a gas pipeline from Millville to the B.L. England (BLE) electric generating station in order to convert the power source from coal to natural gas. At the last meeting, the Committee had heard a presentation on the proposed project and route and today will hear from the Board of Public Utilities (BPU). Following that presentation, public comment would be received. He said a sign-up sheet was circulating for those wishing to comment and he requested that comment be limited to 5 minutes per speaker. He said that this is not a hearing and no decision was being made today. He said
that there will be additional meetings at which comment would be received. Members of the Committee are here today to explore the facts and ask questions.

Note: any references in these minutes to the project and the route are to a proposed project and a proposed route.

Ms. Wittenberg said that earlier in the week she, along with Mr. Leakan and Mr. Mott, had driven the route of the pipeline through the Pinelands. She showed a series of photographs taken on that drive indicating the paved road, paved shoulder and mowed grass right-of-way. She indicated the presence of overhead electrical lines and a rather sparse development pattern. She also showed a map of the route. She said that 10.2 miles of the 22 mile route are in the Pinelands Forest Area.

In response to a question from Commissioner Witt, Ms. Wittenberg said that the proposed route runs under the shoulder of the roadway until it turns off the road and runs through the right-of-way under high tension electrical lines to the plant. This occurs within the Pineland National Reserve.

Ms. Ellis, Environmental Specialist, said that the pipe will be buried under the grass within the right-of-way and will switch sides of the road through which it travels.

Ms. Wittenberg said the Committee had previously asked questions regarding the capacity and demand of BLE and Ms. Roth had transmitted them to the Board of Public Utilities.

Ms. Roth introduced BPU representatives Ms. Tricia Caliguire, Chief Counsel, and Mr. Jerry May, Director, Division of Energy.

Mr. May said BPU has the responsibility to see that the regulated utilities under its jurisdiction provide safe, adequate and proper service at reasonable rates to their customers in New Jersey.

Mr. May said that the BPU has addressed the request from SJ Gas to provide gas to the BLE Plant through two Orders. The April 2013 Order approved the service agreement between RC Cape May Holdings (the current owner of BLE) and South Jersey Gas to transfer natural gas to BLE. The agreement did not include the sale of gas, only the transfer of gas. The June 2013 Order dealt with the standards for construction and operation of a proposed pipeline. He noted that if this were a federal pipeline, overseen by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), it would be buried only 3’ underground whereas New Jersey requires burial to a depth of 4’ to provide enhanced safety. He said that since this is an intrastate pipeline, BPU has jurisdiction. He said that BPU recognizes that it does not have final approval. There are additional permitting authorities including the municipalities, Department of Transportation to authorize the road openings, and the Pinelands Commission.

Commissioner Rohan Green asked if the Commission had a record of the BPU meetings that reflect the decisions made.
Mr. May responded that the Board agenda meetings are open to the public and Ms. Roth added that staff would get the transcripts to the Commission members.

Mr. May said that, in addition to its traditional statutory obligations, the BPU Orders reflect its efforts to address the 2011 Energy Master Plan. Among its goals is to increase the use of gas-fired power plants and develop gas infrastructure, particularly in South Jersey. He said that in South Jersey, the gas pipelines are located along the Turnpike, thus lie in the most western part of the State. South Jersey Gas is required to build transmission facilities to serve the South Jersey market. He said that the June Order approved a 24” pipeline to run through Maurice River and Upper Townships and the City of Estell Manor. It is a single pipeline and, in the nomenclature of the April Order, it is a reliability pipeline as well as a pipeline to provide service to BLE. The Board concluded that the entire pipeline was needed to serve BLE, but recognized that it served the secondary purpose of providing service to the South Jersey area. Those conclusions led to the location and size of the pipeline. The pipeline has the significant capacity required to serve BLE, but will also be able to provide enhanced reliability to the “downstream” customers. If there were to be an interruption to the line that currently feeds Cape May County, this proposed line would provide the redundancy to overcome that interruption. Mr. May said he understood what happens when one has to cut off gas and then restore the service. It is a lengthy and dangerous process. It is not an insignificant concern and BPU considers the proposed pipeline as an entire package. To serve only BLE or to provide only redundancy for the customers in Cape May County cannot be done separately, economically.

Chairman Lohbauer asked for confirmation that the pipeline was not exclusively to serve BLE.

Mr. May responded that the redundancy will protect the 63,000 Cape May County customers in case of interruption.

Commissioner Ashmun asked for the reference in the NJ Energy Master Plan and a map of the gas service area. She wanted to know the location of the existing service in Cape May County.

Commissioner McGlinchey asked if BLE were not interested in a gas line, was there any consideration for the redundancy.

Mr. May responded, “yes”. Starting in 2009, the Corzine administration promoted economic stimulus programs with the utilities. South Jersey Gas brought forth two projects that provided redundancy. He said he believed that we are now more aware of the need for redundancy due to the impacts of Superstorm Sandy.

Commissioner McGlinchey asked for confirmation that if BLE withdrew, BPU would still entertain a plan for this pipeline. He asked the percentage of the gas in the 24” line that would go to BLE.

Mr. May said that all gas will go to BLE unless there were a reliability issue, in which case, it would be immediately diverted to the Cape May County customers. He said he believed the pipeline was sized appropriately to serve both efforts.
In response to Chairman Lohbauer's question regarding the number of days of operation for BLE, Mr. May said that it will run 70-80% of the time. It is a combined cycle plant. In the last ten years, it has run 40-45% of the time. As the price of gas has dropped and the price of coal has increased, the gas-fired generators have displaced coal-fired generators. Typically a gas-fired plant runs 65-80% of the time; a nuclear plant runs >90%. It is not economical to run BLE as a peak plant. The probable closing of Oyster Creek, a base-load plant, will require a replacement source of energy for Ocean County. Conceivably, BLE could be that source.

In response to Commissioner Rohan Green’s question regarding what customers would be served by BLE if Oyster Creek did not shut down, Mr. Mays explained the regional transmission agency, PJM. Although originally PJM was a joint effort among the states of Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Maryland, it now transports energy to 14 states. He said BLE will bid on dispatching energy; one cannot say where that energy will go as it will be included with electricity transmitted through the PJM system.

Commissioner Earlen asked for confirmation about the capacity and either/or scenario for the gas supplied to BLE vs. an interruption to service to Cape May customers. Commissioners Ashmun and Prickett asked how the gas is supplied to such customers now and what is the current capacity vs. that of the proposed line.

Mr. May said that currently there are two gas pipelines and they are designed to meet the demands of the customers. Studies were done that showed that a 24” line will provide enough volume and pressure to serve the Cape May customers. If there were a major supply disruption, there needs to be instant diversion for redundancy and that line needs to bypass the problem area to reach the service area.

Commissioner Ashmun stated that the standard the Commission must meet to allow this pipeline is that the 24” line must be the minimum relief necessary.

Mr. May responded that “yes, it is”. He said a smaller diameter line will offset some loss of customers during a gas service interruption, but not all. One doesn’t want to lose any customers. Mr. May said that this line is needed at that size and that location. It is coincidental that the line is also adequate for BLE.

Mr. May said he would provide the Commission with the transcripts, BPU Orders and pipeline application.

Commissioner Rohan Green asked about the potential shut down of BLE.

Mr. May said that the Energy Master Plan encourages gas-fired electric generation in South Jersey. BPU has no ability to tell industry where to build its generating stations but believes that the gas supply and electrical generation is needed in this part of the State. It considers reliability to be a significant issue.

In response to Commissioner Earlen’s question as to what standards the BPU must meet, Ms. Roth said that the Commission can entertain an MOA with a public entity when a proposal is
inconsistent with the CMP. It is completely discretionary on the part of the Commission but there must be an offset providing an equivalent level of protection. There is no compelling public need component.

To Commissioner Haas’ statement that the Commission wants “mitigation”, Ms. Roth said that one uses the term “offset” and it must have an appropriate nexus to the project. She said that there has been no discussion of an offset.

In response to Commissioner Lloyd’s question that the applicant is South Jersey Gas so why would the Commission enter an MOA with a private entity, Ms. Roth said that, as with the Conectiv matter some years ago, BPU has extensive regulatory authority over public utilities and it is the BPU that will be the signatory to the MOA. The language in the CMP does not require the applicant to be the signatory. BPU is directing South Jersey Gas to construct the pipeline.

Chairman Lohbauer said that he thought he had heard Mr. May state the BPU does not direct where the electric generating plants are constructed.

Mr. May said that BPU has no ability to direct where construction of electric generation facilities occurs.

Ms. Caliguire said that BPU does not direct the utilities to construct power plants. Its authority is over the transmission of utilities.

Ms. Wittenberg reminded all of the Administrative Consent Order issued by DEP.

Mr. May said that there are air pollution benefits by re-powering the BL England plant with gas.

Ms. Wittenberg said that Mr. May was responding to a list of questions that had been provided to him by the Commission.

Mr. May said that in response to a concern that there is a “glut” of energy in New Jersey, the BPU does not see such a glut. If anything, the State is a little short and using a local energy source is always preferred.

Chairman Lohbauer asked how the State could prefer “home-grown” energy if it is transferred through the 14-state PJM.

Mr. May responded, from an economic standpoint, everyone bids in for the power and then PJM disperses the energy. New Jersey has a significant amount of congestion, meaning it does not have sufficient numbers of nor large enough transmission lines to bring energy from the West. As such, New Jersey pays “congestion costs”. The BPU encourages in-State power generation to reduce costs.

Mr. May said that there are no compression stations along the pipeline. There are regulator stations to monitor the pressure in the line. He also said that alternative routes had been
examined but there is no other route that can resolve the reliability issue which is important to BPU. This is believed to be the best route.

In response to Chairman Lohbauer’s question if there were other routes considered for both BLE and reliability that did not transverse the Pinelands, Mr. May said that he believed there were significant environmental issues if the pipeline were placed under the Great Egg Harbor Bay and such placement would not deal with the reliability issue in any case.

Ms. Ellis said that three routes were considered, one of which was outside the Pinelands and it was rejected due to environmental issues.

Chairman Lohbauer said that he would like to see the alternate routes.

Mr. May, continuing with his responses to the questions, said that South Jersey Gas will not be selling gas to BLE, only transporting it. The BPU will set the rate so that South Jersey Gas will make a regulated return as their profit. Much of the April BPU order was related to the rate of return.

Ms. Wittenberg noted that not all the questions were directed to BPU and the list would be posted on the web site.

Mr. May responded to a question regarding the risk of leakage of gas and said that no one can ever give any guarantee but this pipeline will be built to the highest state-of-the-art standards. The pipe is cathodically protected to prevent corrosion and is subject to periodic inspection as required by BPU.

Mr. May responded to a question about the impact of BLE not operating. He said that it would not be in the best interest of the State of New Jersey. Absent the BLE plant, it is likely the PJM would need to seek an equivalent level of energy and capacity to meet the stringent reliability requirements. PJM pays for capacity and the annual auction is for the right to provide the capacity necessary going forward for two years. PJM has no authority to build power plants but can order the addition of high tension electrical transmission lines. Absent BLE, the power would come from the West or the South and high tension lines would be needed in this area, likely adding to the cost of electricity.

In response to Commissioner Prickett’s question if Oyster Creek should shut down, would BLE be able to reproduce that power, Mr. May said that he believed it would help but was not likely at a 1:1 ratio. There is some ability to run the unit more often than anticipated.

Chairman Lohbauer asked Mr. May about BPUs energy plan and its alternative energy element including offshore wind to supplement the need for BLE to generate electricity.

Mr. May said that the State has a policy to encourage the development of offshore wind generation. However, offshore wind is a variable source. Currently wind power cannot be run continuously, or dispatched. It can only run when the wind is blowing. He said that the hope is
to combine the two technologies; have BLE act as the dispatchable source working in concert with offshore wind. Currently, wind is not a viable alternative because it is not dispatchable.

Commissioner Ashmun said that the 2011 Energy Master Plan (EMP) calls for a quantity of renewable energy by 2020. The EMP is supposed to be leading the State to make it happen. She asked what the State was doing by encouraging the placement of a 24” pipeline through the Pinelands. Where is the incentive to focus on renewable energy?

Mr. May said that he believed both were needed. BPU is sincerely interested in pursuing offshore wind and encouraging other entities to work towards it.

That concluded the BPU presentation to the Committee and Mr. May and Ms. Caliguire left the meeting. Many members of the public asked why they were not staying to respond to questions from the public. Chairman Lohbauer reminded the public that this was not the BPU’s meeting and that the representatives had come specifically to address the Commission.

Commissioner Earlen left the meeting at 11:22 a.m.

3. Public comment

Ms. Diane Marie asked why there had been no discussion of solar energy. She cited recent pipeline leaks and noted that pipelines are always problematic. She said there has never been an oil spill that had been cleaned up satisfactorily.

Mr. Victor Maene said that he had been an environmental chemist with the Atlantic County Utilities Authority for 28 years. He asked if the gas line terminated at BLE and, if so, how that could provide redundancy to South Jersey gas customers. He questioned how the permits could have been fast-tracked as T/E studies require two year studies. He said he could not believe that this project was being considered as it put the environment at risk. He said the BLE site was ideal for renewable energy and asked why the gas line was even considered as the gas is generated from “fracking” in the Marcellus shale. He said he supported solar energy, he hoped that the Commission would not approve the gas line and asked that the stake be put in the heart of this monster.

Dr. Ron Hutchison, Stockton College professor and member of the South Jersey chapter of 350.org, said that energy efficiency gains in New Jersey since 2008 have been tremendous and residents are getting better at using electricity. He said that he respectfully disagrees that BLE is needed. He said CO₂ is a pollutant causing environmental damage and one should not be comparing the use of gas vs. coal for BLE but should be looking at renewable energy. He said that he disagreed that renewables could not be dispatchable as the use of batteries resolves that issue. He asked how many times 63,000 customers have lost service, what was the level of redundancy in CMC and how does it compare with that of other areas. He asked that the Commissioners consider the social cost of carbon when considering an offset. He said that Mr. May had said it was not good to import electricity from the West but isn’t that no different from importing gas from the West? He said that the Commission’s role should be to protect the Pinelands rather than getting the best value for the ratepayer.
Ms. Georgina Shanley, member of CURE (Citizens United for Renewable Energy) said that she opposed the gas line. She described the status of the current emission issues with the three power units at BLE and said that this project has been put on a fast track. She said that she has a BPU report that indicates BLE will serve Atlantic County in addition to Cape May County. She said she was concerned with a pipeline traversing high fire risk areas. She said that a gas pipeline does nothing to preserve, protect or enhance the Pinelands.

Ms. Sharon Finlayson, with the New Jersey Environmental Federation, said that the laying of a pipeline would also mean ongoing access and maintenance issues, creating an ongoing assault on the Pinelands. She asked how the gas service would be supplied under a redundancy scenario. Finally she asked that the Commission deny this destructive pipeline.

Mr. Carl Whitby said that he was a spokesperson for a faith-based environmental group and he was concerned that this site has the potential for becoming an overseas export terminal to countries where gas brings higher prices. He said that he was also concerned with fires.

Commissioner Witt left the meeting at 11:58 a.m. and said that he appreciated the comments and would be considering them.

Mr. Doug Dickinson said he was a concerned citizen and councilman in Egg Harbor City. He said he supported renewable energy and was concerned with the threatened and endangered species studies that had been done. He said that 22 miles requires a tremendous amount of research. Furthermore, referencing native Americans, he said he believed that more thorough archaeological studies should be done along the route. He implored the Commission to deny this pipeline.

Ms. Maryann Clemente, Barnegat Township resident, said that she intended to write to BPU about the presentation today and the reluctance of their representatives to stay and respond to public questions. She said that the Commission’s job is to preserve and protect the Pinelands and that renewable energy should be the first thing that comes to mind.

Mr. Andrew DuBrul said he was a teacher of Environmental Science and Biology and had worked with the Commission on a timber rattlesnake project. He was concerned with the project and feared that it would set a bad precedent.

Mr. Lee Rosenson, with PPA and the NJ Audubon Society, said that an MOA is a way to get around the rules if the Commission chooses to do so, as long as it is with a public agency. He said that there has been much irrelevant discussion regarding the size of the pipeline but nothing about the benefit to the Pinelands. He said that the applicant has offered five benefits including jobs, taxes, a source of electricity, and reductions in air and water pollution. He said that would be a good thing but the net benefit of bringing fracked natural gas and putting a disincentive on renewable energy would not benefit the Pinelands. He believed the benefits have not been demonstrated and that other benefit, reliability, seemed questionable.

Commissioner McGlinchey left at 12:17 p.m.
Ms. Pat Barndt, a candidate for Ocean County Freeholder, said her primary concern was what portion of the gas would be exported. She believed the project had nothing to do with providing service to Cape May County. She said she had attended a meeting regarding Oyster Creek (nuclear generating station) two nights ago and there seems to be a lack of consideration for the people. She asked how many lives would be hurt by radiation, fracking, and poisons. She said she saw no reason for this route.

Chairman Lohbauer noted that 3 routes had been presented but the others were rejected due to environmental concerns.

Ms. Barndt said that she was concerned with the impact of increased volumes of cooling water from the plant being sent to the Great Egg Harbor Bay and asked if Mr. May would be addressing this at a future meeting.

Chairman Lohbauer responded that it was unknown.

Ms. Barndt said that those in the room today were fighting to protect our environment and “repair the world.”

Ms. Primrose Reeves said that she echoed the earlier statements of Mr. Maene and Dr. Hutchison.

Mr. Bill Wolfe, with the Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility (PEER), said the development of this gas pipeline was not in the public interest and was not good energy policy. He asked how the Commission could consider an MOA with a private entity and said there was a lot of misrepresentation of the facts today. He asked the Commission to probe issues related to fire and other impacts. He said that that DEP entered into an Administrative Consent Order to shut down the plant, a regulatory agreement that would shut down the plant for clean air improvements.

Ms. Marilyn Miller, former resident of The Villas and currently resident of Toms River, said the Pinelands were extremely important to her and she had deep concerns about this project. With so many disasters, she questioned the number of people who would be impacted by the gas line and begged the Commission to stop the “racing train.”

Dr. Bob Allen, former educator at Penn State and now at Cumberland College, said he was a South Jersey Gas customer himself and had seen Ms. Roth’s presentation at the June meeting. He said that he saw no way could an MOA offer an offset meeting the standard of “equivalent level of protection” with the overall fire risks and concerns for pipeline safety. He distributed an excerpt from the Municipal Reporter, a publication of the Pennsylvania Municipal League, entitled Mayors’ Council on Pipeline Safety: Building Better Cities (to file copy of these Minutes, only) and discussed communities where gas pipeline accidents have occurred. He said the Commission must have answers to questions related to forest fragmentation, the edge effect, invasive species, and contingency plans for catastrophic failure of the pipeline before entering into any MOA.
Mr. Michael Neuhaus said he opposed the pipeline and nothing said by Mr. May this morning had changed his mind. The Pinelands is a treasure and we are lucky to have it. The pipeline may be clean in our back yard, but not at the source. This project is not looking into the future but only at short term goals. Our world is withering away: just say No.

Mr. Tom Mahedy, with the Fort Monmouth Peace Alliance, polled the audience for support for the pipeline. He said he saw this project as a parallel to destroying the rainforest to build boardwalks at the shore. This portion of the Pinelands is seen as a sacrificial zone. He said it was telling that the BPU officials left today following their presentation.

Mr. Jack Miller, Upper Township resident, urged promoting renewable resources rather than pushing through fossil fuels. He said cheap energy was killing us all. This was an opportunity for the Commission to make a statement.

Mr. Paul Utts, a small business owner in Atlantic County, said he hoped the Commission will consider the reality of climate change and the pressure to put fracked gas on the market.

Captain Joel S. Fogel, merchant marine officer, charter member of the Explorers’ Club, and member of the Atlantic County Utilities Authority Groundwater Advisory Board among other affiliations, presented the Commission with a framed Pinelands photograph made by Mr. Greg Vizzi. He said that pipelines are an antiquated technology, that leaks into the groundwater were possible and that the Commission should protect air and water.

Ms. Roth noted that the gift could not be accepted.

Ms. Margo Pellegrino said that BPU should promote their solar program and any disaster would have the names of the Commission members on it. She spoke also on behalf of her friend Bridget (who was not present) and asked how those in charge of the Pinelands could consider the pipeline.

Ms. Marilyn Silver, a PhD candidate, said that if the Commission can say “yes” to this pipeline, then to what project would it say “No”?

Mr. Greg Vizzi, Sweetwater resident, said that he lived on the Mullica River, the cleanest river on the Eastern seaboard due to the fact that it runs through the Pinelands with its regeneration and protection of that water. He said that in the 20th Century, the issues were jobs vs. the environment; in the 21st Century the issue has moved on to sustainability. He said that man is the endangered species. The technology exists to turn around the headlong leap toward a cliff; the gas line is unsustainable. He said that the MOA is a loophole and there was no way to offset an impact on a 17 trillion gallon aquifer. Approving the gas line would be comparable to playing Russian roulette with the future of our water supply. He asked South Jersey Gas to become a player with renewable energy.

Mr. John Bingham, a 19-year old economics student and Beachwood Borough Committee candidate, asked how Atlantic Blueberry owner, Commissioner Galletta (not present at this
(meeting) would feel about the impact a gas line might have on his water-dependent business. He said that there is no 100% certainty and even a 1% fail rate will ruin the Pinelands. He said business should not supersede the environment.

Ms. Linda Stevens, of Normandy Shores, NJ, a Superstorm Sandy victim, retired insurance adjuster and candidate for Toms River Township Council, said that all parts of the State needed to be preserved. New Jersey is a wonderful place. It is one thing to be impacted by a natural disaster but she never thought that the Commission would allow a pipeline through the Pinelands.

Ms. Iris Marie Bloom, Director of Protecting Our Waters, a nonprofit advocacy group, said that her group opposes Marcellus shale fracking. She said it has had tremendous impacts and that some 161 families have lost their water due to the dirty process and probably many more have done so unofficially. There are severe health impacts. Pipeline accidents happen daily and are not rare; they are reported only when catastrophic. Compression stations are vulnerable to explosions and any resulting fires are destructive and difficult to control. She said that methane is released from the extraction process and is a more highly potent greenhouse gas than CO₂.

Chairman Lohbauer confirmed that all interested speakers had been an opportunity to comment once and then invited those who wished to speak again to do so.

Ms. Barndt said that she was a former Pennsylvania resident, and until recently, proud to live in New Jersey. She asked the Commission to oppose the pipeline and take this as an opportunity to have New Jersey lead the East coast in renewable energy.

At 1:40 p.m., Chairman Lohbauer closed the Public Comment portion of the agenda. He said that with so many questions raised, there was more documentation to be provided.

Ms. Roth said that, should the P&I Committee decide to consider entering into a MOA, there is nearly a 4-month process including an evening public hearing, a draft recommendation prepared for the Committee and then the Committee’s option to refer the MOA to the full Commission. Any public comment could be submitted to info@njpines.state.nj.us. It will be at the discretion of the P&I Committee to enter into a MOA and this is a very public process. The Committee has more questions and will provide the staff with direction. The Committee does not have the authority to enter into the MOA, only the full Commission.

Ms. Shanley asked if Commissioner Ashmun had yet received the information and reports that she had requested at the June 28, 2013 Committee meeting. She responded that she had not.

In response to Mr. Vizzi’s question as to what had triggered the generation of this MOA, Chairman Lohbauer responded that it was the application for the gas line.

5. Other Items of Interest

There being no other items of interest, the meeting adjourned at 1:45 p.m. (moved by Commissioner Prickett and seconded by Commissioner Ashmun).
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