1

1

STATE OF NEW JERSEY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

3

2

4

5

IN THE MATTER OF THE BOARD'S INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE RECLASSIFICATION OF INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER (ILEC) SERVICES AS COMPETITIVE-

DOCKET NO.: TX11090578

7

6

8

Ü

9 BEFORE:

PHASE II

COMMISSIONER NICHOLAS ASSELTA, HEARING EXAMINER

10

COMMISSIONER JEANNE M. FOX COMMISSIONER MARY-ANNA HOLDEN

12

11

ALEX MOREAU, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

13 TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the
14 proceedings in the above-entitled matter, held at the
15 office of the Board of Public Utilities,
16 44 South Clinton Avenue, Trenton, New Jersey 08625 on

July 17, 2012, commencing at 9:55 a.m.

17

_ : _

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUL 2 5 2012

J.H. BUEHRER & ASSOCIATES 2295 BIG ENOUGH WAY TOMS RIVER, NJ 08755 (732) 557-4755

					Jul	y 17, 201
APP	E ARANĆ RS.	Page 2	1 .			Page 4
					BITS CONTINUED:	
	BY: WILLIAM D. SMITH, ESO		1 -		EZSCRIPTION I.D.	EVID.
	New York, New York 10007			CL-1	Initial Testimony of Mark D. 118 Harper, public version	180
	CENTURYLINK BY: SUE BENEDEK, ESO.		5	CL-2	initial Testimony of Mark B. 118 Harper, confidential version	180
	240 North 3rd Street Suite 300 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101		7	CL-3	Reply Testimony of Mark D. 118 Harper, public version	180
	SAUL EWING, LLP		8	CL-4	Harper, confidential version	180
	1037 Raymond Boulevard Suite 1520		10	CL-5	Harper, Public Version	180
	On behalf of CenturyLink	**	11	CL-6	ebuttal Testimony of Mark D. 118 Harper, confidential version	180
	DIVISION OF THE DATE CONSCRI	• • • •	12	RC-1	Initial Testimony of Susan M. 27 Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version	180
	PO Box 46005		14	RC-1A	nitial Testimony of Susan M. 27 Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, confidential version	180
•	On behalf of Rate Counsel STATE OF NEW JERSEY		16	RC-2	Reply Testimony of Susan M. 27 Baldwin and Sarah M. Rosels	180
	DIVISION OF LAW BY: T. DAVID WAND, DEPUTY ATTORNEY	GENERAL	18	RC-2A	Reply Testimony of Susan M. 27	180
	Newark. New Jersey 07101-8000	ilities	20	RC-3	Paldwin and Sarah M. Bosoly	180
			22	RC-3A	abuttal Testimony of Susan M. 26 Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosaly	180
			24 25	RC~4	Verizon mixed public and	100
			<u> </u>			
	T 33 D D 40	Page 3	١.			Page 5
OPENING		77.00				
BY:		•] -		: MSCRIPTION I.D.	EVID.
BY:	MS. BENEDEK			RC-3	confidential discovery responses	180
BY:	D78500	22	5	RC-6	erizon SEC 10-K, Verizon 40 Financial reports, Verizon	180
		KECKOZS	l -	20.10		
			_	RC+12	Communications Act of 1934	180
	MR. WHITE 30	116	9	RC-8A	Only a Few Companies Dominate 74 New Jersey's Telecommunications Markets, State Assessments:	180
BY:	MS. BENEDEK 119			DC-AR		
BY:	MR. WHITE 122		12		2012 Cable Description	180
SUSAN M.	BALDWIN		13		Assessments	180
BY:	MR. WHITE 170		14	RC-10	Document re RC-VNG-34 74	180
	2 X H I R I T Q		15	RC-11	Access Order by Appellate 74	180
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION I.D.	EVID.	16	RC-13	DIVISION Part 1 5	
VNJ-01C	Direct Testimony of Paul B. 28	180	17		NPS Survey - Retail DA - 74 Internal Survey	180
VNJ-01P	Direct Testimony of Paul B. 28	180	18 19	RC-14	Article, Competition Worries 74 Snag Verizon Deal on Airwaves, dated July 13, 2012	180
VNJ-02C	Reply Testimony of Paul B. 29 Vasington, proprietary version	180	20 21	RC-15	The Commonwealth of 74 Massachusetts, House	180
VNJ-02P	Reply Testimony of Paul B. 29 Vasington, public version	180	22 23	RC-7	Selected Statistical Data 132 Re Annual Reports Filed	180
	Balandan S. Mark January and M. A. San and S. San and S	100			By CenturyLink	- 1
VNJ-03C	Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. 29 Vasington, proprietary version	180	24	RC-16	2011 477 Report 132	180
	OPENING BY: BY: BY: WITNESS PAUL B. BY: BY: SUSAN M. BY: SUSAN M. BY: VNJ-01C VNJ-01C	A0 West Street, 27th Floor New York, New York 10007 On behalf of Verizon, New Jersey CENTURYLINK BY: SUE BEMEDEK, ESQ. 240 North 3rd Street Suite 300 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101 On behalf of CenturyLink SAUL EWING, LLP BY: COLLEEN A. FOLEY, ESQ. 1037 Raymond Boulevard Suite 1520 Newark, New Jersey 07102-5426 On behalf of CenturyLink STATE OF NEW JERSEY DIVISION OF THE RATE COUNSEL BY: MR. JOSE RIVERA-BENITEZ, ESQ. BY: MR. JOSE RIVERA-BENITEZ, ESQ. BY: MR. MARIA NOVAS-RUIZ, ESQ. BY: MR. JOSE RIVERA-BENITEZ, ESQ. BY: MR. JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF LAW & PUBLIC SAFETY DIVISION OF LAW BY: T. DAVID WAND, DEPUTY ATTORNEY 124 HALSEY Street PO BOX 45029 Newark, New Jersey 07101-8029 On behalf of the Board of Public Ut: OPENING STAYEMENT BY: MR. WHITE BY: MR. BENEDEK BY: MR. WHITE B	VERIZON NEW JERSEY BY: WILLIAM D. SMITH, ESQ. 40 West Street, 27th Floor New York, New York 10007 On behalf of Verizon, New Jersey CENTURKINK BY: SUE BENEDER, ESQ. 240 North 3rd Street Suite 300 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101 On behalf of CenturyLink SALL ENING, LLP BY: COLLEEN A. FOLEY, ESQ. 1037 RAYMOND BOULEVARD Suite 1520 Newark, New Jersey 07102-5426 On behalf of CenturyLink STATE OF NEW JERSEY DIVISION OF THE RATE COUNSEL BY: MR. CHRISTOPHER J. WHITE, ESQ. BY: MR. MARIA NOVAS-RUIZ, ESQ. BY: MR. MARIA NOVAS-RUIZ, ESQ. BY: MR. MARIA NOVAS-RUIZ, ESQ. BY: MR. MARIA NOVAS-RUIZ SEQ. BY: MR. WARIA NOVAS-RUIZ SEQ. BY: MR. WAJERSEY DEVARTMENT OF LAW 6 PUBLIC SAFETY DIVISION OF LAW BY: T. DAVID WAND, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL IO BALSEY Street DEVARTMENT OF LAW 6 PUBLIC SAFETY DIVISION OF LAW BY: T. DAVID WAND, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL IO BALSEY Street DEVARY STREET DEVARY STREET BY: MR. SHITH BY: MS. BENEDEK BY: MR. SHITH BY: MS. BENEDEK BY: MR. WHITE BY: MS. BENEDEK BY: MS. BEN	VERIEON NEW JERSEY BY WILLIAM D. SMITH, ESQ. AND WOOK SENTER CONTROL OF THE MAN TOOK	A P P E A R A N C E S: VERIZON NEW JERSEY ST. WILLIAM D. SMITH, ESQ. G. WILLIAM D. SMITH, ESQ. G. WILLIAM D. SMITH, ESQ. G. C.	A P P E A R A N C E S: VENIORN NEW JERSEY WEN WILLIAM D. SMITHS EBO. GENERAL STREET STATE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION NEW JERSEY CENTORYLINK BY BOE BENEROEK, REQ. GENERAL STREET STATE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION GENERAL STREET COLLEGE A. POLICY FROM STATE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION GENERAL STREET STATE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION GENERAL STREET STATE OF HEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF HER FROM STATE OF HEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF LAW & FUNDING STATEMENT STATE OF HEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF LAW & FUNDING STATE OF HEW JERSEY DEPARTMEN

		Page 6	Col	July 17, 2
1	TRANSCRIPT · REQU	EST:	1	rag
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	2	MR. SMITH: William D. Smith for Verizo
3	Verizon annual reports filed with the BP		3	New Jersey.
4	Verizon CLEC revenues reported in 10-Q as under what business entity	nd	4	MS. BENEDEK: Sue Benedek for Centurylink MR. WHITE: Christopher White, Jose
5	- ,	49	5	Rivers-Benitez, and Maria Novas-Ruiz for rate counsel
6	Document Mr. Vasington referenced	8.5	6	
7	Identify and supply transcripts of proceedings where Mr. Herper and	129	7	MR. WAND: Deputy Attorney General David
8	Dr. Stairh both provided testimony		8	Wand on behalf of board staff from the Division of Law.
9	•		9	MR. SMITH: With me today is Ava-Mari
0			_	Madeas, counsel of record.
.1	3	84	10	MS. BENEDEK: And with me, your Honor, i
2			11	Colleen Foley from Saul Ewing.
3			12	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Are there any
4			13	preliminary matters that need to be addressed before we
5	* E		14	begin?
-	15	ł	15	MR. WHITE: We have one, your Honor.
6	35		16	We've met and discussed among the parties,
7		j	17	we want to propose modifying the briefing schedule that
8			18	is laid out in the procedural order and under that w
9			19	would propose to change initial briefs to October 2n
0	¥.,.	i	20	and reply briefs to November 1st.
L		ľ	21	This is due to several factors, including
S	•		22	the order that was issued yesterday requiring certain
3		i	23	documents be provided by July 25th. It would also
1			24	enable the parties to further explore settlement
5			25	negotiations between the parties and we'd ask your Konor
floq	HAV			
L L	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Good			ing Statement Page 9
- 2 m	weryone. My name is Commissioner Nicholas A			to approve that modification.
	will preside over these evidentiary hearing		2	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay. Approved.
			3	Bill?
	matter of the Board's investigation regard		4	MR. SMITH: I have no objection.
	eclassification of incumbent local exchang		5	MS. BENEDEK: No objection.
	ervices as competitive, Docket No. TX1109		6	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Does any party
	With me today is Deputy Attorne		7	wish to make a brief opening statement?
	lex Moreau who will be advising me on this ma		8	MR. SMITH: Verizon wishes to make an
- a:	lso Commissioner Jeanne Fox is supposed to at		9	opening statement. We will keep it as brief as
	ommissioner Holden may also attend. So we're :	not going]		possible.
C	o wait for them. We're going to begin.			
Co t			11	MS. BENEDEK: And Centurylink and con-
t	Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.198, ti	ne Board, J	11 12	MS. BENEDEK: And ConturyLink as well. MR. WHITE: And rate Coursel as well.
t Co	rior to determining whether a service is comp	ne Board,]		MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well.
t Co	rior to determining whether a service is comp	ne Board,]	12	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith.
Co t pr mi	rior to determining whether a service is comp ust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease o	etitive, 1 market 1	12 13 14	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning.
Co t pr mr	rior to determining whether a service is comp ust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease o ntry; number two, presence of competitors; an	ne Board,] etitive,] f market 1 d, number 1	12 13 14 15	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an
ps mi er	rior to determining whether a service is comp ust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease o	ne Board, I etitive, I f market I d, number I services I	12 13 14 15	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey.
ps mi er	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease ontry; number two, presence of competitors; and hree, the availability of like or substitute in the relevant geographic area.	etitive, 1 market 1 d, number 1 services 1	12 13 14 15 16	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the
t Cc	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease of ntry; number two, presence of competitors; and hree, the availability of like or substitute in the relevant geographic area. Should the Board ultimately deem any	etitive, 1 f market 1 d, number 1 services 1 r service 1	1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 a 1.7	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the coard's investigation regarding reclassification of
Co. t. pri	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease ontry; number two, presence of competitors; and hree, the availability of like or substitute in the relevant geographic area. Should the Board ultimately deem any on be competitive, the Board would no longer	ne Board, 1 etitive, 1 f market 1 d, number 1 services 1 r service 1 regulate 1	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 E	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the coard's investigation regarding reclassification of noumbent local exchange carrier services as
pr mr er th	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease on the competitors; and the competitors; and the competitive of like or substitute on the relevant geographic area. Should the Board ultimately deem any to be competitive, the Board would no longer the rates or terms and conditions of such see	me Board, I setitive, I for market I d, number I services I regulate I regulate I services. I services.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the coard's investigation regarding reclassification of incumbent local exchange carrier services as competitive.
the control of the co	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease on try; number two, presence of competitors; and hree, the availability of like or substitute in the relevant geographic area. Should the Board ultimately deem any or be competitive, the Board would no longer the rates or terms and conditions of such we in addition to evidentiary heari	te Board, I setitive, I f market I d, number I services I regulate I regulate I prvices. Ings, the I services.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 20	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the coard's investigation regarding reclassification of incumbent local exchange carrier services as competitive. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to
the test of test of the test o	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease on try; number two, presence of competitors; and hree, the availability of like or substitute in the relevant geographic area. Should the Board ultimately deem any to be competitive, the Board would no longer the rates or terms and conditions of such search will achedule three public hearings in this part will achedule three public hearings in this	te Board, I setitive, I f market I d, number I services I regulate I regulate I regulate I regulate I services. I mgs, the sematter I services I regulate	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 20 6	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the coard's investigation regarding reclassification of incumbent local exchange carrier services as competitive. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to ake this brief opening statement. During my statement.
Do Co.	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease on the control of the control of the control of the control of the availability of like or substitute in the relevant geographic area. Should the Board ultimately deem any to be competitive, the Board would no longer the rates or terms and conditions of such search of the control of the con	te Board, I setitive, I f market I d, number I services I regulate I regulate I regulate I regulate I services. I mgs, the sematter I services I regulate	1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 E 1.9 i	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the coard's investigation regarding reclassification of incumbent local exchange carrier services as competitive. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to ake this brief opening statement. During my statement, will make reference to four confidential charts than
O Color Colo	rior to determining whether a service is compust at a minimum evaluate, number one, ease on try; number two, presence of competitors; and hree, the availability of like or substitute in the relevant geographic area. Should the Board ultimately deem any to be competitive, the Board would no longer the rates or terms and conditions of such search will achedule three public hearings in this part will achedule three public hearings in this	ne Board, 1 etitive, 1 f market 1 d, number 1 services 1 regulate 1 revices. 2 ngs, the 2 sublic to 2	1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 E 1.9 i	MR. WHITE: And rate counsel as well. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith. MR. SMITH: Good morning. My name is William Smith and I am an assistant general counsel for Verizon, New Jersey. We are here this morning for Phase II of the coard's investigation regarding reclassification of incumbent local exchange carrier services as competitive. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to

Opening Statement

Page 10

Page 12

- confidential data during my statement.
- The purpose of Phase II of this proceeding
- 3 is to reevaluate the competitiveness of certain services
- and products that were addressed in respective
- 5 stipulations that the parties and the board staff 6
- reached at the conclusion of Phase I.
- Pursuant to the Verizon stipulation, all
- mass market retail services that were still subject to 8
- 9 rate regulation were classified as competitive, except
- 10 for four services: Residential basic exchange service,
- including usage; single-line basic exchange service;
- 12 nonrecurring charges for installation of residential
- 13 services; and residential DA services.
- 14 These four services which we refer to in
- 15 testimony as Verizon's legacy landline services remained
- 16 rate regulated but were subject to certain stipulated
- 17 allowed rate increases over a three-year period at the
- discretion of Verison. The stipulation provided for a 18
- review of the classification of the four legacy landline 19
- 20 services after three years which gave rise to this
- 21 proceeding.
- 22 This proceeding provides the Board with
- 23 another opportunity to promote communications
- competition to the benefit of the New Jersey consumers
- 25 and to further the Board's leadership in the

- Opening Statement
- 1 Comcast, Cablevision, and an ever-increasing array of
- other innovative competitors have continued to take tens
- of thousands of lines and DA calls from Verizon since
 - the conclusion of the Phase I proceeding.
- For example, at the end of Phase I Verizon
- controlled 100 percent of the Lifelina customers is its 7 territory and received 100 percent of Universal Service
- Funding for these customers. Today the picture has 8
- changed drastically as wireless BTCs have entered the
- 10 market and are competing vigorously for these customers.
- As a result, Verizon now receives less than 25 percent 11
- of the USF Lifeline funding for Lifeline customers. 12
- 13 More than twenty years ago when it passed
- 14 the 1992 Telecommunications Act, the New Jersey
- 15 legislature recognized that regulated carriers like 16
- Verizon would be facing this type of significant competition and should be allowed to compete on a level 17
- 18
- playing field with unregulated, nontraditional
- 19 competitors.
- 20 That is why the New Jersey legislature set 21
- up a simple but effective test to determine when, as a matter of economics and sound public policy, it makes 22
- sense to remove unnecessary rate regulation and allow 23
- the market to establish the appropriate rates for 24
- 25 services that have been found to be competitive.

Opening Statement

Page 11

- communications industry by continuing to recognize the profound impact that competition is having on the state.
- Almost four years ago in the order approving the
- stipulations, the Board specifically found that it is
- 5 evident that sufficient competition exists to relieve
- 6 both Verizon and Embarq from the Board's existing
- 7 regulations for mass market retail services articulated
- 8 in the stipulations.
- 9 By the conclusion of this hearing, the 10
- evidence will demonstrate that there is now more
- competitive entry and growth, more competitors in the 11
- 12 market, and more substitution for legacy landline and DA
- services than there were four years ago as customers
- 14 have continued to adapt the technological innovations to
- 15 their individual communications needs.
- 16 The evidence will also make clear that the
- 17 communications industry in New Jersey continues to be
- subject to an ever-increasing competitive pressures as 18
- traditional carriers, like Verizon, face direct and 19
- 20 unrelenting competitive pressure from an array of
- established and new service providers and services,
- including cable television providers, wireless 22
- 23 providers, VoIP providers, and well-established CLECs.
- 24 These competitors which include Vonage,
- magicJack, Skype, a division of Microsoft, ATST, Sprint,

Opening Statement

- Page 13
- 1 That test has three prongs that the Board has repeatedly applied without addition or supplemental
- criteria in past reclassification proceedings. 3
- 4 The first prong of the test, ease of market
- entry, is conclusively satisfied by the fact that 5
- 6 existing and potential competitors have been successful
- 7 in entering the market and expanding the scope of the
- services that they offer and increasing the scale of
- their businesses. As noted, the entry of the wireless 9
- 10 ETCs since the conclusion of Phase I is just one good
- 11 example of how innovative carriers have continued to
- 12 seek competitive opportunities in New Jersey and are
- providing alternatives to Verizon's residential basic 13
- 14 exchange service customers.
- 15
- The second prong of the test, the presence 16
- of competitors, is easily satisfied for Verizon New 17
- Jersey's legacy landline services. As I've already 18
- indicated, there is an array of both traditional and 19
- nontraditional competitors that are vigorously competing
- for Verizon's legacy landline and residential DA 20 21
- services. Competitive carriers, wireless carriers, 22 cable companies, and VoIP providers are all present in
- 23 New Jersey.
- 24 The evidence in this case will demonstrate
- 25 that these competitive carriers now control over

Opening Statement Page 14 Opening Statement Page 16 1 50 percent of the lines to New Jersey's 3 million plus in charge and customers are driving companies to invest 2 households. This evidence is summarized in Chart A. As and innovate in figuring out how best to meet oustomers' 3 can be seen from a small red slice on the pie chart, evolving needs and demands. As a result, VoIP carriers, only a small percentage of the households in New Jersey such as magicJack, and wireless carriers, like TracFone, continue to purchase Verizon's legacy landline services have entered the market to compete for low income 6 that are the subject of this hearing. customers, while an array of established competitive The record will show that the third and carriers, such as Comcast, Cablevision, and AT&T final prong of the test, availability of like or wireless, continue to innovate and take lines from substitute services is also easily satisfied. The Verizon's legacy landline business as is demonstrated in evidence of Verizon's line losses to competitors 10 10 the charts. 11 demonstrates conclusively that substitute services are 11 Finally, the scope of this proceeding must readily available for legacy landline services that are 12 be kept in perspective. While outdated legacy rules and 13 under review in this proceeding. 13 regulations should be eliminated so that incumbent 14 In fact, in the past ten years Verizon has 14 companies can compete on a level playing field with 15 lost four times more residential legacy landlines than 15 their numerous nonregulated competitors, these are it currently has in service. Chart B clearly 16 16 issues for another day. 17 demonstrates the significant loss of residential basic 17 The Board initiated Phase II for the sole 18 exchange lines. Chart C demonstrates the significant 18 purpose of evaluating whether any of the few remaining loss of single-line business basic exchange service 19 19 noncompetitive services should be declared competitive 20 lines and Chart D demonstrates the astounding decline in 20 and free from rate regulation and whether any 21 calls handled by Verizon's directory assistance competitive services that rate counsel challenged should 21 22 operators. 22 be found to be noncompetitive and subject to rate 23 This overwhelming evidence of competition 23 regulation in this phase. 24 also belies rate counsel's efforts to move policy 24 Thus, this proceeding does not alter things 25 backwards by arguing that certain competitive vertical 25 such as Verizon's PAR-II obligations, tariffs, or the Opening Statement Page 15 Opening Statement Page 17 services, such as call forwarding, should be 1 Board's telecommunications rules. All that happens if reclassified as noncompetitive and subject to future the Board finds, as it should, that Verizon legacy rate regulation. landline and residential DA services, as well as its 3 4 In fact, no matter what arguments rate 4 vertical services, are competitive is that such services counsel may seek to employ to confuse the issues in this 5 would not be subject to rate regulation. case, it will be impossible to get around two simple 6 6 Thank you. This ends my statement. 7 facts: 7 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Thank you. A First, the demand for traditional legacy В Let the record show Commissioner Fox has 9 landline and DA services had been dropping like a stone 9 entered the hearing. since the early 2000s and that trend has continued as 10 10 COMMISSIONER FOX: And missed most of the new competitors and services enter the market. Indeed, 11 11 testimony. 12 if there were no substitutes available for Verizon's 12 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Century. 13 legacy landline services, as rate counsel contends, then 13 MS. BENEDEK: Thank you. the demand for those services would have been static or 14 Good morning, Commissioner Fox, Commissioner 15 have grown along with the population in New Jersey. But 15 Asselta. 16 the charts I have distributed clearly show that the 16 My name is Sue Benedek and I am senior 17 demand for residential basic exchange service, 17 counsel with CenturyLink New Jersey. We commend the single-line business basic exchange service, and 18 Board for its continuing afforts to examine whether all residential DR services has declined sharply in recent 19 19 participants in the telecommunication market, including 20 years. 20 my client, the ILEC, CenturyLink, which, along with its 21 Second, the demand for substitute means of 21 customers have -- that they have regulatory flexibility communication has been growing by leaps and bounds. In as authorized under New Jersey competitive 22 fact, even rate counsel recognizes that a fundamental 23 23 classification statute. revolution has reshaped the way in which customers 24 24 We thank the Commissioners, we thank DAG, 25 communicate. It is readily apparent that customers are

25

and staff for giving us this opportunity and this time

Opening Statement Page 18 Opening Statement Page 20 to do an opening statement. broadband providers and an average of seven, that is 2 I want to scho -- I have two points. I want seven wireless broadband service providers available, 3 to acho the comments made by Verizon regarding the three every single one of our exchanges. oriteria. We bolieve that the statute -- that the New 4 Comcast, Cablevision, Service Electric cover Jersey Board must ensure that the New Jersey competitive over 99 percent of the square miles within our statute is honored as written. The criteria exchanges. Wireless service is widely available 6 7 uncomplicated should not be expanded to include throughout the service area with over 99 percent additional requirements, either directly or indirectly 8 coverage in our New Jersey market. via how the criteria are applied as rate counsel has 9 Additionally, wireless Lifeline providers in 10 recommended. 10 New Jersey now receive 75 percent of the low income 11 Second point: The Board should continue to 11 support from the federal Lifeline fund which ILECs 12 complete the work begun in the Phase I proceeding and receive only the remaining 25 percent. Noncable, VoIP 13 deem the four remaining rate regulated services of providers are ubiquitously available and offer 13 CenturyLink, the R1, the B1, installation charges for 14 14 residential phone plans ranging from \$4.95 to \$19.95 per 15 the R1, and directory assistance competitive and keep 15 month based upon the features and other terms of 16 the services that were deemed competitive from Phase I offerings. They offer business plans ranging from \$15 16 17 as competitive. That's the relief we are asking. 17 to \$39.95. We have that i): Exhibit MDH-14. 18 The reason we are asking for that is New 18 The record demonstrates that declining 19 Jersey today includes a vibrant and competitive access lines for both standalone and bundle services, 19 telecommunications landscape consisting of a wide array 20 20 that based upon reporting "ata since that Phase I 21 of mass market competitors. As Verizon noted, we have 21 proceeding, since the proceeding with the order that was 22 traditional CLECs, cable companies, wireless carriers, 22 entered in '08, consumers have been leaving CenturyLink 23 VoIP providers. 23 It's been a steady flow of customers in droves. 24 By way of reference, CenturyLink in New 24 selecting other providers of substitutable services in 25 Jersey, which serves the northwestern parts of the 25 our market Opening Statement Page 19 Opening Statement Page 21 state, we have approximately today 118,000 access lines 1 Contrary to rate .consel's assertions, the depending upon how you count an access line. When I record clearly demonstrates that cable companies compete started with the company eleven years ago, it was in the communications -- as the communications provider 245,000. for any and all CenturyLink customers. They can serve 5 CenturyLink now serves only a little more irrespective of whether the quatomer currently purchases 6 than 56 percent of the retail residential households a bundle service or a stancialone service from within our northwestern portion of our footprint. CenturyLink. And you will hear throughout this hearing That's Exhibit MDH-5 in the testimony of Mark Harper. 8 what is the product market, the standalone concept 8 9 Thus, 44 percent of the households in our 9 versus a bundled service. 10 little service territory do not purchase any services 10 It is our position that cable competes for from CenturyLink. Competitors with like or 11 any and all. They're not out there competing for one. substitutable retail business services are present. 12 12 The market has to be defined to not be so narrow. Based That market has been preempt for many many years. And 13 on reporting data, meaning the data that customers when 13 as demonstrated by the fact that we have exceed in our they leave us, we report numbers which is what we can 14 15 -- business access lines have eroded by approximately 15 know of what they leave, in Exhibit MDH-9. Cable 40 percent, as Mr. Harper testified in the prefiled 16 16 companies are the top requestors of the number that goes 17 testimony which you have, Commissioner, and also which I 17 with the customer for CenturyLink's customers. 18 will get to Commissioner Fox shortly. 18 Simply stated, every time a CenturyLink 19 It defies logic and ignores reality that 19 basic residential customer chooses to purchase XFINITY this decline in CenturyLink's residential and business 20 20 voice or a similar service from another cable provider, 21 lines results from any other reason than a thriving it demonstrates the service is substitutable, that they 21 22 marketplace in New Jersey. Moreover, based upon the 22 are in that same market. data provided by broadband providers and pertinent to 23 Finally, numerous alternatives and methods New Jersey every CenturyLink exchange, that is every 24 24 of accessing DA services are available in New Jersey 25 exchange had anywhere from three to nine wireline today. With the highest percentage of broadband

On	pening Statement Page 22	1-	July 17, 2012
1	, 490 22	Ор	ening Statement Page 24
2	and increase, to bettemt, wear sersely	1	what is characterized as loss
3	and and an annual constraints are and	2	is after migration to another Verizon or CenturyLink
4	competitors. Moreover, with	3	product which does not provide competitive pressure.
-	wireless phones nearly ubiquitous among the New Jersey	4	Again, this is reflected in what we will
5	population, the ability to dial 411 on a wireless phone	5	show is the inelasticity of the services sought to be
6	on other networks other than the ILEC are familiar to	6	reclassified.
7	many New Jarsey citizens.	7	There needs to be a sizable core of legacy
8	In sum, the Board should remain consistent	8	landlines that need protection. They include the
9	with prior reclassification orders and apply only the	9	elderly, fix. income, those in rural areas. They are
10	three criteria as written, request to create new	10	vulnerable and they need the protection of this Board.
11	criteria or expand the existing oriteria by squeezing in	11	And we think when you look at the entire
12	new requirements or how it's supplied should be promptly	12	record as a whole that the four rate regulated services
13	rejected.	13	should remain rate regulated and additional services
14	As the record amply demonstrates, the rate	14	that are no competitive should be reclassified.
15	regulated CenturyLink retail services, the four that I	15	Thank you very much.
16	discussed earlier, plus what had been deemed competitive	16	SEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Thank you.
17	in Phase I, should be affirmed by this Board.	17	Any questions, Commissioners?
19	Thank you very much for this opportunity,	18	hi. WHITE: Commissioner, I have extra sets
19	Commissioners, and DAG, thank you.	19	for the other commissioners if they want to have
20	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Let the record	20	binders.
21	show that Commissioner Holden has now entered the	21	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Sure.
22	hearing.	22	ERU staff, is there any opening statement?
23	Mr. White.	23	:R. WAND: No opening statement,
24	MR. WHITE: Good morning, Commissioners.	24	Commissioner.
25	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Good morning.	25	WEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Thank you
_	-		FEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Thank you.
•	- 1	Colle	quy Page 25
1	MR. WHITE: Rate counsel believes that the	1	COMMISSIONER FOX: The attorneys for
	four core services that are issued in this proceeding	2	companies, usen Mr. White commented about standalone
3	should remain rate regulated, that vertical features	3	voice services, that elimination of rate regulation
4	about a be seen a seen a fine for a seen a seen a fine for a seen		TAGUITACION

9

10

12

13

17

tion of rate regulation would eliminate landline voice services, do you have a multi-line business of CenturyLink should be 5 position on that? reclassified as rate regulated as well. 6 MR. SMITH: Can you repeat?

7 COMMISSIONER FOX: What Mr. White said was 8 if we eliminate rate regulation for the basic service that you could, in fact, and I think this is true, 9 eliminate standalone voice service that people might 10 11 have to take a bundle.

12 MR. SMITH: Mr. Vasington is my witness 13 addressing that in detail but, you know, basically what we will be doing is looking at what, you know, the 14 15 marketplace is looking for as a way of products and 16 services. If there's a market for standalone basic 17 service, I would think that we would continue to provide 18 it. And that's, you know -- the view of Mr. Vasington 1.9 can opine more on it as our expert witness.

20 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Let's go to your 21 witness.

22 MS. BENEDEK: May I, your Honor, real quick. 23 As in terms of framing the answer to that question, the 24 relationship between the Board and the ILEC, my client, or Verizon, doesn't go away with competitive

should be reclassified as rate regulated, that 7 The relevant product market and geographic ₿

market should be limited as advocated by rate counsel. Rate regulated services are inelastic, and ILEC carriers have market power which is the ability to sustain price increases and any loss of customer does result in overall loss of revenue.

Competitive safeguards require carriers to 14 offer standalone basic service which is a protected service under our statute. Rate regulated services when 16 sold as part of a bundle, they have to offer standalone service to customers.

18 The elimination of rate regulation for these 19 services would essentially and the obligation to offer standalone service to customers. There are a 20

21 significant number of customers in New Jersey that only 22 want standalone.

23 Verizon controls 97 percent of the 24 standalone voice market, 84 percent of the standalone 25 VoIP market. CenturyLink controls the vast majority of

	lloquy Page 2	в Гс	July 17, 20
1	•		rage 2
2			The same of the sa
3			
4	don't know how to answer your question, I don't have a	- 1	Completed leadinguly of Shield W
5		1	and outen M. Bosery, Confidential version
6	preclude sort of drastic changes, but it would give	1	
7	us but would give us the pricing flexibility to		6 (RC-4, Verizon mixed public and confidential
8	compete I mean which we desperately need.		7 discovery responses, marked for identification.)
9	MR. SMITH: Right. And; Commissioner, may I	15	two-3, Centurybink mixed public and
10	also add, as I said also in my opening statement, and as		9 confidential discovery responses, marked for
11	Sue was just saying, we are subject to all of our	10	
12	obligations under PAR-II and we have the tariffs and	111	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF
13	what could be a complicated legal question, how to you	١	with the state of
14	untangled those current obligations which is certainly	13	The state of what Mr. Vasington has
15	involved.	114	and rebuttal
16		15	and the public
17	COMMISSIONER FOX: Thank you.	116	102 look at the upper left-hand
18	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. Smith, would you like to call your witness?	17	2
19	MR. SMITH: Yes.	1.8	on the contidential and Olp
20		19	for
21	I would like to call Paul B. Vasington to the stand.	20	
22	·	21	time one, birace restimony of Paul B.
23	MR. WHITE: Commissioner, should we identify	22	, Partiaged, Addition, Marked for
24	the exhibits that we've already premarked and given to you.	23	,
25		24	title of partiact leastmony of Paul B.
	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Yes.	25	Vasington, public version, marked for identification.)
Colla	quy Page 27	Dire	set - Vasington Page 20
1	MR. WHITE: For rate counsel Exhibit 1, 1A	١.	Fage 29
2	is the initial testimony. Exhibit 2, 2A is the reply.	1	(VNJ-02C, Reply Testimony of Paul B.
3	Exhibit 3, 3A is the rebuttal. The first one is the	2	manifest brobitomy totalous that ven lot
4	redacted and the second one is the confidential. We	1 .	identification.)
5	have discovery responses for CenturyLink which is	- 5	(VNJ-02P, Reply Testimony of Paul B.
6	Exhibit 4 and discovery responses for Verizon.	6	Vasington, public version, marked for identification.
7	Excuse me. It's reversed. CenturyLink is	ŧ	(VNJ-03C, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B.
В	five and Verizon is four. And those are our premarked		Vasington proprieto-
0	The state of premarked	,	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for
	exhibits.	8	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.)
	exhibits.	8	vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul R
9		8 9 10	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.)
9 0 1	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial	9 10 11	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON Director
9 0 1 2	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change.	9 10 11 12	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business
9 0 1 2 3	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin	9 10 11 12 13	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston
9 0 1 2 3	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change.	9 10 11 12 13	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the
9 0 1 2 3 4 5	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows:
9 0 1 2 3 4 5	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-1A, Initial Testimony of Susan M.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH-
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, state your full name, business
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-1A, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, confidential version, marked for identification.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, state your full name, business address, and business title.
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-1A, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, confidential version, marked for identification.) (RC-2, Reply Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, state your full name, business address, and business title. A. My name is Paul B., B as in boy, Vasington, V. as
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-1A, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, confidential version, marked for identification.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, state your full name, business address, and business title. A. My name is Paul B., B as in boy, Vasington, V, as in Victor, a-s-i-n-g-t-o-n.
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-1A, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, confidential version, marked for identification.) (RC-2, Reply Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, state your full name, business address, and business title. A. My name is Paul B., B as in boy, Vasington, V, as in Victor, a-s-i-n-g-t-o-n. My business address the 125 High Street, Boston
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 0 1 1 2	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-1A, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, confidential version, marked for identification.) (RC-2, Reply Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-2A, Reply Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin identification.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, state your full name, business address, and business title. A. My name is Paul B., B as in boy, Vasington, V, as in Victor, a-s-i-n-g-t-o-n. My business address the 125 High Street, Boston, Massachusetts. And my title is director, state public
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 1 2 3 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 3 3 3 3	exhibits. And we had one change to the initial testimony which I distributed to you and Alex Moreau and the court reporter one small change. (RC-1, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-1A, Initial Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, confidential version, marked for identification.) (RC-2, Reply Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.) (RC-2A, Reply Testimony of Susan M. Baldwin and Sarah M. Bosely, public version, marked for identification.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Vasington, proprietary version, marked for identification.) (VNJ-03P, Rebuttal Testimony of Paul B. Vasington, public version, marked for identification.) PAUL B. VASINGTON, Director, State Public Policy for Verizon, having a business address of 125 High Street, Oliver Tower, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, having been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, state your full name, business address, and business title. A. My name is Paul B., B as in boy, Vasington, V, as in Victor, a-s-i-n-g-t-o-n.

Cross - Vasington Page 30 Cross - Vasington Page 32 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. WHITE: from you, we have to look to various different people Q. Thank you. within Verizon to get responses. So in that process we Good morning, Mr. Vasington. How are you? 3 have to identify other people who we need to seek 3 A. Good morning. Doing well. 4 4 information and data from. Q. Good. 5 Q. Do you have an estimate of how many hours you've 5 You filed direct, reply, and rebuttal testimony б expended to basically compile your entire three pieces 7 in this proceeding. Correct? of testimony in this case? 8 A. Yes. A. Oh, boy, no, not off the top of my head. I mean 8 Q. When were you contacted regarding providing 9 we had three pieces of testimony, several hundred pages 9 10 testimony in this proceeding? of testimony, your witnesses' testimony was three pieces 10 A. It was in the fall. I don't recall what -- what 11 around a hundred pages or more each time so it was a lot 11 month, but it was in the context of the provisions of 12 of time spent reading and drafting. And the three time 12 the settlement approved by the Board in 2008 provided 13 periods just before the filing of my three pieces of 13 for a review three years later. So I was contacted with 14 testimony, you could say full-time and then some for 14 the indication that that time period was coming up and 15 drafting. I couldn't tell you exactly how many hours 15 that the Board would probably be opening a new 16 16 that would be, but it's a lot of work. 17 proceeding. Q. Now, did -- did you draft all your own testimony 17 Q. And when did you start preparing testimony for 18 or did you have assistance from people from your staff 18 this proceeding? 19 that did initial drafts and provided those to you for 19 A. Boy, I don't recall an exact date. It was around 20 20 review and edits? the time that the docket was open. I don't remember 21 21 A. No. I did all the initial drafts and then I 22 exactly. provided it to other people for edits and review. 22 Q. Subject to check that's about October? 23 Q. So essentially you were responsible and did, in 23 A. Subject to check, sure, that's sounds about 24 fact, write each of the three pieces of testimony that 24 25 right. 25 you submitted here today. Correct? Cross - Vasington Cross - Vasington Page 33 Q. So shortly thereafter you started preparing to A. Yes. 1 file testimony in this proceeding. 2 O. Do you own stock in Verizon? 2 A. Yeah. I mean we started preparing the data first 3 A. Yes. and then I started writing testimony after that. Q. Do you participate in a -- in a bonus plan with Q. Okay. How many people did you have assist you in 5 Verizon? 5 that? 6 6 A. Yes. A. Well, the attorneys have some input into the 7 7 Q. Do you get -drafting of testimony and there are probably half dozen 8 MR. SMITH: Objection, your Honor. 8 other people who touched at some point data sets or 9 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 9 editing with drafting. 10 object also first. For clarification, Mr. Vasington, 10 Q. But you started out with data sets. Correct? 11 have you adopted all your testimony as filed? 11 A. Started out with compiling data sets, yes. 12 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Q. How many people worked on that? 13 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: OLOY Green. 13 A. I think in the first instance there were two MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I object. 14 people directly involved in that. I'm not sure how many 15 I'm not quite sure what the relevance of 15 16 people they contacted to also work on it. this to any of his direct or reply or rebuttal. It 16 Q. So you delegated that to those individuals to 17 seems to be outside of the scope of testimony. 17 compile data that you would need for this proceeding. 18 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Explain that 18 19 Correct? Why are you going that way? 19 20 A. Yes. MR. WHITE: Well, to the extent that he has 20 Q. Did that number grow during the other phases of 21 financial interest in Verizon stock, he has financial 21 22 this proceeding? interest and that's appropriate to go into on 22 A. The number of people helping out? 23 cross-examination whether it could effect his testimony, 23

Q. Yes.

A. Yeah, because once we started getting discovery

24

25

24

whether he would profit depending on how this proceeding

came out. So it's an area that's legitimately

Cross - Vasington Page 34 appropriate for cross-examination. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Sustained. 2 3 Move forward. BY MR. WHITE: 4 Q. Now, you participate in a bonus plan. Correct? 5 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. This is a different question. Do you -- as part of that bonus, did you get paid 8 in stock? 9 MR. SMITH: I'm going to object again. I 10 thought you sustained instead of overruled my objection 11 12 SO. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Just 2015 Weet it 13 the best you can. 14 A. No. My bonus is not paid in stock. 15 16 O. It's all paid in cash or deferred comp? HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: In MAINTENANCE OF THE SECOND SEC 17 compensation let's say. 18 Move forward, please. 19 O. That's fine. 20 You state on page 2, lines 1 through 5 of your 21 initial testimony that you looked at three criteria. 22 Correct? 23 24 A. Let me get there. 25 Yes.

Cross - Vasington

increase rates, is that correct, for business cut lines,

single-line business? A. Well, you started your question with multi-line 3

- and finished with single-line.
- Q. Well, single-line is what we're talking about for

Verizon because multi-line is not in this case for Verizon. So let me rephrase that.

Let's limit it to single-line business. 8 9

Is it correct?

10 A. Rephrase the question.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Rephrase the question again, Mr. White.

Q. Ms. Baldwin did analysis for single-line business 13 14 for Verizon, Correct?

15 A. Yes.

11

12

Q. And her conclusions about that, number one, was 16 inelastic and also you were able to properly raise rates 17

without losing revenue, is that correct, in her 18 19

analysis?

A. Her analysis in her rebuttal testimony was that 20 21

the single-line business services is relatively

inelastic and I think she said that we're both able to 22

increase our revenues and to increase our profits which 23 24

are not necessarily the same thing. I don't think that

price elasticity is -- determines whether or not a 25

Cross - Vasington

Page 35

Cross - Vasington

Page 37

- Q. These are the only criteria that you looked at in 1
- your direct testimony. Is that correct? 2
- A. That is correct. 3
- Q. And you did not do any analysis of whether
- Verizon has market power, did you? 5
- 6 A. Yes, I believe I did.
- 7 Q. And where is that?
- A. My whole testimony. I clarified that in the а
- rebuttal testimony where rate counsel's witness in their
- reply said that I didn't make any evaluations of market 10
- power and I addressed that pretty squarely in my final 11 12 round of testimony.
- Q. Didn't -- you addressed residential service and 13 whether or not you did analysis for residential. 14

15 Correct?

- A. I did an analysis of all four of the legacy 16
- landline services, most of which are residential but 17
- also include single-line business. 18
- Q. And Ms. Baldwin did an analysis of business as 19 19 20 well. Right?
- A. Yes. She did the four services at issue, plus 21
- discretionary services, plus multi-line business for 22
- 23 CenturyLink.
- Q. And her determination of the multi-line business 24
- is it was inelastic and that you were able to properly 25

- service is competitive. But in any event if the purpose
- of that analysis is to say that we can raise rates to a
- level where we're going to generate monopoly profits, I 4
- think the Board shouldn't be concerned about that; because if you look at our business rates from the 2008 5
- stipulation, we were allowed to raise rates to certain 6
- levels in that stipulation and we didn't even take 7
- advantage of all that freedom for business services. So
- we left revenue on the table already for competitive reasons. So there really isn't a concern that we're 10
- going to be able to generate monopoly profits in the 11
- business or residential customers. 12
- Q. Market power is not one of the three criteria 13 that you identified that should be looked at in this 14
- proceeding in your initial testimony. Is that correct? 15
- A. No, not really because I think what I said in 16
- my -- I know what I said in my testimony was that the 17 three criteria together constitute a pretty good test of 18
- market power.
- Q. What about market share, is that relevant? Is 20 that one -- strike that. 21 22

Is market share one of the three designated 23 criteria in the statute? 24

A. No, it's not. And the Board has already said in earlier orders that market share has limited use in this

Cross - Vasington Page 38 Cross - Vasington Page 40 context of applying the statutory criteria. I explained what governments are. in my testimony that market share information can be Q. See your direct testimony at pages 3 and 4. 2 valuable to the extent that it demonstrates compliance 3 A. Okay. with the three criteria. But in and of itself, it's a 4 MR. SMITH: Do you have a specific, 4 static picture of a point in time that has limited value 5 Mr. White, line references for those pages? in a market power study, especially when you're talking MR. WHITE: No. I just have three and four. 6 about an industry with rapid technological and market I am handing the witness some documents 7 8 changes. we're going to mark as Rate Counsel Exhibit 6 and there Q. Okay. On page 2, lines 14 to 20, you state that 9 are four documents in here. 6A which is the Verizon SEC the four services of which reclassification is sought 10 10-K, Verizon financial reports, Verizon quarterly 10 are now referred to as legacy landline. 11 reports, and CenturyLink 10-Q. This will be marked Rate 11 12 Is that correct? Counsel Exhibit 6. 12 13 A. Yes. If you give us a few minutes so we can 13 Q. These four services are part of mass market 14 distribute them. 14 15 services. Correct? 15 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Sure. 16 A. Correct. (RC-6, Verizon SEC 10-K, Verizon financial 16 Q. Did you forecast demand for services in the local 17 reports, Verizon quarterly reports, and CenturyLink 17 market, including basic local service? 1.8 18 10-Q, marked for identification.) A. No. 19 MR. SMITH: Mr. White, am I correct as far 19 Q. Did you produce elasticity studies and economic 20 as the documents in front of me relate to Verizon that 20 quantitative analysis for each of these four services? 21 21 they are documents related to -- I see -- it's Verizon A. In effect the three criteria being evaluated are 22 22 New Jersey, Inc. supply and demand elasticity studies. I did not do a 23 23 THE WITNESS: One of them. quantitative assessment of price elasticity of demand. 24 24 MR. SMITH: One of them. The other is In other words, I didn't try to say if price goes up by 25 Verizon Communications and the PowerPoint is --25 Cross - Vasington Page 39 Cross - Vasington Page 41 X percent, demand will decrease by Y percent. 1 MR. WHITE: Is the first quarter reports of In these types of proceedings typically supply 2 2 2012 earnings of Verizon Corp. elasticity and demand elasticity are more qualitative 3 MR. SMITH: So two out of three are Verizon 3 assessments. Supply elasticity is the willingness and 4 Corp. which is not a part of this proceeding. 4 ability of competitors to provide additional services or THE WITNESS: You gave me CenturyLink. 5 additional scope and scale of services. Demand 6 MR. WHITE: Keep it, it's part of the 6 elasticity is the willingness of customers to switch to 7 7 exhibit. these other substitutes. Я 8 MS. BENEDEK: Maybe. So in the sense of the terms elasticity studies, 9 MR. WHITE: Because the exhibit covers all 9 I did do that for supply and demand elasticity. But for 10 three and I will use it again when CenturyLink is a 10 quantitatively trying to estimate the price quantity 11 witness. 11 relationship, I did not do that. 12 12 THE WITNESS: Fair enough. Q. And to determine whether a product is a 13 13 BY MR. WHITE: substitute is some type of quantitative analysis 14 Q. Now, if you go to Verizon New Jersey document 14 15 required? which should be identified as page 1 of 50 which is 6A A. No. 16 and if you go to page 43 of that document. 16 Q. That's your opinion. 17 A. This is the one for the year end 2003. 17 A. Yeah. And that's been the precedent of the Board 18 Q. Yes. and other commissions that have done these types of 19 19 A. What page again? 20 20 Q. Forty-three. Q. Are you familiar with the term enterprise market 21 And to your knowledge, does Verizon New Jersey 21 services and government markets? 22 file 10Ks any longer? 22 A. I don't know that I've heard them used exactly 23 23 A. I don't know, that way. But I know what enterprise is generally 24 24 Q. Take subject to check they do not. industrial companies, big, big companies. And I know 25

A. Subject to check.

Cross - Vasington Page 42 MR. SMITH: Subject to check. 1 Q. Okay. If you go to page 43 that list identifies 2 transactions with affiliates and it lists operating revenues. And also go to page 45 which is F-21 and over 5 to page 46. And just to explain to everyone the reason that 6 there's a skip in pages is because when you print this 7 out on the printer, page 44 was blank so we just didn't 8 include it in the package, but all the information in 9 this filing are contained. There's nothing left out. 10 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 11 12 for the witness? MR. WHITE: Yes. 13 Q. I want you to identify, are all these affiliates 14 still operating for Verizon; does Verizon New Jersey 15 have transactions with these affiliates today? 16 17 A. I have no idea. MR. WHITE: You will be providing rate 18 counsel pursuant to an order of Commissioner Assetta all 19 19 20

the affiliates that do operate here in New Jersey.

Correct?

21 MR. SMITH: You have made -- there's a 22 discovery request. There was a motion to compel and 23 there was an order and we will follow what the order has 24 25 told us to do.

Cross - Vasington

the middle of the page?

2 A. Yeah.

- Q. Got a heading wireline. It's got a total of 3
- 4 4.103.
- · A. Okay. Yep.
- Q. You add the two together.
- A. Yep. I see that now. Thank you. 7
- Q. Okay. It is confusing. I admit. You would think they would do it differently, but they didn't. 9

And then under global enterprise, the strategic 10 services in core and add up to 3.852. Do you see that? 11

A. Yes.

Q. Then you see that's under global enterprise and 13

then global wholesale, you see that number which is 14

15 1.861?

12

16 A. Yes. Million.

Q. And then you have other which is 129. 17

18 Do you see that?

Q. Now, if you go to the next page which is 27, 20

under these definitions of mass market, global 21

enterprise global wholesale, and others, there's a 22

description of what services are, do you see that, and 23

what services are included? 24

A. You're going to have to give me a moment to read 25

Cross - Vasington

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Page 43

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Page 45

Mr. White, I would just like to point out that this document appears to be dated from December 31, 2003. I'm not sure if you clarified that on the record. It's almost nine years old.

MR. WHITE: Yes. It's the last annual report that Verizon New Jersey filed with the SEC. After that, they did not file annual reports any longer.

But this is probably available on the Edgar website and reflects a synopsis of Verizon's business in 2003 which

is right after the PAR-II was extended and identifies 10 10 11

the affiliates that operated then.

Q. If we go to the next document which is the 10-Q 12 13

which is for the first quarter of 2012.

14 A. Okay,

Q. And you refer to page 20 -- what's page 27 of 38 15 16

at the top, but at the bottom you'll see page 26.

17 A. Oh, okay.

Q. It identifies various groupings. What defines 18

see where it says mass market? 19

20

Q. Which is comprised of consumer retail and small 21.

22 business.

A. Where does it say that? 23

Q. Well, you put dollars and million, operating 24

revenues and selected operating statistics. See that in 25

Cross - Vasington

them.

2 Okay.

MR. SMITH: Commissioner, at this time I 3 would like to object. I'm really not quite sure where 4 the line is going. 5 6

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Can you be specific, Mr. White?

MR. WHITE: This is essentially laying the foundation for later. Verizon has claimed that they're suffering overwhelming losses in the annual reports that they filed and specifically this thing will show that on a company-wide basis that they're showing positive EBITDA, which is earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization and that they're actually showing a net profit which is actually skipped ahead.

It might be easier, it's on page 29 of 38. It shows 1.6 operating income margin in EBITDA margin of

22.6. And again what the table of content show is how 18 they group their markets and what services are included. 19

And our position is that this is directly relevant to 20 their claim of losses, because if grouped together, 21

they're making money. They have failed to explain why

New Jersey would be any different than the composite of 23 24 all their operations.

MR. SMITH: I'm still going to renew my

BPU INVESTIGATION RE; ILEC SERVICES Cross - Vasington Page 46 objection. It seems very tenuous the relationship between Verizon Communications, Inc., on this basis of financial connection. There's no breakdown here that I 3 can see Mr. White's pointed out for Verizon New Jersey, Inc., or any possible explanations and it's going to be 5 pure speculation, hypothesis of the connection between 6 what's reported in this document and the testimony that Mr. Vasington can supply, -8 MR. WHITE: Can we ask for the annual 9 reports? Their discovery response is see our investor 10 reports. The next report is investor report. Investor 11 report shows the same 22.6 and 1.6 percent. 12 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: And this is | 13 13 company-wide basis. Correct? 14 MR. WHITE: This is company-wide and that's 15 15 16 what they say to look at. MR. SMITH: Just clarify when we say 17 company-wide, that term can be confusing sometimes. 18 Verizon Corporation. 19 MR. WHITE: Corporation which includes their 20 wireline operation which includes Verizon New Jersey as 21 well. 22 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay. 23 MR. WHITE: In fact, this might be a good 24 time, I would make a transcript request that they 25

Cross - Vasington

5

A. Global wholesale, yes, I see that.

Q. Okay. And specifically this is -- this would be wholesale served revenue resolved from CLEC operations.

4 Correct?

A. No. This is primarily our global Internet

backbone my understanding. When we merged with MCI, MCI was the fourth largest what they call Tier I -- Tier I

backbone provider for Internet services with facilities

that MCI had obtained when MCI took over a company called UUNET a number of years ago. They have a global

IP network that is used as a backbone for a lot of

different services and I believe that global wholesale

primarily refers to that, those services in that 14

organization.

Q. Do you see the reference in the second line,

other carriers that use our facilities provide services 16 17 to their customers?

18 A. Yes.

Q. Wouldn't that be CLECs that purchase UNEs or 19 20 resale from Verizon?

A. That might be also be in that but that is not all 21

that it is. A lot of carriers would purchase dark fiber 22 capacity or high capacity on our global IP network and 23

could also fit into that description. So CLEC type 24

services could be a part of it, but my understanding is 25

Cross - Vasington

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Page 47

Cross - Vasington

Page 49

provide to us the annual reports that they filed with 1 the Board for the years in which they're claiming 2 losses. They did they not provide that information and 3 I think it's appropriate if they're going to rely on the 4 numbers they assert shows a loss that we have a full 5 report so I ask for a transcript request. 6 7

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 1 would grant that. That seems fair. Okay.

MR. SMITH: Commissioner, I understand.

Which years, asked by my backup staff?

MR. WHITE: Personally the periods in which you reported losses in your testimony which is 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011.

MR. SMITH: So the reports that comport with what part of the testimony is in the reply, whatever years may be.

BY MR. WHITE:

Q. I would like to refer you, Mr. Vasington, back -- 18 18 and again a lot of this is foundational and I apologize 19 19

for going through it, but it will help later when we get 20 21

to other issues and that is the reason why I'm raising 21 it really is foundation now because it helps set the 22 23 stage later.

Global wholesale, do you see that description on 24 page 28 at the top, page 27 at the bottom? 25

when you're talking about out global wholesale segment, if it's even included in that, would be a pretty small 2 3 piece. 4

MR. WHITE: Could we make a transcript request for them to identify where their CLEC revenues are reported in their 10-Q and under what business entity?

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Con your propulate

that?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

22

23

24

25

MR. SMITH: Commissioner, I would have to check, I don't know if that is easily identifiable on the 10-Q. We would just have to take it back which may be we're not able to provide.

Sitting here today, I am not familiar with all these documents. I can only see the SEC statements periodically. But it's something that we usually deal with in the regulatory realm.

Q. Let me ask it a somewhat different way as well. The Board has set UNE rates here in New Jersey for Verizon New Jersey. Correct?

Q. And there's approximately 130 CLECs that have been given authority to operate here in New Jersey. Correct?

That sounds about right.

Cross - Vasington Page 50 Q. Do the revenues that Verizon receives from providing UNEs are those reported by Verizon New Jersey included by them or is the CLEC revenues they receive reported in a different affiliate of Verizon New Jersey here in New Jersey? 5 MR. SMITH: Objection. The question seems 6 ambiguous to me. Reported where? 7 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Rephrase it. 8 Q. Let me rephrase it. 9 Does Verizon New Jersey include CLEC revenue when 10 it reports revenue here in New Jersey? 11 MR. SMITH: In the annual report. 12 Q. Uh-uh. Forget whether it's in the annual report. 13 How do they report CLEC revenue here in New 14 15 Jersey? HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: That's a bottor 16 way of saying. 17 A. I'm not sure. Pretty sure it's not an affiliate. 18 It's Verizon New Jersey, the telco, that provides the 19 services. How it gets reported, I'm not sure. 20 (START CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OF TRANSCRIPT.) 21 Q. Let me go into this. Single-line business, 22 you've identified a number in the course of this 23 proceeding, subject to check I believe it's about 79,000 24 25 lines or single-line business lines.

Cross - Vasington

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. Yes.

Q. Who provides that? 2

A. Verizon New Jersey.

Q. Or is it -- what about MCI doing business as 4

Verizon business?

A. Your premise to your question is you have a 6 single-line customer obtaining service from Verizon New

Jersey who asks Verizon New Jersey for a second line so

Verizon New Jersey provides that second line, not MCI -not former MCI. 10

Q. Let me ask it a different way.

What services does --

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: What was your answer again?

THE WITNESS: My answer was if Verizon New Jersey is providing a customer with their first business line and that that customer asks Verizon New Jersey for a second business line, then that second business line is also provided by Verizon New Jersey.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Then there the answer, Mr. White.

Can we move on to another question?

MR. WHITE: I'm trying to get back to this 23 point of Verizon -- I have another question. 24

25

Q. Verizon business, do you know who that is, MCI

Cross - Vasinoton

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Page 51

Cross - Vasington

Page 53

- (END CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OF TRANSCRIPT.) 1 2
- A. That is proprietary. 3
 - Q. Yes. But everybody here has signed the proprietary agreement, I assume.

MR. SMITH: I'm not so sure about that. Has everyone in the room signed a proprietary?

MR. WHITE: The ones in the back row are interns so they'd be covered by rate counsel's.

MR. SMITH: Has anyone not signed it? But the record should reflect so the

transcript is going to be a public document so if we're 11 getting into confidential information we will need to 12 make that designation. I don't want to be presumptuous

and speak for the Commissioners, but we make that |14 14 15 request.

- Q. Now, if you have a single-line business customer, 16
- Mr. Vasington, Verizon New Jersey currently serves it. 17
- A. Is that a question? 18
- 19 Q. Yes. Take that as a --
- A. Yes. We have single-line business customers that 20 21
- Verizon New Jersey currently serves.
- Q. That customer decides it wants to order a second 22
- business line. 23
- A. From Verizon New Jersey? 24
- Q. Yes. 25

- Communication doing business as Verizon business services?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Do they provide business lines to customers?
- A. I believe so. I haven't looked at their tariff,
- but I believe they do. 6
- Q. And MCI would presumably purchase UNEs, correct, 7 ₿
- from Verizon New Jersey? 9

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm going to object based upon the Board's prior rulings that affiliates matters and transactions are really outside the scope of these reclassifications proceedings. I'm not quite sure where Mr. White is going with this as far as the criteria involved.

MR. WHITE: Again, this in part, your Honor, relates to their issue of claimed losses. One way you can have losses is if revenue previously captured by Verizon New Jersey is now being captured by affiliates. And one of those areas is MCI doing business as Verizon business. And the question is what business lines do they provide.

It is my understanding and I've heard different testimony to contrary that multi-line lines businesses are provided through Verizon business. If you have more than one line, that's a service you get Cross - Vasington

Page 54

from Verizon business which is MCI. And if it's a single-line, it's provided by Verizon. And the question is I would expect that the revenues would show up under

4 MCI.

And, in fact, if you look at the assessments 5 that are issued by this Board which we will get into 6 later there are \$64 million of revenue associated with 7 8 MCI and MCI Metro Access which is another MCI affiliate is roughly \$8 million. So the question is has revenue 9 been shifted. And this is what I'm trying to lay 10 foundation for. And that's why I think it's relevant to 11 the scope of this proceeding because it impacts directly 12 on whether or not there is claimed losses or, in fact, 13 losses or just shifting of revenues and profits to other 14 affiliates. 15

MR. SMITH: The whole issue of shifting of revenues would require almost a whole separate case to examine financial records, affiliates, and Verizon New Jersey, Inc., and it just seems to be totally outside the scope.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 1 think it's 21 inside the scope of trying to ascertain your 22 profitability and whether this hearing is necessary or not and that's what he's trying to do here to establish that.

Cross - Vasington

Page 56

they would purchase unbundled network elements from

Verizon. Correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And one of the issues, the revenues generated 4

from that purchase, we've already asked previously where

does that revenue go and who reports it. Correct? And

you don't know at this point in time. Correct?

A. Yeah, I don't know. But that number as we've

seen in this proceeding and, as your witnesses have

testified, is shrinking and is becoming a smaller part 10 of the market that fewer and fewer people are using 11

resale or wholesale advantage agreements to provide 12

services to competitors. 13 14

Q. Let's go to the next statement under UNE

arrangement, when unbundled network element, there's 15 subscriber line charge. Are you familiar with that? 16

17 A. Yes. That's a retail charge.

Q. Yes. And who captures the subscriber line charge 18

when a CLEC buys a UNE from Verizon and then sells it to 19 their customer, who gets to keep the subscriber line 20

charge? 21

A. The CLEC. 22

Q. And in resales environment when you just resale

services to a CLEC, who gets to keep the subscriber line

25 charge?

23

5

6

Cross - Vasington

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1

4

5

б

13

17

18

Page 55

It's a pretty simple answer. Either you

assume this revenue or you subcontract it out to MCI. 2 3

Mr. Vasington, do you or don't you? THE WITNESS: If a customer is a customer of

Verizon New Jersey, then the revenue goes to Verizon New Jersey. If they're customer of Verizon business which

is former MCI, then it goes to that entity which is also 7 losing money in New Jersey. So the notion that we're 8

shifting revenue and somehow hiding profits is not true. 9

Q. Let me go through this. Consider this as a 10 hypothetical. 11 12

MCI would purchase UNEs from Verizon, correct, for New Jersey, services of Verizon in New Jersey?

A. I don't know that. 14

Q. For business lines, 15

A. No, I don't know that because I know before the 16 16 merger MCI was both the facilities based provider and had a wholesale -- what we call a wholesale advantage

agreement which is where you buy UNEs through. 19

I'm not sure today if they still have a wholesale 20 advantage agreement. They may, but I haven't looked at 21 that as part of my evaluation. 22

Q. So you didn't exam that? 23

A. I didn't exam the wholesale advantage agreement. 24 25

Q. If there was a wholesale advantage agreement,

Cross - Vasington

Page 57

A. A CLEC. 1

2 Q. No.

Would you take subject to check that in resale 3 4 Verizon ---

MR. SMITH: Mr. White.

Q. I'm trying to -- to get at that point.

7 Do you believe there's a difference between resale and wholesale in terms of the subscriber line 8 charge? Let me phrase it that way. 9

A. No. My understanding was that subscriber line 10 charge is what the retail provider bills to their end 11

user customer and they get the money. We're not the 12

13 retail biller in that environment. Q. In resale market is what you're saying. 14

15 A. Right.

Q. Next question in this line: If Verizon provides a single-line business line to its customer, Verizon 17 18

keeps the subscriber line charge. Correct?

A. Yes. And be clear that's a federal charge. That 19 federal charge is billed by the retail provider to its 20

customer under federal tariffs and the company that 21

bills that charge will keep that money. 22

Q. Okay. If we can go to 6C which is quarter 2000 23 earnings report and if you go to page --24 25

MR. SMITH: Mr. White, the PowerPoint?

BPU INVESTIGATION RE; ILEC SERVICES Cross - Vasington Page 58 Q. The PowerPoint, page 11 and 12. Again, on page 11 it shows for the wireline revenue and profitability it shows a positive 22.6 EBITDA for 3 Verizon wireline. 5 Is that correct? A. Yes. That's -- roughly speaking that's a proxy 6 for the cash flow margin, revenues in cash expenses. Q. And your loss analysis that you put in your 8 testimony is based upon the annual reports which is -which in -- which is not based upon EBITDA, is it? 10 A. No, it's not cash flow. Utility regulators have 11 never used cash flows as a measure of earnings because 12 money cost money and regulators have to ensure a return 13 on investment for one thing, payment of interest for 14 15 another thing. Annual reports whether they're filed by telephone 16 companies, electric companies, or gas companies aren't 17 measures of cash flow. They're measures of total 18 overall earnings and whether the company is earning its 19 20 cost of capital. Q. So it's your position that Verizon does not rely 21 on EBITDA and average revenue per user as a proxy for 22 23 the health of its operation. A. No, not at all. EBITDA is very important measure 24 for a lot of reasons, but it's not telling you the whole 25 Cross - Vasington Page 59 story. It's telling you whether or not on a forward-looking basis you're positive on your cash flow. 2 It says nothing about whether or not you've actually 3 from what the traditional utility standard and the constitutional standard on utility regulations. 7 Q. Well, then can you tell me why in their investor

number in it for like the 10-Q has with respect to actual operating income margin. MR. SMITH: Objection. It hasn't been established that this is the investor report. This is a 10 PowerPoint that Fran Shammo uses perhaps as part of the 11 investor report. When we refer to the investor 12 13 report --

MR. SMITH: Objection. The document speaks

for itself. Do you have a question related to those

specific things? Do you want to point to the specific

Q. My specific question when I got started was that

the investor report refers to EBITDA, it does not have a

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: It's not specific. It's just a snapshot.

MR. SMITH: And would be more details. MR. WHITE: This is a public document that was produced by Verizon given as part of their earnings release in the first quarter 2012 highlighting their performance. So it's part and partial of what's in the

MR. SMITH: We're not challenging obviously the adversity of what our company put out by our chief financial officer. What we're saying is that it could be taken out of context because we don't know what the

Cross - Vasington

10-Q.

Cross - Vasington

page?

1

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 61

- earned a return on your invested capital, paid taxes, or
- paid your interest, for example, which is much different
- reports in the first quarter they only report EBITDA and 9
- they don't report net operating income? 10
- A. We don't report net operating income to the 11 investors in our quarterly report? 12
- Q. Look at the report. Show me where it talks about 13 14 net margin.
- 15 A. Well, this isn't the report. This is a
- PowerPoint handout that goes along when our CFO and 16
- whoever else is reporting our quarterly earnings to 17
- investors reports a snapshot of some of the information 18
- 19 which is included in a quarterly report.
- Q. In your quarterly. And your quarterly shows both 20
- the EBITDA and the gross margin from operations. 21 22 Correct?
- 23 A. The gross margins?
- Q. It shows the 22.6 and 1.6 percent net operating 24 profit after all other expenses.

- in total investor reports are and, quite frankly, what the connection is to the testimony that Mr. Vasington put in on the revenue situation based upon the reports 3 filed by the Board. I believe it's getting pretty 4
- 5 tenuous. 6

COMMISSIONER, FOX: I think what Mr. White is saying is this is used by your CFO to sell Verizon and in there it says there's 22.6 percent operating profit for the company. I think that would be relevant.

THE WITNESS: EBITDA is a very important measure. A lot of investors look at. If you ever watch CNBC or listen to earnings calls and transcripts to folks who follow the stocks, always ask what happening to your EBITDA margin. So it's a very important number.

So when our CFO is reporting on our earnings on a quarterly basis, he is answering ahead of time the questions that are going to come up from the investment analysts. He's not saying this is the only information that's important or relevant.

If you go into the 10-Q, you'll find an income statement, you'll find a balance sheet, you'll find statement of cash flows. There's a lot of information that is relevant. But for this document, the PowerPoint, this is the presentation you're giving to investors. So he's trying to answer ahead of time

Min-U-Script@

Cross - Vasington Cross - Vasington Page 62 Page 64 what questions they're normally going to answer -- or Q. Yes. going to ask. 2 2 A. Yes. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. White. 3 Q. And five and more were all business lines. 3 Q. Actually, if you go to page 12 again. 4 4 Right? MR. SMITH: Which document? 5 A. Right. Five or more business lines were all 5 MR. WHITE: This is the Verizon investor 6 business lines. Correct. 7 document, the black one. Q. So based upon what the Board did previously, they Q. Do you see the big black -- blackened column at 8 considered that within -- would you say that within the the bottom that reads continued strong FiOS growth and 9 business line marketplace that they drew distinctions 10 lower line loss. between the relevant product market within the general 10 A. Yes. 11 11 category business lines? Q. And then if you look at consumer ARPU, there's a 12 12 A. Yes. number there only shows it's growing. See that? 13 Q. On page 3, lines 1 to 13, can you state that 13 A. Yes. Our average revenue per user is growing 14 three of the services are in the same product market and primarily due to FiOS and our rate of loss, we're still 15 15 that product market is retail mass market? 16 losing lines, but our rate of loss is not quite as great | 16 Is that correct? as it was in the prior quarter, prior year. It's 17 17 A. Yes. actually year over year in this show. 18 Q. And you treat DA as being a separate product 18 Q. If you go to page 2, line 15 of your initial 19 19 market. Is that correct? testimony, can you briefly describe what business 20 20 A. That's correct. services reclassify in each of the prior 21 Q. So it is possible to have different product 21 reclassification proceedings you reference in page 2, 22 markets for different services. Correct? 22 23 line 15? 23 A. Is it possible, yes. A. Probably be easier just to define it as 24 Q. Okay. Do you know how the BPU treats bundled 24 everything but single-line business exchange service has 25 25 services? Cross - Vasington Cross - Vasington Page 63 Page 65 been reclassified in prior proceedings. 1 A. They are classified as competitive. 1 Q. Well, let's go -- let's start from the bottom. 2 Q. And you refer to that as page 5, lines 1 through 2 Prior to -- what was the level of lines that were rate 3 16. Correct? regulated prior to single-line business being the only A. No. That would be on page 13 which gives you the 4 one that was rate regulated? 5 history of the prior proceeding where everything but A. I believe it was two or more, but I think I have 6 these four remaining services were classified as 6 discussion of the history in my testimony. I just want 7 7 competitive. to confirm that if you could bear with me for a moment. 8 MR. WHITE: I'm going to happened out the 8 Okay. On page 13 in my testimony I point out 9 next exhibit, bear with me a second. We're going mark 9 that in the PAR-II order in 2003 the Board reclassified 10 this and what it is, let me identify it for the record. 10 business services for customers with more than five 11 It's N.J.S.A. 42-21.17 (sic). It's also lines as competitive and 2005 the Board classified 12 48:2-21.18. It's also 48:2-21.19. And it's also 12 business services for customers with two to four lines 13 Section 254 of the Communications Act of 1934 and as competitive. So prior to that, it would have been 14 specifically as Subsection K. And we'll mark this --14 anything more than two was already classified as 15 it's in the -- it's going take couple of minutes to pull 15 competitive. Prior to this is anything more than two 16 16 these. And we're going to mark this as rate counsel 17 lines. 17 Exhibit 12. Q. Okay. And as part of that reclassification, 18 (RC-12, N.J.S.A cites and Communications Act 18 those -- these dealt with the general subject of 19 of 1934, marked for identification.) 19 business lines. Correct? 20 MR. SMITH: Do you have a set for me? 20 A. I'm not clear what you mean by the general 21 21 MR. WHITE: Yes. Just bear with us. 22 subject of business lines. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Ball Bendung and 22 Q. They were all under business lines. Correct? 23 23 material? A. The two to four -- the more than two business 24 24 NEW SPEAKER: Yes, I am. 25 lines were all business lines? 25 (A discussion is held off the record.)

Cross - Vasington Page 66 1 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: COLUMN MARKET MARKET ASSELTA: COLUMN MARKET MA are we ready to go? 2 3 MR. WHITE: Yes. 4 BY MR. WHITE: Q. Mr. Vasington, did you take a look at these 5 documents which we've marked Rate Counsel Exhibit 12? 6 If you turn to the second page, there's a 7 definition of protected telephone service. 8 9 Do you see that? 10 A. Yes. Q. Okay. If you now go to the third page which is 11 48:2-21.1(a), look at paragraph (c). 12 13 A. 8(c)? 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. Okay. 15 Q. Does that provide that no local exchange 16 16 telecommunications company may use revenues earned or 17 17 expenses incurred in conjunction with noncompetitive 18 18 services to subsidize competitive services? 19 19 A. You've read that correctly. 20 20 Q. Now, you're claiming that Verizon New Jersey is 21 21 incurring losses, substantial losses. Correct? 22 22 23 A. Yes. 23 Q. Is it your position that rate regulated services 24 24 that are still rate regulated are PAR below cost? 25 25 Cross - Vasington Page 67

And I'm trying to explore this with this witness whether, in fact, that is not, in fact, the case. 2 3 Because the other side of that coin is I

think we can also demonstrate that the rate regulated 4 services are above costs. And if you look at UNE rates this Board established which is a statewide average is ten-twenty-one which included a reasonable profit, the 7 rates that they're currently charging above that so the 8 rate regulated services must be generating a profit. 9

And if they're generating a profit and overall they're 10 generating a loss, that means that they're subsidizing 11 competitive services and that's in violation of the 12 13 statute. 14

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: That's your expert opinion and assumption.

MR. WHITE: Yes.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay. MR. SMITH: I continue to object based upon the fact that it was ruled that costs were outside the scope of the proceeding.

COMMISSIONER FOX: You can't make the decision unless you know what the costs are.

MR. SMITH: No. There was a ruling that the costs --

COMMISSIONER FOX: Maybe the chair needs to

Cross - Vasington

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cross - Vasington

Page 69

A. I haven't looked at it in this case.

In the prior access case we did show that they were below cost in 2009. Cost meaning total cost. That's not the same as a subsidy test. If you're applying the statutory provision of the subsidy test, you only count direct costs for that. And there's a lot of Board orders and testimony discussing those distinctions. Q. So it's your position that rate regulated

services are profitable, just the subset of rate regulated services. MR. SMITH: Commissioner, I'm going to

object. Just the most recent rulings costs were determined to be outside the scope of the proceeding. I'm not sure where Mr. White is going. But it seems that he has entered into that area that is outside as opposed to inside the scope. So I object.

MR. WHITE: Where I'm going with this is you have a statutory prohibition that rate regulated services can't subsidize competitive services. I submit that the losses that they're claiming they're incurring if you believe them means that their competitive services which is 90 percent of their services are competitive, they must be performing at a loss and, therefore, they're in violation of this prohibition.

reconsider that ruling if, in fact, we need the costs to 1 be able to look at whether there's subsidization. 2 3

MR. SMITH: But that is unfair to Verizon and CenturyLink because --

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: In this proceeding, as counsel has said, we can't go there and can't ask for that in this particular proceeding.

COMMISSIONER FOX: So we can do our own proceeding --

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Yes. COMMISSIONER FOX: -- to see if, in fact, they violated a Board order.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Correct. MR. WHITE: Then the only question I would have, your Honor, how do they bring losses into this case at all. If they're going to claim losses, then that -- that leads you to the next question is what services sustained those losses and are those losses consistent with statute.

Now, we can brief that point and we're more than happy to do it and move on. But I would just suggest that that is, you know, that they can't have it both ways. They can't be claiming losses and then try to hide where the losses are and whether or not it's also a violation of statute.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Cre	oss - Vasington · Page 7	0 10	And Market
	r ugo ,	۰/c	ross - Vasington
1	MR. SMITH: We're providing the annual	.	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Yes.
2	report to rate counsel. We've provided our tax returns	3 :	2 MR. WHITE: In terms of this there was two
3	to rate counsel. And it was ruled early on that the		3 days of hearing scheduled. I anticipated that today.
4	costs are outside of the proceeding. If Mr. White wants	; .	we'd spend most of the entire day on their witnesses
5	to brief it, let him brief it. We will respond in our	!	Ms. Baldwin is here today. Ms. Bosely is
6	briefs. We think it's outside of the scope.	- (not coming in until tomorrow night, figuring that we
7	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Do you went brief that?	: م	Would spend the full day. If we have time left over
8		1	today, we are prepared to put Ms. Baldwin on without
9 10	MR. WHITE: Yes, I'll brief it, and I'm happy to do that.	!	Ms. Bosely if that is acceptable to the other parties
11		10	MS. BENEDEK: It is acceptable to
12	Q. And then if you go to the last page of that	13	
13	exhibit, look at Subsection K of Section 254 of the	12	THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T
14	Communications Act, do you see there's also a	13	THE WE'TA
15	prohibition at the federal level that you can't use there's a provision that says the carriers may not use	14	The property of the same same statistically, I know that way
16	services that are not competitive to subsidize services	15	have to convene hearings at one o'clock because of
17	that are subject to competition?	,	
18	A. Again, you've read that correctly.	17	A THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY
19	Q. And did you do any analysis in this proceeding	18	The state of the state of today. Dill illy boal
20	whether or not you complied with that?	19	The state of the s
21	MR. SMITH: Again, I'm going to raise the	20 21	
22	same objection related to the scope of the proceeding.	21	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
23	If the	23	vicay: tillity minutes.
24	MR. WHITE: We will brief that one as well.	23	William Indestine.
₹5	MR. SMITH: And we're going to reserve the	25	
			HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 10 Mink we zee
ross	- Vasington Page 71	Cro	ss - Vasington Page 73
1	right as part of the briefing of the process our first	1	ready to resume here, Mr. White.
2	argument is going to be it's outside the scope of the	2	Mr. White, let's start with you again.
3	proceeding.	3	MR. WHITE: Thank you, your Honor.
4	COMMISSIONER FOX: And we're going to take	4	I have a series of exhibits I'll identify
5	it from there as well.	5	them. Rate Counsel Exhibit 8, and it's a three part
6	MR. SMITH: The Board has wide jurisdiction.	6	exhibit, it includes the list of companies by revenue
7	MR. WHITE: Can we take a short break?	7	based upon the assessments.
8	would that be all right?	8	Those are Rate Counsel CLEC Survey which 8B,
9	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA:	9	and 8C is the assessment for cable companies in the
	you have?	10	state.
1	MR. WHITE: I've got probably I'd said 2 to	11	Exhibit RC-10 is a colored document dealing
2	3 hours on this. I expect, your Honor, to be able to	12	with RC-VNG-34. It purports to address various
3	get done today with both witnesses. That's my goal.	13	categories of line loss.
4	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: THUT'S OUR GOAL	14	Exhibit 11 is the recent order by the
	too.	15	Appellate Division, the access order case.
	MP WINTER And Direction	16	Exhibit 13 is the NPS survey - retail -
6	MR. WHITE: And I'm willing to stay as late	ı	Extract 15 is the 141 5 survey - retail -
6 7 :	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are	17	internal survey which was provided by Verizon in
6 7 : 8	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are prepared to move this along and this thing should speed	17 18	internal survey which was provided by Verizon in response to RC-VNJ-203.
6 7 : 8	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are prepared to move this along and this thing should speed up.	17 18 19	response to RC-VNJ-203. There's a Wall Street Journal article which
6 7 : 8 9	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are prepared to move this along and this thing should speed up. MS. BENEDEK: I'd like to know to what	17 18 19 20	response to RC-VNJ-203. There's a Wall Street Journal article which identifies RC Exhibit 14.
6 7 : 8 9 0	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are prepared to move this along and this thing should speed up. MS. BENEDEK: I'd like to know to what extent what do those statements mean relative to	17 18 19 20 21	response to RC-VNJ-203. There's a Wall Street Journal article which identifies RC Exhibit 14. Exhibit 15 is legislation proposed in
6 7 : 8 9 10 L (2	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are prepared to move this along and this thing should speed up. MS. BENEDEK: I'd like to know to what extent what do those statements mean relative to whether we get out of here today. We do have rate	17 18 19 20 21 22	response to RC-VNJ-203. There's a Wall Street Journal article which identifies RC Exhibit 14. Exhibit 15 is legislation proposed in Massachusetts.
6 7 7 7 8 1 9 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are prepared to move this along and this thing should speed up. MS. BENEDEK: I'd like to know to what extent what do those statements mean relative to whether we get out of here today. We do have rate counsel witnesses that will be subject to cross and the	17 18 19 20 21 22	response to RC-VNJ-203. There's a Wall Street Journal article which identifies RC Exhibit 14. Exhibit 15 is legislation proposed in Massachusetts. And these are all documents I'll be asking
6 7 : 9 9 10 11 (0	as necessary to do that. But I mean, you know, we are prepared to move this along and this thing should speed up. MS. BENEDEK: I'd like to know to what extent what do those statements mean relative to whether we get out of here today. We do have rate	17 18 19 20 21 22	response to RC-VNJ-203. There's a Wall Street Journal article which identifies RC Exhibit 14. Exhibit 15 is legislation proposed in

4	Cr	oss - Vasington Page 7	4 0	July 17, 2	:01
		· ugo ·	- 1	ross - Vasington Page	76
	- 1	(RC-8A, Only a Few Companies Dominate New	v :	Q. You have MCI as well, MCI Access.	
	2	S TOTAL STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE MASSES SITE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	: :	2 A. Yes. MCI Communications.	
	3		3	Q. Right, which is 64.	
	4	the table of table o	4	But then there's also Metro Access, MCImetr	0
	5			Access, further on down, 8 million 2.	•
	7	Variable of the control of the contr	, 6	5 A. Okay.	
	8		7	to 100 000 illact on that his top 120 million.	
	وا	7 - 1 - 3 - 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	8	change?	
	10		9	111	
	11	(RC-11, Access Order by Appellate Division marked for identification.)	- 1	t FFaratory occause	
	12		11	that's AT&T.	
	13	Survey, marked for identification.)	12	,	
	14	(RC-14, Article, Competition Worries Snag	13	and and less to attook? Litely (ICES 13) MUMAN	
	15	Verizon Deal on Airwaves, dated July 13, 2012, marked	14	THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O	
	16	for identification.)	- 1	The state of the s	or
	17	(RC-15, The Commonwealth of Massachusetts,	16	- The sample and odditions custoffiche	
	18	House Docket No. 04302, marked for identification.)	17		
	19	BY MR. WHITE:	19		1
	20	Q. Mr. Vasington, tell me when you're ready we'll	20	construction of the state of th	
	21	begin.	21		
	22	A. I'm ready.	22	in and the control of	
	23	Q. If we look at Exhibit 8A, B, and C.	23	You said residential and single-line, they don't	t
	24	A. A is the assessment.	24	take a single-line business customer that comes to them. Q. New customer.	1
	25	Q. Yes.	25	In fact, MCI has a provision in its tariff,	
	Cros	is - Vasington Page 75	-	ss - Vasington	
		-	\$10	rage /	1
	2	A. And the B is the CLEC survey. Q. Yes.	1	doesn't it, that has grandfathered existing customer	١
	3	A. And I don't know if I've seen C before.	2	but not accepting new customers for residential	1
	4	Q. C is the cable assessment.	3	services. Is that correct?	
	5	A. Can you explain?	4	A. Subject to check. I haven't looked at their	İ
	6	I don't know what is cable assessment?	5	tariff.	1
	7	Q. Cable companies get assessed just like telephone	6	Q. So as a percentage of gross revenue, Verizon's by	
ĺ	8	companies.	7	and large is one of the is a significant provider of	١.
	9	A. Oh, the Board assessment.	8	telecom services in New Jersey. Correct?	1
	10	Q. Yes.	10	A. Well, the statement is correct. But there's a	
İ	11	A. Okay. All right.	11	limitation to this exhibit that I discussed in my reply	y
	12	Q. And if you go to the last page of Exhibit 8A	12	testimony which is that it's not all telecommunication	1
- [13	there's a total telecommunications revenue.	13	services. It's a subset of services. It does not	1
-	14	Do you see that number?	14	include IP services which are all of the telephone	
-	15	A. Total for intrastate services.	15	services offered by the cable companies who are single largest competitor and also does not include wireless	1
-	16	Q. Yes. Correct. Exactly. Intrastate.	16	services which is another significant competitor to us.	
	17	A. Or wireless or VoIP.	17	So within the context of this document, we're	
- 1	18	Q. Right.	18	certainly a significant number. But I think the Board	
- 1	19	A. Right.	19	needs to be clear that this is only a subset of all	
- 1	20	Q. The only services revenue reported by these	20	telecommunication services in the state.	
- 1		companies.	21	Q. The second entry is Comcast Business	
- 1	22	A. Yes.	22	Communications. Correct?	
- (23	Q. And you see that if you take the Verizon revenue	23	A. Yes.	ľ
- 1	24	which is roughly \$1.2 billion. Do you see that?	24	Q. That could capture all telecommunications	
- 12	25	A. Yes.	0-	**************************************	1

25 services, their intrastate services. Correct?

Cross - Vasington Page 78 Cross - Vasington Page 80 A. Yes. intrastate service anywhere. With clarification that VoIP, V-o-I-P, voice-over 2 Q. So this \$168 million reported for Comcast Internet protocol, Internet protocol based services are 3 Business Communications, what communications services not categorized as intrastate. So when you say this would they be other than VoIP? does not include intrastate services, you're essentially A. I don't know. My understanding is they don't saying it doesn't include a vast majority of their 6 have a tariff so I don't know what services they're telecommunication services. 7 talking about there. COMMISSIONER FOX: Could I just clarify? 8 Q. And then there's also entry for Cablevision Are you saying that the voice-over -- does 9 Lightpath. Correct? Verizon have voice-over Internet protocol? 10 A. Yes. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 Q. And that's 47 million. 12 COMMISSIONER FOX: That's the FiOS. Right? | 12 A. Right. THE WITNESS: Well, some of FiOS. FiOS 13 13 Q. The second group of documents is Rate Counsel voice is provided in two ways. One is what we call 14 Exhibit 8B which is our CLEC survey. 14 traditional circuit switched or to get real technical 15 15 A. Okay. time divisioned multiplexing. So some FiOS voice 16 Q. And this categorizes the various CLECs that 16 customers get service over a circuit switch. It's just 17 operate and the services they provide. Correct? 17 the way they did in the past with copper wires. Other 18 18 A. Yes. Rate counsel provided this with its FiOS voice customers get the service from a product that 19 testimony. we call FiOS digital voice. And FiOS digital voice is 20 20 Q. Okay. Now, I refer you to 8C, if you go to the 21 an IEP, Internet protocol based service. So that's VolP 21 very last line, you see the entry? more like cable companies provide VoIP. 22 22 A. Wait. Wait. I'm not here yet. COMMISSIONER FOX: Does Verizon provide more 23 23 The last page, last line. VoIP than it does the traditional landline? 24 24 Q. Page I of Exhibit 80. THE WITNESS: No. The numbers are in the 25 25 A. Oh, page 1. Cross - Vasington Page 79 Cross - Vasington Page 81 record, Commissioner, if you want to look at them. We 1 Q. Yes. have the number of FiOS digital voice customers in there A. ATC Outdoor DAS? and the number of traditional landline, both from our 3 3 Q. Yes. legacy landline and from other services. A. Okay. COMMISSIONER FOX: Thank you. 5 Q. You see the last line? 5 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 6 A. Yep. Q. Isn't it true that the FCC hasn't finally 7 Q. There's a number for Verizon, \$476 million. 7 determined what the proper classification of VoIP MR. SMITH: I'm going to object to the entry 8 traffic is in terms of interstate or intrastate? of this exhibit because this is -- these are all files, 9 A. For intercarrier compensation that's true. But 10 cable TV numbers, aren't they, if I understand you? 10 for purposes of regulatory jurisdiction, no, I don't 11 MR. WHITE: This is amount of video services 11 12 believe that's true. which they're assessed under Section 5A of the Cable 12 Q. Well, isn't there a proceeding in 2005 that was 13 Act. This is reported intrastate cable revenue here in 13 intended to address that? Are you aware of that 14 14 New Jersey. 15 proceeding? A. I apologize for one second, I was looking at the 15 16 A. There have been a lot of proceedings that have 16 CLEC survey. addressed that. I don't know which one you're referring 17 17 MR. SMITH: I still object to the -to in 2005. But I know that IP based services are not 18 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: You're not 18 19 state regulated in any state. 19 accepting these numbers? Q. But that's not true. I can give you an example. 20 MR. SMITH: No. I'm objecting to the entry 20 In New Jersey we have a statute dealing with VoIP that 21 of evidence related to FiOS television and video which 21 22 says it's not regulated. Correct? is outside the scope of the proceeding. It doesn't have 22 A. I'm telling you my opinion and my understanding 23 anything to do with the three criteria or the four 23 is that there's no state that regulates VoIP service as 24 services that are being examined, five, if you get 24 an intrastate service. It's not tariffed as an 25 25 discretionary.



Cross - Vasington Page 82 Cross - Vasington Page 84 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay. 1 landlines. 2 Q. Mr. Vasington, isn't it true --Q. Now, competitive wins, those are -- could you 2 MR. SMITH: I'm sorry. I didn't hear a 3 3 explain what that is? ruling. 4 A. My understanding from this document is it is HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Why is this 5 generated by our customer service reps who have to 6 relevant? categorize both additions and losses under some MR. WHITE: Two reasons, your Honor. First 7 checkbox. And so if a - if they believe a customer is 7 of all, the telecom plant that the cable plant they use 8 coming from a competitor, then they check off the to provide video is considered to be telecom plant so 9 competitive win category. If it's just coming from it's reflected as telecom plant under the statewide 10 growth, someone moving into the territory or calling to franchise. So, therefore, the cost associated with that | 11 11 initiate a new service, they would click the growth box. is in their telephone plant. So if looking at their Q. And what about competitive driven ports out? 12 revenues and their profits or losses, you have to also 13 13 A. That would be where the customer's telephone portion out -- you've got to allocate out the revenue 14 number is being ported to a competitor where a 14 that's earned from video based upon the use of the 15 competitor has initiated a transfer of that customer's 15 telecom plant and this is what this thing enables you to 16 16 telephone number to their own services so it's not a 17 call from a customer itself, it's coming in from the 17 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Brief it. 18 18 competitive provider. 19 MR. WHITE: What? Q. And again, this would include both regulated and 19 20 nonregulated residential service. Correct? 20 brief it? 21 A. Yes. It would even include wireless because 21 MR. SMITH: Thank you, we will just note our 22 numbers can be ported to wireless service. 22 objection raised in the brief. 23 Q. Under customer-driven, there's a category there. 23 Q. Next exhibit is RC-10, we're high-tech on this 24 24 one. We have this in color. This is their response 25 25 Q. And mere's some subcomponents listed, do you see Cross - Vasington Page 83 Cross - Vasington Page 85 RC-VNJ-34 which purports to address line loss based upon that? 1 inward and outward line loss. 2 A. Yes, I do. Are you familiar with this exhibit. 3 3 Q. Can you explain what is customer initiated? Mr. Vasington? MR. SMITH: Can I just have one second with 4 A. Yes, I am. 5 5 the witness? Q. All right. Now, let's -- I'd like to go to HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Sure. 6 page 4 first dealing with business access lines. 7 MR. SMITH: Can we have just one moment A. Okay. 8 because we have a document we would like to refer to. Q. First of all, do these numbers include data on 9 A. All right. Do you want me to go through the 9 legacy lines as well? 10 10 subcategories ---A. Single-line business customers? 11 11 O. Yes. 12 Q. Yes. 12 A. -- and explain what they are? A. I believe that it does not distinguish between --13 13 Q. And what are you basing that on? 14 it's all business lines. A. I didn't know myself when reviewing this document 14 Q. It's all business lines. So it's competitive and 15 so I asked the person we got the document from if he can 15 noncompetitive lines. 16 16 define the terms for us. 17 A. Right. Q. Have you provided that document to rate counsel 17 18 Q. All right. Now, let's go back to page 1. 18 in discovery? For residential at least you've got competitive 19 A. No. 19 wins and you go from January '09 to December '11 which 20 20 MR. WHITE: Can I make a transcript request is pages 1, 2 and 3 of the exhibit. Correct? 21 for that document, please. 21 A. And this also includes competitive and 22 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay. 22 noncompetitive lines. As classified in New Jersey. 23 23 Q. Okay. Go ahead.

24

25

O. So it would include bundles.

A. It includes packages, bundles, and legacy

24

A. Customer initiated is the customer tells the

service rep that they're moving within Verizon franchise

Cross - Vasington Page 86 of Verizon territory but doesn't want Verizon at the new location. Substitution is when the customer tells the rep that they are going to use another service provider for their -- for their service. Customer is if moves 4 lost is -- no, I apologize. I messed that up. Substitution is the customer tells the rep that 6 they're going to use a different service provider. If 7 moves lost is the customer tells the rep that they're moving within the Verizon territory but doesn't want 9 Verizon at the new location. Uncontrollable is two 10 things, either the customer is moving out of the Verizon 11 service territory or there's the customer has died. 12 13

- Utilization adjustment is essentially a catchall -- my understanding is that it's a catchall for when the rep 14
- doesn't input a disconnect, a reason for doing it. 15
- O. And the last category customer-driven nonpay. 16 A. That's company-driven nonpay, that's for 17
- disconnect for nonpayment of service. 18
- Q. And you will notice that that's a pretty 19
- consistent number from '09 to December 2011, it ranges 20
- anywhere from -- well, you can see for yourself. 21
- A. Well, pretty consistent is a subjective term. I 22 23 see there's --
- Q. It certainly exceeds on average 5,000 per month, 24
- doesn't it, on an average basis? . 25

Cross - Vasington

Page 88

- that we're adding fewer lines and we're losing many more
- lines and that trend continued all the way from 2009
- through 2011. That is why you see our number of lines shrinking.
- Q. Well, let's just take for an example, let's look 5 at January '09.
- A. Okay. We gained 13,000 lines and we lost 38,000 lines. 8
- Q. Well, you lost 15 to the competition. And then
- if you add back in the nonpay, which is not competition, 11 is it?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. And utilization adjustment can't be necessarily 13 competition either. Right?
- 15 A. No, it could be.
- Q. But you can't tell, can you? 16
- 17 A. Right. The rep did not code anything in.
- 18 Q. And you have the burden of proof in this proceeding, don't you, to establish a position? 19
- MR. SMITH: Objection. You're asking for a 20 21 legal conclusion.
- Q. Is it your understanding that you have the burden 22 23 of proof in this proceeding?
- A. Yes. And you'll also notice that the utilization 24
- adjustment number shrinks over time as we get into 2011. 25

Cross - Vasington

Page 87

Page 89

- A. Eyeball basis, yes, it looks like it. 1
- 2 Q. And then if you take --
- A. Well, actually, I'm not sure about that because 3
- you get into 2011 and you have numbers in 3,000s and the
- 2,000s and there's quite a few numbers under 5,000s so 5 in the 4,000s. 6
- Q. All right. In terms of inward growth there's 7
- also that significant variation as well and it ramps up 8
- and then starts ramping down in April '10. Correct?
- A. I'm not sure you can make conclusions on trends 10 11
- based on this data. It appears to be fairly variable.
- I mean the numbers are what the numbers are. 12 13
- Q. Give you an example, for 2011, with the exception of August and December, the numbers are -- if you round
- up, they're 6,000 lines per month with the exception of
- July, August, and -- and October and November they're
- 17 over five. Right?
- 18 A. What year?
- Q. December 2011. 19 20 A. Inward growth?
- 21 Q. Yes. Total.
- 22 A. Inward subtotal, total.
- 23 Q. Subtotal. Yes.
- A. Yeah, on that I think it's very clear and it's 24
- consistent with the data we've shown in our testimony

Cross - Vasington

- The reps did a better job of coding things because that number starts to go down quite a bit. Some of those 2
- could be competition, some of those may not be 3
- 4 competition.
- Q. But again this is all lines, correct, both 5
- competitive and noncompetitive? Correct? 6
- A. Yeah. Our number of lines are shrinking in both 7
- our competitive category and our noncompetitive 9
- category. In fact, they're shrinking more in our noncompetitive category. 10
- Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit RC-11 next. 11
- A. Which -- can you identify which one? 12 13
- Q. It's the appellate decision in the matter of the investigation and review of local exchange intrastate 14 15 exchange access rates.
- 16 A. Okay. 17

MR. SMITH: This --

- Q. I refer you to go to page 54. 18 19
 - MR. SMITH: I'm going --
 - Q. And 55.

21 MR. SMITH: I'm going to object on the relevance grounds just because I don't think it's 22 relevant because I don't have an understanding of what 23 the purpose of the document is in this case. 24

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: CON YOUR SERVICE STATEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

20

25

Cross - Vasington Page 90 Cross - Vasington that? MR. WHITE: What I'm going to point him to 2 the end of the decision where there were claims they 3 were losing money as a result of access charge reform. 4 And in here the Court specifically addressed the New 5 Jersey law and statute that basically says the 6 prohibition on subsidization of services only applies 7 8 from regulated subsidizing competitive, not competitive 9 subsidizing rate regulated. MR. SMITH: Well, the document states what 10 10 it states and it stands for itself. 11 11 Q. Is that your understanding, Mr. Vasington? 12 12 13 MR. SMITH: Have you seen this before? 13 THE WITNESS: No. 14 14 Q. You didn't read this decision? 15 15 A. No. 16 16 Q. Were you involved in the access case in this 17 17 18 proceeding? 18 A. I was a witness in the evidentiary case before --19 19 before the Board. Verizon doesn't let me write briefs 20 to appellate courts so I'm not involved in that portion 21 22 of the proceeding. 22 Q. So you didn't care what the outcome of those 23 appeals were? 24 24 MR. SMITH: Objection, your Honor. That's 25 25

What the data are showing are 411 usage by age and length of time. So, for example, what this is showing is that for different age groups how many years have you been using Verizon DA, not usage by age group. It's measuring how many years you've been using it. And naturally as you get older, the older people are the 7 more years they've been using the service.

And if you look at the script itself on the following page, you can see that the question this is referring to is Question 8, which is, for how long have you been using Verizon 411 services. So whoever put this chart together, I don't think the heading they put on the chart actually matched the data that they were referring to.

Q. You didn't correct that, did you, in your

testimony or in a subsequent letter to rate counsel? A. It's not a correction. You asked for a document,

This is actually what the documents represents. My opinion is the person who put the document together was

kind of sloppy in how they labeled things, but that's 21 not a correction.

O. The next exhibit is RC Exhibit 14. This is an

article that appeared in the Wall Street Journal. I'm 23

going to refer you to page 29 in your rebuttal

testimony.

Cross - Vasington

2

Page 91

Cross - Vasington

1 argumentative.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Sustained.

O. Are you aware that Verizon appealed the decision? 3

4 A. Yes.

Q. Are you later aware that they withdrew it? 5

A. Yes. 6

O. And -- fine. I'll move on. 7

I'm now going to go to Exhibit 13 which is a response you did to RC-VNJ-203. It deals with directory 9 assistance.

10

A. Okay. 11

Q. This exhibit was also subject to the motion to 12

compel. But for this purposes, I'll refer you to the 13

14 last page, page 10.

A. The last page is the survey script. 15

Q. 411 usage by age group. 16

A. Yes. 17

Q. Do you see 65 plus? 18

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. It has the highest usage by age group. Correct?

A. Actually, no. Glad you brought this up, because 21

as I was reviewing this document, it became clear to me 22

that the heading of the graph on the bottom of the 23

sheet, the second half of the picture, does not actually 24

25 match what the data are showing.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 93

A. Wait a minute. Can I read the article, please? 2 MS. BENEDEK: What was the page reference? Q. Page 29 and 30 of your rebuttal testimony. 3

When you're through reading the article, let me know and then we can turn to your testimony.

MR. SMITH: Actually, we can turn to my objection first because that's the appropriate procedural order. We object to any line of questioning that goes into the cross-marketing agreement between Verizon affiliates.

The cross-marketing agreement doesn't involve Verizon New Jersey, Inc., at all. Mr. Vasington actually points that out on his testimony starting on page 28. We don't see the relevance and we also note that neither Mr. Vasington nor I certainly not involved in the cross-marketing agreement case.

I know that there has been an exchange of proprietary documents in that case. I know that rate counsel and his witnesses have access to those. We don't. So besides the fact that it's not relevant, out of a matter of fairness, they have the upper hand on us in the sense they know more than we do about this.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay. MR. WHITE: On page 29 and 30, they specifically refute our position that this is relevant

Cross - Vasington Page 94 to the Board's analysis and they go into quite some detail and they cite to an executive vice president and general counsel for Verizon rational for Verizon to enter the joint marketing agreements and we've raised 4 issues about whether joint marketing agreements distorts competition. And, in fact, that it also effects the level of competition across a whole range of services. 7 And they brought it into this proceeding. And this 8 article clearly supports rate counsel's position, 9 Department of Justice is seriously looking at this, the 10 anticompetitive effects. 11

MR. SMITH: Well, it's for the Department of Justice and the FCC to make a determination. If you're going to go to a newspaper article, we're not involved 14 in the nitty-gritty in the legal case. We get clips all day saying that, you know, there may be conditions imposed, it may be approved, but it's really in the bailiwick of the Department of Justice and the FCC. 18

And if we have questioning here in two weeks 19 from now, they approve the deal without any conditions, then we're -- we also, as I said, it's a matter of fairness. Rate counsel and its witnesses they have signed nondisclosure agreements in that case. They put in comments. They know much more about underlying agreements then we certainly do. And the essential

Cross - Vasington

Q. Did you support it?

A. Yes.

Q. Okav. 3

4 A. I lobbied in favor of it.

Q. Okay. If you go to page 1 dealing with telecom 5

companies and common carrier offering telephone service. 7

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

Q. This legislation focused on an

exchange-by-exchange basis. Correct?

A. Legislation focused on a lot of things. The primary thing it did was exempt wireless service from

regulation. In fact, the latest version of this 13

legislation -- proposed legislation was changed to be 15 only the provision in Section 8 to exempt wireless

16 service from regulation.

Q. So they decided to keep regulation of local 17 exchange service and same issue we have here in this

proceeding. Correct?

A. Back up for a second. First of all, this 20

legislation just had a hearing last week so nothing has 21

22 been decided by anybody.

Q. But you supported this legislation at the time. 23 24

Right?

25 A. Yeah.

Cross - Vasington

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 95

Cross - Vasington

thing is that it's not relevant.

MR. WHITE: This directly undermines the witness's credibility, the statements in his rebuttal testimony about significance of these marketing agreements so it's relevant for those purposes and we will brief it accordingly.

And even if they do come out with a subsequent decision approving the transaction, they can certainly allude -- they can point that out in their briefs as well. It doesn't mean they're not going to impose conditions on any deal that is ultimately done and those conditions could also effect what the effect here is in New Jersey and the independence of the marketplace.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay. Q. Okay. The last exhibit which is Exhibit 15, this | 16 is legislation that was proposed in Massachusetts. It's some legislation that was proposed last year here in New

Jersey to have legislation declare services competitive. Mr. Vasington, if you take a look at it, tell me when you're finished and then I have questions for you. A. I'm familiar with it.

O. Did you participate in these Massachusetts consideration of this Massachusetts legislation? A. Yes.

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And you supported that if you're going to deal with telecom regulation, you would look at 2

exchange-by-exchange basis. Is that correct or not? 3

A. What I would support as a legislative basis 4

doesn't mean I think it's necessarily the appropriate 5

economic way to evaluate things. This -- any 6 7

legislation is designed in context of what is feasible and achievable in the legislative context which may be

very different from what I would advocate, say, as a 9 10

witness advocating for what I think the correct policy is. The legislative arena is very different from an

administrative arena in my opinion.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: So your establishment here is a similar piece of legislation that passed the New Jersey State Legislature is also working its way through the Massachusetts legislature which exempts the regulatory powers of this body here,

MR. WHITE: Actually, it's a little broader than, it's the fact that they attempted to get regulatory relief through legislature. They were unsuccessful here in New Jersey. And then they did similar recently in Massachusetts, introduced legislation. The significance of this legislation is it counters the whole thing. You look at the whole state as a market which is what their position has been in

Cross - Vasington Page 98 Cross - Vasington Page 100 their testimony. You don't look at the wire center or Verizon provides to the FCC and which page are we local exchange basis. And this legislation they looking at? supported, specifically addressing that you look at the 3 Q. It deals with residential FiOS as reported. If I local exchange and this counters and conflicts with his can show you an exhibit that we prepared. statements here and it's appropriate for 5 Let me back up. You identified number of 5 cross-examination and the inconsistency can be used to 6 households in the New Jersey in your testimony. 6 undermine his credibility at this point. Correct? MR. SMITH: We don't obviously think it's 8 A. We identified the number of households in our В another state, has no bearing on the particular 9 service territory. standards. You know, we think it's not relevant to this 10 Q. Right. And you also identified retail primary 10 proceeding. If we open the door for that, we're going |11 11 residential lines in your testimony as well. Right? to go out and survey fifty states and we're going to go 12 12 through all of the states. What's before the Board are 13 13 Q. And residential primary lines. Correct? three statutory criteria. 14 14 A. I think those are the rune things. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: How many 15 15 What was the first question? questions do you have relative to this? 16 16 O. You have two different numbers. I can tell MR. WHITE: I have no further questions. I 17 17 you --18 just point out what it says. 18 MR. SMITH: Pag : reference to the testimony? HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: All right. 19 Q. One's attachment RC a-tachment RC-VNJ-6A, B, D, 19 20 BY MR. WHITE: 20 N-R, supplemental, Marci. 19, 2012. Q. Okay. Mr. Vasington, I want to refer you to 21 The next category you had was residential primary 21 Verizon New Jersey statistics and sources of 22 lines which was different in the cite for that initial 22 23 information. at 7 -- initial testimony a: 7. 23 You have a number that you set forth in 24 A. Yes. 24 RC-VNJ-166 for residential FiOS lines? 25 25 My direct testimony was filed in February and we Cross - Vasington Page 99 Cross - Vasington Page 101 A. I don't have that discovery in front of me. 1 updated that response to 6 as of March 19, 2012. So the 166? 2 numbers might not correspond exactly. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Jan a mother of 3 3 Q. No, I understand. record here regarding the briefings moved that are 4 The 477 reports, if you go to 2011 on page two of outside the scope of this Board order, I will later the report. You have it there? determine whether such briefings should occur even A. What do I have there? though we're agreeing now, we'll save that determination 7 Q. Under broadband optimism fiber end user category 7 for later. 8 total connections. 9 MR. SMITH: Thank you. A. You're going to have to slow down because I'm not (START CONFIDENTIAL PORTION TRANSCRIPT.) 10 familiar with Form 477 and it's very small and dense so 10 Q. I can show -- I prepared an exhibit which I --11 help me out on what I'm looking at. 11 MR. SMITH: What's the number again? 12 Q. Do you have the 477 report? 12 A. Yeah. And I have page 2 of 6. It says page 2 of 13 Q. You reported 561,170 residential FiOS lines. 13 (END CONFIDENTIAL PORTION TRANSCRIPT.) 14 6 on the top. 14 MR. SMITH: We're getting into the 15 15 Q. Yes. confidential. 16 A. And where am I looking from there? 16 MR. WHITE: It is proprietary so we'll put 17 Q. On page 3 of 6 go down to Part 1A, broadband, 17 it under seal. 19 18 optical carrier. MR. SMITH: Is everyone in the room -- has 19 19 A. Okay. 20 anyone in the room not signed the nondisclosure Q. Do you see the number that's there under grade 20 agreement. Okay. Thank you. 21 21 equivalent measure? Q. The numbers will be confidential. After the 22 A. Under grade equivalent, the words grade 22 numbers, then it ends and we'll go on to the next 23 23 equivalent. 24 Q. Connections. 24

25

A. Yes. Yes.

A. So these are form -- Form 477 submissions that |25

July 17, 2012 Cross - Vasington Page 102 Cross - Vasington Page 104 Q. And then if you go to Column 5, there's a percent that are used for IP VoIP telephony from FiOS -- FiOS of that which are residential users. customers. A. Percentage. That first category that connect to 3 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: to that clear? 3 residential end users and have information transfer 4 Mr. White, move on. rates succeeding 200 kilobits per second in both Q. So the FiOS digital voice lines you now say 6 direction. should probably be included in the number of lines that Q. Right. And there's a percentage there. Right? 7 7 Verizon provides. Correct? 8 A. Right. A. Wei, what I said was that it depends on what 8 (START CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OF TRANSCRIPT.) 9 question you're asking. If you're asking how many 9 Q. If you multiple -- subject to check, if you 10 regulated telephone lines we have, then the numbers I multiply that percentage times the number, you'll come 11 had in my direct testimony were what they were. 11 out with approximately -- proprietary began --12 After reading rate counsel's reply testimony, I 12 five-six-one-one-seven-oh. End proprietary. 13 thought it would also be useful for the Board to see --13 (END CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OF TRANSCRIPT.) 14 14 to answer the question, how many primary -- how many 15 A. Okay. Okay. telephone lines -- how many households in our service 15 Q. You also report FiOS digital voice lines in your 16 territory has Verizon telecom telephone line so I added 16 testimony. Correct? 17 in FiOS digital voice lines as well. 17 18 A. More space. Q. And again the Internet number that you provided, 18 ٠ ن ٠٠ Yes, in my reply testimony. 19 broadband, again that's provided over the plant and the 19 20 Q. I believe it's in your rebuttal. equipment that Verizon New Jersey operates here in New 20 1. A. It's in both I think. 21 21 Jersey. Correct? MR. SMITH: Do you have a page number on the 22 A. Well, it's provided over our FiOS network. The 22 rebuttal testimony? I'm curious where this is all 23 FiOS number in the 477 report is provided over our fiber 23 going, Mr. White. I know it's your cross-examination 24 24 optic network which is not same as our core copper 25 25 network. Cross - Vasington Page 103 Cross - Vasington Page 105 1 MR. WHITE: Page 33 of his rebuttal. Q. But it is all part of Verizon New Jersey's plant 2 MR. SMITH: Say again, please. and equipment under the statewide franchise statute. 3 MR. WHITE: Page 33 of his rebuttal. 3 Correct? MR. SMITH: Mr. White, I'm going to object 4 MR. SMITH: It's asking for a legal on relevancy because I don't see where this is going at 5 5 conclusion. I object. this point. You're throwing out a lot of numbers. 6 Q. You're understanding is that it's provided, that 6 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: What point net 7 7 is what the requirement -you trying make here, Mr. White? 8 MR. SMITH: Still objectionable. It's still 8 MR. WHITE: In his testimony originally said 9 9 asking for a legal conclusion. you don't include FiOS lines that they served. He HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: OLY, MANUELLE 10 subsequently changed that in his rebuttal and provided a 11 11 Q. I'll move on. 12 number. Can you return to your rebuttal testimony, 12 Q. Now, the question I have is under the 477 13 13 page 13, lines 19 through --14 reports, there's this other number that we just went A. I have a lot of testimonies. Hold on a second. 14 through, I'm trying to find out what is the difference. 15 15 Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: There you go. 16 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 1800 annual 2010 A. The 477 is broadband service. This is FiOS 17 with this particular witness do you predict? 17 digital voice. I'm saying this. 18 MR. WHITE: I'm making pretty good progress. 18 Sorry about that, Commissioners. 19 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: He's looking a 19 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: It's okay. 20 20 little haggard. A. The 477 report is reporting broadband service so 21 (A discussion is held off the record.) 22 FiOS Internet, people buying FiOS Internet which gives A. Okay. Rebuttal testimony. Got it. them crazy fast speeds to use the Internet. 23 Q. Page 13 and 14 on page 13, lines 19 to the end of In my reply testimony on page 8 is our number of | 24 24 page and on page 14, lines 1 through 12. FiOS digital voice lines. So the number of our lines 25 25 A. Okay. Yes.

MR. SMITH: The question, please explain

MR. WHITE: No. We're going to have to get

MR. SMITH: I'm going to object. Asked and |13

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Did dest to the

MR. WHITE: What I'm trying to go do now

MR. SMITH: Objection. We're getting into

Cross - Vasington Q. This is your position in this section that you're basically unable to sustain any profits. Correct? A. I'm not in the same place. Maybe I am getting 3 haggard. I'm in my rebuttal, page 13. 4 5 6 what you mean when you say that rate counsel's position has been muddled. That's what I have in the middle of 7 page 13. Do I have the right place? 8 9 10 a correct cite. Q. You did an elasticity study, correct, for 11 residential basic local service? 12 13 answered. 14 15 beginning. 16 17 with this line of questioning is that if you -- that was 18 done in a subset of lines that they offer. And if you 19 include other lines, including the FiOS lines and other 20 types of lines, including the requirements that are 21 under state law that for bundles you have to include the 22 price of the basic local exchange service that his 23 analysis is -- that they are unprofitable is incorrect. 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Page 106

Cross - Vasington

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

thinks adding in FiOS revenues is going to make us

2 profitable, we pointed out that in the last few years

where negative net income even on a total company basis 3 4

and that includes FiOS cost and revenues because both 5 intrastate and interstate.

MR. WHITE: He's again mixing revenues with 6 7 profits. We're talking about revenues now. The test for market power is a revenue generated basis, not a 8 9 profit generated basis.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Market power, what's market power? Explain to me.

THE WITNESS: Market power is the ability to sustain an above market price and earn monopoly profits from that. Profits is different than revenue. You can have a service that generates a ton of revenues. And if it's got really high costs, you're not going to generate any profits. You have another service that you only get \$10 from and it costs you a dollar to provide, you're going to have a lot of profits. So profits and revenues aren't the same thing at all.

Q. I'm going to refer you to page 4 of your rebuttal 21 22 testimony.

23 A. Yep.

24 Q. Lines I through 12, that's the corrected cite and I apologize for the erroneous cite previously. 25

Cross - Vasington

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Page 107

Cross - Vasington

Page 109

issue of cost again.

MR. WHITE: This is not an issue of cost. This directly relates to his testimony that it was unprofitable. Unprofitable is not related to cost in any way. It's a question of whether or not the revenues that they earned when you take into account line loss is still positive revenue. It has nothing to do with whether it's a profit or loss. He's referring to it as unable to sustain revenues, let alone profits is what his initial testimony was on this.

So all I'm trying to do is go over what happens to his analysis if you include other lines.

MR. SMITH: We're getting into issues of --MR. WHITE: Other revenues, what happens to other revenues to his analysis.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Acquirement to answer that?

THE WITNESS: That is a big word concerning what he just said. I'm not really following what he's talking about. He asking -- I provided testimony in my reply testimony that in annual reports we show we are negative net income for the past number of years.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Northern March 1988

24 report.

THE WITNESS: I can clarify it. If he

A. Okay. I'm there. 1

> MR. SMITH: Some of this is proprietary, confidential.

MR. WHITE: I'm not going get into the numbers.

(START CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OF TRANSCRIPT.) O. Other than the fact that your bottom line -- and this a proprietary, begin proprietary, unable to sustain revenues, let alone any profits, end proprietary.

(END CONFIDENTIAL PORTION OF TRANSCRIPT.)

11 A. Correct. And I testified earlier that our expenses have declined at slower pace than our revenues 12 had. So if we're not even able to sustain revenues and 13 our expenses aren't declining by as much, that, by 14 15 definition, would decrease our profits.

Q. But again this analysis is based upon a subset of 16 17 lines. Correct?

A. Yeah. This analysis is the subset of lines that are subject to this proceeding for residential services for Verizon.

21 So I'm rebutting. Rate counsel is saying that we 22 would be able to generate monopoly profits from legacy landline residential customers in this case. And I'm 23 pointing out that even when we had the rate increases 24 from the prior settlement, we weren't even able to

Cro	oss - Vasington Page 110	Cu	July 17, 20 pss - Vasington Page 11	-
		100	7 0 go 11	
1	The state of the s	1 1	at the contract of the contrac	ŕ
2	and the same of the same of the same of the same	2	my head, I know what the numbers are if it would help t	o
3	t t and the beautiful to the the title to th	3	update them.	
4	the year and an armine state and shown in your	4	Q. Yes.	
5		5	A. In my testimony 1 refer to the FCC's local	
6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6	competition report on the percentage of the wirelin	10
7	C	7	market that is represented by CLECs in New Jersey. In	1
8		8	my testimony I said it was 46 percent. In the latest	
9	The state of the s	9	FCC local competition report, it's 49 percent served by	N
10		10	CLECs. In other parts of my testimony, I quoted the	
11	Q. In your opinion.	11	FCC's broadband report as showing that 78 percent of New	=
12	A. In our tariff.	12	Jersey households have broadband service and that number	
13	Q. What about the statute that requires you to	13	is now 80 percent as of FCC reports.	
14	MR. SMITH: Objection.	14	COMMISSIONER FOX: Actually have.	
15	Q impute the residential standalone rate in your	15	THE WITNESS: Actually have broadband	
16	bundles?	16	service, yes.	į
17	MR. SMITH: Objection. He's asking for a	17	Q. So under that report the ILEC still service	
18	legal conclusion. We've also been over this ground	18	51 percent of the market?	
19	earlier today.	19	A. No. The ILECs	
20	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Move on.	20	Q. For total switched access lines and VoIP	1
21	MR. WHITE: Okay.	21	subscriptions?	1
22	MR. SMITH: Commissioner, is it all right	22		1
23	if we take 5 or 10 minutes break for, just 5 or	23	A. That's not the market, quote, unquote. The	
24	10 minutes.	24	market is bigger than that, as I point out in my testimony.	1
25	(A short recess is taken.)	25	•	1
	(25	In that report that shows out of segment that	ļ
Cros	ss - Vasington Page 111	Cros	s - Vasington Page 113	1
1	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: All right.	1	reports to the FCC, the publicly reported data which is	l
2	Where were we, Mr. White?	2	the regulated wireline market, CLEC still had CLECs	1
3	Ready. Okay.	3	had 49 percent, we had 51 ILECs had 51 percent of the	-
4	BY MR. WHITE:	4	market.	
5	Q. Okay. Mr. Vasington, are you aware that the FCC	5	Now, even that data from the FCC is from an	
6	issued another report on competition in the wireline	6	earlier time period. I provided some data in my	1
7	market?	7	testimony showing that as of end of 2011 we actually	
8	A. Yes.	8	served half less than half of even that wireline	1
9	Q. Do you know the date of that report?	9	subsegment.	
0	A. No.	10		
1	Q. Would you take subject to check it was June 30th	11	MR. WHITE: I have no further questions, your Honor.	1
2	-600110	12		1
3	A Thoras de des en Cities Dout cons		HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay.	
4	inquad the remarks	13	MR. SMITH: Commissioners, if I may?	
5	O The second second	14	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Sure.	1
-		1 10	AAM NAALIBE Libour in of annual 1997	1

A. I'll take that subject to check, yes. Q. Have you seen it? 19 20 A. Yes.

Q. I mean 2012. Excuse me.

16

17

18

Q. Did you analyze it?

A. I looked to see if the -- I didn't analyze the 22

Q. The report was issued June 30th, 2011.

A. I'm going to guess you mean to say '12,

entire report. I looked to see if the numbers I quoted

in my testimonies from that report and the broadband 24

report were substantially different from the numbers 25

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

redirect.

MR. SMITH: I have just one redirect

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Okay.

The scope of costs, profits, and revenues is

question for Mr. Vasington.

limited by our June 14th, 2012, order.

I just want to make that clear.

MR. SMITH: Thank you, as I said,

Commissioner, I just have one brief question on

Hold on.

BPU INVESTIGATION RE; ILEC SERVICES Redirect - Vasington Page 114 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR, VASINGTON BY MR. SMITH: Q. Mr. Vasington, and it goes back to the very beginning this morning when Commissioner Fox asked me a question, and I gave my answer and I also deferred to Mr. Vasington because Mr. Vasington has some testimony. 5 6 Now, Mr. Vasington, do you recall the question the Commissioner asked you related to our lines? 7 A. Yes, I do. 8 Q. And it had to do with our basic standalone lines. 9 10 Correct? A. That's correct. 11 Q. Do you want to add to my answer and provide your 12 13 opinion related to that issue? 14 A. Yes. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: All right. Let 15 me interject this. For the record, counsel's responses 16 are evidentiary for classifications -- I can't read your 17 18 writing.

MR. MOREAU: The testimony you had given |19 that's not evidentiary.

MR. SMITH: Right. It was my opinion as back-and-forth between Commissioner and that's one of |22 the reasons why we were bringing it over to Mr. Vasington to explain it further and touched on his testimony.

Recross - Vasington

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Page 116

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Redirect. 1 RECROSS EXAMINATION OF MR. VASINGTON BY MR. WHITE: 2 Q. Does Rhode Island have the same statutory 3 framework as New Jersey regarding that bundled 4 standalone has to be offered separately from a bundle? 6 MR. SMITH: I object. He's asking for a 7 legal conclusion. 8 MR. WHITE: He just testified to what

they've done in Rhode Island. He's a regulatory expert. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know. But if he doesn't know, then I question how much of a regulatory expert he is.

MR. SMITH: He's testifying to the facts, even as the best regulatory ex-commissioner in the United States which I think he's among, he still is not a lawyer and he's not allowed to opine on legal issues. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: That's fair.

Q. Rhode Island -- Rhode Island is a completely different state in terms of economics than New Jersey. Isn't the correct?

A. A totally different state --

Q. Economically,

A. No. Both relatively densely populated eastern seaboard states.

Q. What's a relative income of Rhode Island versus 25

Redirect - Vasington

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13

14

15

16

17

25

Page 115

Page 117

THE WITNESS: My understanding of the 1 2 Commissioner's question was whether or not if the Board grants competitive classification of legacy landline 3 services, what the possibility that we would no longer 4 provide legacy landline services. And I have some 5 testimony in response to some points made by rate 6 7 counsel pointing out that in State of Rhode Island where we were given full pricing flexibility as long ago as six years ago in 2006, today we actually serve a higher 9 percentage of our lines as legacy landline type services 10 in that state than we do in New Jersey. 11 12

So there's an example for six years we've had an ability to effectively price and provide those services in a manner that we want. And we not only continue to provide them, but we actually provide them as a higher proportion of our total services than we do here today.

18 Q. And the rate increase was?

19 A. There was one rate increase in Rhode Island.

After we were given full pricing flexibility, there was 20 20

one rate increase that year in 2006 of \$1 and there 21 22 hasn't been any subsequent rate increases.

COMMISSIONER FOX: In Rhode Island. 23 24 THE WITNESS: In Rhode Island, yes.

MR. WHITE: Redirect.

Recross - Vasington

New Jersey?

A. I don't know that.

Q. Think it's higher or lower than New Jersey? 3

4 A. Don't know that either,

Q. Do you know what unemployment rate in Rhode 5 6

Island is?

7 MR. SMITH: Objection. Going beyond the 8 scope of the redirect testimony.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 9 10 your point.

BY MR. WHITE:

Q. By the way, is Verizon losing money in Rhode Island?

MR. SMITH: Objection. Beyond the scope. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: THE PROPERTY OF THE scope.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, the reason it's relevant, he's pointed to Rhode Island that they kept standalone. If they're not losing money, that's fine.

In New Jersey they're claiming to losing money which means they have a different incentive here in New Jersey to basically increase -- to require people to buy bundles to overcome their alleged losses they're claiming here and that's a distinction I'm trying to bring out in whether or not we're having apples to

Re	cross - Vasington Page 118	3 Dir	July 17, 201 ect - Harper Page 120
1		11.	, 1 age 120
2	MR. SMITH: Still object on the relevancy.		
3	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Desperature durante	2	C series bard and Jon remitted with the
4	expertise?		
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	4	proceeding?
6	MR. WHITE: Done.	5	A. Yes. I reviewed it in preparation.
7	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Next WHENEVER	6	Q. Now, as to your exhibits, what have been
8	MS. BENEDEK: We are going to switch chairs.	9	premarked as Exhibits 1 and 2, do you have any changes,
9	Call Mark D. Harper.	8	corrections, additions, or deletions?
10	CenturyLink calls Mark D. Harper.	9	A. Yes, I do. I have several.
11	(A short recess is taken.)	10	Q. Can you please turn to your first one.
12		11	A. Page 25 excuse me.
13	(CL-1, Initial Testimony of Mark D. Harper, public version, marked for identification.)	- 1	Page 24, line 15, the word our, should have been
14	(CI -2 Initial Testimony of Mark D. Harris	13	or.
15	(CL-2, Initial Testimony of Mark D. Harper, confidential version, marked for identification.)	-1	Page 26, line 2, strike the word directory and
.6	(CL-3 Reply Testimons - 5 % - 4 P. 17	15	just replace it with DA.
.7	(CL-3, Reply Testimony of Mark D. Harper, public version, marked for identification.)		Page 30, line 12, the word are should have been
8	(C) -4 Reply Testimony -5 Mark D 11	17	area, just add an "a". CenturyLink serving area.
. 9	(CL-4, Reply Testimony of Mark D. Harper, confidential version, marked for identification.)		Page 32, line 6, after the word wireless, the
20	(CL -S Debuttel Testiment - Charles II	19	word only should be inserted.
21	(CL-5, Rebuttal Testimony of Mark D. Harper, Public Version, marked for identification.)		Page 36, line 8, everything should have instead
2	(CL 6 Pahuttal Tastimanus Sharel D. IV.	21	just been every. Strike thing.
3	(CL-6, Rebuttal Testimony of Mark D. Harper,		Q. Now, with those corrections if I were to ask
4	confidential version, marked for identification.)	23	you
5	MARK D. HARPER, Director,	24	A. Sue, there was one more.
	Regulatory Operations and Policy, Embarq Management	25	Q. Oh, there's one more.
)irec	ct - Harper Page 119	Dire	ct - Harper Page 121
1	Company, d/b/a CenturyLink, having a business at	1	A. There's one more. I'm sorry.
2	100 Century Link Drive, Monroe, Louisiana 71203, having	2	Page 38, line 9, after the word of it should read
3	been duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows:	3	competitors of competitors at a pace. The word
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MR. HARPER BY MS. BENEDEK:	4	competitors was left out.
5	Q. Mr. Harper, with respect to let the record	5	Q. Now, with those corrections, if I were to ask you
6	reflect that we have previously provided to the	6	the same questions in your faction and to day, would
7	Commissioners and the court reporter copies of what has	7	the same questions in your testimony today, would your answers be the same?
8	been marked as CenturyLink Exhibits 1 through 6	8	A. Yes, they would.
9	consisting of the prefiled testimony of Mr. Mark D.	9	
0	Harper.	10	Q. Now, with regard to your reply testimony, do you have any corrections to your raply testimony?
1	Mr. Harper, with respect to what has been	11	have any corrections to your reply testimony? A. Yes, just two.
2	identified as CenturyLink Exhibits 1 through and	12	
3	including 6, your initial, reply, and rebuttal	13	In the reply testimony on page 33, line 17, we
	testimonies, were those exhibits prepared by you under	14	should replace the word to, t-o, with it should not be.
5	your direct supervision and control?	15	I'm sorry leave off be. It should not.
5	A. Yes, they were.	16	And then, finally, just a simple change on line
7	Q. And, Mr. Harper, what is your present title?	1	35, mistakenly referred to reply testimony on
3	A. Director of regulatory operations.	17	page 35, line 15, it should say reply instead of
•	Q. And for how long have you been employed in the	18	initial.
)	telecommunications industry?	19	Q. Now, if I were to ask you the questions in the
	A. Twenty-nine years.	20	reply testimony, would your answers be the same?
2	Q. Have you submitted written testimony or testified	21	A. Yes, they would.
	in other state regulatory proceedings?	22	Q. Now, finally, turning to your rebuttal testimony,
	A. Yes. As identified in my testimony and my CV,	23	do you have any changes, corrections, additions, or
	I've submitted testimony and been on the stand in seven	24	deletions?
5	I VE SHERRIHEN RESHINGEN AND DEED ON TOO STORE IS SOME IN		A Just two On page 11 line 2 it should be

I've submitted testimony and been on the stand in seven 25 A. Just two. On page 11, line 2, it should be --

Direct - Harper / Cross Page 122 Cross - Harper Page 124 "s" should be inserted after offering. So it's A. Yes, subject to check. 2 offerings from CLECs. Q. Now, what courses did you take dealing with 2 3 And on page 12, line 6, the word continued there economics when you were in college? 4 at the beginning should be struck. A. That was a while ago. But when I was in college, 4 MS. BENEDEK: Thank you, Mr. Harper. 5 I took a number of economics courses. I was a finance 5 Mr. Harper is available for 6 major. And it's part of the course of business, we had 7 cross-examination. to take micro, macroeconomics, various other classes. 7 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. White. 8 Q. Do you have an advanced degree in economics? 8 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. HARPER BY MR. WHITE: 9 A. No, I do not. Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Harper. 10 Q. Now, you referred to a number of regulatory 10 A. Hello, Mr. White. 11 proceedings which you participated in. Q. I'll start off, you filed direct, reply, and 12 12 A. Correct. rebuttal testimony in this proceeding. Correct? 13 Q. I'd like to go over those for a minute. 13 14 A. Yes, I did. 14 A. Sure. Okay. I don't have a copy of it. Q. When were you contacted regarding providing 15 15 Q. Curriculum vitae. testimony in this proceeding? 16 A. It was provided in discovery I think it's --17 A. As part of my job, I'm the support team for New 17 Q. It's RC --Jersey so during the regular course of our -- we have 18 18 One fifty-four I think. weekly calls, talking about what's going on in the 19 19 Q. One fifty-four. region.' And during that process, probably sometime in 20 20 MS. BENEDEK: May I approach the witness, the summer, fall, Dave Bonsick let me know this case was 21 your Honor? 21 going to come up again. It was time. 22 22 A. Thank you. Q. Did you start collecting data to prepare 23 23 Yes, sir. 24 testimony in this case? 24 Q. Just for the ease of the Commissioners, you list A. No, not at that time. 25 25 Kansas proceedings, Missouri proceedings, Nebraska Cross - Harper Page 123 Cross - Hamer Page 125 Q. When did you start getting data to use in 1 proceedings, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Texas, and preparation of your testimony? 2 Virginia. Is that correct? A. I engaged in the data collecting after the --3 A. Yes. 3 began in intensity after the final list of services were 4 Q. In the first Kansas proceeding that you list that submitted by ourselves and by rate counsel. 5 dealt with Kansas USF and establishing a state fund for Q. That would be December 2011, subject to check. 6 6 USF. Correct? A. I think would be about in that time line. 7 A. Yes, it did. That was the primary subject of 7 Q. Did you draft and prepare all your testimony? 8 8 A. Yes, I did. 9 9 Q. It did not involve whether services be Q. No one assisted you in preparation and drafting 10 10 reclassified as competitive. Correct? of your testimony? 11 A. Not specifically. The aspects of competition and 11 A. Drafting and preparing testimony, I work closely 12 the need for support in rural areas certainly were an 12 with counsel on the sections dealing with the statutes 13 13 aspect of that case. and how to interpret that to make sure that as a 14 14 Q. Focused on USF. Correct? nonlawyer that my discussion of that was accurate. 15 A. Yes. That was the outcome. That was the focus. 15 Q. Okay. Do you own CenturyLink stock? 16 16 Q. That was in 1999. 17 A. Yes, I do.

counsel?

Correct?

the specific date. I'm sorry.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

as they count it, '99.

A. Right.

to an Internet service provider.

Q. Now, you alluded to your educational experience.

Q. Do you know when your CV was provided to rate

A. It was provided in discovery. I don't remember

Q. Subject to check, would you -- July 13th, 2012? 25

A. Yes, it was listed in my qualifications, CV.

A. That case continued for several years. '99 would

have been the year it was initiated. Their fiscal year,

Q. And in the next docket that you list generic

investigation and recip comp should be paid to traffic

Q. That's also not dealing with reclassification.

BPU INVESTIGATION RE; ILEC SERVICES Cross - Harper Page 126 Cross - Harper A. No, not in that case. No. 1 been other people. We may have involved other witnesses Q. In the next one that you list which is an '07 2 and set with the initial case under that law. docket, review of commissions federal USF certification Q. Do you know a Dr. Stairh? 3 requirements. That's doesn't deal with -- that deals A. Yes, I know Dr. Stairh. with ETCs not --5 Q. Did any of these cases involve his testimony as A. That dealt with ETCs and competitive equity of 6 6 well? 7 how federal support should be distributed. A. It's hard to recall which ones. But at times. 7 Q. And the last one listed on that page is 8 yes, we testified together. 9 interstate access charges. Correct? 9 Q. I'd like a transcript request of those 10 A. Intrastate access charges. proceedings which you participated with him and provided 10 11 Intrastate access. 11 testimony. And this would include any of these other A. That case had -- again you asked about 12 states that you listed where you participated. 12 classification, but it certainly had competitive 13 13 A. Okay. Specifically dockets where both he and I aspects. A large part of the case was competition. 14 14 testified? Q. In the '06 case that's listed on the next page 15 15 Q. Correct. 16 was a merger case. 16 A. Okay. A. It was the separation of Embarq from Sprint. 17 Q. And copies of the testimony that you provided. 17 Q. Okay. And then the last docket was a '94 docket. 18 18 A. I think --19 A. Yes. MS. BENEDEK: We will see if there is any. 19 Q. Which was an investigation, 1994, into the 20 A. -- we have supplied some testimony in answer to 20 competition within the telecommunications industry in 21 21 another request, but I don't think any of Dr. Stairh's the State of Kansas. 22 22 testimony. 23 A. Right. Q. Were you involved in the filing by CenturyLink 23 Q. That proceeding did not involve reclassification 24 for forbearance regarding enterprise Ethernet services of regulated services competitive, did it? 25 25 before the FCC? Cross - Harper Page 127 Cross - Harper A. That proceeding involved many different aspects, 1 A. No, I was not. but one of which was the standards upon which exchanges 2 Q. Are you generally involved in any FCC could be reclassified, a following proceeding, a number 3 3 proceedings? of exchanges we petitioned and were granted competitive 4 4 A. Not in the actual -- I'm involved in the classification in Kansas for them. 5

Page 129

- Q. But this reclassification occurred on 6 exchange-by-exchange basis. Correct? 7 A. That was the basis of the statute in Kansas, yes.
- Q. And you're advocating something different here in 10 New Jersey. Correct?
- A. Yes. 11
- Q. Okay. And to move along, in the four proceedings 12 13
- you had in Missouri, again, the second one dealt
- essentially with exchanges in Missouri. Correct? 14
- A. Yes, it did. There was a statute that had been 15
- passed in Missouri that allowed exchanges to be
- essentially price deregulated with the presence of one 17
- cable -- or one facility base provider and one wireless 18
- provider. And we had to submit evidence and I supported
- evidence to show that we had that presence in each 20
- 21 exchange.
- 22 Q. Were you the one filing testimony in that
- 23 proceeding on behalf of Sprint?
- A. You know, I don't recall. I mean I definitely 24
- was the lead witness in the case, but there may have |25 25

- implementation if it impacts the states, very involved
- 6 in the implementation of the ICC USF reform order we're
- going through now but not in the advocacy before the 7
- FCC.
- 9 Q. Are there other parts of CenturyLink that do
- 10
- 11 A. Yes. We have people that are dedicated to or
- their primary job responsibility is the federal 12
- 13 jurisdiction.
- 14 Q. That is not one of your responsibilities.
- 15
- 16 Q. Now, the ILEC reclass Phase I, you didn't submit
 - any testimony in that, did you?
- A. No. I believe Dr. Stairh was our witness in that 18
- 19 case.
- Q. Did you assist him in preparation of his 20
- 21 testimony in that case?
- A. I didn't in some aspects because at that time I 22
- did not have direct responsibility for New Jersey. But 23
- 24 he and I had testified to similar issues in various
- states. We talked about -- collaborated about data that

Cross - Harper Page 130 Cross - Harper Page 132 could be supplied sources of data. That type of thing. CenturyLink. Is that correct? Q. Dr. Stairh was essentially CenturyLink's economic A. They may be purchasing UNEs. They may be 2 3 expert. Correct? customers that left. The CLEC provides its own A. That was one of the roles he played, yes. facilities. Simply a measure of customers that left and 4 5 Q. On page 8, line 24 to 26 of your initial wanted to take their telephone number to a competitor. 5 testimony, when you get there let me know and then I'll 6 MR. WHITE: I'm going to mark two exhibits. 6 7 ask my question. One is Exhibit RC-7 which is selected statistical data 7 A. I'm sorry. Which lines? 8 relating to annual reports filed by CenturyLink in this 8 9 Q. Should be lines 24 to 26. case. And the first four pages are summary sheets from 9 A. I am there. 10 within the report and behind it are the full reports. 10 Q. Says you contend CenturyLink remains subject to 11 I'm also going to have proprietary exhibit, 11 outdated regulatory constraints that continue to inflict 12 RC Exhibit 16, which is the 2011 477 report filed by 12 harm to New Jersey economic interest and to New Jersey 13 13 CenturyLink. 14 consumers. Is that correct? 14 MS. BENEDEK: May I ask a question, Counsel? A. Correct. That's what it says. 15 On the top you have Verizon FCC 10-Q on the 15 Q. What studies, analysis, or factual support do you 16 16 right-hand corner. 17 have for that statement? 17 MR. WHITE: Just ignore it. A. I don't have a specific study regarding -- I mean 18 18 MS. BENEDEK: Thank you. it's difficult to monetize harms. We're talking about 19 19 (RC-7, Selected Statistical Data Re Annual one entity within the marketplace being restricted in 20 20 Reports Filed By CenturyLink, marked for terms of its pricing actions and responses. 21 21 identification.) Q. On page 9, lines 12 to 20 on page 10 of your 22 22 (RC-16, 2011 477 Report Filed By 23 initial testimony. CenturyLink, marked for identification.) 23 24 A. Yes. 24 BY MR. WHITE: Q. You have figures that purport to show market 25 25 Q. Do you have copies of those before you? Cross - Harper Page 131 Cross - Harper Page 133 share. Correct? A. Yes, I do. 1 2 A. Yes, I do. 2 MR. WHITE: Commissioners, have them as Q. So in your opinion market share is a factor to 3 3 well? Okay. consider in evaluating whether a service should be 4 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 16, go to the second page and 4 reclassified as competitive or reclassified to rate 5 if you go to the far right-hand portion of the page, you 6 regulated status. Correct? got -- you got a row that starts off with five digit zip 7 A. I think the market share in this case helps codes and goes down all the UNE-L, UNE-P-2. 7 inform the success of and presence of substitutable 8 8 Do you see that? products and demonstrates the decisions of our customers 9 A. Yes, I do. 10 and the result. Q. Do you know what VGE stands for? 10 Q. On page 34 of your initial testimony --11 A. Voice grade equivalent. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And there's a total at the bottom. Correct? 12 Q. -- you refer to -- you state that customers 13 13 MS. BENEDEK: Which is confidential. ported numbers to 12 different CLECs in the past 14 14 A. Yes. 14 months. Correct? 15 MR. WHITE: Yes. I'm going to try to go 15 A. Is that on line 14? Okay. 16 through this without addressing with confidential 16 Q. It's on page 34 somewhere. 17 17 because you guys all have copies. A. I think it's this line here. 18 MS. BENEDEK: Thank you. 18 Yes, I see it. 19 19 Q. And then there's various breakdowns. Q. Do you know what the total lines ported during 20 20 Do you see that? that 14-month period was? 21 21 A. Yes. A. I can add it up, but I don't know. I don't 22 Q. And if you go down where you have single-line 22

23

24

25

recall. It's on that schedule, but I don't recall the

Q. Okay. And those CLECs purchased UNEs from

23

24

business by zip?

A. Yes, I see that.

Q. And there's a number at the bottom of that as

Cross - Harper Page 134 Cross - Harper Page 136 well. Right? But that's why you see this anomaly in business 1 A. Yes. 2 rates, our lines looking like they dropped and then went 2 Q. Okay. Now, I want to refer you to RC Exhibit 17. back up again when, in fact, they did not. It was 3 3 MS. BENEDEK: Seven? 4 during that time period that people doing the report 5 A. Seven you mean? mistakenly left off trunks and other types of business 5 O. Seven. Excuse me. 6 services. 6 7 You're wearing me out, Sue. MS. BENEDEK: That is your initial testimony 7 MS. BENEDEK: About time. 8 8 you referenced at page 22. Q. All right. If you look at the number of access 9 9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes, it is. lines -- business access lines that are reported --HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Would it be 10 10 A. Correct. corrected? 11 11 Q. -- in 2011 you -- and this is proprietary. 12 12 THE WITNESS: In the annual reports. 13 Correct? 13 MS. BENEDEK: We can correct the annual MS. BENEDEK: Hold on. I don't --14 reports, certainly. Q. It's not marked, but I believe annual reports are 15 Q. If they are corrected, would the numbers go up or 15 considered proprietary and not public. 16 16 down? 17 MS. BENEDEK: Yes, we have. 17 A. The numbers would go up. I am getting a nod from Mr. Roberts in the Q. So you would have more lines than you claim. 18 18 back so, yes, they are. A. I understand that. But it would not reflect that 19 19 Q. But you see the number there, there's a higher 20 20 we gained lines during a time of increasing prices. In number for 2010. Correct? 21 fact, lines went down every year. 21 A. Yes. Yes. 22 Q. Which lines went down every year? 22 O. Okay. There's a lower number for 2009. 23 23 A. The 2010 and 2011 numbers are inclusive of all A. Yes. 24 lines. They were done correctly. 2009 and 2008 numbers Q. And for 2011, 2009, 2010, you were able to 25 were not. So it's a misleading trend using that data. Cross - Harper Page 135 Cross - Harper increase your rates for your business lines, correct, 1 Q. Well, are the average residential monthly bill under the stipulation that was entered into? 2 and average business bill correct, the numbers in each A. Yes, we were. of these reports? 3 3 Q. Okay. And then if you go to 2008 --A. To the best of my knowledge, they are. The only 4 4 A. Yes. 5 5 line where I discovered an anomaly is the business Q. -- there's another number which is pretty much 6 6 access lines. Q. I'm going to transition back to Exhibit 16 now, equivalent to the number in 2011 you listed for business 7 lines. Is that correct? under the column total service retail residential lines. 8 8 A. Right. A. Total service resell? 9 9 Now -- I mean I'd like to explain something about O. Resale residential lines. 10 10 the reports. A. Yes. 11 11 12 Q. Okay. Q. Third -- third column from the bottom. 12 13 A. Okay. Is that I've determined after doing A. I see it. 13 14 reviews similar to what you've done here is that we had 14 Q. If you want to call it a row or a column. either interpretational difference or some A. I see it. 15 15 misunderstanding of the instructions in the 2008, 2009 Q. You see the number there? 16 16 period. Those line counts for number of business access 17 A. Yes, I do, the total. 17 line do not include our trunk numbers. 18 18 Q. Do you see the next one over subtotal service A better measure, more accurate measure is the 19 19 resell? table that's on page 22 on my testimony. When I went | 20 A. For business. 20 21 back and tried to reconcile this in preparation for the 21 Q. For business. hearing, these are, in fact, what were put on the annual 22 22 A. Yes, I do. reports but data is missing a piece of our business O. And do you see UNE-L, UNE-P lines --23 23 lines. I provided the full data in MDH-6 and on page 22 24 24 A. Yes, I do. of the testimony. 25 25 Q. -- next one over?

Cross - Harper

1

Would you agree that those total less than one percent of your total access lines? 3 A. For that specific count, yes, of lines provided 4 under resell or UNE, yes. 5 Q. So the CLECs that do operate in your service territory essentially are providing these number of 6 7 lines under resale or UNEs? A. Those two are not equivalent. They clearly have 8 their own facilities and they can purchase facilities 9 from each other and other wholesale providers which they 10 11 regularly do in the marketplace. This is just a demonstration of where they've utilized my network to 12 13 provide their services. Q. A good example is Level 3, isn't it? 14 15 A. Yes. Level 3 is a wholesale provider, as well as 15 16 a retail provider. Q. And then Level 3, at least according to our CLEC 17 survey, does not serve the residential and single-line |18 18 business market. Is that correct? 19 A. If the question is does Level 3 from a retail 20 perspective focus on the marketplace, the answer is no. 21 Does a Level 3 facilitate other companies to provide 22 service to residential and single-line business, yes. 23 24 Q. Do you know what the current number of standalone 25 business lines that are -- let me back up. Cross - Harper Page 139 Do you have the number -- most recent data on how 1 many residential customers only have standalone 2 3 residential service? A. I -- the most recent number I have is the number 4 I provided in my initial testimony on page 25 as of 5 November 2011. 6 Q. And you have no updated numbers --7 8 9 Q. -- at this point in time? A. No. I have not updated that number. 10 Q. Now, if we go back to your table on Exhibit 7 --11 12 or Exhibit 16, excuse me, these other columns starting with the third, they really differentiate between lines 13 13 that are a bundled component. In fact, if you look at 14 14 15 lines with both inter and intra LD service. 16 Do you see that? 17 A. Yes, I see that line -- column. Q. And you have a total down at bottom is which 18 19 approximately 90 percent or more of the total of what's 20 there under column -- Column 1.

A. That does not equate to customers with bundles.

Q. Are you aware whether the Board considers

residential service bundled -- or residential service

Q. Well, doesn't the Board consider --

A. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

Cross - Harper Page 140 toll and long distance when sold as a package as a 1 2 bundle? A. But this doesn't necessarily imply or does not 3 4 imply that these are sold as packages. You can buy standalone residential service. You can buy toll 5 separately, but not as a bundle. So this is everybody б whether they're bundled, standalone, or not that has 7 8 long distance service in the manner indicated, should be 9 high proportion of customers. Q. Doesn't the data line say lines with inter and 10 11 intra long distance service? 12 MS. BENEDEK: Objection. A. It would be highly unusual for customers not to 13 have any long distance service. 14 Q. That's a bundle, isn't it? 16 MS. BENEDEK: Objection. Asked and 17 answered. Q. What do you define as a bundle? A. Bundle would be the combination of those products 19 at a single price. This does not say that. It just 20 says you have the capability to make intrastate and 21 interstate long distance calls and you have local 22 23 service. 24 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: MOTO COR 116'S

Cross - Harper

answered it.

25

4

7

8

9

Page 138

Page 141

with both inter and intraLATA service, these lines would have both toll and long distance service. Correct? A. Yeah. I think that's what that indicates. Now that is not -- another reason that line is higher too is 5 because that's business and residence that particular row. Because the next column over is residential equivalent lines by zip code and it shows a smaller number. But I think we talked about it before that that

Q. Can we go to residential for a second. The lines

column is people that have a line and have a capability 10 of making interstate and intrastate long distance calls. 11

Q. Which means they would have a toll carrier and a 12

long distance carrier on the line. Correct?

A. Correct.

Q. They would have made a selection of their 15 16 carrier.

17 A. Right. Not necessarily be CenturyLink and would 18 not necessarily be in a bundle.

19 Q. Do you know how many of your -- these are your customers, right, the amount of total that is showed 20

through the VGE lines, those are your customers. Right?

22 A. Correct.

Q. You have what percentage of your customers 23

24 subscribe to both long distance and toll?

A. I have not done that analysis. I know the rough

21

22

23

24

21

Cross - Harper Page 142 Cross - Harper Page 144 percentage of our customers that have bundles versus 1 Q. Page 4. standalone, but I didn't look at it from the perspective 2 A. Thank you. 3 of toll. 3 Q. In your reply testimony. Q. Okay. On page 28 of your testimony. 4 A. Right there at the top. Yes. 4 5 MS. BENEDEK: Initial? Q. Did you review the order of Verizon/CenturyLink's 5 6 MR. WHITE: What? motion to strike rate counsel's testimony in this case? 6 7 MS. BENEDEK: Initial testimony? 7 A. Yes, I did read that order. 8 MR. WHITE: Initial testimony. Q. That order doesn't limited the criteria to just 8 9 A. Yes. 9 three, does it? Q. You have data on the two top competitors. 10 MS. BENEDEK: Objection. He's asking for a 10 11 11 conclusion regarding the order and he can ask whether A. Yeah, I note who the two top competitors were 12 he's read it, but he's not an attorney. 12 13 from reporting data. Q. What's your understanding of that order? 13 Q. Cable companies do not offer standalone service, 14 A. I think that order left open the possibility of 14 15 do they? other items in addition or to enhance the three 15 A. They primarily offer bundled service, yes. 16 16 criteria. But I'd have to go back and reread it in 17 Q. They require you to purchase toll and long 17 total. distance as well as their basic phone service. Correct? 18 Q. Your testimony points to line loss as evidence of 18 A. I didn't investigate every one of their offerings 19 19 competition. Is that correct? so I'm not sure if they do have something that looks 20 A. I utilize line loss, reporting data which 20 like a standalone product offering. What I'm 21 determines specifically what customers left and where 21 demonstrating here is our customers are going to cable 22 22 they went. companies regardless of what products that they offer, 23 Q. On page 8, lines 1 through 9 of your reply 23 24 including our standalone customers. 24 testimony, you submit that affordable economic Q. Now, the cable companies offer a VoIP product. 25 substitute is an academic exercise and not part of the 25 Cross - Harper Page 143 Cross - Harper Page 145 Right? 1 three criteria. Is that correct? 2 A. Yes. A. I submit that the evidence of our customers Q. And to utilize a VoIP product, you also have to 3 finding substitutes in competitor's products is a 4 have Internet access. Correct? demonstration that there are like or substitute MS. BENEDEK: Objection. Is he talking 5 products. The exercise of separately trying to 5 about what cable companies offer and therefore is he 6 determine of what an affordable economic substitute is, knowledgeable about it; or is he talking generally, does 7 7 is not necessary when you've got the facts that the witness have any knowledge about how cable companies 8 demonstrate that people are making the choice to move 8 provision VoIP? 9 their services to competitors. 9 O. First of all, do cable companies provide VoIP 10 Q. You do refer to it as academic exercise, right. 10 services in CenturyLink's territory? 11 and not part of the three criteria. Right? 11 A. Yes. They provide an interconnective VolP 12 12 A. Yes, I did. 13 service. Yes. Q. Did you review any economic literature in making 13 Q. Do you know whether that runs over -- you need 14 that determination? 14 Internet connection to have a voice service in 15 15 A. No, I did not. 16 CenturyLink's territory? Q. When was the last time that you reviewed economic 16 A. You know, I am not -- I'm sitting here thinking 17 17 literature dealing with economic principals as it about the ads I read and the various information. I 18 relates to -- hold on -think generally, but there may be some that offer voice 19 MS. BENEDEK: Can you define economic 19 on a separate basis, as long as you buy their video. I 20 20 literature? Is it the economist? The magazine?

21

22

23

24

25

am not certain.

Q. Okay. I'm going to go to your reply testimony.

You state on page 4 that the scope of this proceeding is

limited to three statutory criteria. Correct?

A. I'm sorry. What page was it?

21

22

23

24

25

it.

MR. WHITE: See if he can answer the

A. A bit of a pause. I don't remember now what you

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: CALD. REPORT

question, then we can go into the next phase.

Cross - Harper Page 146 Cross - Harper Page 148 asked. Q. You've had a business line count of 44,578? 1 Q. What economic literature have you reviewed 2 A. As of November of 2011. relating to cross elasticity of demand and substitutes? Q. Now, turning to page 25 the same testimony, you 3 A. I didn't choose to review economic literature. I have numbers for nonbundled and business bundled line chose to look at the statistics and facts of what 5 counts. happened in the marketplace. I didn't feel like in this 6 6 A. Correct. case it was necessary to get into those types of 7 Q. And that totals twenty-eight-five-twenty-six. 7 arguments to demonstrate that customers are making R 8 A. Yes. 9 choices. 9 Q. And let's turn to Exhibit MDH-6, page 1. Q. Are you aware of the Department of Justice --10 10 A. Yes. U.S. Department of Justice defines relevant product 11 Q. And you explain why there's a difference between 11 12 markets? 12 the two numbers. Correct? 13 A. I didn't see that criteria in the statutory A. Can I explain? 13 criteria that I was reviewing. I didn't review that. I 14 Q. No, you do explain. mean your witness mentioned it. I read through it. 15 MS. BENEDEK: In MDH-6. Clarification of 15 Q. So you're not familiar with the treatise by 16 16 what was requested? 17 Thomas and Harrison entitled Understanding Antitrust Law 17 Q. If you go to your confidential Exhibit MD-6 -and Its Economic Implications, third edition, 1998. 18 18 A. Yes. A. No. I didn't hold myself out as being familiar 19 Q. -- look under November 11. 19 with that. I'm familiar with what's happening in New 20 20 A. Yes. 21 Jersey regarding our customers. 21 Q. Do you have the B1 service listed and then you Q. And you would assume you're not familiar with 22 have other types of services that gets you to the 22 Dennis W. Carlton and Jeffrey M. Perloff, Modern 23 forty-four-five-seventy-eight number. Correct? 23 Industrial Organization, third edition of 2000. 24 24 A. I see it, yes. 25 Correct? Q. It includes PBX, ISDN, Payphone, Centrex, and 25 Cross - Harper Page 147 Cross - Harper Page 149 1 FXXL, and there's also a key systems. Q. Or Scherer, F.M. Scherer and David R. Ross, 2 2 A. Yes, I see that designation. I see that. Industrial Market Share and Economic Performance, third 3 3 Q. Okay. edition, 1990? 4 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Is there a 4 5 A. No. 5 question associated with that? Q. You suspect Mr. Stairh would be aware of these? 6 Q. No. Just that he's verifying it. 6 MS, BENEDEK: Objection. Mr. Stairh is not 7 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Just verifying 7 in this room nor is he being offered as a witness. 8 8 it. Okay. 9 Q. I'm asking --9 Q. Yes. A. I don't know. He's no longer an employee of 10 10 Now, what is your understanding of the quantity CenturyLink. I don't know what he's doing these days. 11 11 of business lines that are an issue in this proceeding? Q. All right. I want to -- I have some questions 12 12 A. If I understand the -- okay. for you. If you go to your initial testimony page 22 13 Let's go simple. It's the single-line, Bl, and 13 14 and page 25 and Exhibit 6 of your initial testimony. then B1 is provided at two or more in total using MDH-6 14 A. Yes. 15 it would be in this - in that number of 2011 column, it Q. Also go to CenturyLink response to one --16 16 would be 28,723. RC-CTL-179. And also CenturyLink's response to 17 I'm sorry. That was confidential. RC-CTL-94 which are the annual reports which we 18 MS. BENEDEK: The number of the top row previously identified here as. 19 there under total in right-hand column. 19 20 A. I've got those, 179. A. The confidential data on MDH-6 was divided by 20 21 MS. BENEDEK: These are -exchange. The totals we chose for the purpose of the 21 22 THE WITNESS: One seventy-nine. proceeding to try to be open as possible and have totals Q. Now, my understanding this number on page 22 is 23 being used. Normally, we don't go around with product 24 public. Is that correct? set numbers, but competitors would like to see what I 24

A. Yes.

25

offer in every exchange and we try to keep that

Cross - Harper Page 150 Cross - Harper Page 152 confidential. A. I'm not sure they're using the same definition. MS. BENEDEK: Okay. Your Honor, to clarify 2 Q. Have you ever performed an elasticity study? for the record the 28,000 is not confidential. We need not put that in there. It's the numbers -- and for Q. Do you know how to? 4 counsel -- for rate counsel, it's the information on the 5 5 A. Do I know how to? left-hand side of this exhibit that causes this to б O. Yes. 6 become confidential. 7 7 A. I'd have to read up on how to do it. I know MR. WHITE: But we didn't identify what 8 roughly what it's going to measure, but, no, I have not 8 9 those numbers were. 9 MS. BENEDEK: Correct. 10 10 Q. I'm going to run you through an exercise. O. Okay. The 14,542 nonbundled business lines --11 11 A. Okay. 12 A. Yes, on page 25. O. In 2008 there were --12 Q. -- are those the business lines that are at issue 13 Point of clarification, are the number of 13 in this proceeding? 14 14 business lines proprietary or no? A. I didn't understand your earlier question. 15 15 MS. BENEDEK: Not in total. 16 Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Not in total. 16 Q. So that's the subset of lines that you think are 17 You list 55,580 business lines, take that subject 17 relevant to this --18 18 19 A. Thank you for asking again. A. Which schedule are you looking at now? 19 That would be the one that are in bundles would 20 20 MS. BENEDEK: And which year? be considered competitive still are not subject to 21 21 Q. 2008. the. . . 22 22 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: What --Q. Nonbundles? 23 Q. Page 22 of your testimony. 23 A. Nonbundles are noncompetitive now. 24 24 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Q. And it's also possible that a customer may have a 25 25 to prove with this exercise? Cross - Harper Page 151 Cross - Harper single-line in multiple locations such as a chain of MR. WHITE: The net result of this will show 1 pizza shops. Correct? that for both residential and business lines in 2 CenturyLink territory, there's a negative inelasticity 3 Q. Because -- is it your understanding that if a of demand which means that these services are inelastic. 4 single location, a single customer has two business

2

- 3

- lines that those business lines are deemed competitive? 6
- A. Yes. They are today. 7
- Q. If we address the quantity of single-line 8
- business lines only, none of these are bundles, right,
- the numbers that you specify for those. Correct? 10
- A. I'm not sure which number you're looking at, 11
- Mr. White. 12
- Q. Single-line business lines that you report on 13
- 14 RC-CTL-179.
- A. I haven't looked at that yet. Give me a minute. 15 16
- Your question was?
- Q. Single-line businesses -- shows the quantity of 17
- single-line business lines is a proprietary number. 18
- 19 Correct?
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. And it's somewhat higher than the number shown on 21 21
- 22 the 477 that we reviewed?
- A. Yeah, but you're using different data sources 23
- with different definitions. 24
- O. I understand. 25

Page 153

- We'll also show as we go through this exercise that the 5
- revenues that -- they've had positive revenues resulting 6
- 7 from the increases in rates notwithstanding their 8
 - alleged line loss.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

that exercise?

MS. BENEDEK: Not to the extent that he has articulated. The witness has identified that he has not done an elasticity study. He can certainly write that in his brief and say my witness doesn't know anything about elasticity studies. But now he's getting into is a demonstration of what would be an elasticity study, again, using his hypotheticals and using whatever data he has. So I think it's a mismatch here of what he's intending to prove to somebody and my witness has indicated he has not done such a study.

MR. WHITE: My position here is this witness was offered as their witness. And it's clear to me that he's not an expert in economic matters. And the issues before this Board are economic. You're entitled to give his testimony whatever weight you deem is appropriate.

Page 157

Cross - Harper Page 154 Cross - Harper I'm perfectly willing to brief the elasticity studies morning which seems like a lifetime ago. because the numbers upon which it's based are all on the Q. I will start off if you go to page 21 of 84. record. Based upon what we put in, I can save some time A. Yes, I'm there. 3 and effort in that regard. 4 Q. This talks about how your business is organized. 4 I think the bottom line here is this witness 5 You've got regional markets. You've got business 5 does not have a sufficient economic background to opine 6 markets, wholesale markets, and you have the Savvis 6 on the satisfaction of the three criteria. That is 7 operations. 7 going to rate counsel's position. 8 A. Correct. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: WHILLIAM PROPERTY ASSELTA: WHILLIAM PROPERTY ASSELTA: 9 Q. Is that a yes? 9 with that? 10 10 A. Yes. MS. BENEDEK: I can't agree with that. My 11 Q. If turn to page 23 of 84 at the top which is 11 witness has never offered himself to be an economist in 12 marked 21 at the bottom, you see the margin percentage 12 this case. He hasn't submitted testimony so what he's 13 13 that's listed there? saying is --14 14 A. Yes, I did. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Correct. 15 Q. It's 58 percent. Correct? 15 MS. BENEDEK: -- something that my witness 16 A. Yes. As the margin refined, yes. 16 17 isn't here to provide. He has provided data. 17 Q. And would that be an EBITDA? information about the three criteria. That is where I 18 18 A. No. can't agree with Mr. White. 19 Q. What's your understanding the difference between 19 COMMISSIONER FOX: But those types of 20 EBITDA and how you express margin in your 10-Q report? 20 studies are relevant. He might not be an expert, but I 21 A. Again, I'm -- I haven't studied the document, but would think that your company should have some evidence 22 22 if I'm looking at page 22, it talks about direct about whether it is elastic or not. 23 23 expenses, specific incremental expenses incurred as a 24 MS. BENEDEK: And they have asked us for direct result of providing services and products to 24 that information -- or they have asked us whether we've 25 segment customers. It doesn't talk about allocated 25 Cross'- Harper Cross - Harper done elasticity studies and we said no. So what he is expenses. So it's looking for direct costs associated getting into now the third time asking the same 2 with the segment but not the general overhead costs that question. 3 3 get allocated from the corporation. COMMISSIONER FOX: I think the point has 4 Q. There's a percent -- margin percentage for 4 been made that you have not provided us with any 5 business as well. 5 6 information on elasticity. A. Yes, there is. 6 7 MS. BENEDEK: We have not. 7 Q. In wholesale. COMMISSIONER FOX: So we have to rely on --8 A. Yes, there is. 8 MS. BENEDEK: The testimony. 9 9 Q. And for Savvis as well? 10 COMMISSIONER FOX: -- the testimony of | 10 A. Yes. others. 11 Q. And company-wide that average is 54 percent. 11 MS. BENEDEK: But I can't agree with 12 12 A. That's what the exhibit says. 13 characterization of what that means as Mr. White has | 13 Q. That concludes my questions on this exhibit. testified or stated. 14 Can I purchase installation services from another 14 15 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Let's move 15 entity if I want CenturyLink's local service? forward. You've made your point. 16 16 A. No, you cannot. BY MR. WHITE: 17 17 Q. And can I purchase vertical features? Q. We're going to go back to Exhibit 6 which is 18 A. No. No, there's not a market for vertical 18 CenturyLink's annual 10-Q that was filed. It's 19 19 features on standalone basis.

A. Yes, I do.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Exhibit 6D of the package I handed out earlier.

MS. BENEDEK: I missed that.

sets of documents that I handed out way earlier this

MR. WHITE: It's 6D, 10-Q, part of the four

Do you have that available to you?

20

21

22

23

Jersey?

Q. Now, is it your understanding that CenturyLink is

subject to an alternate form of regulation here New

Q. If DA was declared competitive as a result of

this proceeding, would that be an exogenous event under

A. Yes. Subject to a PAR plan.

Cross - Harper Page 158 Cross - Harper Page 160 your plan of alternative regulation? calls. Correct? MS. BENEDEK: Objection. That is pure legal 2 A. No, the number was higher. During the course of 2 3 conclusion. the plan, the plan allowed us to lower the number of 4 MR. WHITE: What about his understanding? free DA calls to two. 4 MS. BENEDEK: What is his understanding 5 5 Q. To two. 6 about whether it is? So you had a higher amount initially. 6 7 MR. WHITE: Yes. 7 A. Yes. MS. BENEDEK: Again, I renew the objection. 8 Q. And now you're providing two free? 8 9 Q. How is that legal --9 A. Yes. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Rephrase it. 10 Q. And you're seeking to reclassify that as rate 10 COMMISSIONER FOX: It's not legal. He's a 11 11 regulated? 12 smart man. 12 A. Yes, we are. O. Under your plan of alternative regulation is --13 Q. Do you think that your basic service rates would 13 do you understand there's an exogenous event clause in 14 have to be adjusted for an exogenous event if the Board 14 15 your PAR? changes a regulatory treatment of DA services? 15 A. Yes. 16 MS. BENEDEK: I renew my objection. I don't 16 17 Q. Do you know what that exogenous event clause is think this witness is qualified to answer whether a 17 18 triggered by? particular happenstance qualifies under specific terms 18 A. You know, I've read it and I have an 19 19 of our PAR agreement. understanding of what I think it means. Again, it's not |20 20 MR. WHITE: Well, first of all, he been to my knowledge tested at this point by us or 21 21 identified regulatory changes. anybody and New Jersey to know exactly, but I have not 22 22 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: He's not thought of -- generally exogenous events are events 23 qualified to answer that question. 23 where it's beyond the company's control, something 24 MR. WHITE: That's fine. I asked it and 24 happens to it, either through a cost, an act of God, 25 25 that's all. Cross - Harper Cross - Harper Page 161 through a rule change at the FCC. That type of thing. 1 Q. On page 23 of your reply testimony you discuss Q. It could also be a tax change. Correct? 2 home phone connect service. Correct? A. Yeah. It's been used for tax changes back in the 3 A. Yes. past. But as far as a service being deemed competitive, 4 Q. Do you know how many CenturyLink customers have it's difficult for me to connect that with an exogenous 5 5 subscribed to that service? event and what action that would take in a PAR. 6 A. No. We have no ability to determine specifically 6 O. Now, when your basic local exchange services were 7 what product is purchased when they leave. set, were free DA calls part of the -- free DA calls 8 Q. On page 20 you discuss Lifeline and number of 8 part of basic service package at that time. 9 wireless providers. Is that correct? 9 10 Correct? 10 A. Yes. A. Are you talking about way back when there was a 11 Q. You're not seeking reclassification of Lifeline 11 rate case the last time? 12 12 in this proceeding, are you? HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: HOW for back? 13 A. No. We're not seeking anything related to --13 Q. When they were originally set under your last 14 changes related to Lifeline in this proceeding. 14 15 rate case. Q. It's not a service identified by you for which 15 A. I don't have -- I don't have that history. I can 16 reclassification is sought. Correct? 16 answer it in general based upon experience in other 17 17 A. Correct. states, yes, in a rate case it would recognize all the O. On page 15 of your rebuttal, 15, 16, you claim 18 services being offered and generally free DA or an 19 that the inability to quantify the harms of rate

A. Yes.

regulation. Correct?

allowance for a given number of calls as part of that

Q. Prior to that plan, you were giving two free DA

Q. In 2008 you entered into an alternate plan of

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

regulation is maintained is not one of the -- is not

maintained I think is not one of the three criteria.

Q. And you provide no quantification. Correct?

A. That's a fair summary of that series of

Is that what you say?

sentences, yes.

Cross - Harper Page 162 A. We talked about before, my testimony initial and 1 various rounds talks about the harm, but I don't have 2 any specific monetary quantification. 3 Q. You have no study analysis. 4 A. Yes -- no. 5 MR. WHITE: If I could have five minutes to 6 7 go over, see if I have any additional questions. Otherwise, I may be done. 8 (A short recess is taken.) 9 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: 16. No. 40 pts 10 11 have anymore questions? 12 MR. WHITE: No more questions. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: YOU'LE CXXXXXXX 13 14 Staff, do you have any questions? 15 COMMISSIONER FOX: Copper lines, how much | 15 remain in your system of copper lines? Copper lines 16 from my opinion are safe. How do copper lines fit into 17 I guess this position, petition because I think a lot of 18 18 seniors want copper lines because of that security 19 20 issue? MR. VASINGTON: We haven't eliminated any 21 22 copper facilities, but they remain out there. They're less full than used to be because so many customers have 23 dropped us. When the customer drops us, we don't take 24 25 away the copper drop. It's a drop from the line to the 25

Page 164 situation where a customer moves into a house and decides what service they want. When they call the

service rep, the 1(800) Verizon service rep, I don't know if we ask them which do you prefer, FiOS or copper? 4

MR. HARPER: Answer similar, we don't have FiOS related product in the marketplace here and our primary method is to try and get fiber closer to the homes, fiber to the node so the copper link at the end stays into the customer's home. So the idea of being able to get power to copper where it's important to people, it's there. In fact, we just had an issue with some good press from Hunterdon County when there was a big storm and the power company was out, somebody said in the article but my phone works.

COMMISSIONER FOX: I don't bundle because of that.

Another question, another topic. Service quality. My personal belief is having talked to our customer assistance people and have talked to a lot of people who complained to me about service quality that Verizon has a lot of complaints and, in fact, one of the issues seems to be if you want to get your regular old phone service repaired or get installed it takes two weeks to do that. And so my personal belief is having talking to a lot of people about this, well, I can just

Colloquy

Page 163 Colloguy

Colloguy

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

Page 165

customer's house so we leave it in place. So we haven't 1 removed any copper facility even though they're 2 currently not being used to the extent that they were. 3

COMMISSIONER FOX: Say somebody wants to go back in, say somebody has FiOS and sell homes and want the copper back, can they have the copper back?

MR. VASINGTON: The copper is still there. We have to roll a truck to switch from the terminal on the house. A fiber served customer is served by what we call an optical network terminal, an ONT, on the side of the customer's house, and that has got a fiber optic cable going into that ONT which then serves that customer. If they previously had copper service with us, that copper service is still hooked up to the network interface device, or NID. So if that customer's location wants to switch back to copper, we have to roll a truck back to the house so a technician can hook up that copper line.

COMMISSIONER FOX: Do you offer that as an alternative or would they have to ask for it?

MR. VASINGTON: You mean do we say to the customer --

COMMISSIONER FOX: You want a landline or 23 24 you want FiOS, you say that?

MR. VASINGTON: We're talking about a

use cell phone then because I've lived for two weeks without it and I don't need. It's also a real pain in 2 the neck for people because they have to literally wait 3 two weeks at least to get that service. 4

Do you have any feeling how that might impact your line loss?

MR. VASINGTON: To the extent that the customer is dissatisfied with us and all the myriad options that they have in the marketplace and substitutes certainly doesn't help us if we haven't satisfied their concerns over our service quality. They can go to other places.

For purposes of this proceeding, we know you have another docket open on Verizon service quality. For purposes of this proceeding, we clarified that none of the PAR-II requirements would change for us if we were to be reclassified as competitive services here. That those service quality requirements that exist in PAR-II don't go away simply as a result of reclassification.

COMMISSIONER FOX: In the PAR-II requirements, do we actually know how much time we have to respond if somebody wants to hook up a phone service or if they have a problem with the phone and need somebody to come out in the truck and repair it? I

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

BPU INVESTIGATION RE; ILEC SERVICES Colloquy Page 166 don't think that is there, is it? MR. VASINGTON: I don't know. There's a 2 list of metrics in the PAR-II order. MR. SMITH: We have 21 or 22 PAR-II metrics 4 and I think installation is one of them. Off the top od 5 6 my head, I can't recall what it is. But there are -there's 21 metrics, 22 metrics, a lot of metrics to be 7 8 MR. HARPER: First, from a complaint 9 perspective, we haven't had very many, particularly 10 related to this issue, although I would agree that 11 quality is one of the aspects a customer is going to 12 consider in choosing their provider, clearly we're 13 driven in a competitive marketplace to provide what the 14 15 customer needs. COMMISSIONER FOX: Verizon's numbers are 16 pretty good. Okay. Thank you. 17 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Before 500 leaves 18 as a matter of record and just to let Verizon know that 19 20 I know the Board here and I know my fellow commissioners really do care about copper wire and landlines and rate 21 22 counsel probably shares that same belief. How important it is was illustrated about 23 two weeks in my home town. We went through a horrible 24 storm. We were devastated. A community of about 70,000 25 Colloquy 1 2 3

MR. HARPER: Certainly. And I like to 1 preface it with I'm not an attorney interpreting the PAR plan, but I've certainly read it and I believe we would remain under the PAR conditions. We'd have to file 4 competitive tariffs. We have that relationship or that 5 need to go through the commission if we were to want to remove basic service. 7

What I can say, we talked about in some of the testimony, there's a number of states where we've been able to gain price deregulation or even pretty much total deregulation of basic service. And throughout our 37 state footprint, we have not filed anywhere to remove the provision of standalone basic service today.

COMMISSIONER FOX: Directory assistance, you both provide two free calls for residential for directory assistance and it went down from what it was before. Do you have in your testimony or in the appendices to it regarding directory assistance who are the customer classes who use it -- not the customer classes -- who are the individuals who use it, seniors, that kind of thing, who uses those two calls?

MR. VASINGTON: We don't have that information in our testimony and Verizon doesn't have it.

COMMISSIONER FOX: Do you have any

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

1.3

14

15

16

1.7

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy

Colloquy

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 169

people, total electricity blackout for eight days and the only thing that worked was the copper line and the only way we could communicate outside of our community was those copper lines that were still in some people's homes, in this case my mother's home.

It's still a valuable asset to have. We know where technology is going, but we still think and we feel that we want to maintain that copper line as long as possible, just in case of those emergency situations so I want to let you know that.

MS. BENEDEK: May I ask, I thought you were 11 going to go there on the initial question you asked when this hearing opened.

COMMISSIONER FOX: That would be good except | 14 I can't remember exactly what it was so if you can. Counsel.

MS. BENEDEK: Just because we're counsel, I responded which is not evidence so I want my witness to respond.

Would you eliminate the R1, that standalone line, if we deemed -- if you deemed the service as competitive, I believe was your question. And to make sure that we do have an answer for the record, would you, Mr. Harper, like to respond to Commissioner Fox's question?

information from Verizon on who uses those two free 2 calls?

MR. HARPER: Not really.

MR. VASINGTON: The only evidence we have is the study that Mr. White referred to earlier that you saw that looked at how many years have you been using by demographic.

COMMISSIONER FOX: Do you have information on other states in directory assistance? I know we had that five years ago, whenever we did the last program.

MR. HARPER: In terms of the status of regulation and the number of free calls, we can do a survey.

MS. BENEDEK: We will look.

COMMISSIONER FOX: It would be nice to know. Staff got it together for us, whenever it was, five years ago, but it would be nice to have that. MS. BENEDEK: So the question is the use of the two free --

COMMISSIONER FOX: What are the status of other states for directory assistance that are free or that are competitive -- or not competitive -- that are not competitive? What is the status of noncompetitive DA in the states where you operate?

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Mr. White's

Direct - Baldwin Page 170 Direct - Baldwin 1 witness. 2 MS. BENEDEK: Two minutes. We may not have 3 cross. HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: A briefing? 4 MR. SMITH: No. We just want to talk about 5 6 the DA question. 7 MS. BENEDEK: And I want to double-check whether I have cross. 8 MR. SMITH: But in the meantime are our 9 witnesses excused for today? 10 HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Yes. 11 12 (A short recess is taken.) SUSAN M. BALDWIN, 17 Arlington 13 Street, Newburyport, Massachusetts 01950, having been 14 15 duly sworn by the Notary, testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MS. BALDWIN BY MR. WHITE: THE WITNESS: Mr. White, should I have all 17 my pieces of testimony here so I can make corrections? 18 O. Make corrections, any modifications, corrections 19 20 that you need to make. And they need to put you under 21 oath? 22 A. I was just put under oath. Yes, I do have a few corrections. And I'd like 23 24 to start with my initial testimony and I believe a 25 document has been distributed. Rate counsel could

residential DA, there's an end parenthesis should go after the word DA. And end parenthesis similarly on pages 11, line 7, page 13, line 10. That's the 3 4 identical change.

We've talked about pages 21 and 22 so let's turn to page 96 of my initial testimony. The changes I discussed a few moments ago about pages 21 and 22 and the lack of information on the quantity of business customers that Verizon serves in New Jersey, this ripples through lines 6 through 15 on page 96, specifically on line 8 there's a confidential number that should be replaced with the word unknown. Line 11 the confidential number should be replaced with the word unknown, and line 13 the confidential number should be replaced with the word unknown.

Turning next to page 100, line 8, the word form at the end of the line should say from.

Turning to 113, line 13, let me read the sentence that begins on page 12. I'm going to be changing on line 13 the word that says want to the word that says to, but this is substantive change so I want to make sure I got this correctly here.

Table 23 below summarizes monthly rates for some of Verizon's New Jersey discretionary features and shows that for all but two of the features Verizon NJ charges

Direct - Baldwin

Page 171

Direct - Baldwin

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 173

perhaps advise me of the exhibit number which replaces 1 pages 21 and 22 of my initial testimony. 2

Q. There's not an exhibit number for it, we just 3

distributed an errata sheet added to the testimony. 4

A. What I've done there is to redline the changes 5 that appear on the text of page 21 of my initial 6

testimony. And if you turn to page 22 of that document, 7

I will not be divulging any proprietary information, 8 9 Table C1, entitled Verizon New Jersey Business

Customers, you'll notice that the difference between 10 10

this errata Table C1 and my original C1 that I put the 11 11

words not available in several spots, several 12

13 categories, because upon further reflection of the

source of the information, I determined that I could not 14 tell the unique number of business customers. I was not 15 16

able to find out in the record of this proceeding how many unique business customers Verizon serves. So based on that revised understanding, I revised that table.

Now, what I'd like to do is go back to the initial testimony as filed and make changes, most of which are simply typos. If you turn to page 5, now we're going to find out if my pagination is the same as yours, and if it is not, I'd like to take a second to

23 24 get the same version you have.

Page 5, line 13 begins residential services and

residential customers more than it does business customers. 2

Turning next to page 115, footnote 186.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Could you hold one second. We need to before we go any further, rate counsel needs to address the unavailability Sarah Bosely for the record.

MR. WHITE: Right. Just the understanding that we're making Ms. Baldwin available today for cross on the assumption it does not effect the panel testimony offered by Ms. Baldwin and Ms. Bosely and that it remains in the case as joint testimony and that their waiver of the cross of Susan is also waiver of their cross of Ms. Bosely,

THE WITNESS: And, Commissioner, if it's helpful, on page 5 of -- if it's helpful, on page 5 of our initial testimony, line 8, we say each member of the panel has reviewed and supports the testimony in its entirety.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Thank you THE WITNESS: Shall I continue on? HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Yes. THE WITNESS: Just a few more corrections. So in footnote 186, on page 115 the word confidential should precede the word exhibit. It's

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

confidential Exhibit SMB-30.

On page 121 the question that begins on line 4, instead of saying that it offers, the question should read that they offer to residential customers.

On 123, line 16, instead of use, it should say used, u-s-e-d.

And, finally, for the initial testimony on page 131, the line 7 the question that begins on line 7, toward the end of the line it should say still have the option. So have should be inserted after still.

And I just have two minor edits on reply and 11 I will be finished with the corrections to the three pieces of testimony.

Turning to reply testimony, page 8, line 2, that line should read CenturyLink was unable to quantify the harms of continued rate regulation or the benefit, instead of, of the benefit.

Further down that page on line 10, the word "a" that precedes competition should come out, should be deleted.

And with those corrections, the testimony is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. BY MR. WHITE:

Q. Ms. Baldwin, I refer you to RC Exhibit 13 which is NPS survey retail DA that we previously discussed.

Direct - Baldwin

4

5

6

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 174

Page 176

years, and that's fourth column.

2 Now, if go to the rows, that's how old you are, presumably a responsive survey, and you can see that for 3 each of these four stratifications, each of these four categories, in every instance the probability of you using DA increases as you get older. So to me, the statistics are very clear. Elderly people are more likely to rely on DA and this is not surprising. We all know elderly people and they all grew up using DA. So I don't think that that's a startling fact, but it's certainly empirical evidence.

So I wanted to add my different interpretation of these data to the record for the benefit of the Board.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Thank you MR. WHITE: We're done.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Anything day? MR. WHITE: We are done.

HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Questions? COMMISSIONER FOX: Question about directory assistance.

THE WITNESS: That was the question, thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER FOX: Do you know of any other information out there about directory assistance? THE WITNESS: This is exactly the concern I

Page 175

Direct - Baldwin

Page 177

You have some comments where respect to page 10 that you'd like to share with the hearing officer?

A. Yes. And to be clear this is the document that we've included as confidential rebuttal Exhibit SMB-C-3 and it's dated December 2011.

Earlier we heard discussion by Mr. Vasington of a chart that appears on page 10 about the possibly confusing presentation of the data from the survey. And I have a slightly different understanding of what the numbers are.

As Mr. Vasington says, the numbers are the numbers, but the way I read them is a bit different from the way Mr. Vasington does.

Specifically, if we ignore for a moment what the intention of the author was which was to focus on how long have you been using this service and that grand | 16 total column is captured in a chart. Let's not look at the chart. I mean, let's not look at the line chart. Let's not look at the grand total. Let's assume that all the other data is accurate, however, and let's talk about the four individual columns which correspond with how long you've used 411 service. Maybe you've used it between zero and one years, that is first column. Maybe used it between one and five years, that's a second

column and so on. Maybe you've used it more than ten

have throughout this proceeding whether it's the directory assistance or whether it's the legacy. Who are they? 3

The Board in my view has a strong responsibility to protect the most vulnerable citizens in the state, whether using DA or legacy service and neither Verizon or CenturyLink has been able to shed light on this demographic. And this is a sizable number of customers who use DA and use legacy landline and I would be concerned if we stopped protecting them without knowing more about it, would be turning our backs on them.

COMMISSIONER FOX: That's always a concern to legacy service used by seniors and probably first generation ethnic groups, by poor, working class people who are not Lifeline and I would assume -- maybe I'm wrong -- that if the numbers proved out well for Verizon, we would have a study.

THE WITNESS: Actually, I would like to clarify, there is some data that bears on this proceeding that we do have on demographics that may be helpful to the Board and we include that in our testimony.

For example, Verizon is quick to point out that the incremental cost of adding voice if you have

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15	In all (h. d. d.		July 17, 20	12
ا	irect - Baldwin Page 178	Dire	ect - Baldwin Page 18	****
	1 broadband that is what you should look at. And I have	1	MR. SMITH: Be allowed in.	
-	2 various issues with bundles verses standalone and that I	2	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA:bcslowed	,
	won't go over, but on the demographic issue based on	3	and we'll move forward from there.	10
	on the FCC data we have about 41 percent of elderly	4		
	subscribe to broadband verses 80 percent based on the	5	MR. SMITH: Thank you.	
	6 new FCC local competition report. So income also	1 -	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: Thank you	i,
	directly attracts broadband adoption, the lower the	7	everybody, for coming.	
	income, the less likely the broadband adoption. The	,	(Verizon, CenturyLink, and Rate Counsel, al	11
	lowest income decile is about 35, 36 percent. The	l .	exhibits moved into evidence.)	
10		9	(Proceedings concluded at 2:56 p.m.)	
11		10		
12		11		
13		12		1
114		13		-
15		14		1
16		15		1
17		16		i
18		17		
19		18		1
20		19		
21		20		l
22	briefs by November 1st. I think we are all in agreement	21		1
23		22		
24		23		
25		24		
	into ovidence.	25		
Dire	ect - Baldwin Bace 170			
	Fage 1/3	_	Page 181	
1	HEARING EXAMINER ASSELTA: And other than	1	CERTIFICATE	
2	that, I encourage everyone to keep communicating and	2		
3	let's come to some kind of resolution in the very near	3	I, Lorin Thompson, a Notary Public and	
4	future.	4	Shorthand Reporter of the State of New Jersey, do hereby	
5	MR. SMITH: Commissioner, we definitely plan	5	certify that prior to the commencement of the	
6	on communicating. But the Board move all the exhibits	6	examination PAUL B. VASINGTON, MARK D. HARPER, SUSAN M.	ı
7	in, we still would like to based upon the comment	7	BALDWIN, were duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole	
8	earlier related to cost object to the admission of	8	truth and nothing but the truth.	
9	Exhibit 6B which is Verizon Communications, Inc., Form	9	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a	l
10		1.0	true and accurate transcript of the testimony as taken	
11		11	stenographically by and before me at the time, place and	
12		12	on the date hereinbefore set forth.	
13		13	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a	
14		14	relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of	
15			the parties to this action, and that I am neither a	
16		16	relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and	ĺ
17	MR. SMITH: Another order said no costs.	17	that I am not financially interested in the action.	
18	COMMISSIONER FOX: We have the order here.	18		l
19	I read it to read no cost studies. It didn't say no	19	~	ı
20	discussion of costs.	20		1
21	MR. SMITH: Basically, this a rejection on	21	11.	
22	the affiliate aspect. This is Verizon Communications,	22	foren thempley	
23	Inc., is an affiliate.	23	Notary Fublic of the State of New Jersey My commission expires July 26, 2016	
24	HEARING FXAMINED ACCELTA			
	The state of the s	24	1 PART 7-1	
25	everybody's best interests that everything	24 25	Dated: July 17, 2012	

		1		July 17, 2012
	58:1,2;73:14;83:20;	100:20;101:1;105:13,	74:6,15;100:20;101:1;	120:20;178:9
\$	121:25;148:19;172:3,12		111:17;113:20;123:25	37 (1)
	113 (1)	1934 (2)	21 (8)	168:12
\$1 (1)	172:18	65:13,19	156:2,12;166:4,7;	38 (3)
115:21	115 (2)	1990 (1)	171:2,6;172:5,7	43:15;45:16;121:2
\$1.2 (1)	173:3,24	147:4	22 (13)	38,000 (1)
75:24	12 (12)	1994 (1)	135:20,24;136:8;	88:7
\$10(1)	58:1;62:4;65:17;66:6;	126:20	147:13,23;152:23;	00.7
108:18	105:24;108:24;111:16;	1998 (1)	156:22;166:4,7;171:2,7;	4
\$168 (1)	120:16;122:3;130:22;	146:18	172:5,7	T
80:2	131:14;172:19	1999 (1)	22.6 (5)	4 (6)
\$476 (1)	120 (1)	125:16	45:18;46:12;58:3;	
81:7	76:7	1A (1)	59:24;61:8	40:2;83:7;108:21;
\$64(1)	121 (1)	101:17	23 (3)	143:23;144:1;174:3
54:7	174:2	1st (1)	156:11;161:1;172:23	4,000s (1)
\$8 (1)	123 (1)	178:22	24 (3)	87:6
54:9	174:5	170.22		4.103 (1)
34.9	125 (2)	2	120:12;130:5,9	44:4
0	29:13,21	4	25 (5)	41 (1)
V	129 (1)	2 (13)	120:11;139:5;147:14;	178:4
01050 (1)	44:17		148:3;150:12	411 (4)
01950 (1)	13 (17)	34:21;38:9;62:19,22;	254 (2)	91:16;92:1,11;175:22
170:14	63:9;64:13;65:4;	71:11;76:5;83:21;	65:13;70:12	42-21.17 (1)
02110 (1)	73:16;74:15;91:8;	101:13,13;120:7,14;	26 (4)	65:11
29:14	105:13,23,23;106:4,8;	121:25;174:14	43:16;120:14;130:5,9	43 (2)
94302 (1)	171:25;172:3,14,18,20;	2,000s (1)	27 (4)	41:16;42:2
74:18	174:24		43:15;44:20;47:25;	44 (1)
06 (1)	13,000 (1)	2:56 (1)	120:1	42:8
126:15	88:7	180:9	28 (3)	44,578 (1)
07 (1)	ł.	20 (4)	47:25;93:14;142:4	148:1
126:2	130 (1) 49:22	38:9;43:15;130:22;	28,000 (1)	45 (1)
09 (3)	4	161:8	150:3	42:4
83:20;86:20;88:6	131 (1)	200 (1)	28,723 (1)	46 (2)
_	174:8	102:5	149:16	42:5;112:8
1	13th (1)	2000 (2)	29 (4)	47 (1)
	123:25	57:23;146:24	45:16;92:24;93:3,24	80:11
(19)	14 (7)	2003 (4)	2nd (1)	477 (11)
34:21;41:15;48:7,7;	38:9;73:20;92:22;	41:17;43:3,9;63:10	178:21	99:25;101:4,10,12;
64:13;65:2;80:24,25;	105:23,24;131:15,16	2005 (3)		103:13,17,21;104:23;
83:18,21;96:5;105:24;	14,542 (1)	63:12;79:13,18	3	132:12,22;151:22
108:24;119:8,12;120:7;	150:11	2006 (2)		48:2-21.18 (1)
139:20;144:23;148:9	14-month (1)	115:9,21	3 (10)	65:12
.6 (3)	131:21	2008 (10)	40:2;64:13;71:12;	48:2-21.19 (1)
45:17;46:12;59:24	14th (1)	30:13;37:5;47:12;	83:21;101:17;138:14,15,	65:12
.861 (1)	113:20	120:3;135:4,16;136:24;	17,20,22	48:2-21.1a (1)
44:15	15 (10)	152:12,21;159:22	3,000s (1)	66:12
0 (9)	62:19,23;73:21;88:9;	2009 (7)	87:4	49 (2)
87:9;91:14;110:23,24;	95:16;120:12;121:17;	47:13;67:3;88:2;	3.852 (1)	112:9;113:3
130:22;172:3;174:18;	161:18,18;172:10	134:23,25;135:16;	44:11	112.2,113.3
175:1,7	16 (7)	136:24	30 (3)	5 🕅
00 (2)	65:3;132:12;133:4;	2010 (5)	93:3,24;120:16	3
119:2;172:16	137:7;139:12;161:18;	47:13;110:4;134:21,	30th (3)	E (0)
0-G (1)	174:5	25;136:23	111:11,13,15	5 (9)
179:10	166 (1)	2011 (23)	31 (1)	34:21;65:2;102:1;
0-K (2)	99:2	47:13;86:20;87:4,13,	43:2	110:23,23;171:21,25;
40:10,16	17 (3)	19;88:3,25;101:4;	1 .	173:16,16
0Ks (1)	121:12;134:3;170:13	111-12 12 15-112-7.	32 (1)	5,000 (1)
	179 (1)	111:12,13,15;113:7;	120:18	86:24
	147:20	123:6;132:12,22;134:12,	33 (3)	5,000s (1)
0-Q (12)	1800 (1)	25;135:7;136:23;139:6;	103:1,3;121:12	87:5
10101,10,10110,101	1	148:2;149:15;175:5	34 (2)	50 (1)
		2011-2012 (1)	131:11,17	41:15
12;60:7,21;61:20;				
132:15;155:19,24;	186 (2)	74:3	35 (3)	51 (3)
132:15;155:19,24; 156:20	186 (2)		35 (3) 121:16,17;178:9	

				July 17, 2012
89:18;157:11	8B (2)	159:6	affiliate (6)	59:16;71:18;127:12
55 (1)	73:8;80:14	actions (1)	50:4,18;54:8;179:11,	alternate (2)
89:20	8c (4)	130:21	22,23	157:21;159:22
55,580 (1)	66:13;73:9;80:20,24	actual (2)	affiliates (10)	alternative (3)
152:17		60:8;129:4	42:3,14,16,20;43:11;	158:1,13;163:20
561,170 (1)	9	actually (21)	53:10,18;54:15,18;93:10	although (1)
99:13		45:14,15;59:3;62:4,	affordable (2)	166:11
58 (1)	9 (3)	18;71:24;87:3;91:21,24;		always (2)
156:15	121:2;130:22;144:23	92:13,18;93:6,13;97:18;	afternoon (1)	
5A (1)	90 (2)	112:14,15;113:7;115:9,		61:13;177:13
8ì:Í2	67:23;139:19	15;165:22;177:19	again (31)	ambiguous (1)
	94 (1)	add (7)	34:10;36:12;41:10,19;	50:7
6	126:18	44:6,11;88:10;114:12;	45:18;47:19;52:14;	among (1)
***************************************	96 (2)	120:17;131:22;176:12		116:15
6 (13)	172:6,10	added (2)	53:15;58:1;62:4;70:18,	amortization (1)
40:8,12;101:1,13,14,	99 (2)	104:16;171:4	21;73:2;84:19;89:5;	45:14
17;119:8,13;120:18;	125:17,19		99:12;103:2;104:18,19;	amount (3)
122:3;147:14;155:18;	123.17,19	adding (4)	107:1;108:6;109:16;	81:11;141:20;160:6
172:10	A 20	88:1;108:1;177:25;	122:22;126:12;127:13;	analysis (21)
6,000 (1)	<u> </u>	178:12	136:3;150:19;153:17;	35:4,14,16,19;36:13,
87:15	abilia. (4)	addition (1)	156:21;158:8,20	19,20;37:2;38:21;39:14;
	ability (4)	144:15	age (5)	58:8;70:19;94:1;106:24;
64 (1) 76:3	39:5;108:12;115:13;	additional (3)	91:16,20;92:1,3,4	107:12,15;109:16,18;
	161:6	39:5,6;162:7	ago (8)	130:16;141:25;162:4
65 (1)	able (15)	additions (3)	48:10;115:8,9;124:4;	analysts (1)
91:18	35:25;36:17,22;37:11;		156:1;169:10,17;172:7	61:18
6A (2)	49:13;69:2;71:12;	address (8)	agree (8)	analyze (2)
40:9;41:15	109:13,22,25;134:25;	29:13,18,21;73:12;	138:1;153:9;154:9,11,	111:21,22
6B(1)	164:10;168:10;171:16;	79:14;83:1;151:8;173:6	19;155:12;166:11;	annual (19)
179:9	177:7	addressed (4)	178:20	43:5,7;45:10;46:9;
6C (1)	above (3)	35:11,13;79:17;90:5	agreeing (1)	47:1;50:12,13;58:9,16;
57:23	68:5,8;108:13	addressing (2)	99:7	70:1;107:21;132:8,19;
6D (2)	academic (2)	98:3;133:16	agreement (11)	134:15;135:22;136:12,
155:20,24	144:25;145:10	adjusted (1)	51:4;55:19,21,24,25;	13;147:18;155:19
_	accept (2)	160:14	93:9,11,16;99:21;	anomaly (2)
7	76:15,19	adjustment (3)	160:19;178:22	136:1;137:5
	acceptable (3)	86:13;88:13,25	agreements (6)	answered (3)
7 (6)	72:9,10,12	administrative (1)	56:12;94:4,5,23,25;	106:14;140:17,25
100:23,23;139:11;	accepting (2)	97:12	95:5	Anthony (1)
172:3;174:8,8	77:2;81:19	admission (1)	ahead (5)	72:16
70,000 (1)	Access (22)	179:8	45:15;61:16,25;85:23;	anticipated (1)
166:25	54:8;67:2;73:15;	admit (1)	139:23	72:3
/1203 (1)	74:10;76:1,4,5;83:7;	44:8	Airwaves (1)	anticompetitive (1)
119:2	89:15;90:4,17;93:19;	adopted (1)	74:15	94:11
78 (1)	112:20;126:9,10,11;	33:11	alleged (2)	Antitrust (1)
112:11	134:9,10;135:17;137:6;	adoption (2)	117:23;153:8	146:17
79 (1)	138:2;143:4	178:7,8	allocate (1)	
	according (1)	ads (1)	82:14	Anymore (2)
9,000 (1)	138:17	143:18	allocated (2)	42:11;162:11
	accordingly (1)	advanced (1)		apologize (4)
	95:6	124:8	156:25;157:3	47:19;81:15;86:5;
8	account (1)		allowance (1)	108:25
<u> </u>	107:6	advantage (6)	159:20	appealed (1)
(12)		37:8;55:18,21,24,25;	allowed (6)	91:3
	accurate (4)	56:12	37:6;116:16;127:16;	appeals (1)
73:5;76:5;92:10;	123:15;135:19;	adversity (1)	160:3;180:1,2	90:24
96:15;103:24;120:20;	174:22;175:20	60:23	allude (1)	appear (1)
	achievable (1)	advise (I)	95:9	171:6
16;173:17;174:14	97:8	171:1	alluded (1)	appeared (1)
		advocacy (1)	123:18	92:23
0 (2)	across (I)			7
0 (2) 112:13;178:5	94:7	129:7	almost (2)	
0 (2) 112:13;178:5 4 (2)	94:7 Act (5)	129:7 advocate (1)		appears (3)
0 (2) 112:13;178:5 4 (2) 156:2,11	94:7 Act (5) 65:13,18;70:13;81:13;	129:7 advocate (1) 97:9	almost (2)	appears (3) 43:2;87:11;175:7
0 (2) 112:13;178:5 4 (2) 156:2,11 A (2)	94:7 Act (5)	129:7 advocate (1)	almost (2) 43:4;54:17	appears (3)

				July 17, 2012
appendices (1)	45:6;46:13,23;47:7;	178:7	32:6;90:6;106:2;	117:7,14,15;158:24
168:18	49:8;50:8,16;52:13,20;		117:22;179:21	big (5)
apples (2)	54:21;60:14;62:3;65:22;	1 .	basing (1)	39:25,25;62:8;107:18;
117:25;118;1	66:1;68:14,17;69:5,10,	author (1)	85:13	164:13
applied (1) 110:10	13;70:7;71:9,14;72:1,21,		basis (18)	bigger (1)
applies (1)	25;73:25;81:18;82:1,5,	, , ,	45:12;46:2,14;59:2;	112:23
90:7	18,20;85:6,22;89:25; 91:2;93:23;95:15;97:13;	49:23	61:16;86:25;87:1;96:10;	bill (2)
applying (2)	98:15,19;99:3;103:7,16,		97:3,4;98:2;108:3,8,9;	
38:1;67:5	20;104:3;105:10,16,19;	29:24;43:8;122:6; 155:21;171:12;173:9	127:7,8;143:20;157:19	billed (1)
approach (1)	106:15;107:16,23;	average (8)	bear (3)	57:20
124:20	108:10;110:20;111:1;	58:22;62:14;68:6;	63:8;65:9,21 bearing (1)	biller (1)
appropriate (7)	113:12,14,17;114:15;	86:24,25;137:1,2;157:11	98:9	57:13
33:22;34:1;47:4;93:7;	116:1,17;117:9,15;	aware (7)	bears (1)	billion (1) 75:24
97:5;98:5;153:25	118:3,7;122:8;136:10;	79:14;91:3,5;111:5;	177:20	bills (2)
approve (1)	140:24;145:23;149:4,7;	139:24;146:10;147:6	became (1)	57:11,22
94:20	152:22,24;153:9;154:9,	away (2)	91:22	bit (3)
approved (2)	15;155:15;158:10;	162:25;165:19	become (1)	89:2;145:25;175:12
30:13;94:17	159:13;160:22;162:10,		150:7	BI (1)
approving (I)	13;166:18;169:25;	B	becoming (1)	149:13
95:8	170:4,11;173:4,20,22;		56:10	black (2)
approximately (3) 49:22;102:12;139:19	176:14,16,18;178:17;	B1 (2)	began (2)	62:7,8
April (1)	179:1,24;180:2,5 assert (1)	148:21;149:14	102:12;123:4	blackened (1)
87:9	47:5	back (27)	begin (2)	62:8
area (4)	assessed (2)	47:18;49:12;51:7;	74:21;109:8	blackout (1)
33:25;67:16;120:17,	75:7;81:12	52:23;72:14;83:18;	beginning (3)	167:1
17	assessment (6)	88:10;96:20;100:5; 114:2;134:19;135:21;	106:16;114:3;122:4	blank (1)
areas (2)	38:24;73:9;74:24;	136:3;137:7;138:25;	begins (4) 171:25;172:19;174:2,	42:8
53:19;125:12	75:4,6,9	139:11;144:16;155:18;	8	Board (32)
arena (2)	assessments (5)	159:3,11,13;163:5,6,6,	behalf (1)	30:13,16;37:4,24; 39:18;47:2;49:19;54:6;
97:11,12	39:4;54:5;73:7;74:2,6	16,17;171:19	127:23	61:4;63:10,12;64:7;
argument (1)	asset (1)	back-and-forth (1)	behind (1)	67:7;68:6;69:12;71:6;
71:2	167:6	114:22	132:10	75:9;77:18;90:20;98:13;
argumentative (1)	assist (2)	backbone (3)	belief (4)	99:5;104:13;115:2;
91:1	31:5;129:20	48:6,8,11	164:18,24;166:22;	139:22,24;153:24;
arguments (1) 146:8	assistance (11)	background (1)	174:22	160:14;166:20;176:13;
Arlington (1)	32:18;91:10;164:19; 168:14,16,18;169:9,21;	154:6	below (3)	177:4,22;179:6
170:13	176:20,24;177:2	backs (1)	66:25;67:3;172:23	Board's (3)
around (3)	assisted (1)	177:11 backup (1)	BENEDEK (52)	53:10:94:1;120:3
30:20;32:11;149:23	123:10	47:10	41:8;71:20;72:10; 93:2;118:8;119:4;122:5;	body (1)
ARPU (1)	associated (4)	bailiwick (1)	124:20;128:19;132:14,	97:17 Bonsick (1)
62:12	54:7;82:11;149:5;	94:18	18;133:13,18;134:4,8,	122:21
arrangement (1)	157:1	balance (1)		bonus (4)
56:15	assume (5)	61:21	16;142:5,7;143:5;	33:4;34:5,8,15
article (8)	51:4;55:2;146:22;	Baldwin (8)	144:10;145:19;147:7,	Bosely (5)
73:19;74:14;92:23;	175:19;177:16	35:19;36:13;72:5,8;	21;148:15;149:18;	72:5,9;173:6,11,14
93:1,4;94:9,14;164:14	assumption (2)	170:16;173:9,11;174:24	150:2,10:152:15,20;	Boston (2)
articulated (1) 153:12	68:15;173:10 AT&T (4)	base (1)	153:11;154:11,16,24;	29:13,21
ascertain (1)	76:7,11,13,15	127:18	155:7,9,12,23;158:2,5,8;	both (22)
54:22	ATC (1)	based (22)	160:16;167:11,17;	36:22;55:17;59:20;
a-s-i-n-g-t-o-n (1)	81:2	53:10;55:17;58:9,10; 61:3;64:7;68:18;73:7;	169:14,18;170:2,7	69:23;71:13;79:3;84:6,
29:20	attachment (2)	78:3,21;79:18;82:15;	benefit (3)	19;89:5,7;102:5,21;
aspect (2)	100:19,19		174:16,17;176:13 besides (1)	108:4;116:23;128:13;
125:13;179:22	attempted (1)	154:2,3;159:17;171:17;	93:20	139:15;141:2,3,24;
aspects (5)	97:19			153:2;168:15;179:10 bottom (12)
125:11;126:14;127:1;	attorney (2)	basic (11)	34:14;116:14;137:4;	43:16;47:25;62:9;
129:22;166:12	144:12;168:2	38:18;106:12,23;	174:22;179:25	63:2;91:23;109:7;
ASSELTA (106)	attorneys (1)		better (3)	133:12,25;137:12;
33:9,13,18;34:2,13,17;	31:7	160:13;168:7,11,13	50:16;89:1;135:19	139:18;154:5;156:12
36:11;40:15;42:11,19;	attracts (1)	basically (5)	beyond (4)	box (1)

				Vuly 17, 2012
84:11	20,21;138:19,23,25;	capability (2)	123:16;128:23;129:9;	choice (1)
boy (3)	141:6;148:1,4;149:11;		130:11;132:1,8,13,20,	145:8
29:19;30:20;32:8	150:11,13;151:5,6,9,13,		23;141:17;147:11,16;	choices (1)
BPU (1)	18;152:14,17;153:2;	48:23,23	153:3;157:20;161:4;	146:9
64:24	156:4,5;157:5;171:9,15,	capital (2)	174:15;177:7;180:7	choose (1)
break (3)	17;172:8;173:1	58:20;59:4	CenturyLink's (6)	146:4
71:7;72:21;110:23	businesses (2)	capture (1)	130:2;143:11,16;	choosing (1)
breakdown (1)	53:24;151:17	77:24	147:17;155:19;157:15	166:13
46:3	buy (5)	captured (3)	certain (2)	chose (2)
breakdowns (1)	55:19;117:23;140:4,5;	53:17,18;175:17	37:6;143:21	146:5;149:21
133:19	143:20	captures (1)	certainly (13)	circuit (2)
brief (14)	buying (1)	56:18	77:18;86:24;93:15;	78:15,17
69:20;70:5,5,8,9,24;	103:22	care (2)	94:25;95:9;125:12;	cite (5)
	buys (1)	90:23;166:21	126:13;136:14;153:13;	94:2;100:22;106:10;
113:24;153:14;154:1	56:19	Carlton (1)	165:10;168:1,3;176:11	108:24,25
briefing (2)	С	146:23	certification (1)	cites (1)
71:1;170:4	C	carrier (5)	126:3	65:18
briefings (2)	C1 (3)	96:6;101:18;141:12,	CFO (3)	citizens (1)
	C1 (3)	13,16	59:16;61:7,15	177:5
briefly (1) 62:20	171:9,11,11 cable (19)	carriers (3)	chain (1)	CL-1 (1)
briefs (5)	73:9;74:6;75:4,6,7;	48:16,22;70:15	151:1	118:12
70:6;90:20;95:10;	77:14;78:22;81:10,12,	case (40)	chair (1)	CL-2 (1)
178:21,22	13;82:8;127:18;142:14,	32:7;36:6;54:17;67:1,	68:25	118:14
bring (2)	22,25;143:6,8,10;163:12	2;68:2;69:16;73:15; 89:24;90:17,19;93:16,	Chairman (1)	CL-3 (1)
	Cablevision (1)	18;94:15,23;109:23;	179:13 chairs (1)	118:16
bringing (1)	80:8	122:21,24;125:13,17;	118:8	CL-4 (1)
	call (9)	126:1,12,14,15,16;	challenging (1)	118:18 CL-5 (1)
broadband (15)	48:7;55:18;78:14,20;	127:25;128:2;129:19,	60:22	118:20
101:7,17;103:17,21;	84:17;118:9;137:14;	21;131:7;132:9;144:6;	change (7)	CL-6 (1)
104:19;111:24;112:11,	163:10;164:2	146:7;154:13;159:12,15,	76:8;121:15;159:1,2;	118:22
12,15;178:1,5,7,8,13,14	called (1)	18;167:5,9;173:12	165:16;172:4,21	claim (4)
broader (1)	48:10	cases (2)	changed (2)	45:21;69:16;136:18;
97:18	calling (1)	120:1;128:5	96:14;103:11	161:18
brought (2)	84:10	cash (8)	changes (10)	claimed (3)
	calls (14)	34:16;58:7,7,11,12,18;	38:8;120:7;121:23;	45:9;53:16;54:13
bundle (8)	61:12;118:10;122:19;	59:2;61:22	159:3;160:15,21;	claiming (6)
116:5;140:2,6,15,18,	140:22;141:11;159:8,8,	catchall (2)	161:14;171:5,20;172:6	47:2;66:21;67:21;
19;141:18;164:15	20;160:1,4;168:15,21;	86:13,14	changing (1)	69:23;117:20,24
bundled (7)	169:2,12	categories (3)	172:19	claims (1)
	came (1)	73:13;171:13;176:5	characterization (1)	90.3
25;140:7;142:16;148:4 bundles (10)	33:25 can (76)	categorize (1)	155:13	clarification (4)
83:24,25;106:22;	34:14;37:2;38:2;	84:6	charge (12)	33:10;78:2;148:15;
110:16;117:23;139:21;	40:13;45:6;46:4,8,9,18;	categorized (1) 78:4	56:16,17,18,21,25;	152:13
142:1;150:20;151:9;	49:8,15;52:22;53:17;	categorizes (1)	57:9,11,18,19,20,22;	clarified (3)
178:2	57:23;59:8;62:20;64:13;	80:16	90:4 charges (3)	35:8;43:3;165:15
burden (2)	68:4;69:8,20;71:7;	category (10)	126:9,10;172:25	clarify (5)
88:18,22	72:13;75:5;79:20;84:22;	64:11;84:9,23;86:16;	charging (1)	46:17;78:8;107:25;
business (104)	85:3,4,7,15,20;86:21;	89:8,9,10;100:21;101:7;	68:8	150:2;177:20 class (1)
29:12,17,18,21;35:18,	87:10;88:16;89:12,25;	102:3	chart (6)	177:15
19,22,24;36:1,2,8,13,21;	92:9;93:1,5,6;95:8,9;	causes (1)	92:12,13;175:7,17,18,	classes (3)
37:5,8,12;43:9,22;49:6;	98:6;99:11;100:4,16;	150:6	18	124:7;168:19,20
50:22,25;51:16,20,23;	105:12:107:25;108:14;	cell (1)	check (20)	classification (4)
52:4,5,16,18,18,25;53:1,	116:10;120:10;131:22;	165:1	30:23,24;41:24,25;	79:8;115:3;126:13;
1,4,19,20,20,24;54:1;	136:13;138:9;140:4,5;	center (1)	42:1;49:11;50:24;57:3;	127:5
55:6,15;57:17;62:20,25;	141:1;144:11;145:19,21,	98:1	76:13,19,21;77:4;84:8;	classifications (1)
63:4,11,13,20,22,23,24,	22;148:13;153:13;	Centrex (1)	102:10;111:11,18;123:6,	114:17
25;64:3,5,6,9,11;76:14,	154:3;157:14,17;	148:25	25;124:1;152:18	classified (5)
16,23;77:21;80:3;83:7,	159:16;163:6,17;	CenturyLink (31)	checkbox (1)	63:12,15;65:1,6;83:23
	164.06.166.16.16.16.16	35:23;40:11,17;41:5,	84:7	
11,14,15;119:1;124:6;	164:25;165:12;167:15;			clause (2)
11,14,15;119:1;124:6; 133:23;134:10;135:1,7, 17,23;136:1,5;137:2,5,	168:8;169:12;170:18; 176:3;179:13	10;69:4;72:11;118:10; 119:1,2,8,12;120:17;	chief (1) 60:23	158:14,17 clear (10)

				July 17, 2012
57:19;63:21;77:19;	Commissioner (50)	compensation (2)	157:13;178:19	context (6)
87:24;91:22;104:3;	42:19;45:3;47:9;	34:18;79:10	conclusion (7)	30:12;38:1;60:25;
113:21;153:22;175:3;	49:10;61:6;67:12;68:21,	competition (18)	88:21;105:5,9;110:18;	77:17;97:7,8
176:7	25;69:8,11;71:4;78:8,12	70:17;74:14;88:9,10,	116:7;144:11;158:3	continue (4)
clearly (3)	23;79:1,5;110:22;	14;89:3,4;94:6,7;111:6;	conclusions (2)	68:18;115:15;130:12;
94:9;138:8;166:13	112:14;113:24;114:3,7,	112:6,9;125:11;126:14,		173:21
CLEC (17)	22;115:23;154:20;	21;144:19;174:19;178:6	conditions (5)	continued (5)
48:3,24;49:5;50:3,10,	155:4,8,10;158:11;	competitive (43)	94:16,20;95:11,12;	62:9;88:2;122:3;
14;56:19,22,24;57:1;	162:15;163:4,19,23;	37:1,9;63:12,14,16;	168:4	125:17;174:16
73:8;75:1;80:14;81:16;	164:15;165:21;166:16;	65:1,7;66:19;67:20,22,	CONFIDENTIAL (29)	contrary (1)
113:2;132:3;138:17	167:14,24;168:14,25;	24;68:12;70:16;83:15,	50:21;51:1,12;99:10,	53:23
CLECs (10)	169:8,15,20;173:15;	19,22;84:2,9,12,18;89:6,		control (2)
48:19;49:22;80:16;	176:19,22,23;177:13;	8;90:8,8;95:19;115:3;	109:3,6,10;118:15,19,	119:15;158:24
112:7,10;113:2;122:2;	179:5,13,18	125:10;126:6,13,25;	23;133:13,16;148:17;	convene (1)
131:14,25;138:5	Commissioners (7)	127:4;131:5;150:21;	149:17,20;150:1,3,7;	72:15
eliek (1)	51:14;103:19;113:13;	151:6;157:24;159:4;	172:11,13,14;173:25;	copies (4)
84:11	119:7;124:24;133:2;	165:17;166:14;167:22;	174:1;175:4	119:7;128:17;132:25;
clips (1)	166:20	168:5;169:22,22,23	confirm (1)	133:17
94:15	Commissioner's (1)	competitor (6)	63:8	copper (24)
closely (1)	115:2	77:15,16;84:8,14,15;	conflicts (1)	78:18;104:24;162:15,
123:12	commissions (2)	132:5	98:4	16,16,17,19,22,25;163:2,
closer (1)	39:19;126:3	competitors (9)	confusing (3)	6,6,7,13,14,16,18;164:4,
164:7	commitments (1)	39:5;56:13;121:3,3,4;	44:8;46:18;175:8	8,10;166:21;167:2,4,8
CNBC (1)	72:16	142:10,12;145:9;149:24	conjunction (1)	copy (1)
61:12	common (1)	competitor's (1)	66:18	124:14
code (2)	96:6	145:3	connect (3)	core (2)
88:17;141:8	Commonwealth (1)	compile (2)	102:3;159:5;161:2	44:11;104:24
codes (1)	74:17	31:18;32:6	connection (4)	corner (1)
133:7 coding (1)	communicate (1)	compiling (1)	46:3,6;61:2;143:15	132:16
89:1	167:3	31:12	connections (2)	Corp (2)
coin (1)	communicating (2)	complained (1)	101:8,24	41:2,4
68:3	Communication (1)	164:20	Consider (4)	Corporation (3)
collaborated (1)	53:1	complaint (1) 166:9	55:10;131:4;139:22;	46:19,20;157:3
129:25	Communications (12)	complaints (1)	166:13	corrected (3)
collecting (2)	40:25;46:2;65:13,18;	164:21	consideration (1) 95:24	108:24;136:11,15
122:23;123:3	70:13;76:2;77:22;80:3,	completely (1)	r	correction (2)
college (2)	3;179:9,11,22	116:18	considered (4)	92:17,21
124:3,4	community (2)	compliance (1)	64:8;82:9;134:16; 150:21	corrections (12)
color (1)	166:25;167;3	38:3	considers (1)	120:8,22;121:5,10,23;
82:25	comp (2)	complied (1)	139:24	170:18,19,19,23;173:23; 174:12,21
colored (1)	34:16;125:21	70:20	consistent (4)	correctly (4)
73:11	companies (21)	component (1)	69:19;86:20,22;87:25	66:20;70:18;136:24;
column (16)	39:25,25;58:17,17,17;	139:14	consisting (1)	172:22
62:8;102:1;137:8,12,	73:6,9;74:1;75:7,8,21;	comport (1)	119:9	correspond (2)
14;139:17,20,20;141:7,	77:14;78:22;96:6;	47:14	constitute (1)	101:2;175:21
10;149:15,19;175:17,23,	138:22;142:14,23,25;	composite (1)	37:18	cost (18)
25;176:1	143:6,8,10	45:23	constitutional (1)	58:13,20;66:25;67:3,
columns (2)	company (10)	comprised (1)	59:7	3,3;82:11;107:1,2,4;
139:12;175:21	48:9;57:21;58:19;	43:21	constraints (1)	108:4;158:25;177:25;
combination (1)	60:23;61:9;66:17;108:3;	concern (3)	130:12	178:12,15;179:8,15,19
140:19	119:1;154:22;164:13	37:10;176:25;177:13	consumer (2)	costs (17)
Comcast (2)	company-driven (1)	concerned (2)	43:21;62:12	67:6,13;68:5,19,22,24;
77:21;80:2	86:17	37:4;177:10	consumers (1)	69:1;70:4;108:16,18;
coming (6)	company's (1)	concerning (1)	130:14	113:19;157:1,2;179:15.
30:15;72:6;84:8,9,17;	158:24	107:18	contacted (4)	16,17,20
180:6	company-wide (5)	concerns (1)	30:9,14;31:16;122:15	Counsel (32)
comment (1)	45:12;46:14,15,18;	165:11	contained (1)	40:8,12;42:19;65:16;
179:7	157:11	conclude (1)	42:10	66:6;69:6;70:2,3;71:23;
comments (2) 94:24;175:1	comparison (1)	71:24	contend (1)	73:5,8;80:13,18;85:17;
commission (1)	compel (2)	concluded (1)	130:11	92:16;93:19;94:3,22;
168:6	the same and the same of the s	180:9	content (1)	109:21;115:7;123:5,13,
177.7	101547 E. EJ	concludes (2)	45:18	22;132:14;150:5,5;

		1		July 17, 2012
166:22;167:16,17;	85:3,24,24;86:2,4,6,8,11	, 72:4,7;94:16	deleted (1)	166:25
170:25;173:6;180:7	12;150:25;151:5;	days (3)	174:20	device (1)
counsel's (8)	162:24;163:9,13,22;	72:3;147:11;167:1	deletions (2)	163:15
35:9;51:8;94:9;	164:1,19;165:8;166:12	deal (6)	120:8;121:24	died (1)
104:12;106:6;114:16;	15;168:19,19	49:16;74:15;94:20;	demand (9)	86:12
144:6;154:8	customer-driven (2)	95:11;97:1;126:4	38:17,23,24;39:1,3,6,	difference (6)
count (4)	84:23;86:16	dealing (8)	10;146:3;153:4	
67:6;125:19;138:3;	customers (47)	73:11;79:21;83:7;	demographic (4)	57:7;103:15;135:15;
148:1	37:12;39:7;48:17;	96:5;123:13;124:2;	169:7;177:8;178:3,15	148:11;156:19;171:10
counters (2)	51:20;53:4;63:11,13;	125:24;145:17	demographics (1)	different (31)
97:24;98:4	76:14,15,16;77:1,2;	deals (3)	177:21	32:1;34:7;45:23;
counts (2)	78:17,19;79:2;83:11;	91:9;100:3;126:4	demonstrate (3)	48:12;49:18;50:4;52:11;
135:17;148:5	104:2;109:23;131:9,13;	dealt (4)	68:4;145:8;146:8	53:23;59:5;64:21,22;
County (1)	132:3,4;139:2,21;140:9,	63:19;125:5;126:6;	demonstrates (2)	86:7;92:3;97:9,11;
164:12	13;141:20,21,23;142:1,	127:13	demonstrates (2)	100:16,22;108:14;
couple (1)	22,24;144:21;145:2;	December (7)	38:3;131:9	111:25;116:19,21;
65:15	146:8,21;156:25;161:4;	43:2;83:20;86:20;	demonstrating (1)	117:21;120:1;127:1,9;
course (4)	162:23;171:10,15,17;		142:22	131:14;151:23,24;175:9,
50:23;122:18;124:6;	172:9;173:1,2;174:4;	87:14,19;123:6;175:5 decided (2)	demonstration (3)	12;176:12
160:2	177:9		138:12;145:4;153:16	differentiate (1)
courses (2)	customer's (6)	96:17,22	Dennis (1)	139:13
124:2,5		decides (2)	146:23	differently (1)
Court (2)	84:13,15;163:1,11,15; 164:9		dense (1)	44:9
90:5;119:7		decile (2)	101:10	difficult (2)
courts (1)	cut (1) 36:1	178:9,10	densely (1)	130:19;159:5
90:21	CV (3)	decision (6)	116:23	digit (1)
	110-24-122-22-2	68:22;89:13;90:3,15;	Department (5)	133:6
covered (1) 51:8	119:24;123:20,21	91:3;95:8	94:10,12,18;146:10,11	digital (8)
		decisions (1)	depending (1)	78:20,20;79:2;102:16;
covers (1)	D	131:9	33:24	103:18,25;104:5,17
41:9		declare (1)	depends (2)	DIRECT (16)
crazy (1)	d/b/a (1)	95:19	72:18;104:8	29:16;30:6;33:16;
103:23	119:1	declared (1)	depreciation (1)	35:2;40:2;67:6;100:25;
credibility (2)	DA (21)	157:24	45:14	104:11;119:4,15;
95:3;98:7	64:18;74:12;92:4;	declined (1)	deregulated (1)	122:12;129:23;156:22,
criteria (21)	120:15;157:24;159:8,8,	109:12	127:17	24;157:1;170:16
34:22;35:1;37:13,18,	19,25;160:4,15;169:24;	declining (1)	deregulation (2)	direction (1)
23;38:1,4,22;53:14;	170:6;172:1,2;174:25;	109:14	168:10,11	102:6
81:23;98:14;143:24;	176:6,8,9;177:6,9	decrease (2)	describe (1)	directly (6)
144:8,16;145:1,11;	dark (1)	39:1;109:15	62:20	31-15-46-20-64-12
146:13,14;154:7,18;	48:22	dedicated (1)	description (3)	31:15;45:20;54:12;
161:21	DAS (1)	129:11	44:23;47:24;48:24	95:2;107:3;178:7
cross (7)	81:2	deem (1)	designated (1)	Director (4)
71:23;146:3;170:3,8;	data (39)	153:25	37:22	29:11,22;118:24;
173:9,13,14	31:3,9,11,12,18;32:4;	deemed (4)	designation (2)	119:18
cross-examination (8)	83:9;87:11,25;91:25;	151:6;159:4;167:21	51-12-140-2	directory (10)
29:25;30:1;33:23;	92:1,13;113:1,5,6;	21	51:13;149:2	91:9;120:14;168:14,
34:1;98:6;102:24;122:7,	122:23;123:1,3;129:25;	deferred (2)	designed (1)	16,18;169:9,21;176:19,
9	130:1;132:7,19;135:23,	34:16;114:4	97:7	24;177:2
cross-marketing (3)			detail (1)	disconnect (2)
93:9,11,16	140:10;142:10,13;	define (4)	94:2	86:15,18
curious (1)	144:20;149:20;151:23;	62:24;85:16;140:18;	details (1)	discovered (1)
102:23		145:19	60:16	137:5
current (1)	20:176:12:177:20:170	defines (2)	determination (4)	discovery (7)
	20;176:13;177:20;178:4	43:18;146:11	35:24;94:13;99:7;	31:25;42:23;46:10;
	date (5) 30:20;111:9,13,13;	definitely (2)	145:14	85:18;99:1;123:23;
. 1	3V(ZV(1113),13,13; 1	127:24;179:5	determine (4)	124:16
currently (5)			39:13;99:6;145:6;	discretionary (3)
surrently (5) 51:17,21;68:8;76:15;	123:24	definition (3)	~~····································	disci cultural y 1.51
surrently (5) 51:17,21;68:8;76:15; 163:3	123:24 dated (3)	66:8;109:15;152:1	161:6	35:22:81:25·172·24
surrently (5) 51:17,21;68:8;76:15; 163:3 Curriculum (1)	123:24 dated (3) 43:2;74:15;175:5	66:8;109:15;152;1 definitions (2)	161:6	35:22;81:25;172:24
surrently (5) 51:17,21;68:8;76:15; 163:3 Curriculum (1) 124:15	123:24 dated (3) 43:2;74:15;175:5 Dave (1)	66:8;109:15;152;1 definitions (2) 44:21;151:24	161:6 determined (4)	35:22;81:25;172:24 discuss (2)
surrently (5) 51:17,21;68:8;76:15; 163:3 Curriculum (1) 124:15 ustomer (39)	123:24 dated (3) 43:2;74:15;175:5 Dave (1) 122:21	66:8;109:15;152;1 definitions (2)	161:6 determined (4) 67:14;79:8;135:13;	35:22;81:25;172:24 discuss (2) 161:1,8
surrently (5) 51:17,21;68:8;76:15; 163:3 Curriculum (1) 124:15 ustomer (39) 51:16,22;52:7,16,17;	123:24 dated (3) 43:2;74:15;175:5 Dave (1) 122:21 David (1)	66:8;109:15;152;1 definitions (2) 44:21;151:24 degree (1) 124:8	161:6 determined (4) 67:14;79:8;135:13; 171:14	35:22;81:25;172:24 discuss (2) 161:1,8 discussed (3)
surrently (5) 51:17,21;68:8;76:15; 163:3 Curriculum (1) 124:15 ustomer (39) 51:16,22;52:7,16,17; 55:4,4,6;56:20;57:12,17,	123:24 dated (3) 43:2;74:15;175:5 Dave (1) 122:21 David (1)	66:8;109:15;152;1 definitions (2) 44:21;151:24 degree (1)	161:6 determined (4) 67:14;79:8;135:13; 171:14 determines (2)	35:22;81:25;172:24 discuss (2) 161:1,8

	T-************************************			July 17, 201
discussion (7)	down (15)	116:23	else (2)	errata (2)
63:7;65:25;105:21;	76:5;87:9;89:2;101:9,	. = (==,	59:17;176:16	171:4,11
123:15;175:6;179:16,20		45:13,17;58:3,10,22,	Embarq (2)	erroneous (1)
dissatisfied (1) 165:8	136:16,21,22;139:18;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		108:25
distance (10)	168:16;174:18 dozen (1)	14;156:17,20	emergency (1)	especially (1)
140:1,8,11,14,22;	31:8	economic (17)	167:9	38:6
141:3,11,13,24;142:18	Dr (5)	38:20;97:6;130:2,13;	empirical (1)	essential (1)
distinction (1)	128:3,4,21;129:18;	144:24;145:6,13,16,17, 19;146:2,4,18;147:3;		94:25
117:24	130:2	153:23,24;154:6	employed (I) 119:19	essentially (8)
distinctions (2)	draft (2)	Economically (1)	employee (1)	32:23;45:8;78:5;
64:9;67:8	32:17;123:8	116:22	147:10	86:13;127:14,17;130:2; 138:6
distinguish (1)	drafting (6)	economics (4)	enables (1)	establish (2)
83:13	31:8,10;32:12,15;	116:19;124:3,5,8	82:16	54:24;88:19
distorts (1)	123:10,12	economist (2)	encourage (1)	established (2)
94:5	drafts (2)	145:20;154:12	179:2	60:10;68:6
distribute (1)	32:19,21	Edgar (1)	end (18)	establishing (1)
40:14	drew (1)	43:8	41:17;51:1;57:11;	125:5
distributed (3)	64:9	editing (1)	90:3;99:14;101:7;102:4,	establishment (1)
126:7;170:25;171:4 divided (1)	Drive (1) 119:2	31:10	13,14;105:23;109:9,10;	97:14
149:20	driven (2)	edition (3)	113:7;164:8;172:1,2,17;	estimate (2)
Division (2)	84:12;166:14	146:18,24;147:4 edits (3)	174:9	32:5;39:11
73:15;74:10	drop (2)	32:20,22;174:11	ending (1)	ETCs (2)
divisioned (1)	162:25,25	educational (1)	110:4 ends (1)	126:5,6
78:16	dropped (2)	123:18	99:23	Ethernet (1)
divulging (1)	136:2;162:24	effect (5)	engaged (1)	128:24 ethnic (1)
171:8	drops (1)	33:23;38:22;95:12,12;	123:3	177:15
docket (7)	162:24	173:10	enhance (1)	evaluate (1)
30:21;74:18;125:20;	due (1)	effectively (1)	144:15	97:6
126:3,18,18;165:14 dockets (1)	62:15	115:13	enough (1)	evaluated (2)
128:13	duly (3) 29:14;119:3;170:15	effects (2)	41:12	38:22;166:8
document (29)	during (7)	94:6,11	ensure (1)	evaluating (1)
41:14,16;43:2,12;	31:21;122:18,20;	effort (1) 154:4	58:13	131:4
46:7;51:11;60:1,17;	131:20;136:4,20;160:2	eight (1)	enter (1) 94:4	evaluation (1) 55:22
61:23;62:5,7;73:11;		167:1	entered (3)	evaluations (2)
74:8;77:17;84:4;85:8,	E	Either (6)	67:16;135:2;159:22	35:10;39:20
14,15,17,21;89:24;		55:1;86:11;88:14;	enterprise (6)	even (14)
	earlier (10)	117:4;135:15;158:25	39:21,24;44:10,13,22;	37:7;49:2;84:21;95:7;
156:21;170:25;171:7;	37:25;109:11;110:19;	elaborating (1)	128:24	99:6;108:3;109:13,24,
175:3 documents (11)	113:6;150:15;155:20,	71:25	entire (3)	25;113:5,8:116:14:
	25;169:5;175:6;179:8 early (1)	elastic (1)	32:6;72:4;111:23	163:2;168:10
66:6;73:23;80:13;92:18;	70:3	154:23 elasticity (19)	entirety (1)	event (6)
	earn (I)	36:25;38:20,23,24;	173:19	37:1;157:25;158:14,
dollar (1)	108:13	39:3,3,4,7,9,10;106:11;	entitled (3) 146:17;153:24;171:9	17;159:6;160:14
108:18	earned (4)	146:3;152:2;153:13,15,	entity (4)	events (2)
dollars (1)	59:4;66:17;82:15;	16;154:1;155:1,6	49:7;55:7;130:20;	158:23,23 everybody (3)
43:24	107:6	Elderly (3)	157:15	51:3;140:6;180:6
	earning (1)	176:7,9;178:4	entry (5)	everybody's (1)
74:1	58:19	electric (1)	77:21;80:8,21;81:8,20	179:25
done (18)	earnings (9)	58:17	environment (2)	everyone (4)
39:19;71:13;72:13;	41:2;45:13;57:24;	electricity (I)	56:23;57:13	42:6;51:6;99:19:179:2
95:11;106:19;116:9; 118:6;135:14;136:24;	58:12,19;59:17;60:18;	167:1	equate (1)	evidence (11)
	61:12,15 ease (1)	element (1)	139:21	81:21;127:19,20;
20;155:1;162:8;171:5;	124:24	56:15	equipment (2)	144:18;145:2;154:22;
	easier (2)	elements (1) 56:1	104:20;105:2	167:18;169:4;176:11;
door (1)	45:16;62:24	climinate (1)	equity (1)	178:25;180:8
	easily (1)		126:6 equivalent (7)	evidentiary (4)
double-check (1)	49:11	eliminated (1)	101:21,22,23;133:11;	90:19;114:17,20; 178:19
			19141.44.43.133111	178.14
170:7	eastern (1)	162:21	135:7;138:8;141:8	exact (1)

				JBIY 17, 2017
30:20	139:12	68:1	FCC's (2)	117:19:160:24
exactly (8)	excused (2)	express (1)	112:5,11	finish (1)
30:22;32:15;39:23;	162:13;170:10	156:20	feasible (1)	72:19
75:16;101:2;158:22;	executive (1)	extended (1)	97:7	finished (3)
167:15;176:25	94:2	43:10	features (4)	36:4;95:21;174:12
exam (2)	exempt (2)	extent (7)	157:17,19;172:24,25	FiOS (33)
55:23,24	96:12,15	33:20;38:3;71:21;	February (1)	62:9,15;78:12,13,13,
EXAMINATION (5)	exempts (1)	153:11;163:3;165:7;	100:25	16,19,20,20;79:2;81:21;
29:16;114:1;116:2;	97:17	178:11	federal (7)	98:25;99:13;100:3;
119:4;170:16	exercise (7)	Eyeball (1)	57:19,20,21;70:14;	102:16;103:10,17,22,22,
examine (1)	144:25;145:5,10;	87:1	126:3,7;129:12	25;104:1,1,5,17,22,23;
54:18	152:10,25;153:5,10		feel (2)	106:20;108:1,4;163:5,
examined (I)	Exhibit (56)	F	146:6;167:8	24;164:4,6
81:24	40:8,12;41:7,9;65:9,		feeling (1)	first (25)
EXAMINER (105)	17;66:6;70:12;73:5,6,11,	F-21 (1)	165:5	31:3,14;33:10;41:1;
33:9,13,18;34:2,13,17;	14,16,20,21;74:23;	42:4	fellow (1)	43:13;52:16;59:9;60:19;
36:11;40:15;42:11;45:6;		facilitate (1)	166:20	71:1;82:7;83:7,9;93:7;
46:13,23;47:7;49:8;	81:9;82:24;83:3,21;	138:22	few (7)	96:20;100:15;102:3;
50:8,16;52:13,20;54:21;		facilities (7)	40:13;74:1;87:5;	120:3,10;125:4;132:9;
60:14;62:3;65:22;66:1;		48:8,16;55:17;132:4;	108:2;170:23;172:7;	143:10;160:20;166:9;
68:14,17;69:5,10,13;	132:7,11,12;133:4;	138:9,9;162:22	173:23	175:23;177:14
70:7;71:9,14;72:1,21,25;		facility (2)	fewer (3)	fiscal (1)
73:25;81:18;82:1,5,18,	147:14;148:9,17;150:6;	127:18;163:2	56:11,11;88:1	125:18
20;85:6,22;89:25;91:2;	155:18,20;157:12,13;	fact (23)	fiber (7)	fit (2)
93:23;95:15;97:13;	171:1,3;173:25;174:1,	32:24;46:24;54:5,13;	48:22;101:7;104:23;	48:24;162:17
98:15,19;99:3;103:7,16,		68:2,2,19;69:1,11;76:25;	163:9,11;164:7,8	five (10)
20;104:3;105:10,16,19;		89:9;93:20;94:6;96:13;	fifty (1)	63:11;64:3,5;81:24;
106:15;107:16,23;	73:4;119:8,12,14;	97:19;109:7;135:22;	98:12	87:17;133:6;162:6;
108:10;110:20;111:1;	120:6,7;132:6;178:24;	136:3,21;139:14;164:11,	fifty-four (2)	169:10,17;175:24
113:12,14,17;114:15;	179:6;180:8	21;176:10	124:18,19	five-six-one-one-seven-oh (1)
116:1,17;117:9,15;	exist (1)	factor (1)	figures (1)	102:13
118:3,7;122:8;136:10;	165:18	131:3	130:25	flexibility (2)
140:24;145:23;149:4,7;		facts (3)	figuring (1)	115:8,20
152:22,24;153:9;154:9,		116:13;145:7;146:5	72:6	flow (4)
15;155:15;158:10;	exogenous (6)	factual (1)	file (4)	58:7,11,18;59:2
159:13;160:22;162:10,	157:25;158:14,17,23;	130:16	31:2;41:22;43:7;168:4	flows (2)
13;166:18;169:25;	159:5;160:14	failed (1)	filed (16)	58:12;61:22
170:4,11;173:4,20,22;	expect (2)	45:22	30:6;33:11;43:6;	FM (1)
176:14,16,18;178:17; 179:1,24;180:2,5	54:3;71:12	Fair (4)	45:11;47:1;58:16;61:4;	147:2
example (8)	expended (1) 32:6	41:12;47:8;116:17;	100:25;122:12;132:8,12,	focus (3)
59:5;79:20;87:13;	expenses (9)	161:23	20,22;155:19;168:12;	125:15;138:21;175:15
88:5;92:2;115:12;	58:7;59:25;66:18;	fairly (1)	171:20	focused (3)
138:14;177:24	109:12,14;110:2;156:23,	87:11	files (1)	96:9,11;125:14
exceeds (1)	23;157:1	fairness (2)	81:9	folks (1)
86:24	experience (2)	93:21;94:22	filing (5)	61:13
except (1)	123:18;159:17	fall (2) 30:11;122:21	32:13;42:10;127:22;	follow (2)
167:14	expert (6)	familiar (11)	128:23;178:20	42:24;61:13
exception (2)	68:15;116:9,12;130:3;	39:21;49:14;56:16;	final (2)	following (3)
87:13,15	153:23;154:21	83:3;95:22;101:10;	35:11;123:4 finally (4)	92:9;107:19;127:3
exchange (13)	expertise (1)	120:2;146:16,19,20,22	79:7;121:15,22;174:7	follows (3)
62:25;66:16;89:14,15;	118:4	far (6)	finance (1)	29:15;119:3;170:15
93:17;96:18;98:2,4;	Explain (15)	40:19;53:13;72:18;	124:5	footnote (2)
106:23;127:21;149:21,	33:18;42:6;45:22;	133:5;159:4,13	financial (7)	173:3,24 footprint (1)
25;159:7	75:5;84:3;85:3,12;	fast (I)	33:21,21;40:10,16;	168:12
exchange-by-exchange (3)	89:25;106:5;108:11;	103:23	46:3;54:18;60:24	forbearance (1)
96:10;97:3;127:7	114:24;135:10;148:11,	favor (1)	find (6)	128:24
exchanges (4)	13,14	96:4	61:20,21,22;103:15;	forecast (1)
127:2,4,14,16	explained (1)	FCC (17)	171:16,22	38:17
ex-commissioner (1)	38:1	79:7;94:13,18;100:1;	finding (1)	Forget (1)
116:14	explanations (1)	111:5;112:1,9,13;113:1,	145:3	50:13
Excuse (4)	46:5	5;128:25;129:2,8;	fine (6)	form (7)
111:17;120:11;134:6;	explore (I)	132:15;159:1;178:4,6	34:20;72:17,23;91:7;	34:17;99:25,25;
			,,,	

	T	T		July 17, 2012
101:10;157:21;172:16; 179:9	114:24;171:13;173:5; 174:18	105:18;122:10;138:14;		175:2;176:14,16,18;
former (2)	future (1)	164:12;166:17;167:14 government (1)	40:7;65:22 handout (1)	178:17;179:1,24;180:2,5 hearings (1)
52:10;55:7 forth (1)	179:4 FXXL (1)	39:22	59:16	72:15
98:24	149:1	governments (1)	happened (2)	held (2)
forty-four-five-seventy-eight (1)	Manager 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40:1 Governor (1)	65:8;146:6	65:25;105:21
148:23	74:3	72:16	happening (2)	Hello (1)
Forty-three (1)	14.0	grade (4)	61:13;146:20	122:11
41:20	G	101:20,22,22;133:11	happens (3) 107:12,14;158:25	help (4)
forward (4)		grand (2)	happenstance (1)	47:20;101:11;112:2; 165:10
34:3,19;155:16;180:3	gain (1)	175:16,19	160:18	helpful (3)
forward-looking (1)	168:10	grandfathered (1)	happy (2)	173:16,16;177:22
59:2	gained (2)	77:1	69:21;70:10	helping (1)
foundation (3)	88:7;136:20	grant (1)	hard (1)	31:23
45:9;47:22;54:11	gas (1)	47:7	128:7	helps (2)
foundational (1)	58:17	granted (1)	harm (2)	47:22;131:7
47:19	gave (2)	127:4	130:13;162:2	hide (1)
four (17)	41:5;114:4	grants (1)	harms (3)	69:24
35:16,21;38:10,14,21;	general (6)	115:3	130:19;161:19;174:16	hiding (1)
40:6,9;63:13,24;65:6;	63:19,21;64:10;94:3;	graph (1)	Harper (25)	55:9
81:23;127:12;132:9;	157:2;159:17	91:23	72:20;118:9,10,12,14,	High (5)
155:24;175:21;176:4,4	generally (6)	Great (2)	16,18,20,22;119:4,5,10,	
fourth (2)	39:24;129:2;143:7,19;	33:13;62:16	11,17;120:2;122:5,6,9,	108:16;140:9
48:7;176:1	158:23;159:19	grew (1)	10;164:5;166:9;167:24;	higher (8)
FOX (36)	generate (4)	176:9	168:1;169:3,11	115:9,16;117:3;
61:6;68:21,25;69:8,	37:3,11;108:16;	gross (3)	Harrison (1)	134:20;141:5;151:21;
11;71:4;78:8,12,23;	109:22	59:21,23;77:6	146:17	160:2,6
79:5;112:14;114:3;	generated (4)	ground (1)	head (3)	highest (2)
115:23;154:20;155:4,8,	56:4;84:5;108:8,9	110:18	32:8;112:2;166:6	91:20;178:10
10;158:11;162:15;	generates (1)	grounds (1)	heading (3)	highlighting (1)
163:4,19,23;164:15; 165:21;166:16;167:14;	108:15	89:22	44:3;91:23;92:12	60:19
168:14,25;169:8,15,20;	generating (3)	group (5)	health (1)	highly (1)
176:19,23;177:13;	68:9,10,11	45:19;80:13;91:16,20;	58:23	140:13
179:13,18	generation (1) 177:15	92:4	hear (1)	high-tech (1)
Fox's (1)	generic (1)	grouped (1) 45:21	82:3	82:24
167:24	125:20		heard (3)	himself (1)
framework (1)	gets (4)	groupings (1) 43:18	39:23;53:22;175:6	154:12
116:4	50:20;56:20,24;	groups (2)	HEARING (111)	history (3)
Fran (1)	148:22	92:3;177:15	33:9,13,18;34:2,13,17;	63:7;65:5;159:16
	given (6)	grow (1)	36:11;40:15;42:11;45:6; 46:13,23;47:7;49:8;	Hold (6)
franchise (3)	49:23;60:18;114:19;	31:21	50:8,16;52:13,20;54:21,	105:14;113:18;
82:11;85:25;105:2	115:8,20;159:20	growing (2)	23;60:14;62:3;65:22;	134:14;145:18;146:19; 173:4
	gives (2)	62:13,14	66:1;68:14,17;69:5,10,	,
61:1	65:4;103:22	growth (5)	13;70:7;71:9,14;72:1,3,	home (4) -161:2;164:9;166:24;
1	giving (2)	62:9;84:10,11;87:7,20	21,25;73:25;81:18;82:1,	167:5
159:8,8,19,25;160:4,8;	61:24;159:25	guess (2)	5,18,20;85:6,22;89:25;	homes (3)
	Glad (1)	111:16;162:18	91:2;93:23;95:15;96:21;	163:5;164:8;167:5
freedom (1)	91:21	guys (1)	97:13;98:15,19;99:3;	Honor (13)
37:8	global (12)	133:17	103:7,16,20;104:3;	33:8,14;53:9,15;
front (2)	44:10,13,14,21,22;		105:10,16,19;106:15;	69:15;71:12;73:3;82:7;
40:20;99:1	47:24;48:1,5,10,12,23;	H	107:16,23;108:10;	90:25;113:11;117:17;
full (9)	49:1		110:20;111:1;113:12,14,	124:21;150:2
	goal (3)	haggard (2)	17;114:15;116:1,17;	hook (2)
115:8,20;132:10;	71:13,14;72:18	105:20;106:4	117:9,15;118:3,7;122:8;	163:17;165:23
	God (1)	half (5)	135:22;136:10;140:24;	hooked (1)
full-time (1)	158:25	31:8;72:22;91:24;	145:23;149:4,7;152:22,	163:14
	goes (7)	113:8,8	24;153:9;154:9,15;	horrible (1)
fund (1)	38:25;55:5,7;59:16;	hand (1)	155:15;158:10;159:13;	166:24
105.5	93:9;114:2;133:7	93:21	160:22;162:10,13;	hour (1)
125:5				
	Good (11) 30:3,4,5;37:18;46:24;	handed (2) 155:20,25	166:18;167:13;169:25; 170:4,11;173:4,20,22;	72:22

				July 17, 2012
32:5,15;71:12	important (6)	141:4	153:19	investigate (1)
House (6)	58:24;61:10,14,19;	indication (1)	intensity (1)	142:19
74:18;163:1,9,11,17;	164:10;166:23	30:15	123:4	investigation (3)
164:1	impose (1)	individual (1)	intention (1)	89:14;125:21;126:20
households (4)	95:11	175:21	175:15	investment (2)
100:6,8;104:15;	imposed (1)	individuals (2)	inter (3)	58:14;61:17
112:12	94:17	31:17;168:20	139:15;140:10;141:2	investor (11)
hundred (2)	impute (1)	industrial (3)	intercarrier (1)	46:10,11,11;59:8;
32:9,11	110:15	39:25;146:24;147:3	79:10	60:6,10,12,12;61:1;62:6;
Hunterdon (1)	inability (1)	industry (3)	interconnective (1)	179:10
164:12	161:19	38:7;119:20;126:21	143:12	investors (4)
hypothesis (1)	Inc (8)	inelastic (4)	interest (6)	59:12,18;61:11,25
46:6	40:22;46:2,5;54:19;	35:25;36:17,22;153:4	33:21,22;45:13;58:14;	involve (4)
hypothetical (1)	93:12;179:9,11,23	inelasticity (1)	59:5;130:13	93:12;125:9;126:24;
55:11	incentive (1)	153:3	interests (1)	128:5
hypotheticals (1) 153:17	117:21	inflict (1)	179:25	involved (12)
133:17	include (18)	130:12	interface (1)	31:15;53:14;90:17,21;
I	35:18;42:9;50:10;	inform (1)	163:15	93:15;94:14;127:1;
	77:13,15;78:5,6;83:9,24;		interject (1)	128:1,23;129:2,4,5
ICC (I)	84:19,21;103:10;106:20, 22;107:12;128:11;		114:16	inward (4)
129:6	135:18;177:22	32:4;38:2;42:9;47:3;	internal (2)	83:2;87:7,20,22
idea (2)	included (8)	51:12;59:18;61:18,23;	73:17;74:12	IP (5)
42:17:164:9	44:24;45:19;49:2;	98:23;102:4;143:18; 150:5;154:18,25;155:6;	Internet (13)	48:11,23;77:13;79:18;
identical (1)	50:3;59:19;68:7;104:6;	168:23;169:1,8;171:8,	48:5,8;78:3,3,10,21;	104:1
172:4	175:4	14;172:8;176:24;178:15	103:22,22,23;104:18; 125:22;143:4,15	ISDN (1)
identifiable (1)	includes (7)	initial (33)	interns (1)	148:25
49:11	46:20,21;73:6;83:22,	32:19,21;34:22;37:15;	51:8	Island (13)
identification (22)	25;108:4;148:25	62:19;100:22,23;	interpret (1)	115:7,19,23,24;116:3,
29:3,5,8,10;40:18;	including (5)	107:10;118:12,14;	123:14	9,18,18,25;117:6,13,18; 118:1
65:19;74:3,5,7,9,11,13,		119:13;121:18;128:2;	interpretation (1)	issue (14)
16,18;118:13,15,17,19,		130:5,23;131:11;136:7;	176:12	35:21;53:16;54:16;
. 21,23;132:21,23	inclusive (1)	139:5;142:5,7,8;147:13,	interpretational (1)	72:17;96:18;107:1,2;
identified (12)	136:23	14;162:1;167:12;	135:15	114:13;149:11;150:13;
37:14;41:15;50:23;	income (12)	170:24;171:2,6,20;	interpreting (1)	162:20;164:11;166:11;
100:5,8,10;119:12,24;	45:17;59:10,11;60:8;	172:6;173:17;174:7;	168:2	178:3
147:19;153:12;160:21;	61:21;107:22;108:3;	178:21	interstate (5)	issued (4)
161:15	116:25;178:6,8,9,10	initially (1)	79:9;108:5;126:9;	54:6;111:6,14,15
identifies (4)	inconsistency (1)	160:6	140:22;141:11	issues (9)
42:2;43:10,18;73:20	98:6	initiate (1)	into (33)	47:21;56:4;94:5;
identify (7) 32:3;42:14;49:5;	incorrect (1) 106:24	84:11	31:7;33:22;48:24;	107:13;116:16;129:24;
65:10;73:4;89:12;150:8		initiated (4)	50:22;51:12;54:6;61:20;	153:23;164:22;178:2
IEP (1)	36:1,23,23;115:18,19,	84:15;85:3,24;125:18	67:16;69:15;84:10;87:4;	items (1)
78:21	21;117:22;135:1	input (2) 31:7;86:15	88:25;93:9;94:1,8;	144:15
ignore (2)	increased (1)	inserted (3)	99:15;106:25;107:6,13;	T
132:17;175:14	110:3	120:19;122:1;174:10	109:4;126:20;135:2; 145:22;146:7;153:15;	J
ILEC (2)	increases (5)	inside (2)	155:2;159:22;162:17;	January (2)
112:17;129:16	109:24; 110:9; 115:22;	54:22;67:17	163:12;164:1,9;178:25;	83:20;88:6
ILECs (2)	153:7;176:6	installation (2)	180:8	Jeffrey (1)
112:19;113:3	increasing (1)	157:14;166:5	intra (2)	146:23
iliustrated (1)	136:20	installed (1)	139:15;140:11	Jersey (74)
166:23	incremental (3)	164:23	intraLATA (1)	40:22;41:14,21;42:15,
impact (1)	156:23;177:25;178:12	instance (2)	141:2	20;43:6;45:23;46:4,21;
165:6	incurred (2)	31:14;176:5	intrastate (15)	49:19,20,23;50:2,4,5,10,
impacts (2)	66:18;156:23	instead (6)	75:15,16,77:25,78:4,	11,15,19;51:17,21,24;
54:12;129:5	incurring (2)	34:11;120:20;121:17;	5;79:9,25;80:1;81:13;	52:3,8,8,9,16,17,19;53:8,
implementation (2)	66:22;67:21	174:3,5,17	89:14;108:5;126:10,11;	18;54:19;55:5,6,8,13,13;
129:5,6	independence (1)	instructions (1)	140:21;141:11	66:21;77:8;79:21;81:14;
Implications (1)	95:13	135:16	introduced (1)	83:23;90:6;93:12;95:13.
146:18	indicated (2)	intended (1)	97:22	19,97:15,21,98:22;
imply (2)	140:8;153:20	79:14	invested (1)	100:6;104:20,21;112:7
140:3,4	indicates (1)	intending (1)	59:4	12;115:11;116:4,19;

				July 17, 2012
117:1,3,20,22;118:1;	48:7;77:15	113:8;138:1;162:23;	136:2,18,20,21,22,24;	looking (13)
122:18;125:1;127:10;	last (20)	178:8	137:6,8,10,23;138:2,3,7,	81:15;82:12;94:10;
129:23;130:13,13;	43:5;70:11;75:12;	letter (1)	25;139:13,15;140:10;	100:2;101:11,16;
146:21;157:22;158:22	80:21,23,23;81:5;86:16;	92:16	141:1,2,8,21;144:23;	105:19;136:2;151:11;
171:9;172:9,24	91:14,15;95:16,18;	level (9)	149:11;150:11,13,17;	152:19;156:22;157:1;
Jersey's (2)	96:21;108:2;126:8,18;	37:3;63:3;70:14;94:7;	151:6,6,9,13,18;152:14,	178:14
74:2;105:1	145:16;159:12,14;	138:14,15,17,20,22	17;153:2;162:15,16,16,	looks (2)
job (3)	169:10	levels (1)	17,19;167:4;172:10	87:1;142:20
89:1;122:17;129:12	late (1)	37:7	link (1)	losing (8)
joint (3)	71:16	Lifeline (4)	164:8	36:18;55:8;62:16;
94:4,5;173:12	later (8)	161:8,11,14;177:16	list (10)	88:1;90:4;117:12,19,20
Journal (2)	30:14;45:9;47:20,23;	lifetime (1)	42:2;73:6;76:7;123:4;	loss (16)
73:19;92:23	54:7;91:5;99:5,8	156:1	124:24;125:4,20;126:2;	47:5;58:8;62:10,15,
July (3)	latest (2)	light (1)	152:17;166:3	16;67:24;68:11;73:13;
74:15;87:16;123:25	96:13;112:8	177:8	listed (8)	93·1 2·107·6 P.144·10
June (4)	law (4)	Lightpath (1)	84:25;123:20;126:8,	83:1,2;107:6,8;144:18, 20;153:8;165:6
H I:11,13,15;113:20	90:6;106:22;128:2;	80:9	15;128:12;135:7;	losses (21)
jurisdiction (3)	146:17	likely (2)	148:21;156:13	
71:6;79:11;129:13	lawyer (1)	176:8;178:8	listen (1)	45:10,21;47:3,12;
Justice (5)	116:16	limit (1)	61:12	53:16,17;54:13,14;
94:10,13,18;146:10,11	lay (1)	36:8	lists (1)	66:22,22;67:21;69:15,
	54:10	limitation (1)	42:3	16,18,18,23,24;82:13;
K	laying (1)	77:10	literally (1)	84:6;110:3;117:23
	45:8	limited (5)	165:3	lost (4) 86:5,8;88:7,9
Kansas (6)	LD (1)	37:25;38:5;113:20;	literature (5)	lot (19)
124:25;125:4,5;	139:15	143:24;144:8	145:13,17,20;146:2,4	
126:22;127:5,8	lead (1)	line (89)	little (2)	32:11,16;47:19;48:11,
Keep (7)	127:25	40:5;45:5;48:15;	97:18;105:20	22;58:25;61:11,22;67:6; 79:16;96:11;103:6;
41:6;56:20,24;57:22;	leads (1)	51:23;52:8,9,17,18,18;	lived (1)	105:14;108:19;162:18;
96:17;149:25;179:2	69:17	53:25;56:16,18,20,24;	165:1	164-10 21 25-166-2
keeps (1)	least (4)	57:8,10,16,17,18;62:10,		164:19,21,25;166:7 Louisiana (1)
57:18	83:19;120:1;138:17;	19,23;64:9;73:13;80:21,	96:4	119:2
kept (1)	165:4	23;81:5;83:1,2;93:8;	local (15)	lower (5)
117:18	leave (4)	104:16;106:18;107:6;	38:17,18;66:16;89:14;	62:10;117:3;134:23;
key (1)	121:14;161:7;163:1;	109:7;120:12,14,16,18,	96:17;98:2,4;106:12,23;	160:3;178:7
149:1	166:18	20;121:2,12,15,17,25;		lowest (1)
kilobits (1)	left (9)	122:3;123:7;130:5;	159:7:178:6	178:9
102:5	37:9;42:10;72:7;	131:16,18;135:17,18;		lunch (1)
kind (3)	121:4;132:3,4;136:5;	137:5;139:17;140:10;	86:2,10;151:5;163:16	72:24
92:20;168:21;179:3	144:14,21	141:5,10,13;144:18,20;	locations (1)	16.47
knowing (1)	left-hand (1)	148:1,4;153:8;154:5;	151:1	M
177:11	150:6	162:25;163:18;165:6;	long (17)	114
knowledge (5)	legacy (14)	167:2,8,21;171:25;	00 10 11 0 0 0 0 0 0	macroeconomics (1)
41:21;137:4;143:8;	35:16;38:11;79:4;	172:3,3,11,12,14,16,17,	140:1,8,11,14,22;141:3,	124:7
158:21;174:22	83:10,25;109:22;110:7;	18,20;173:17;174:3,5,8,		magazine (1)
knowledgeable (1)	115:3,5,10;177:2,6,9,14	8,9,14,15,18;175:18	167:9;175:16,22	145:20
143:7	legal (10)	lines (120)	1	maintain (1)
	88:21;94:15;105:4,9;	34:21;36:1;38:9;	41:22;43:7;115:4;	167:8
${f L}$	110:18;116:7,16;158:2,	50:25,25;53:4,20,23;		maintained (2)
	9,11	55:15;62:16;63:3,12,13,	look (32)	161:20,21
labeled (1)	legislation (16)	17,20,22,23,25,25;64:3,		major (1)
92:20	73:21;95:17,18,19,24;	5,6,11,13;65:2;83:7,10,	59:13;61:11;62:12;66:5,	124:6
lack (1)	96:9,11,14,14,21,23;	14,15,16,23;87:15;88:1,	10 10 10 1	majority (1)
172:8	97:7,14,23,23;98:2	2,3,7,8;89:5,7;98:25;	74:23;79:1;88:5;92:8;	78:6
landline (11)	legislative (3)	99:13;100:11,13,22;		making (7)
35:17;38:11;78:24;	97:4,8,11	102:16;103:10,25,25;	134:9;139:14;142:2;	45:22;105:18;141:11;
79:3,4;109:23;115:3,5,	Legislature (3)	104:5,6,10,15,17;	146:5;148:19;169:14;	145:8,13;146:8;173:9
10;163:23;177:9	97:15,16,20	105:13,23,24;106:19,20,		man (1)
landlines (2)	legitimately (1)	20,21;107:12;108:24;	looked (13)	158:12
84:1;166:21	33:25	109:17,18;110:7,9;	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Management (1)
large (2)	length (1)	112:20;114:7,9;115:10;	53:5;55:21;67:1;76:18;	118:25
77:7;126:14	92:2	130:8,9,22;131:20;		manner (2)
largest (2)	less (4)	134:10,10;135:1,8,24;	169:6;179:14	115:14;140:8
		70000		

		<u> </u>			July 17, 2012
	many (21)	may (22)	middle (2)	more (35)	near (1)
	31:5,13,15;32:5,15;	47:16;49:12;55:21;	44:1;106:7	32:11;39:3;53:25;	179:3
	88:1;92:3,5;98:15;	66:17;70:15;89:3;94:16,	might (6)	60:16;63:6,11,15,16,24;	Nebraska (1)
	104:9,14,14,15;127:1;	17;97:8;113:13;124:20;	45:16;46:24;48:21;	64:3,5;69:20;71:9;	124:25
	139:2;141:19;161:4;	127:25;128:1;132:2,2,	101:2;154:21;165:5	78:22,23;88:1;89:9;	necessarily (6)
	162:23;166:10;169:6;	14;143:19;150:25;	million (9)	92:7;93:22;94:24;	36:24;88:13;97:5;
	171:17	162:8;167:11;170:2;	43:24;44:16;54:7,9;	102:18;105:16;120:24,	140:3;141:17,18
	March (2)	177:21	76:5,7;80:2,11;81:7	25;121:1;135:19;	necessary (5)
	100:20;101:1	Maybe (7)	mind (1)	136:18;139:19;149:14;	54:23;71:17;72:17;
	margin (11)	41:8;68:25;106:3;	71:25	162:12;173:1,23;	145:7;146:7
	45:17,17;58:7;59:14,	175:22,23,25;177:16	minor (1)	175:25;176:7;177:11	neck (1)
	21;60:8;61:14;156:12,	MCI (23)	174:11	MOREAU (1)	165:3
	16,20;157:4	48:6,6,9,9;52:4,9,10,	minute (3)	114:19	need (14)
	margins (1)	25;53:7,19;54:1,4,8,8,8;	93:1;124:13;151:15	morning (4)	31:18;32:3;51:12;
	59:23	55:2,7,12,17;76:1,1,2,25	minutes (7)	30:3,4;114:3;156:1	69:1;125:12;143:14;
	mark (14)	MCImetro (1)	40:13;65:15;72:22;	most (7)	150:3;165:2,24;168:6;
	40:8;65:9,14,16;	76:4	110:23,24;162:6;170:2	35:17;67:13;72;4;	170:20,20;173:5;178:24
	118:9,10,12,14,16,18,20,	MD-6 (1)	misleading (1)	139:1,4;171:20;177:5	needs (4)
	22;119:9;132:6	148:17	136:25	mother's (1)	68:25;77:19;166:15;
	marked (27)	MDH-6 (5)	mismatch (1)	167:5	173:6
	29:2,5,7,10;40:11,18;	135:24;148:9,15;	153:18	motion (3)	negative (3)
	65:19;66:6;74:3,4,7,8,	149:14,20	missed (1)	42:23;91:12;144;6	107:22;108:3;153:3
	11,13,15,18;118:13,15,	mean (18)	155:23	Move (17)	neither (2)
	17,19,21,23;119:8;	31:3;32:8;63:21;	missing (1)	34:3,19;52:22;69:21;	93:15:177:7
	132:20,23;134:15;	71:17,21;87:12;95:10;	135:23	71:18;91:7;104:4;	net (8)
	156:12	97:5;106:6;111:16,17;	Missouri (4)	105:10,11;110:20;	45:15;59:10,11,14,24;
	market (41)	127:24;130:18;134:5;	124:25;127:13,14,16	127:12;140:24;145:8;	107:22;108:3;153:1
	35:5,10;37:13,19,20,	135:10;146:15;163:21;	mistakenly (2)	155:15;178:24;179:6;	network (10)
	22,25;38:2,6,7,14,18;	175:18	121:16;136:5	180:3	48:11,23;56:1,15;
	39:21;43:19;44:21;	meaning (1)	misunderstanding (1)	moved (2)	104:22,24,25;138:12;
	56:11;57:14;64:10,14,	67:3	135:16	99:4;180:8	163:10,15
	15,15,19;97:25;108:8,	means (7)	mix (1)	moves (3)	new (86)
	10,11,12,13;111:7;	67:22;68:11;117:21;	159:21	86:4,8;164:1	30:16;40:22;41:14,21;
	112:7,18,22,23;113:2,4;	141:12;153:4;155:13;	mixing (1)	moving (4)	42:15,20;43:6;45:23;
	130:25;131:3,7;138:19;		108:6	84:10;85:25;86:9,11	46:4,21;49:19,20,23;
	147:3;157:18	meantime (1)	Modern (1)	much (10)	50:2,4,5,10,11,14,19;
	marketing (3)	170:9	146:23	59:5;71:9;94:24;	51:17,21,24;52:3,7,8,9,
	94:4,5;95:4	measure (8)	modifications (1)	105:16;109:14;116:11;	15,17,19;53:8,18;54:18;
	marketplace (9)	58:12,24;61:11;	170:19	135:6;162:15;165:22;	55:5,5,8,13,13;65:24;
	64:9;95:14;130:20;	101:21;132:4;135:19,	moment (4)	168:10	66:21;74:1;76:15,24;
	138:11,21;146:6;164:6;	19;152:8	44:25;63:8;85:7;	muddled (1)	77:2,8;79:21;81:14;
	165:9;166:14	measures (2)	175:14	106:7	83:23;84:11;86:1,10;
	markets (8)	58:18,18	moments (1)	multi-line (5)	90:5;93:12;95:13,18;
	39:22;45:19;64:22;	measuring (1)	172:7	35:22,24;36:3,6;53:23	97:15,21;98:22;100:6;
	74:2;146:12;156:5,6,6	92:5	monetary (1)	multiple (2)	104:20,20;105:1;112:1,
	mass (4)	member (1)	162:3	102:10;151:1	7,11;115:11;116:4,19;
	38:14;43:19;44:21;	173:17	monetize (1)	multiplexing (1)	117:1,3,20,22;118:1;
	64:15	mentioned (1)	130:19	78:16	122:17;125:1;127:10;
	Massachusetts (10)	146:15	money (10)	multiply (1)	129:23;130:13,13;
	29:14,22;73:22;74:17;	merged (1)	45:22;55:8;57:12,22;	102:11	146:20;157:21;158:22;
	95:17,23,24;97:16,22;	48:6	58:13,13;90:4;117:12,	must (2)	171:9;172:9,24;178:6
	170:14	merger (2)	19,21	67:24;68:9	Newburyport (1)
	match (1)	55:17;126:16	monopoly (4)	myriad (1)	170:14
	91:25	messed (1)	37:3,11;108:13;	165:8	newspaper (1)
	matched (1)	86:5	109:22	myself (2)	94:14
	92:13	method (1)	Monroe (1)	To a a d'alamana	next (22)
	material (1)	164:7	119:2		43:12;44:20;46:11;
		metrics (5)	month (3)	N	56:14;57:16;65:9;69:17;
	matter (5)	166:3,4,7,7,7	30:12;86:24;87:15		82:24;89:11;92:22;
		Metro (2)	monthly (2)	name (2)	99:23;100:21;118:7;
	99:3;166:19	54:8;76:4	137:1;172:23	29:17,19	125:20;126:2,15;137:18,
-		micro (1)	months (1)	naturally (1)	25;141:7;145:22;
	53:11;153:23	124:7	131:15	92:6	172:16;173:3
•	1925				
	Min. H. Seciotiff		HENDER & LOGGOVER		

				July 17, 201
nice (2)	84:14,16;86:20;88:3,25;	od (1)	30:21;98:11;144:14;	out (51)
169:15,17	89:2,7;98:24;99:12,24;		149:22;165:14	31:11,12,23;33:25;
NID (1)	100:5,8;101:20;102:11,	off (11)	opened (1)	41:3;42:8,10;43:1;46:4;
163:15	22;103:12,14,24,25;	32:8;65:25;84:8;	167:13	49:1;55:2;60:23,25;
night (1)	104:6,18,23;107:22;	105:21;112:1;121:14;	opening (1)	63:9;65:8,22;71:22;
72:6	110:4;112:12;124:5,10;	122:12;133:6;136:5;	30:16	82:14,14;84:12;86:11;
nine (1)	127:3;132:5;133:25;	156:2;166:5	operate (5)	93:13,20;95:7,9;98:12,
43:4	134:9,20,21,23;135:6,7,		42:20;49:23;80:17;	18;101:11;102:12;
nitty-gritty (1)	17;137:16;138:6,24;	106:19;142:14,16,23,	138:5;169:24	103:6,15;108:2;109:24;
94:15	139:1,4,4,10;141:9;	25;143:6,19;149:25;	operated (1)	112:23,25;115:7;
NJ (1)	147:23;148:23;149:15,	163:19;174:4;178:20	43:11	117:25;121:4;134:7;
172:25	18;151:11,18,21;152:13;	offered (7)	operates (1)	146:19;155:20,25;
NJSA (2)	159:20;160:2,3;161:8;		104:20	162:22;164:13;165:25;
65:11,18	168:9;169:12;171:1,3,	153:22;154:12;159:19;	operating (10)	171:16,22;174:19;
nod (1)	15;172:11,13,14;177:8	173:11	42:3,15;43:24,25;	176:24;177:17,24
134:18	numbers (41)	offering (3)	45:17;59:10,11,24;60:8;	outcome (2)
node (I)	47:5;78:25;81:10,19;	96:6;122:1;142:21	61:8	90:23;125:15
164:8	83:9;84:22;87:4,5,12,12,	offerings (2)	operation (2)	outdated (1)
nonbundled (2)	14;99:22,23;100:16;	122:2;142:19	46:21;58:23	130:12
148:4;150:11	101:2;103:6;104:10;	offers (1)	operations (6)	Outdoor (1)
Nonbundles (2)	109:5;111:23,25;112:2;	174:3	45:24;48:3;59:21;	81:2
150:23,24	131:14;135:18;136:15,	officer (2)	118:25;119:18;156:7	outside (12)
noncompetitive (8)	17,23,24;137:2;139:7;	60:24;175:2	opine (2)	33:17;53:11;54:19;
66:18;83:16,23;89.6,	148:4,12;149:24;150:4,	old (3)	116:16;154:6	67:14,16;68:19;70:4,6;
8,10;150:24;169:23	9;151:10;154:2;166:16;	43:4;164:22;176:2	opinion (10)	71:2;81:22;99:5;167:3
nondisclosure (2)	175:10,11,12;177:17	older (3)	39:17;68:15;79:23;	outward (1)
94:23;99:20		92:6,6;176:6	92:19;97:12;110:11;	83:2
ione (2)	0	Oliver (1)	114:13,21;131:3;162:17	over (21)
151:9;165:15		29:13	opposed (1)	42:4;48:9;62:18;72:7;
ionlawyer (1)	oath (2)	once (1)	67:17	78:17;87:17;88:25;
123:15	170:21,22	31:25	optic (2)	104:19,22,23;107:11;
nonpay (3)	object (18)	one (64)	104:24;163:11	110:18;114:23;124:13;
86:16,17;88:10	33:10,14;34:10;45:4;	36:16;37:13,21,22;	optical (2)	137:18,25;141:7;
nonpayment (1)	53:9;67:13,17;68:18;	40:23,24;41:17;53:16,	101:18;163:10	143:14;162:7;165:11;
86:18	81:8,17;89:21;93:8;	19,25;56:4;58:14;62:7;	optimum (1)	178:3
nonregulated (1)	103:4;105:5;106:13;	63:5;70:24;72:15;77:7;	101:7	overall (2)
84:20	116:6;118:2;179:8	78:14;79:17;81:15;	option (1)	58:19;68:10
ior (2)	objecting (1)	82:25;85:4,7;89:12;	174:10	overcome (1)
93:15;147:8	81:20	113:15,24:114:22:	options (1)	117:23
iormally (2)	Objection (24)	115:19,21;120:10,24,25;	165:9	overhead (1)
62:1;149:23	33:8;34:11;46:1;50:6;	121:1;123:10;124:18,	order (24)	157:2
Notary (3)	60:1,9;70:22;82:23;	19;126:2,8;127:2,13,17,	42:19,24,24;51:22;	overruled (1)
29:15;119:3;170:15	88:20;90:25;93:7;	18,18,22;129:14;130:4,	63:10;69:12;73:14,15;	34:11
iote (3)	106:25;110:14,17;117:7,	20;132:7;137:18,25;	74:10;93:8;99:5;113:20;	overwhelming (1)
82:22;93:14;142:12	14;140:12,16;143:5;	138:1;142:19;147:16,	120:3;129:6;144:5,7,8,	45:10
otice (3)	144:10;147:7;158:2,8;	22;150:20;161:20,21;	11,13,14;166:3;179:14,	own (7)
86:19;88:24;171:10	160:16	164:21;166:5,12;173:5;	17,18	32:17;33:2;69:8;
otion (1)	objectionable (1)	175:23,24;178:11	orders (2)	84:16;123:16;132;3;
55:8	105:8	ones (2)	37:25;67:7	138:9
otwithstanding (1)	obtained (1)	51:7;128:7	organization (2)	
153:7	48:9	One's (1)	48:14;146:24	P
lovember (5)	obtaining (1)	100:19	organized (1)	£
87:16;139:6;148:2,19;	52:7	only (24)	156:4	pace (2)
178:22	obviously (2)	35:1;49:15;59:9;	original (I)	109:12;121:3
IPS (3)	60:22;98:8	61:18;62:13;63:4;67:6;	171:11	package (4)
73:16;74:12;174:25	occur (1)	69:14;74:1;75:20;77:19;	originally (2)	42:9;140:1;155:20;
I-R (1)	99:6	90:7;96:15;108:17;	103:9;159:14	159:9
100:20	occurred (1)	110:7,9;115:14;120:19;	others (2)	packages (2)
umber (81)	127:6	137:4;139:2;151:9;	44:22;155:11	83:25;140:4
31:21,23;36:16;44:14;	o'clock (I)	167:2,3;169:4	Otherwise (1)	page (127)
48:10;50:23;56:8;60:7;		ONT (2)	162:8	
61:14;62:13;75:14;	October (3)		ourselves (1)	34:21;38:9;41:15,16,
77:18;79:2,3;81:7;				17,46(4,4,3,8;45)13,15,
	30:23;87:16;178:21	open (5)	123:5	19;42:2,4,5,8;43:1; 16;44:1,20;45:16;47

25;57:24;58:1,2;60:4;
62:4,19,22;63:9;64:13
65:2,4;66:7,11;70:11; 75:12;80:23,24,25;83:7
18;89:18;91:14,14,15;
92:9,24;93:2,3,14,24; 96:5;100:1,18;101:4,13
13,17;102:22;103:1,3,
24;105:13,23,23,24,24 106:4,8;108:21;120:11
12,14,16,18,20;121:2,12
17,25;122:3;126:8,15; 130:5,22,22;131:11,17
133:4,5;135:20,24;
136:8;139:5;142:4; 143:23,25;144:1,23;
147:13,14,23;148:3,9;
150:12;152:23;156:2,11
22;161:1,8,18;171:6,7, 21,25;172:3,6,10,16,19;
173:3,16,16,24;174:2,8,
14,18;175:1,7 pages (11)
32:9,11;40:2,5;42:7;
83:21;132:9;171:2; 172:3,5,7
pagination (1)
171:22 paid (6)
34:8,15,16;59:4,5;
125:21 pain (1)
165:2
panel (2) 173:10,18
PAR (7)
66:25;157:23;158:15; 159:6;160:19;168:2,4
paragraph (1)
66:12 parenthesis (2)
172:1,2
PAR-II (7) 43:10;63:10;165:16,
19,21;166:3,4
part (26) 34:8;38:14;41:4,6;
47:15;48:25;53:15;
55:22;56:10;60:11,18, 20;63:18;71:1;73:5;
101:17;105:1;122:17;
124:6;126:14;144:25;
145:11;155:24;159:8,9, 20
partial (1)
60:20 participate (3)
33:4;34:5;95:23
participated (3) 124:11;128:10,12
particular (5)
69:7;98:9;105:17; 141:6;160:18
171.0,100.10

1 R	E; ILEC SERVICES
;	particularly (1) 166:10
,	parties (2) 72:9;178:20
	parts (2) 112:10;129:9
۱,	party (1) 179:12
	passed (2) 97:15;127:16
<u>ا</u> ا	past (4)
١,	78:18;107:22;131:14; 159:4
	Paul (5) 29:1,4,6,9,19
	pause (I) 145:25
. [58:14
I	Payphone (1) 148:25
F	PBX (1) 148:25
F	Pennsylvania (1) 125:1
F	eople (28) 31:5,9,13,15,16,23;
	32:1,3,18,22;56:11;92:6;
	103:22;117:22;128:1; 129:11;136:4;141:10;
	145:8;164:11,19,20,25; 165:3;167:1;176:7,9;
p	177:15 eople's (1)
p	167:4 e r (5)
	58:22;62:14;86:24; 87:15;102:5
p	ercent (23) 39:1,1;46:12;59:24;
	61:8;67:23;102:1;112:8, 9,11,13,18;113:3,3;
	138:2;139:19;156:15; 157:4,11;178:4,5,9,10
p	ercentage (10) 77:6;102:3,7,11;
	112:6;115:10;141:23; 142:1;156:12;157:4
P	erfectly (1)
p	154:1 erformance (2)
p	60:20;147:3 erformed (1)
p	152:2 erforming (1)
ре	67:24 erhaps (2)
	60:11;171:1 eriod (5)
	30:15;113:6;131:21; 135:17;136:4
pe	eriodically (1) 49:16
L	

periods (2)
32:13;47:11 Perioff (1)
146:23 person (2)
85:15;92:19
personal (2) 164:18,24
Personally (1) 47:11
perspective (3)
138:21;142:2;166:10 petition (1)
162:18 petitioned (1)
127:4
phase (3) 120:3;129:16;145:22
phases (1) 31:21
phone (7)
142:18;161:2;164:14, 23;165:1,23,24
phrase (1) 57:9
picture (2)
38:5;91:24 piece (3)
49:3;97:14;135:23
pieces (7) 32:6,9,10,13,24;
170:18;174:13 pizza (1)
151:2
place (3) 106:3,8;163:1
places (I) 165:12
plan (11)
33:4;34:5;157:23; 158:1,13;159:22,25;
158:1,13;159:22,25; 160:3,3;168:3;179:5 plant (8)
82:8,8,9,10,12,16;
104:19;105:1 play (1)
178:13 played (1)
130:4
please (7) 34:19;71:25;85:21;
93:1;103:2;106:5; 120:10
plus (3)
35:21,22;91:18 pm (1)
180:9 point (23)
31:9;38:5;43:1;52:24;
56:7;57:6;60:3;63:9; 69:20;90:2;95:9;98:7,
18;103:6,7;112:23; 117:10;139:9;152:13;

155:4,16;158:21;177:24
pointed (3)
46:4;108:2;117:18
pointing (2) 109:24;115:7
points (3)
93:13;115:6;144:18
Policy (4) 29:12,23;97:10;
118:25
poor (1)
177:15 populated (1)
116:23
ported (4)
84:14,22;131:14,20
PORTION (12) 50:21;51:1;82:14;
90:21;99:10,14;102:9,
14;109:6,10;133:5;
178:19 ports (1)
84:12
position (13)
45:20;58:21;66:24;
67:9;88:19;93:25;94:9; 97:25;106:1,6;153:21;
154:8;162:18
positive (5)
45:12;58:3;59:2; 107:7;153:6
possibility (2)
115:4;144:14
possible (6) 46:5;64:21,23;149:22;
150:25;167:9
possibly (1)
175:7
power (11) 35:5,11;37:13,19;
38:6;108:8,10,11,12;
164:10,13
PowerPoint (6) 40:25;57:25;58:1;
59:16;60:11;61:24
powers (1)
97:17 precede (1)
173:25
recedent (1)
39:18 precedes (1)
174:19
redict (1)
105:17
oreface (1) 168:2
refer (1)
164:4
refiled (1) 119:9
remarked (I)
120:7

	,, 201.
	premise (1) 52:6
	preparation (5) 120:5;123:2,10;
	129:20;135:21 prepare (2)
	122:23;123:8 prepared (7)
	71:18;72:8,14;99:11; 100:4;107:16;119:14
	preparing (4) 30:18;31:1,3;123:12
	presence (3) 127:17,20;131:8
	present (1) 119:17
	presentation (2) 61:24;175:8
	president (1) 94:2
	press (1) 164:12
	presumably (2) 53:7;176:3
	presumptuous (1) 51:13
	pretty (12) 35:11;37:18;49:2;
	50:18;55:1;61:4;86:19, 22;105:18;135:6;
-	166:17;168:10 previously (8)
	53:17;56:5;64:7; 108:25;119:6;147:19;
	163:13;174:25 price (10)
	36:25;38:24,25;39:11; 106:23;108:13;115:13;
	127:17;140:20;168:10 prices (1)
	136:20 pricing (3)
	115:8,20;130:21 primarily (4)
	48:5,13;62:15;142:16 primary (8)
	96:12;100:10,13,21; 104:14;125:7;129:12;
	164:7 principals (1)
	145:17 print (1)
1	42:7 printer (1)
i	42:8 prior (13)
	53:10;62:17,17,21; 63:1,3,4,14,16;65:5;
ı	67:2;109:25;159:25 probability (1)
ı	176:5 probably (9)
	30:16;31:8;43:8;

	1			July 17, 201:
62:24;71:11;104:6;	proper (1)	proxy (2)	41:1;43:13;57:23;	174:24
122:20;166:22;177:14	79:8	58:6,22	59:9;60:19;62:17	RC-10 (3)
problem (1)	properly (2)	public (11)	quarterly (8)	73:11;74:8;82:24
165:24	35:25;36:17	29:5,10,12,22;51:11;	40:10,17;59:12,17,19,	RC-11 (2)
procedural (1)	proportion (3)	60:17;118:13,17,21;	20,20;61:16	74:10;89:11
93:8	110:3;115:16;140:9	134:16;147:24	quick (1)	RC-12 (1)
proceeding (55)	proposed (4)	publicly (1)	177:24	65:18
30:7,10,17,19;31:2,18,		113:1	quite (8)	RC-13 (1)
22;33:24;37:15;41:4;	proprietary (19)	pull (1)	33:15;45:4;53:12;	74:12
50:24;54:12;56:9;65:5;		65:15	61:1;62:16;87:5;89:2;	RC-14 (1)
67:14;68:20;69:6,7,9;	99:17;102:12,13;109:2,		94:1	74:14
70:4,19,22;71:3;79:13,	8,8,9;132:11;134:12,16;		quote (1)	RC-15 (1)
15;81:22;88:19,23;	151:18;152:14;171:8	56:1,5;138:9;142:17;	112:22	74:17
90:18,22;94:8;96:19;	protect (1)	157:14,17	quoted (2)	RC-16 (1)
98:11;109:19;120:4;	177:5	purchased (2)	111:23;112:10	132:22
122:13,16;125:4;	protected (1)	131:25;161:7	-	RC-6 (1)
126:24;127:1,3,23; 143:23;149:11,22;	66:8	purchasing (1)	R	40:16
150:14;157:25;161:12,	protecting (1)	132:2		RC-7 (2)
14;165:13,15;171:16;	1	pure (2)	R1 (1)	132:7,19
177:1,21;179:12	protocol (4) 78:3,3,10,21	46:6;158:2	167:20	RC-8A (1)
proceedings (14)	prove (2)	purport (1)	raise (4)	74:1
39:2;53:12;62:22;	152:25;153:19	130:25	36:17;37:2,6;70:21	RC-8B (1)
63:1;79:16;119:23;	proved (1)	purports (2)	raised (2)	74:4
124:11,25,25;125:1;	177:17	73:12;83:1 purpose (3)	82:23;94:4	RC-8C (1)
127:12;128:10;129:3;	provide (29)	37:1;89:24;149:21	raising (1)	74:6
180:9	39:5;47:1,3;48:16;	purposes (5)	47:21	RC-CLEC (1)
process (3)	49:8,13;53:4,21;56:12;	79:11;91:13;95:5;	ramping (1) 87:9	74:4
32:2;71:1;122:20	66:16;76:13;78:22,23;	165:13,15	1	RC-CTL-179 (2)
produce (1)	80:17;82:9;108:18;	pursuant (1)	ramps (1) 87:8	147:17;151:14
38:20	114:12;115:5,13,15,15;	42:19	range (I)	RC-CTL-94 (1)
produced (1)	138:13,22;143:10,12;	put (16)	94:7	147:18 RC-VNG-34 (2)
60:18	154:17;161:25;166:14;	43:24;58:8;60:23;	ranges (1)	73:12;74:8
product (14)	168:15	61:3;72:8;92:11,12,19;	86:20	RC-VNJ-166 (1)
39:13;64:10,14,15,18,	provided (31)	94:23;99:17;135:22;	rapid (1)	98:25
21;78:19;142:21,25;	30:13;32:19,22;52:19;	150:4;154:3;170:20,22;	38:7	RC-VNJ-203 (2)
143:3;146:11;149:23;	53:24;54:2;70:2;73:17;	171:11	rate (59)	73:18;91:9
161:7;164:6	78:14;80:18;85:17;		35:9;40:8,11;42:18;	RC-VNJ-34 (1)
products (6)	103:11;104:18,19,22,23;	Q	51:8;62:15,16;63:3,5;	83:1
131:9;140:19;142:23;	105:6;107:20;113:6;		65:16;66:6,24,25;67:9,	RC-VNJ-6A (1)
145:3,5;156:24	119:6;123:21,23;	qualifications (1)	10,19;68:4,9;70:2,3;	100:19
profit (9)	124:16;128:10,17;	123:20	71:22;73:5,8;80:13,18;	re (2)
33:24;45:15;59:25;	135:24;138:3;139:5;	qualified (2)	85:17;90:9;92:16;93:18;	74:8;132:19
61:8;68:7,9,10;107:8; 108:9	149:14;154:17;155:5	160:17,23	94:9,22;104:12;106:6;	read (20)
profitability (2)	provider (14)	qualifies (1)	109:21,24;110:9,15;	44:25;66:20;70:18;
54:23;58:3	48:8;55:17;57:11,20; 77:7;84:18;86:3,7;	160:18	115:6,18,19,21,22;	90:15;93:1;114:17;
profitable (2)	125:22;127:18,19;	qualitative (1)	117:5;123:5,21;131:5;	121:2;143:18;144:7,12;
67:10;108:2	138:15,16;166:13	39:3	144:6;150:5;154:8;	146:15;152:7;158:19;
profits (19)	providers (2)	quality (6)	159:12,15,18;160:10;	168:3;172:18;174:4,15;
36:23;37:3,11;54:14;	138:10;161:9	164:18,20;165:11,14, 18;166:12	161:19;166:21;170:25;	175:12;179:19,19
55:9;82:13;106:2;107:9;	provides (7)	quantification (2)	173:5;174;16;180:7	reading (3)
108:7,13,14,17,19,19;	50:19;52:2,9;57:16;	161:25;162:3	Ratepayer (1) 74:6	32:12;93:4;104:12
109:9,15,22;110:3;	100:1;104:7;132:3	quantify (2)	in the second se	reads (1)
113:19	providing (9)	161:19;174:15	rates (15)	62:9
program (1)	30:9;42:18;50:2;	quantitative (3)	36:1,17;37:2,5,6;	ready (5)
169:10	52:16;70:1;122:15;	38:21,24;39:14	49:19;68:5,8;89:15; 102:5;135:1;136:2;	66:2;73:1;74:20,22;
progress (1)	138:6;156:24;160:8	quantitatively (1)	153:7;160:13;172:23	111:3
	provision (6)	39:11	rational (1)	real (2)
prohibition (4)	67:5;70:15;76:25;	quantity (5)	94:3	78:15;165:2
67:19,25;70:14;90:7	96:15;143:9;168:13	39:11;149:10;151:8,	RC (7)	really (11) 37:10,16;45:4;47:22;
proof (2)	provisions (1)	17;172:8	73:20;92:22;100:19;	53:11;94:17;107:19;
88:18,23	30:12	quarter (6)	124:17;132:12;134:3;	108:16;139:13;166:21;
			=,,	- 00.70;137.13,100.21;

169:3	vacanda (1)			
manatan (1)	records (1)	113:2;126:25;131:6;	remove (2)	112:7
realm (1)	54:18	160:11	168:7,12	represents (1)
49:17	RECROSS (1)	regulates (1)	removed (1)	92:18
reason (5)	116:2	79:24	163:2	reps (2)
42:6;47:21;86:15;	redirect (6)	regulation (11)	renew (3)	84:5;89:1
117:17;141:5	113:15,25;114:1;	96:13,16,17;97:2;	45:25;158:8;160:16	request (8)
reasonable (1)	115:25;116:1;117:8	157:21;158:1,13;	rep (8)	42:23;46:25;47:6;
68:7	redline (1)	159:23;161:20;169:12;	85:25;86:3,6,8,14;	49:5;51:15;85:20;128:9,
reasons (4)	171:5	174:16	88:17;164:3,3	21
37:10;58:25;82:7;	refer (16)	regulations (1)	repair (1)	requested (1)
114:23	43:15;47:18;60:12;	59:7	165:25	148:16
Rebuttal (26)	65:2;80:20;85:8;89:18;	regulators (2)	repaired (1)	require (3)
29:6,9;30:6;33:16;	91:13;92:24;98:21;	58:11,13	164:23	54:17;117:22;142:17
35:9;36:20;92:24;93:3;	108:21;112:5;131:13;	regulatory (14)	rephrase (7)	required (1)
95:3;102:20,23;103:1,3,	134:3;145:10;174:24	49:17;79:11;97:17,20;	36:7,10,11;50:8,9;	39:15
11;105:12,15,22;106:4;	reference (4)	116:9,12,14;118:25;	145:23;158:10	requirement (1)
108:21;118:20,22;	48:15;62:22;93:2;	119:18,23;124:10;	replace (2)	105:7
119:13;121:22;122:13;	100:18	130:12;160:15,21	120:15:121:13	requirements (5)
161:18;175:4	referenced (1)	rejection (1)	replaced (3)	106:21;126:4;165:16,
rebutting (1)	136:8	179:21	172:12,13,15	18,22
109:21	references (1)	relate (1)	replaces (1)	requires (1)
recali (8)	40:5	40:20	171:1	110:13
30:11,20;114:6;	referred (4)	related (12)	Reply (28)	reread (1)
127:24;128:7;131:23,	38:11;121:16;124:10;	40:21;60:2;70:22;	29:1,4:30:6:33:16;	144:16
23;166:6	169:5	81:21;107:4;114:7,13;	35:10;47:15;77:10;	resale (8)
receive (1)	referring (4)	161:13,14;164:6;	102:19;103:24;104:12;	48:20;56:12,23;57:3,
50:3	79:17;92:10,14;107:8	166:11;179:8	107:21;118:16,18;	8,14;137:10;138:7
eceives (1)	refers (2)	relates (3)	119:13;121:9,10,12,16,	resales (1)
50:1	48:13;60:6	53:16;107:3;145:18	17,20;122:12;143:22;	56:23
ecent (4)	refined (1)	relating (2)	144:3,23;161:1;174:11,	resell (3)
67:13;73:14;139:1,4	156:16	132:8;146:3	14;178:21	137:9,19;138:4
ecently (1)	reflect (3)	relationship (3)	report (49)	reserve (1)
97:22	51:10;119:6;136:19	39:12;46:1;168:5	43:6;46:11,11,12;	70:25
ecess (5)	reflected (1)	relative (3)	47:6;50:12,13,14;57:24;	residence (1)
72:24;110:25;118:11;	82:10	71:21;98:16;116:25	59:9,10,11,12,13,15,19;	
162:9;170:12	reflection (1)	relatively (2)	60:6,10,12,13;70:2;	residential (40)
recip (1)	171:13	36:21;116:23	76:10;101:5,12;102:16;	35:13,14,17;37:12;
125:21	reflects (1)	release (1)	103:21;104:23;107:24;	76:14,16,22;77:2;83:19;
eclass (1)	43:9	60:19	111:6,9,14,15,23,24,25;	84:20;98:25;99:13;
129:16	reform (2)	relevance (3)	112:1,6,9,11,17,25;	100:3,11,13,21;102:2,4;
eclassification (9)	90:4;129:6	33:15;89:22;93:14	132:10,12,22;136:4;	106:12;109:19,23;
38:10;62:22;63:18;	refute (1)	relevancy (2)	151:13;156:20;178:6;	110:15;137:1,8,10;
125:24;126:24;127:6;	93:25	103:5;118:2	179:10	138:18,23;139:2,3,25,
161:11,16;165:20	regard (2)	relevant (19)	reported (14)	25;140:5;141:1,7;153:2;
eclassifications (1)	121:9;154:4	37:20;45:20;54:11;	46:7;47:12;49:6;50:2,	168:15;171:25;172:1;
53:12	regarding (9)	61:9,19,23;64:10;82:6;	4,7,20;75:20;80:2;	173:1;174:4
eclassified (7)	30:9;99:4;116:4;	89:23;93:20,25;95:1,5;	81:13;99:13;100:3;	resolution (1)
63:1,10;125:10;127:3;	122:15;128:24;130:18;	98:10;117:18;146:11;	113:1;134:10	179:3
131:5,5;165:17	144:11;146:21;168:18	150:18;154:21;178:15	reporter (1)	resolved (1)
eclassify (2)	regardless (1)	relief (1)	119:7	48:3
62:21;160:10	142:23	97:20	reporting (5)	respect (4)
ecognize (1)	region (1)	rely (4)	59:17;61:15;103:21;	60:7;119:5,11;175:1
159:18	122:20	47:4;58:21;155:8;	142:13;144:20	respond (4)
econcile (1)	regional (1)	176:8	reports (34)	70:5;165:23;167:19,
135:21	156:5	remain (3)	40:10,11,17,17;41:1;	24
econsider (1)	regular (2)	162:16,22;168:4	43:7;45:10;46:10,11;	responded (1)
69:1	122:18;164:22	remaining (1)	47:1,14;50:11;56:6;	167:18
ecord (16)	regularly (1)	65:6	58:9,16;59:9,18;61:1,3;	response (8)
43:3;51:10;65:10,25;	138:11	remains (2)	101:4;103:14;107:21;	46:10;73:18;82:25;
79:1;99:4;105:21;	regulated (19)	130:11;173:12	112:13;113:1;132:8,10,	91:9;101:1;115:6;
114:16;119:5;150:3;	63:4,5;66:24,25;67:9,	remember (4)	Z0;134;13(133:11.Z3: 1	147:16.17
	11,19;68:4,9;79:19,22;	30:21;123:23;145:25;	20;134:15;135:11,23; 136:12,14;137:3;147:18	147:16,17 responses (3)

				July 17, 2012
responsibilities (1) 129:14	96:24;98:19;100:10,11;		selection (1)	66:19,19,24;67:10,11,
	102:7,7,8;106:8;110:22;	satisfied (1)	141:15	20,20,23,23;68:5,9,12;
responsibility (3)	111:1;114:15,21;125:23,		seil (2)	69:18;70:16;75:15,20;
129:12,23;177:5	25;126:23;134:1,9;	save (2)	61:7;163:5	77:3,8,12,12,13,14,16,
responsible (1)	135:9;141:17,20,21;	99:7;154:3	sells (1)	20,25,25;78:3,5,7;79:4,
32:23	143:1;144:4;145:10,11;	Savvis (2)	56:19	18;80:3,6,17;81:11,24;
responsive (1)	147:12;151:9;173:8	156:6;157:9	seniors (3)	84:16;90:7;92:11;94:7;
176:3	right-hand (3)	saw (1)	162:19;168:20;177:14	95:19;109:19;115:4,5,
restricted (1)	132:16;133:5;149:19	169:6	sense (2)	10,14,16;123:4;125:9;
130:20	ripples (1)	saying (12)	39:9;93:22	126:25;128:24;136:6;
resült (6)	172:10	50:17;57:14;60:24;	sentence (1)	138:13;143:11;145:9;
90:4;131:10;153:1;	Roberts (1)	61:7,18;78:6,9;94:16;	172:18	149:22:152:4:150 04
156:24;157:24;165:19	134:18	103:18;109:21;154:14;		148:22;153:4;156:24;
resulting (1)	roles (1)	174:3	161:24	157:14;159:7,19;
153:6	130:4	scale (1)	separate (4)	160:15;165:17;171:25
resume (1)	roll (2)	39:6		serving (1)
73:1	163:8,16	schedule (2)	54:17;64:18;76:12;	120:17
retail (13)	room (4)	131:23;152:19	143:20	set (8)
43:21;56:17;57:11,13,	51:6;99:19,20;147:8	scheduled (1)	separately (4)	47:22;49:19;65:20;
20;64:15;73:16;74:12;	Ross (1)		76:10;116:5;140:6;	98:24;128:2;149:24;
100:10;137:8;138:16,	147:2	72:3	145:5	159:8,14
20;174:25	rough (1)	Scherer (2)	separation (1)	sets (4)
return (3)		147:2,2	126:17	31:9,11,12;155:25
	141:25	scope (19)	series (2)	settlement (2)
58:13;59:4;105:12	roughly (4)	33:17;39:6;53:11;	73:4;161:23	30:13;109:25
returns (1)	54:9;58:6;75:24;152:8	54:12,20,22;67:14,17;	seriously (1)	seven (4)
70:2	round (2)	68:20;70:6,22;71:2;	94:10	119:25;134:4,5,6
revenue (27)	35:12;87:14	81:22;99:5;113:19;	serve (2)	seventy-nine (1)
36:18;37:9;48:3;	rounds (1)	117:8,14,16;143:23	115:9;138:18	147:22
50:10,11,14;53:17;54:7,	162:2	script (2)	served (6)	several (5)
9;55:2,5,9;56:6;58:2,22;	row (5)	91:15;92:8	48:3;103:10;112:9;	32:9;120:9;125:17;
61:3;62:14;73:6;75:13,	51:7;133:6;137:14;	seaboard (1)	113:8;163:9,9	171:12,12
20,23;77:6;81:13;82:14;	141:7;149:18	116:24	serves (5)	Shall (1)
107:7;108:8,14	rows (1)	seal (1)	51:17,21;163:12;	173:21
revenues (31)	176:2	99:18	171:17;172:9	Shammo (1)
36:23;42:4;43:25;	rule (1)	SEC (4)	service (92)	
49:5;50:1,3;54:3,14,17;	159:1	40:9,16;43:6;49:15	35:13;37:1;38:18;	60:11
	ruled (2)	second (22)	52.7.52.25.62.26.66.8.	share (9)
107:5,9,14,15;108:1,4,6,	68:19;70:3	48:15;51:22;52:8,9,	52:7;53:25;62:25;66:8;	37:20,22,25;38:2;
	ruling (3)	18,18;65:9;66:7;77:21;	70:16;78:17,19,21;	131:1,3,7;147:3;175:2
110:1,1;113:19;153:6,6	68:23;69:1;82:4	80:13;81:15;85:4;91:24;	79:24,25;80:1;84:5,11,	shares (1)
	rulings (2)	96:20;102:5;105:14;	20,22;85:25;86:3,4,7,12,	166:22
30:14;32:20,22;89:14;	53:10;67:13	107.12.122.4.141.1	18;92:7;96:6,12,16,18;	shed (1)
	run (1)	127:13;133:4;141:1;	100:9;103:17,21;	177:7
146:4,14	4 -1-1	171:23;173:5;175:24	104:15;106:12,23;	sheet (3)
. ' 1	T. C.	Section (5)	108:15,17;112:12,16,17;	61:21;91:24;171:4
120:5;145:16;146:2;	runs (1) 143:14	65:13;70:12;81:12;	125:22;131:4;137:8,9,	sheets (1)
	1 (4)	96:15;106:1	18;138:5,23;139:3,15,	132:9
	rural (1)	sections (1)	25,25;140:5,8,11,14,23;	shifted (1)
reviewing (3)	125:12	123:13	141:2,3;142:14,16,18;	54:10
85:14;91:22;146:14		security (1)	143:13,15;148:21;	shifting (3)
reviews (1)	S	162:19	157:15;159:4,9;160:13;	54:14,16;55:9
135:14		seek (1)		shops (1)
	safe (1)	32:3	164:2,3,3,17,20,23;	151:2
171:18,18	162:17	seeking (3)		short (5)
	same (21)	160:10;161:11,13	167:21;168:7,11,13;	71:7;110:25;118:11;
115:7,19,23,24;116:3,	36:24;46:12;64:14;	seems (8)	175:16,22;177:6,14	162-0-170-22
9,18,18,25;117:5,12,18;	67:4;70:22;96:18;	الباد والمساهم والأشوام	1 1000	162:9;170:12
118:1	100:14;104:24;106:3;	54:19;67:15;156:1;		shortly (I)
right (51)	108:20;110:2;116:3;	164:22	35:17,21,22;36:21;	31:1
30:25;35:20;43:10;		segment (4)	37:8;38:10,14,15,17,21;	show (14)
JU.2J.JJ.2U.T.J. IU.	155.0.166.00.101.00.01	49:1;112:25;156:25;	39:5,6,22;44:11,23,24;	45:11,18;54:3;59:13;
	1 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	— ₩₩'E']]/'/"\"\ENN'/"\	45:19;48:8,12,13,16,25;	62-10-63-0-00 11-00 11
49:25;57:15;64:4,5;	155:2;166:22;171:22,24		75.12,10.0,12,13,10,23,	62:18;67:2;89:11;99:11;
49:25;57:15;64:4,5; 71:1,8;75:11,18,19;76:3;	Sarah (1)	157:2	50:20;52:12;53:2;55:13;	100:4;107:21;127:20;
49:25;57:15;64:4,5; 71:1,8;75:11,18,19;76:3; 78:12;80:12;83:6,17,18;	Sarah (1)		50:20;52:12;53:2;55:13; 56:13,24;62:21;63:11,	100:4;107:21;127:20; 130:25;153:1,5 showed (1)

slower (1) 109:12 small (3)	source (1) 171:14	standby (1) 107:23	164:9
Small (3)		101,22	still (23)
	sources (3)	stands (2)	42:15;45:25;55:20;
43:21;49:2;101:10	98:22;130:1;151:23	90:11;133:10	63:15:65:33:66.06
smaller (2)	space (1)	start (12)	62:15;65:22;66:25;
56:10;141:8			81:17;105:8,8;107:7;
smart (1)			112:17;113:2;116:15
158:12		132,13 22-102 1 174	
SMB-30 (1)			
		1	179:7
			stipulation (3)
		31:1,3,4,11,12,25;	37:6,7;135:2
			stock (5)
		starting (2)	33:2,21;34:9,15;
29.10,24;33:8,14;		93:13;139:12	123:16
34:10;40:4,19,24;41:3		startling (1)	stocks (1)
42:1,22;45:3,25;46:17:		: 176:10	61:13
47:9,14;49:10;50:6,12;	123:24:130:18:138-3-		stopped (1)
51:5,9;53:9;54:16;57:5.	156:23;160:18;162:3		177:10
25;60:1,9,16,22;62:5;	specifically (12)	State (27)	
65:20;67:12;68:18,23;	45:11:48:2:65:14	20:12 17 22:24:21.	storm (2)
69:3;70:1,21,25;71:6;		28.0.64.12.72.10.74.2	164:13;166:25
72:12:81:8.17.20:82:3	128-13-144-21-161-6		
22;85;4,7;88;20;89:17		07:16 24:00 0 4:05	59:1
19.21.90.10 13 25.93.6		97:15,24;98:9;106:22;	strategic (1)
94.12.98.8.00.0 12 15	specify (1)	115:7,11;116:19,21;	44:10
19:100:18:102:22		119:23;125:5;126:22;	stratifications (1)
103.2 4.105.4 9.105.6	speculation (1)	131:13;143:23;168:12;	176:4
12 25 102 12 100 2	1	177:6	Street (5)
13,23,107,13,109,2;	speed (1)	stated (1)	29:13,21;73:19;92:23;
110:14,17,22;113:13,15,		155:14	170:14
23;114:1,21;116:6,13;		statement (5)	strike (5)
117:7,14;118:2;166:4;	103:23		37:21;76:14;120:14,
170:5,9;179:5,17,21;	spend (2)		21;144:6
	72:4,7		strong (2)
	spent (I)		
			62:9;177:4
snapshot (2)	I .		struck (1)
59:18:60:15			122:4
		110.13,24;120:1;	studied (1)
		120:12;129:5,25;	156:21
		159:18;168:9;169:9,21,	studies (9)
	25.11		38:20,23;39:9;130:16;
		statewide (3)	153:15;154:1,21;155:1;
			179:19
55.0	32:18;47:10;162:14;	static (1)	study (11)
		38:5	38:6;106:11;130:18;
		statistical (2)	152:2;153:13,16,20;
		132:7,19	162:4;169:5;177:18;
	Stairh (6)		179:15
	128:3,4;129:18;130:2:		subcategories (1)
		176:7	85:10
		I	
somewhat (2)			subcomponents (1)
49:18;151:21	stand (1)		84:25
somewhere (1)			subcontract (1)
			55:2
		/9:21;90:6;105:2;	Subject (29)
	117:10:120:24:100.0	110:13;127:8,15	30:23,24;41:24,25:
123.74.130.8.126.0.	117:19;138:24;139:2;		42:1;50:24;57:3;63:19,
130.23.142.26.140.12			22;70:17;71:23;76:13,
157.45,145:25;149:17;	157:19;167:20;168:13;	statutory (7)	19,21;77:4;91:12;
	178:2		102:10;109:19;111:11,
	standard (2)	116:3:143:24:146:13	18;123:6,25;124:1;
38:10;161:16	59:6,7	stay (1)	125/7/120/51/200
		71:16	125:7;130:11;150:21;
30:24;49:25	00 10 10 -		152:17;157:21,23 subjective (1)
	smart (1) 158:12 SMB-30 (1) 174:1 SMB-C-3 (1) 175:4 SMITH (93) 29:16,24;33:8,14; 34:10;40:4,19,24;41:3 42:1,22;45:3,25;46:17 47:9,14;49:10;50:6,12;51:5,9;53:9;54:16;57:5,25;60:1,9,16,22;62:5;65:20;67:12;68:18,23;69:3;70:1,21,25;71:6;72:12;81:8,17,20;82:3,22;85:4,7;88:20;89:17,19,21;90:10,13,25;93:6;94:12;98:8;99:9,12,15,19;100:18;102:22;103:2,4;105:4,8;106:5,13,25;107:13;109:2;110:14,17,22;113:13,15,23;114:1,21;116:6,13;117:7,14;118:2;166:4;170:5,9;179:5,17,21;180:1,4 Snag (1) 74:14 snapshot (2) 59:18;60:15 sold (2) 140:1,4 somebody (6) 153:19;163:4,5;164:13;165:23,25 sometime (1) 22:20 sometime (1) 46:18 somewhat (2) 49:18;151:21 somewhere (1) 131:17 sorry (11) 82:3;103:19;121:1,14;123:24;130:8;136:9;139:23;143:25;149:17;150:16 sought (2) 38:10;161:16 sounds (2)	Smart (1) 158:12 SMB-30 (1) 174:1 SMB-C-3 (1) 175:4 SMITH (93) 29:16,24;33:8,14; 34:10;40:4,19,24;41:3; 47:9,14;49:10;50:6,12; 51:5,9;53:9;54:16;57:5, 25;60:1,9,16,22;62:5, 65:20;67:12;68:18,23; 69:3;70:1,21,25;71:6; 72:12;81:8,17,20;82:3, 22:85:4,7;88:20;89:17, 19,21;90:10,13,25;93:6; 94:12;98:8;99:9,12,15, 19;100:18;102:22; 103:2,4;105:4,8;106:5, 13,25;107:13;109:2; 110:14,17,22;113:13,15, 23;114:1,21;116:6,13; 117:7,14;118:2;166:4; 170:14,17,22;113:13,15, 23;114:1,21;116:6,13; 117:7,14;118:2;166:4; 170:19;175:14 speed (1) 71:18 speed (2) 72:4,7 spent (1) 32:12 spots (1) 71:12 spots (1) 171:12 spots (1) 35:11 staff (4) 32:18;47:10;162:14; 169:16 stage (1) 47:23 stand (1) 19:25 stand (2) stand (3) stand (4) standards (2) 102:18 smart (1) 158:12 SMB-30 (1) 174:1 SMB-C-3 (1) 175:4 SMITH (93) 29:16;24;33:8,14; 34:10;40;40;19;24;41:3; 42:1,22;45:3,25;46:17; 47:9,14;49:10;50:6,12; 51:5,953;95:41:6;57; 52:5,60:1,9,16;22;62:5; 65:20;67:12;68:18,23; 69:3,70:1,21,25;71:6; 72:12;81:8,17-20;82:3, 22;854.7;88:20;89:17, 92:190:10,13,25;93:6; 94:12;98:8;99-9,12,15, 19:100:18;102:-22; 103:2,4;105:4,8;1065; 13;21;101:4,17,24;113:13,5, 23;114:1,21;116:6,13; 177:7,14;118:2;166:4, 70:5,9;179:5,17,21; 180:1,4 Snag(1) 35:11 staff (4) 32:21 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 32:20 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 32:20 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 32:21 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 46:18 sometime (1) 47:23 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 47:23 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 32:21 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 47:23 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 47:23 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 32:11 stanff (4) 122:21 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 47:23 stand (1) 122:21 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 46:18 stand (1) 122:20 sometime (1) 47:23 stand (1) 122:21 stand (1) 122:21 stand (1) 122:22 stand (1) 122:23 stand (1) 122:24 stand (2) 38:10;161:16 stand (2) 38	

	96.00			7	July 17, 2012
	86:22 submissions (1)	sufficient (1)		85:24;86:2,6,8	13,14,21;177:23
	99:25	154:6	T	ten (1)	Texas (1)
	submit (5)	suggest (1)		175:25	125:1
	67:20;127:19;129:16;	69:22	table (8)	ten-twenty-one (1)	thereafter (1)
	144:24;145:2	summarizes (1) 172:23	37:9;45:18;135:20;	68:7	31:1
	submitted (5)		139:11;171:9,11,18;	tenuous (2)	therefore (3)
	32:25;119:22,25;	summary (2) 132:9;161:23	172:23	46:1;61:5	67:25;82:11;143:6
	123:5;154:13	summer (1)	talk (3)	term (3)	thinking (1)
	subscribe (2)	122:21	156:25;170:5;175:20	39:21;46:18;86:22	143:17
	141:24;178:5	supervision (1)	talked (7)	terminal (2)	third (8)
	subscribed (1)	119:15	129:25;141:9;162:1;	163:8,10	66:11;137:12,12;
	161:5	supplemental (1)	164:18,19;168:8;172:5	terms (10)	139:13;146:18,24;
	subscriber (7)	100:20	talking (13)	39:9;57:8;72:2;79:9;	147:3;155:2
	56:16,18,20,24;57:8,	supplied (2)	36:5;38:6;49:1;80:7;	85:16;87:7;116:19;	Thirty (1)
	10,18	128:20;130:1	107:20;108:7;122:19;	130:21;160:18;169:11 territory (10)	
	subscriptions (1)	supply (5)	130:19;143:5,7;159:11;	84:10;86:1,9,12;	Thomas (1)
	112:21	38:23;39:2,4,10;46:8	163:25;164:25 talks (4)	100:9;104:16;138:6;	146:17
	Subsection (2)	support (6)	59:13;156:4,22;162:2	143:11,16:153:3	though (2)
	65:14;70:12	96:1;97:4;122:17;	tariff (6)	test (4)	99:7;163:2 thought (4)
	subsegment (1)	125:12;126:7;130:16	53:5;76:18,25;77:5;	37:18;67:4,5;108:7	34:11;104:13;158:23;
	113:9	supported (4)	80:6;110:12	tested (1)	167:11
	subsequent (3)	96:23;97:1;98:3;	tariffed (1)	158:21	three (29)
	92:16;95:8;115:22 subsequently (1)	127:19	79:25	testified (11)	30:14;32:6,9,10,12,13,
	103:11	supports (2)	tariffs (2)	29:15;56:10;109:11;	24:34:22:37-13 18 22-
	subset (7)	94:9;173:18 sure (24)	57:21;168:5	116:8;119:3,22;128:8,	38:4,22;40:6;41:3,10;
	67:10;77:12,19;	30:24;31:15;33:15;	tax (3)	14;129:24;155:14;	64:14;73:5;81:23;98:14;
	106:19;109:16,18;	40:15;43:3;45:4;50:18,	70:2;159:2,3	170:15	143:24;144:9,15;145:1,
	150:17	18,20;51:5;53:12;55:20;	taxes (L)	testifying (1)	11;154:7,18;161:21;
	subsidization (2)	67:15;85:6;87:3,10;	77,13,37,4	116:13	174:12
	69:2;90:7	113:14;123:14;124:14;	team (1)	testimonies (3)	throughout (2)
2	subsidize (3)	142:20;151:11;152:1;	146.17	105:14;111:24;119:14	168:11;177:1
	66:19;67:20;70:16	167:23;172:22	technical (1) 78:15	Testimony (156)	throwing (1)
	subsidizing (3)	surprising (1)	technician (1)	29:1,4,6,9;30:6,10,18; 31:2,4,8;32:7,9,10,10,14,	103:6
	68:11;90:8,9	176:8	163:17	17,24;33:11,17,23;	Thursday (1) 72:14
	subsidy (2)	Survey (16)	technological (1)	34:22;35:2,8,9,12;36:20;	Tier (2)
	67:4,5	73:8,16,17;74:4,12,13;	38:7	37:15,17;38:2;40:2;	48:7,7
	substantial (1) 66:22	75:1;80:14;81:16;91:15;	technology (1)	46:7;47:12,15;53:23;	times (2)
	substantially (1)	98:12;138:18;169:13;	167:7	58:9;61:2;62:20;63:7,9;	102:11;128:7
	111:25	174:25;175:8;176:3	telco (1)	67:7;77:11;80:19;87:25;	title (3)
	substantive (1)	Susan (1) 173:13	50:19	92:16,25;93:3,5,13;95:4:	29:18,22;119:17
	172:21	suspect (1)	telecom (8)	98:1;100:6,11,18,23,25;	t-o (1)
	substitutable (1)	147:6	77:8;82:8,9,10,16;	102:17,19,23;103:9,24;	121:13
	131:8	sustain (6)	96:5;97:2;104:16	104:11,12;105:12,22;	today (20)
	substitute (4)	106:2;107:9;108:13;	telecommunication (3)	107:3,10,20,21;108:22;	32:25;42:16;49:14;
	39:14;144:25;145:4,6	109:8,13;110:1	77:11,20;78:7	110:5;112:5,8,10,24;	55:20;71:13,22,24;72:3,
	substitutes (4)	Sustained (4)	telecommunications (6)	113:7;114:5,19,25;	5,8,18,19;110:19;115:9,
	39:8;145:3;146:3;	34:2,11;69:18;91:2	66:17;74:2;75:13; 77:24;119:20;126:21	115:6;117:8;118:12,14, 16,18,20,22;119:9,22,24,	17;121:6;151:7;168:13;
	165:10	switch (5)	telephone (12)	25;121:6,9,10,12,16,20,	170:10;173:9
	Substitution (2)	39:7;78:17;118:8;	58:16;66:8;75:7;	22;122:13,16,24;123:2,	together (7)
	86:2,6	163:8,16	77:13;82:12;84:13,16;	8,11,12;127:22;128:5,	37:18;44:6;45:21;
	subtotal (3)	switched (2)	96:6;104:10,15,16;132:5		92:12,19;128:8;169:16 told (1)
	87:22,23;137:18	78:15;112:20	telephony (1)	130:6,23;131:11;135:20,	42:25
	succeeding (1) 102:5	sworn (3)	104:1	25;136:7;139:5;142:4,7	toll (7)
		29:14;119:3;170:15	Teleport (1)	8;143:22;144:3,6,18,24;	140:1,5;141:3,12,24;
	131:8	synopsis (1) 43:9	76:10	147:13,14;148:3;	142:3,17
	0 (4)	system (1)	television (1)	152:23;153:25;154:13;	tomorrow (1)
	120:24;134:7	162:16	81:21	155:9,10;161:1;162:1;	72:6
		systems (1)	telling (3)	168:9,17,23;170:18,24;	ton (1)
	45:10	149:1	58:25;59:1;79:23	171:2,4,7,20;172:6;	108:15
			tells (4)	173:10,12,17,18;174:7,	took (2)
					The state of the s

-				July 17, 2012
48:9;124:5	triggered (1)	106:2;107:9;109:8;	131:22;136:3,15,17;	valuable (2)
top (12)	158:18	174:15	138:25;152:7;163:14,	38:3;167:6
32:8;43:16;47:25;	triple (1)	unavailability (1)	17;165:23;176:9	value (1)
101:14;112:1;132:15;	178:13	173:6	update (1)	38:5
142:10,12;144:4;	truck (3)	unbundled (2)	112:3	variable (1)
149:18;156:11;166:5	163:8,17;165:25	56:1,15	updated (4)	87:11
topic (1)	true (6)	Uncontrollable (1)	101:1;112:1;139:7,10	
164:17	55:9;79:7,10,12,20;	86:10	upon (16)	variation (1)
total (32)	82:2	under (37)	53:10;58:9,10;61:3;	87:8
44:3;58:18;61:1;67:3;	trunk (1)	44:10,13,21;49:6;	64:7;68:18;73:7;82:15	various (10)
75:13,15;87:21,22;	135:18	54:3;56:14;57:21;63:23;	92:1:100:16:127:2	
101:8;108:3;112:20;	trunks (1)	81:12;82:10;84:6,23;		80:16;124:7;129:24;
115:16;131:20,24;	136:5	87:5;99:18;101:7,20,22;	154:2,3;159:17;171:13;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
133:12;137:8,9,17;	try (6)	103:13;105:2;106:22;	179:7	178:2
138:1,2;139:18,19;	38:25;69:23;133:15;	113:13:100:22;	upper (1)	Vasington (46)
141:20;144:17;149:14,	149:22,25;164:7	112:17;119:14;128:2;	93:21	29:2,5,7,10,16,17,19,
19;152:15,16;167:1;	trying (14)	135:2;137:8;138:4,7;	usage (4)	24;30:1,3;33:10;46:8:
168:11;175:17,19		139:20;148:19;149:19;	91:16,20;92:1,4	47:18;51:17;55:3;61:2;
totally (2)	39:11;52:23;54:10,22,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	use (19)	66:5;72:19;74:20;82:2;
54:19;116:21	24;57:6;61:25;68:1;	160:18;168:4;170:20,22	37:25;41:10;48:16;	83:4;90:12;93:12,15;
	103:8,15;106:17;	underlying (1)	66:17;70:14,15;82:8,15;	95:20;98:21;111:5;
totals (3)	107:11;117:24;145:5	94:24	86:3,7;103:23;123:1;	113:16;114:1,2,5,5,6,24;
148:7;149:21,22	turn (9)	undermine (1) "	165:1;168:19,20;	116:2;162:21;163:7,21,
touched (2)	66:7;93:5,6;120:10;	98:7	169:18;174:5;177:9,9	25:165:7:166:2:169.20
31:9;114:24	148:9;156:11;171:7,21;	undermines (1)	used (16)	25;165:7;166:2;168:22;
toward (I)	172:5	95:2	39:23;48:11;58:12;	169:4;175:6,11,13
174:9	turning (7)	UNE (5)		vast (1)
Tower (1)	121:22;148:3;172:16,	49:19;56:14,19;68:5;	61:7;98:6;104:1;149:23;	
29:13	18;173:3;174:14;177:11	138:4	159:3;162:23;163:3;	verifying (2)
town (1)	TV (1)	UNE-L (2)	174:6;175:22,22,24,25;	
166:24	81:10		177:14	Verizon (121)
traditional (4)	*	133:7;137:23	u-s-e-d (1)	29:12;32:2;33:2,5,21;
59:6;78:15,24;79:3	twenty-eight-five-twenty-six (1)		174:6	35:5;36:6,7,14;40:9,10,
traffic (2)		117:5	useful (1)	10,16,16,17,20,21,25;
79:9;125:21	Twenty-nine (1)	UNE-P (1)	104:13	41:2,3,14,21;42:15,15;
	119:21	137:23	user (4)	43:6;45:9;46:2,4,19,21;
ransaction (1)	two (40)	UNE-P-2 (1)	57:12;58:22;62:14;	48:20;49:20;50:1,2,4,10,
95:8	31:14;41:3;44:6;63:6,	133:7	101:7	19;51:17,21,24;52:3,5,7,
ransactions (3)	13,15,16,24,24;72:2;	UNEs (8)	users (2)	8,9,15,17,19,24,25;53:1,
42:3,16;53:11	78:14;82:7;86:10;94:19;	48:19;50:2;53:7;	102:2,4	8 18 10 24:54:1 2 Lp.
ranscript (14)	100:16;101:4;121:11,	55:12,19;131:25;132:2;	uses (3)	8,18,19,24;54:1,2,18;
46:25;47:6;49:4;	25;132:6;138:8;142:10,	138:7	60:11;168:21;169:1	55:5,5,6,12,13;56:2,19;
50:21;51:1,11;85:20;	12;148:12;149:14;	unfair (1)	USF (5)	57:4,16,17;58:4,21;
99:10,14;102:9,14;	151:5;159:25;160:4,5,8;	69:3		60:18;61:7;62:6;66:21;
109:6,10;128:9	164:23;165:1,4;166:24;	unique (2)	125:5,6,14;126:3;	69:3;73:17;74:15;75:23;
ranscripts (1)	168:15,21;169:1,19;	171:15,17	129:6	78:10,23;81:7;85:25;
61:12	170:2;172:25;174:11	United (1)	using (16)	86:1,1,9,10,11;90:20;
	type (5)		56:11,92:4,5,7,11;	91:3;92:4,11;93:10,12;
84:15;102:4	39:14;48:24;115:10;	116:15	136:25;149:14;151:23;	94:3,3;98:22;100:1;
ransition (1)		unknown (3)	152:1;153:17,17;169:6;	104:7,16,20;105:1;
	130:1;159:1	172:12,14,15	175:16;176:6,9;177:6	109:20;117:12;132:15;
reat (1)	types (7)	unless (1)	usually (1)	164:3,21;165:14;
	39:2,19;106:21;136:5;	68:22	49:16	166:19;168:23;169:1;
64:18	146:7;148:22;154:20	unprofitable (3)	Utility (3)	171:9,17;172:9,25;
	typically (1)	106:24;107:4,4	58:11;59:6,7	177:7,18,24;179:9,11,
146:16	39:2		Utilization (3)	22;180:7
	typos (1)	112:22	86:13;88:13,24	22,100;/
160:15	171:21		utilize (2)	Verizon/CenturyLink's (1)
reats (1)		97:21	143:3;144:20	144:5
64:24	U			Verizon's (4)
end (2)		140:13	utilized (1)	43:9;77:6;166:16;
- \-,	Uh-uh (1)		138:12	172:24
88:2:136:25	ou-an (x)	up (24)	UUNET (1)	verses (2)
	50.13	30.18.30 00 44 44 1		
ends (1)	50:13	30:15;38:25;44:11;	48:10	178:2,5
rends (I) 87:10	50:13 ultimately (1)	30:15;38:25;44:11; 54:3;61:17;71:19;72:19;		178:2,5 version (12)
rends (1) 87:10 ried (1)	50:13	30:15;38:25;44:11;	48:10 V	178:2,5 version (12) 29:2,5,7,10;96:13;

		γ			July 17, 2013
	171:24	33:19;39:24;49:18;	35:8;54:16,17;58:25;	107:18;120:12,14,16,	
	versus (2)	50:17;52:11;53:16;57:9;	94:7;97:24,24	18,19;121:2,3,13;122:3;	
	116:25;142:1	78:18;88:2;97:6,16;	wholesale (18)	172:2,12,13,15,16,20,20;	
	vertical (2)	107:5;117:12;155:25;	44:14,22;47:24;48:1,	173:24,25;174:18	
	157:17,18	159:11;167:3;175:12,13	3,12;49:1;55:18,18,20,	words (3)	
	VGE (2)	ways (2)	24,25;56:12;57:8;	38:25;101:22;171:12	
	133:10;141:21	69:23;78:14	138:10,15;156:6;157:7	work (3)	
	vice (1)	wearing (1)	wide (1)	31:16;32:16;123:12	
	94:2	134:7	71:6	worked (2)	
	Victor (1)	website (1)	willing (2)	31:13;167:2	
	29:20	43:8	71:16;154:1	working (2)	
	video (5)	week (1)	willingness (2)	97:16;177:15	
	81:11,21;82:9,15;	96:21	39:4,7	works (1)	
	143:20	weekly (1)	win (1)	164:14	
	view (1)	122:19	84:9	Worries (1)	
	177:4	weeks (5)	wins (2)	74:14	
	violated (1)	94:19;164:24;165:1,4;	83:20;84:2	write (3)	
	69:12	166:24	wire (2)	32:24;90:20;153:13	
	violation (3)	weight (1)	98:1;166:21	writing (2)	
	67:25;68:12;69:25	153:25	wireless (9)	31:4;114:18	
	Virginia (1)	welcome (1)	75:17;77:15;84:21,22;	written (1)	
	125:2	79:6	96:12,15;120:18;	119:22	
	vitae (1)	weren't (1)	127:18;161:9	wrong (1)	
	124:15	109:25	wireline (8)	177:17	
	VNJ-02C (1)	what's (12)	44:3;46:21;58:2,4;		
	29:1	43:15;46:7;60:20;	111:6;112:6;113:2,8	Y	
	VNJ-02P (1)	98:13;99:12;108:11;	wires (1)	1	
	29:4	116:25;122:19;139:19;	78:18	year (13)	
	VNJ-03C (1) 29:6	144:13;146:20;156:19 whenever (2)	wish (1)	41:17;62:17,18,18;	
	VNJ-03P (1)	169:10,16	152:24	87:18;95:18;110:4;	15
1	29:9	WHITE (127)	withdrew (1) 91:5	115:21;125:18,18;	
ï	voice (15)	30:1;33:9,20;34:4;	within (10)	136:21,22;152:20	
	78:14,16,19,20,20;	36:12;40:5,6,19;41:1,6,	32:2;64:8,8,10;77:17;	years (21)	
	79:2;102:16;103:18,25;	9,13;42:13,18;43:1,5;	85:25;86:9;126:21;	30:14;43:4;47;2,10,	
	104:5,17;133:11;143:15,	45:7,8;46:9,15,20,24;	130:20;132:10	16;48:10;92:3,5,7;	
	19; 177:25	47:11,17;49:4;51:7;	without (6)	107:22;108:2;115:9,12;	
	voice-over (3)	52:21,23;53:13,15;57:5,	36:18;72:8;94:20;	119:21;125:17;169:6,10, 17;175:23,24;176:1	
	78:2,9,10	25;60:17;61:6;62:3,6;	133:16;165:2;177:10	Yep (5)	
	VoIP (17)	65:8,21;66:1,3,4;67:15,	WITNESS (57)	44:5,7;81:6;84:24;	
	75: 17;78:2,21,22,24;	18;68:16;69:14;70:4,9,	33:12;35:9;40:7,23;	108:23	
	79:8,21,24;80:4;104:1;	24;71:7,11,16;72:2,13,	41:5,11,12;42:12;52:15;	100.25	
	112:20;142:25;143:3,9,	23;73:1,2,3;74:19;	55:4;61:10;68:1;73:24;	Z	
	10, 12;178:13	81:11;82:7,19;85:20;	78:11,13,25;79:6;85:5;		
	V-0-I-P(1)	90:2;93:24;95:2;97:18;	90:14,19;97:10;105:17;	zero (1)	
	78:2	98:17,20,99:17;102:24;	107:18,25;108:12;	175:23	
	vuinerable (1)	103:1,3,4,8,9;104:4;	112:15;115:1,24;118:5,	zip (3)	
	177:5	105:18;106:9,17;107:2,	7;124:20;127:25;	133:6,23;141:8	
		14;108:6;109:4;110:21;	129:18;136:9,12;143:8;		
	W	111:2,4;113:10;115:25;	146:15;147:8,22;153:12,	1	131
		116:2,8;117:11,17;	14,19,21,22;154:5,12,	1	
	Wait (4)	118:6;122:8,9,11;132:6,	16;160:17;167:18;	1	
	80:22,22;93:1;165:3	17,24;133:2,15;142:6,8;	170:1,17;173:15,21,23;	l I	
	waiver (2)	145:21;150:8;151:12;	176:21,25;177:19		
	173:13,13	153:1,21;154:19;155:13,	witnesses (8)		
	Wall (2)	17,24;158:4,7;160:20,	56:9;71:13,23;72:4;		
	73:19;92:23	24;162:6,10,12;169:5;	93:19;94:22;128:1;		
	wants (5)	170:16,17;173:8;	170:10	1	
	51:22;70:4;163:4,16;	174:23;176:15,17;	witnesses' (1)		
	165:23	178:24	32:10		
	watch (1)	White's (2)	witness's (1)		
	61:11	46:4;169:25	95:3		
	way (18)	whole (7)	word (20)		