	· wy dath of finder of the control
1	STATE OF NEW JERSLY COMMISSION OF INVESTIGATION
2	quaries deplaced supplied Science survived (Selected Sciences Spinished scripture) distribute sciences Statistics controlled Statistics Controlled Statistics Controlled Statistics Controlled Statistics Controlled Statistics
3	IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION 7 3 0 0 0 0
4	RELATING TO THE INFLUENCE OF
5	ORGANIZED CRIME AND CORRUPTION IN PROCEEDINGS
6	THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY.
7	় হ ১৮০০ ক্ষুত্র প্রত্যানক প্রত্যাসকার কাশনার কাশনার ক্ষিপ্রকর প্রশাসকর প্রকাশনের প্রক্ষাশনের প্রকাশনের প্রক্ষাশনের প্রকাশনের প্রক্ষাশনের প্রকাশনের প্রক্ষাশনের প্রকাশনের প্রক্ষাশনের প্রকাশনের প্
8	Tuneday Contantes 10 1079
9	Tuesday, September 19, 1972 Wednesday, September 20, 1972
10	Senate Chamber State House
11	Trenton, New Jersey
12	BEFORE:
13	JOHN F. MC CARTHY, JR., Chairman
14	CHARLES L. BERTINÍ, Commissioner WILFRED P. DIANA, Commissioner
15	
16	APPEARANCE:
17	B. DENNIS O'CONNOR, ESQ., Counsel to Commission.
18	
19	
20	
21	Reported by:
22	JOHN J. PROUT, JR., C.S.R.
23	

1			
2		INDEX	
3			
4	WIINESS		DXAMINATION
5			Martinghum menusiya daririri aga kang kang kang kanarangan pang darim mengang pengagan sang
6	THOMAS .	T, MC DONALD	
7		O'Connor	6, 62
8	DEAN H.	BOORMAN	
9		0 Connor	garant g
10			the state of the s
11		DORRAM	
12	MI.,	O'Connor	44
13	STEVEN D). SICA	
14		O'Connor	87
15	s s mr g	Sign that the second seconds.	,
16		M. KRAUS	
17	\$ \$ % o	O'Connor	18 2 2 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
18	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	2462
19	The state of the s	The Committee of Section 2 with a sign of the sign of	के के के कि कि कि निर्माण कल्प्यासम्बद्धाः स्थापना क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रकार क्षेत्रक
20	C ***	Photocopy of minutes of June 3, 1971 Hillsborough Township	
21		Planning Board meeting	19
22	C == 2.	Photocopy of recommendation of Robert Strong & Associates,	
23		dated June 22, 1971	Market Services
24			
25			

INDEX (CONTINUED)

1		INDEX (CONTINUED)	
2	EXFIBIL	DESCRIPTION	
3		List of Hillsborough Township	
4	C-S	Planning Board Members	19
5	C-4	Photocopy of check stub	107
6 7	C-5	Photocopy of lease between Pepsico Truck Rental, Inc., and Plank Realty Company	and the state of t
8	C- 6	Photocopy of check, dated October 2, 1970	121
10	0-7	Photocopy of voucher	121
11	C-8	Photocopy of agreement with F. Philip DeVasto Agency	124
12			
13			
14	,		
1.	5		
1	6		
1	7		
1	8		
1	9		
2	o		
å	21		

THE CHAIRMAN: Ladies and gentlemen, we will begin now the public hearings in the field of zoning and planning abuses. A Dapologize for being a bit tardy in starting, but sometimes you just can't plan everything the way you lay it out in the beginning. I would like to put into the record an opening statement, and I'll go very slowly with it so that the court reporter will be able to take it down intact.

The State Commission of Investigation has long been concerned with reports that it may be a fairly widespread practice for land developers to be asked to pay sums of money - either as a direct bribe or in the guise of a campaign contribution - as the price for receiving approvals from various boards which regular construction at the municipal level.

This Commission could not fail to take note of the vigorous actions that have exposed in the courts on the Federal, state and county levels many instances of corruption or attempted corruption at the municipal level. Those exposures certainly have given credence to what had been until recent times mostly rumor and suspicion.

The Commission came to the conclusion that

it might play a useful role in maintaining a high tempo of exposure of corrupt practices, especially 0.0.4.9.0.1.3.0.0.0.3 since the Commission has considerable freedom of action as a fact-finding, public exposure body. That freedom of action, the Commission believed, could provide a particularly suitable framework for the SCI staff to find and bring to light any pattern of pressure that might foster pay-up-or-perish or pay-now-or-lose-later situations.

Most importantly, the Commission believed the results of such a probe could be the basis of recommendations to the Governor and the Legislature for possible corrective steps. Accordingly, a full field investigation was undertaken followed by private hearings.

These public hearings will document instances of money being paid or money being requested as the quid pro quo for favorable action, or at least, a promise of such action at the municipal level.

The instances to be aired at these hearings cover a sizable segment of the state. They are in predominently suburban areas where in recent years residential and commercial land development has proceeded at a rapid pace.

Whether the payment or requested payment is

large or small, or whether it is in the guise of a campaign contribution or not, does not affect the basic cancer that apparently afflicts a number of local bodies politics in New Jersey.

This Commission in no way intends these hearings to absolve the developers who are willing to make payments. For every corrupted person, there must be someone willing to corrupt.

But the fact remains that it is in large part the procedures and personalities in the governmental structure that create the fundamental pressures conducive to corruption. Of course, the proper procedure for a developer when he receives a corrupt proposition is to report the matter to Federal, state or county authorities.

We hope that these hearings will encourage both developers and public officials to do just that. This Commission considers the matter of municipal corruption to be a continuing investigation and calls on developers, public officials and citizens to come forward and give this Commission additional data.

Certainly, there are many scrupulously
honest municipal boards and board members in this
state. In fact, one of the tragedies of so many

documented instances of corruption in recent years is that the public unfortunately and inaccurately tends to think less of all governmental officials without regard to their personal integrity and honesty.

It is the Commission's hope that these hearings, in conjunction with all the other efforts aimed at stamping out corrupt practices, can help to deter further instances and, most importantly, point to ways of strengthening the laws of the State of New Jersey.

Mr. O'Connor, are you ready to proceed with calling your first witness?

MR. O'CONNOR: I am, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: I might add that this morning, before Mr. O'Connor calls his first witness, we have two commissioners sitting; Mr. Charles Bertini of Wood-Ridge, New Jersey, on my right; my name is John McCarthy. The third commissioner, Mr. Wilfred Diana, will be here momentarily. But as the reporters present probably know and understand, there is a state requirement in our statute that two commissioners are all that will be required to sit at public hearings, so we do have a quorum here to proceed.

1	1 Q And appraiser?	À	Yes, slr.
2			and the second s
3	A 23 Starview Drive, Wesha	ic Station.	<u>,</u>
4	4 Q And under what tr	ade name or c	orporation
5	name do you function?	A Th	omas j,
6	6 McDonald Real Estate.		
7	7 Q And how long have	you been in	commercial
8	8 real estate business, Mr. McDon	gld?	
9	9 A Oh, approximately thirte	en years.	
10	Q During your thirt	een years as	a real estate
11	broker have you ever had any tr	ensactions in	a township
12	known as Hillsborough, New Jers	ey?	
13	A Yes, sir.	•	
14	Q Would you tell us	how many tra	nsactions?
15	A Well, the one primary on	e is the shop	ping center.
16	Q You say one prima:	cy one?	
17	A Yes, sir. Probably four	other ones b	eside that.
18	Q All right. Direc	ing your att	ention, sir,
19	to what you characterize as a sl	opping cente	r, would you
20	explain to the Commission just w	what this tra	asaction in-
21	volved? A Yes	, sir, a piec	e of property
22	an the comous of toward and Co.	ith Branch Ro	ed in the
23		ı Township, a	oproximately
24			-
25			And the second s
4 5	2 Take the the three states to the second to		

	$^{9} \cdot$
1	Q Did you purchase this real estate, sir?
2	A I took it under option, yes, sir. 0 4 9
3	Q And do you recall a point in time when
4	you took an option on that real estate?
5	A If I can refer to my note, I could tell you exactly.
6	Q Please do. A February, 1971.
7	Q Is that, sir, when you first acquired the
8	option on the real estate in question?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q You say it was an option. What were the
11	terms and conditions of the option?
12	A Well, the terms and conditions were that I would
13	buy the property subject to zone change.
14	Q Well, let me ask you, Mr. McDonald: At the
15	time you signed this option was the zoning such that
16	would permit you to use it as a shopping center?
17	A It was zoned agricultural.
18	Q Now, in order for you to use this real
19	estate, then, for a shopping center you would have to
20	acquire some sort of change in the zoning plan in the
21	town, wouldn't you, sir? A Yes, sir.
22	Q What was the contract price for the real
23	estate? A I believe it was \$65,000.
24	Q And you intended to develop the real estate
25	into a shopping center? A Yes, sir, shopping
-	

```
center and gas station.
 1
                   After you executed the option, sir, did you
2
     take any steps to see whether or not the town would look
 3
     favorably upon any application you might make --
 4
            Yes, sir.
     A
 5
                   --to change the zoning?
 б
            Yes, sir, I did.
     A
 7
                   Would you relate to the Commission, sir,
 8
     exactly what steps you did take?
 9
            Well, the first thing I did was, I went to John
10
     Guerrera's office before I took it under option.
11
                   THE CHAIRMAN: You're going to have to lean
12
            into that mike a little bit more, Mr. McDonald.
13
            Thank you.
14
            (Continuing) I went to Mr. Guerrera's office.
15
                  Who is Mr. Guerrera, sir?
16
            Mr. Guerrera was then the mayor.
17
                   The mayor of what town, sir?
            Q
18
            Hillsborough Township.
     A
19
                   Do you recall approximately when you went to
20
     his office?
                                 A
                                        Oh, I believe it was
21
     about the beginning of February.
22
                                                1971, yes, sir.
                   Of 1971?
            Q
23
                   Why did you go to Mr. Guerrera's office, sir?
24
            Well, there was no sense taking the property under
     A
25
```

```
option in the event that it couldn't be used, and at the
1
     same time I'm a real estate broker, and when I buy a
2
     piece of property myself I never act as a broker in my
3
     own behalf.
4
                   Is there any reason for that?
 5
            Yes. At a later date I wouldn't want anybody to
б
     say there was a conflict of inverest.
 7
                   So you went to the office of Mayor Guerrera
 8
     to discuss your use of the land on which you had acquired
 9
     the option?
                                        Yes, sir, I told him
                                 A
10
     what I had planned and I asked him if he wanted to act
11
     as the broker.
12
                   Well, sir, let me ask you this: Did you go
13
     to his office as mayor or did you go to his office in his
14
     private capacity?
                                               Well, I went to
15
     his office as a real estate broker.
16
                   Do you know where that office is, sir?
17
            It's on North Bridge Street in Somerville.
18
                   Now, does Mr. Guerrera maintain a real
19
     estate at that address?
                                              Yes, sir, an
                                        A
20
     insurance office and a real estate office.
21
                   Do you know the name of the company through
22
                                        The real estate end,
     which he functions?
                                 A
23
     I believe, is Hardgrove Realty?
24
                                              Hardgrove.
                   Hardgrove?
                                        A
            Q
25
```

1	Q All right. Now, what was the conversation
2	you had with Mr. Guerrera at that time?
3	A Well, I told him what I had planned to do. I asked
4	him if he wanted to act as the real estate broker, repre-
5	sent me; explained to him why. He said that we talked
6	about what I wanted to do and how it was to be done. Then
7	he explained to me that if he votedif he acted as the
8	broker, he would have to disqualify himself when it came
9	time to vote on the project. So he recommended that I
10	go down and see Bruce Ammerman, Hillsborough Realty.
11	Q Did you have any further conversation with
12	Mr. Guerrera prior to going to see Mr. Ammerman?
13	A Not that I can recollect.
14	Q So Mr. Guerrera explained to you that as
15	mayor he would be voting on the application and, therefore,
16	could not act as broker; is that correct, sir?
17	A That's correct, yes.
18	Q And he then referred you to Mr. Bruce
19	Ammerman? A Yes, sir.
20	Q Of Hillsborough Realty?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q Did you ultimately go and see Mr. Ammerman?
23	A Yes, sir, I did. I went right from Guerrera's
24	office to Ammerman's office.
4 T	Q What was your conversation, sir, with Mr.

Yes, sir.

That who was to receive it?

0

```
Mr. Guerrera.
 1
                   And to the best of your knowledge this was
 2
     an agreement between Mr. Guerrera and Mr. Ammerman?
 3
            Yes, sir.
 4
                  Did you ever have any conversation with Mr.
 5
     Guerrera about that agreement?
                                                      Not that
 6
     I recollect, sir.
 7
                   So ultimately through the representation of
 8
     Mr. Ammerman you did acquire an option on the real estate
 9
     in question?
                          A
                                Yes, sir,
10
                  And you did pay a commission to Mr. Ammerman?
11
           No, sir, I didn't. The commissions are never due
12
     and payable until the closing on the property. We still
13
     haven't closed the property.
14
                   Well, to date have you paid any monies what-
15
     soever to Mr. Ammerman?
                                                No. sir.
16
                  How about to Eisenhower & Vogel?
17
            No, sir.
18
            Q How about to Mr. Guerrera?
19
            No, sir.
20
            Q On that transaction?
21
     A
            No, sir.
22
                   So this, then, was the agreement which to
23
     date has not come to fruition?
                                               A
                                                      Yes, sir,
24
                  Now, once you made the arrangement with Mr.
25
```

Ammerman wherein he would represent you as broker, did
you take any further steps, Mr. McDonald, to apply for
either a variance or a change in the zoning which covered
the real estate in question?

A Yes, sir.
We met several times with the Hillsborough Township
Planning Board. We had two courses we could have pursued;
we could have gone for a variance or we could have gone
for a zone change. The master plan carried the property
since 1965 for the use that we wanted to use it, so it
was decided by myself and my attorney and the other people
involved that the best course to pursue was to go for a
zone change and try to live with the ordinance.

Q And you say you had conversations with a municipal body? A Yes, sir, the planning board.

- Q The planning board? A Yes, sir.
- Q And just generally speaking, Mr. McDonald, what was the gist of the meeting?

A Well, there were several work meetings at which time
I outlined what I wanted to do. Then it became the fact
of how are we going to do it. Then I explained to them that
I would give them any—they asked me if I had working plans
and I explained to them, no, that I was open because I
wanted to set the thing up as a trust fund for my two
children. So I told them I would give them anything that

Q Do you have any other documents at this time, Mr. McDonald, that you might think would be of interest to the Commission, Sirl 4 9 0 1 A Well, I have the Robert Strong & Associates report. Robert Strong was the planner for Hillsborough Township. There is a three-page recommendation in favor of the project.

Then 1971 I have a list of members of the planning board in which John Guerrera, William Musa and Cinelli were.

THE CHAIRMAN: Will you lean into the mico-phone, Mr. McDonald?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

A (Continuing) I have a copy of the members of the planning board for 1971, which included John Guerrera, William Musa, Michael Cinelli, among others, including Robert Hardgrove, Guerrera's partner, was secretary at that time.

of members of the planning board at that time?

A Well, you had Mark Singley was chairman; Dr. Allen,

Jr., was vice-president; Robert Hardgrove, secretary;

John F. Guerrera, William Musa, Clyde Pressey, P-r-e-s-s-e-y,

Gerald McCray, Michael Cinelli, Gail Quabeck. Robert Strong

& Associates were the engineers, and Robert Jamies on was

the township engineer. I'm sorry. Robert Strong was the

Would you read off the list, the entire list

associate planner. 1 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. O'Connor, do you want all 2 those marked now? 3 Mr. Prout, would you mark those in, please. 4 (Photocopy of minutes of June 3, 1971 5 Hillsborough Township Planning Board meeting reб ceived and marked Exhibit C-1.) 7 (Photocopy of recommendation of Robert 8 Strong & Associates, dated June 22, 1971, received 9 and marked Exhibit C-2.) 10 (List of Hillsborough Township Planning 11 Roard Members received and marked Exhibit C-3.) 12 MR. O'CONNOR: All right. For the record, 13 Exhibit C-1 purports to be a copy of a resolution 14 passed by the Hillsborough Planning Board under 15 date June 3, 1971, recommending a change in the 16 zoning of the Township of Hillsborough. 17 Exhibit C-2 is a copy of the report prepared 18 by Robert Strong & Associates under date of June 22, 19 recommending a change in the Hillsborough ordinances 20 to permit the use of the real estate as proposed by 21 Mr. McDonald. 22 Exhibit 3 purports to be a list of the members 23 of the planning board of the Township of Hillsborough 24 for the year of 1971. 25

25th, which Guerrera was on both with Musa and Singley.

I asked him, I said, "John, we've gone this far.

Now, what's it going to take 4to get this project completed?"

He told me it was--first of all, he said he'd have to talk to the boys.

- Q Talk to the boys? A Yes, sir.
- Q Did he identify "the boys"?
- A No, sir, he did not.
- Q Did he say what he had to talk to the boys about? A No, sir, he didn't.
- Q Did he say why he had to talk to the boys?

 A No, sir.

Q Well, what was your purpose in going to his office, sir? A Well, I'd stop just to find out how things were progressing and if we had any problems. If I had a problem I would tell him about it. Like I found out that if we got twenty per cent of the neighbors would object, then we would need two-thirds' majority of the council vote. I would tell him this stuff, which turned out to be a mistake, but--

Q Well, at any time, Mr. McDonald, during your meetings with Mr. Guerrera between the point in time when the planning board resolved to recommend your project and the actual vote was taken by the township committee did Mr. Guerrera offer to do anything for you to expedite your

way into his office to tell him. And that was when I told

12

him, "John, there's only one way I can ever get you the money that you want, and that's if I go to Judge Rizzolo and take it out of the escrow account."

And he said he wouldn't have any part of that, which I knew before I told him, and that's where it was dropped.

Q Did you have any other conversations with Mr. Guerrera prior to the vote at the township committee?

A Yes, sir, I had, a couple of times I saw him. I would say to him, "John, what's going to happen?"

He would say to me, 'Well, we got problems."

By this time I knew we had problems and the whole thing was now turning into a nightmare. By now, the day of the first meeting, which was on May the 25th before the mayor and council, Judge Rizzolo and myself ran into and made our presentation to the mayor and council. I would say there were probably twenty to thirty people in the room. Some of the neighbors were there to object. We presented our case. Guerrera suggested that it be held over to a later meeting. Drug us through till June the 22nd. By that time the room was probably holding a hundred people. But by now I had come in with court stenographers and expert witnesses and the thing became a nightmare. The crowd was unruly. My attorneys weren't permitted to speak. Every time they'd go to speak, they'd

get heckled from the crowd or they'd get stomping of feet. I think it's all a matter of record from the transcript of the court reporter. O 1 3 0 0 2 6

Well, very frankly, at this point I was ashamed to have lived in Hillsborough Township, and not only because it was happening to me, but I would not want to see this happen to anybody. They had turned this thing from something that the community needed and something which we were willing to give them the best of into a damned nightmare.

Q Who was presiding over this meeting, sir?

A Guerrera was then chairman of the hearings.

Q John Guerrera? A Yes, sir.

Q Did he take any steps to try and bring order to the meeting? A Well, it was ridiculous. People would call out from the back of the room, I don't know the exact wording. Things like, "John, you want to be re-elected again," and "Right on." And it was, as I say--then when the attorneys would go to say something, they'd get all heckled.

We had some of the finest witnesses in the state appear at these hearings, including Gerald Carr and Cyrs, people who are on the President's Council, who had made the studies of the area. They were challenged by people who had absolutely no qualifications. Nobody bothered to

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

try to get any expert witnesses on the side of the township or the people who were at this point complaining.

They pamphleteered the town with all kind of crazy pamphlets. By the time we got to the third meeting, which they drug us through to, we had a 4th of July weekend in there. The meeting was scheduled for July the 6th. They actually had people in the local shopping centers with petitions telling people I was buying the municipal building and was going to tear it down and build the shopping center in its place. And as I say, everything was so far out of proportion, it was just a nightmare. It was ridiculous.

Was a vote taken the night of that meeting. then? Yes, sir, it was. The vote was five to nothing. I might also mention --

> Against your proposal? 0

Yes, sir, against, unanimous.

On the first meeting, which was May the 25th, five minutes before the mayor and council brought the meeting into session Stanley Purzycki, who was then the local magistrate, resigned as magistrate to represent the people who were opposing this. Now Mr. Purzycki is township attorney,

Have you had any conversations with Mr. Guerrera subsequent to the vote wherein your application

was denied?

A Yes, sir. I believe it was the morning the vote was to be taken. He called me, and he says, "You got problems."

I said, "I realize I've got problems."

He says, "When it comes up to a vote, tonight," he says, "I guarantee if you only have one vote, it will be mine." And as it turned out, I didn't even have his vote.

Q Was there any conversation at this time with Mr. Guerrera with regard to the \$5,000?

A No, sir, there wasn't.

Q Had you at this point in time made in clear to Mr. Guerrera that you would not pay him \$5,000?

A Yes, sir. At one point, I don't remember exactly when the conversation took place, I finally asked him, "Well, John," I said, "all right. The way things are going around here," I said, "what about this \$5,000 you asked me for?"

And then he said to me, 'What \$5,000?"

I reminded him of the \$5,000 he had asked me for and he denied any knowledge of the fact at that point that he had even discussed it. He said, "Well, you go into these things, you're going to win or you're going to lose."

He said, "In this case I guess you're going to lose."

MR, O'CONNOR: Mr. Chairman.

a All right. Number one is, the commission could have been either six or ten per cent. I have never seen the original written agreement. It was listed with Eisenhower & Vogel, and I don't know whether they listed it as residential, which is six per cent, or whether they listed it as commercial at ten per cent.

In either event, Eisenhower & Vogel would receive the commission as the selling broker from the Hansens. At this time they would co-broke with Hillsborough Realty, who is Mr. Ammerman. At that point in time was the only time I would really know how much money I had to make up for the ten per cent.

Q So you could have, presumably, had to pay as high as seven per cent? A Yes, sir.

Q I see. All right. Now, another question. Would you be able to pay ten per cent, to the best of your recollection, when Mr. Guerrera indicated to you it would cost, in your words, five big ones?

A It was between—at one of the meetings in his office between the meeting of March 30th of the planning board and May 25th. Now, I would say I have to get, because when I'd be in town I'd drop into his office to tell him either what I knew or what may have changed in what I wanted to do. So it was not uncommon that I wouldn't see him for a week and then maybe I'd see him two days in a row.

the master plan carried the entire tract between Amwell Road and South Branch Road and the railroad on the maps as neighborhood business. The zoning, as I remember, required a minimum of six acres or a maximum of ten acres for the zone we wanted. Then it became a matter of when the planning board recommended it which sections of this triangle that all this land formed should they propose, and Guerrera finally made the recommendation that they include the municipal building because, in his opinion, it was already a quasi-commercial use.

Q What were you going to have in this shopping center; what type of use? A It's limited.

You could put in a grocery store, neighborhood business such as dry cleaning stores, shoe store. Anything that would service the immediate community and not draw in like a major shopping center on the highway.

Q Did you contemplate a gasoline station?

A Yes, sir, on the corner, which is an ideal location for it.

- Q And was this all documented by your planner?

 A Yes, sir, it was. It's shown on the model, too.
- Q How do you explain to the Gommission this real opposition whereby, I think you indicated, the persons in the surrounding area signed a petition?

A Well, we expect this. Any time you go for a zone

change, if you want to put a telephone booth on a residential property, you're going to get objectors to it. This is just part of the business. But this thing became wild. It became out of hand. It was not just a matter of the... I believe it's ten people who were immediately involved. But this became a matter of trying to hold mass meetings, circularize the entire township, which is fifty-four square miles. It got so bad that on Sunday morning they were actually standing outside of all the churches in town handing out pamphlets opposed to it.

Q Do you know at what stage--I think you testified the magistrate resigned?

A Yes, sir.

And then represented the objectors. Do you know perhaps when he was engaged by these objectors?

A Well, he had represented the fellows in the corner store directly across the street. I had sold their property previous to this, and then when it came time to close it, they refused to pay a commission. Then the buyer offered to pay the commission and then they raised the price of like another 50 or \$75,000 and it killed the deal. Stanley Purzycki at that point represented them. But he was also the magistrate. And the meeting of, I believe it was May the 25th of '71, which was our first meeting before the mayor and council, they come in with no representation, as

I remember; that is, no legal representation. Then on 1 June the 22nd, I believe that was the date of the meeting, 2 five minutes before the meetflig he resigned as township 3 magistrate in order to take their case, and five minutes 4 before the meeting somebody walked in out of the hall and 5 told me what was happening. 6 What did he say at the meeting when he repre-7 sented the objectors, to the best of your knowledge? 8 Well, they read his letter of resignation and accepted 9 it. He immediately took on the case for the opposition. 10 Do you recall what date the letter of resigna-11 No. sir, I don't, I think tion bore? 12 he dated it a couple of days prior to that. 13 In other words, there is a letter of resigna-14 tion in and then that was read by the mayor and council? 15 I believe so, as I remember it, yes, sir. I believe 16 you may find that in the transcript from our hearings. 17 And what position does Mr. -- Purzycki is it? 18 Yes, sir. 19 What position does he hold in the township 20 He's now the township attorney. now? 21 He's now the municipal attorney for Hills-22 Yes, sir. A borough Township? 23 Tell us a little bit more about the conver-24 sation you had with Mr. Guerrera as to placing insurance 25

1	
1	with his agency? When did that conversation take place?
2	A Well, that wasI'm a little hazy in my mind as to
3	how the insurance bit came about, but it was about the same
4	time that we discussed the \$5,000 and I told him at that
5	point that I had to carry insurance and I really you know,
6	I didn't care who I carried it with. It was fine with me
7	if I gave his agency the insurance.
8	Q So other than the demand for the five big
9	ones and the insurance business, were there any other
10	demands made upon you? Any other suggestions as to who
11	you should engage to do certain work or so forth?
12	A Just Ammerman on the real estate.
13	Q As to who to go to and have this property co-
14	brokered? A Yes.
15	Q In all, Mr. McDonald, how many meetings do
16	you believe you had in the whole matter with Mr. Guerrera?
17	A Oh, in his office I probablyover that period of
18	time I'd say I dropped in maybe five or six times.
19	Q Five or six different visits?
20	A Yes, right.
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bertini.
22	
23	EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BERTINI:
24	Q Mr. McDonald. A Yes, sir.
25	Q When Mr. Guerrera asked for \$5,000, what did

Q One further question, Mr. McDonald. Was any statement made by Mr. Ammerman as to how he would divide the commission?

One further question, Mr. McDonald. Was any statement made by Mr. Ammerman as to how he would divide the commission?

No. The only thing that was made by Mr. Ammerman—I'm still not sure of his position in this thing. I think that he may have been conned a little bit.

Q By whom? A I think by Guerrera.

Q What do you mean by that?

A Well, I don't think--as I rationalize it and look back, as in the course of the time of these meetings I got to know Mr. Ammerman and I saw how agitated and upset he got. I don't think the man actually figures that he was doing anything wrong or realizes there could have been any wrong implication. He stipulated to me as a direct quote that John suggested that what they should receive is a ten per cent commission. Now, this is a direct quote. And I agreed since I asked Mr. Ammerman to represent me that, yes, if that was the case, that I figured he was entitled to the ten per cent because I had engaged him.

Q So that you don't know specifically from Mr.

Ammerman what he was going to do with this commission?

A Other than the fact he said he and John, John had decided that it should be a ten per cent commission. That

1	MR. O'CONNOR; Mr. Chairman, I have no fur-
2	ther questions.
3	THE CHAIRMAN! Mr. McDonald, you are excused.
4	Thank you very much for coming to testify.
5	(Witness excused.)
6	
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. O'Connor, will you call
8	your next witness, please.
9	
10	DEAN H. BOORMAN, and PETER B.
11	D O R R A M, having been duly sworn according to law
12	by the Officer, testified as follows:
13	
14	MR. O'CONNOR: Gentlemen, would you please
15	state your names and addresses for the record?
16	MR. BOORMAN: Dean H. Boorman, 35 Tuxedo
17	Road, Montclair, New Jersey.
18	MR. DORRAM: Peter B. Dorram.
19	MR. O'CONNOR: Would you speak into the micro
20	phone, please, sir?
21	MR. DORRAM: Peter B. Dorram, 81 Highland
22	Avenue, Riverdale, New Jersey.
23	THE CHAIRMAN: I would ask the witnesses, for
24	anyone to properly hear you've got to really get
25	like I am, about an inch or so from the microphone,
l l	1

and the louder, really, the better. Okay.

MR. O'CONNOR: Gentlemen, before we proceed, I notice that you are appearing here this morning at this hearing without counsel. You have a right to have counsel present with you. Do you understand that, gentlemen?

MR. BOORMAN: Yes.

MR, O'CONNOR: Do you wish to proceed without counsel?

MR. BOOMMAN: Yes.

MR. O'CONNOR: If at any time during the hearing, Mr. Boorman or Mr. Dorram, you feel you would like to consult with counsel, simply indicate that to the Chairman and you will be excused for that purpose.

MR. BOORMAN: All right.

MR. O'CONNOR: Do you understand that?

MR. BOORMAN: Yes.

MR. O'CONNOR: Now, Mr. Boorman, you and Mr. Dorram are both under oath and you will be testifying together. Now, if you have no objection, sar, I will direct most of my questions to you. However, if you feel, or if Mr. Dorram feels, that Mr. Dorram can answer the question better, please defer to him with the microphone. Do you understand that, sir?

MR. BOORMAN: Yes.

_
7.

EXAMINATION OF MR. BOORMAN BY MR. O'CONNOR!

Q Mr. Boorman, what is your occupation, sir?

A I'm a professional city planner practicing in the State of New Jersey.

Q And with whom are you associated in that profession? A I'm a principal in the firm of Boorman and Dorram, Incorporated, of Upper Montclair.

Q And what is your address, sir, in Upper Montclair? A 248 Lorraine Avenue, Upper Montclair, New Jersey.

Q And I take it, then, that Mr. Peter Dorram is your partner? A Yes, that's correct.

your profession entails? A Yes. It entails. the practice of professional community planning such as is defined under the State of New Jersey Professional Planners Licensing Act. It involves the preparation of master plans; it involves advising local planning boards; it involves land planning; it involves the preparation of zoning ordinance, the review of development applications and other matters of that sort.

Q Well, do you, sir, in the practice of your profession at times act as a consultant to some of the

- 1	* *** *
1	various municipalities in the State of New Jersey?
2	A Yes, we do.
3	Q I ask you, Mr. Boorman, at any time was
4	the Township of Hillsborough, New Jersey, a client of
5	your firm? A Yes, it was.
6	Q And could you tell the Commission, sir,
7	as best you can recall, the dates during which you repre-
8	sented the municipality of Hillsborough?
9	A Yes. From approximately 1963 up to 1970.
10	Q Now, during your tenure as can we describe
11	it as tenure or were you on a retainer, a contract with
12	the town? A When we started work for the
13	township it was for the purpose of doing specific studies,
14	which toward the beginning of the period included the
15	preparation of a comprehensive or master plan for the town-
16	ship with aid from Federal funds. When that work was
17	completed, we were retained under an annual contract for
18	continuing planning, which was under a state-aidded pro-
19	gram known as the 50/40 Continuing Planning Program.
20	Q So you did reach a point in time, then, when
21	you entered into an annual contract with the municipality?
22	A Yes, that's correct.
23	Q Now, generally speaking, Mr. Boorman, up unti
24	the time of 1968 what was the relationship of your firm wit
25	the town officials? A It was very

friendly and pleasant and we were able to work very closely and in full accord with the planning board and the township officials by and large? especially with the planning board.

Q Well, was there any particular project that you were working on during that period of time?

A yes, the preparation of the master plan for the township, which we completed and then subsequently was officially adopted by the planning board under--as provided by state law after a public hearing.

Q Do you recall when the master plan as prepared by your firm was adopted, approximately?

A Yes. That was in 1967.

Q Now, I direct your attention, Mr. Boorman, to the year of 1968 and ask, you, sir, whether anything happened which changed the relationship between your firm and the Township of Hillsborough.

A Yes, it did.

Q And what was that, sir?

A At that time Mr. Guerrera had just become the mayor of Hillsborough. He called my partner and myself in for a private conference which took the form of a dinner meeting at the Town House Restaurant in Somerville, and during that meeting he informed us that as employees and consultants of the township he was expecting us to contribute the amount of ten per cent of our fees to the Democratic Party.

1	Q Was Mr. Dorram also present at this meeting?
2	A Yes, he was.
3	0 0 4 9 0 1 3 0 0 4 4
4	EXAMINATION OF MR. DORRAM BY MR. O'CONNOR:
5	Q Mr. Dorram, I address this question to you,
6	sir. Now, have you just heard the testimony of your partner
7	Mr. Boorman? A Yes, I have.
8	Q And is there anything you would like to add
9	or delete as to what Mr. Guerrera said to the two of you
10	at that time? A No. Mr. Boorman's
11	representation is absolutely correct.
12	
13	EXAMINATION OF MR. BOORMAN BY MR. O'CONNOR:
14	Q Mr. Boorman, what was your reply to Mr.
15	Guerrera when he made this demand?
16	A Our reply was that we would not comply; that this was
17	expressly against the policy of our firm and that we were
18	unwilling to do this.
19	Q Now, at that time, sir, were you also a con-
20	sultant to other municipalities?
21	A Yes, we were.
22	Q In the State of New Jersey?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Had such a demand ever been made upon your
25	organization by any other town at that point in time?
i	

A Not by any town by which we were employed.

you at the time? O O 4 A O I would not say so.

We were--we had been told about an opportunity to obtain a job in a particular township, which could be obtained, we were told, by paying a fee of ten per cent of the-or by contributing the amount of ten per cent of the fee, but we refused to follow up on that invitation.

Q What was the name of that town?

That was the Township of Matawan.

Q Matawan? A Yes.

Q Do you recall who told you about this opportunity?

A It was not an official of the township. It was somebody who was involved in real estate, who apparently knew some of the local officials.

Q And was this in the year of 1968?

A No. I believe that was prior to that time.

Q All right. Getting back to your meeting, then, with Mr. Guerrera at the Town House Restaurant, do you recall the date of that meeting, sir?

A No, I don't.

Q What was your reply then, again, to Mr.

Guerrera? A Our reply was that this was against the policy of our firm; that we did not believe it was the right procedure for us to follow; that we had

never done so in other communities; and that although Mr. Guerrera indicated that it was common practice in Hills-borough and other consultants for the township were doing so, we indicated that we would not follow this practice ourselves.

Q What was Mr. Guerrera's reaction to your refusal to comply with his request?

A I would say that he was disturbed by our refusal.

Q Did he say anything specific as to what he intended to do if you did not comply?

A He either stated or strongly implied that we would not have a lasting relationship with the township; that he would take steps to terminate our employment if we would not agree to what he proposed.

Q All right. Subsequent to this meeting, then, do you recall any instances where Mr. Guerrera did express his displeasure with your refusal to comply?

A From that time on Mr. Guerrera increasingly made it difficult for us at meetings of the planning board in opposing our recommendations and such, and there were repeated approaches by memebers of the party, also, to contribute to specific political events such as dinners and such. Our only reply was to purchase, say, two tickets for a dinner but not to purchase a much larger number which was requested of us.

Q In other words, just one for yourself and one for Mr. Dorram? A Yes.

Q Well, do you recall, sir, any specific instances where Mr. Guerrera did vent his wrath upon yourself or Mr. Dorram?

A I'll refer this to my partner, because he attended a majority of the meetings from that time on. My partner, in effect, handled the continuing planning program in Hillsborough.

EXAMINATION OF MR. DORRAM BY MR. O'CONNOR:

Q Mr. Dorram, would you take the microphone, please, sir?

A Yes, sir,

Q Now, you have heard Mr. Boorman's testimony up to this point; is that correct, sir?

A Yes, it's substantially correct, yes.

Q No. I mean is it correct that you have heard it?

A It is correct, yes.

Q Is there anything you would like at this point to add to it or to change from your own standpoint? A Regarding the meeting at the Town House Restaurant in Somerville, I believe Mr. Guerrera used the words "engineers and other consultants" when he was persuading us to reconsider and agree to contribute ten per cent to the party.

Q Was he, then, sir, indicating to you that

other people that did business with Hillsborough had acceeded in such a request? A Yes, sir, that's what he said.

Q All right. Subsequent to that meeting at the Town House Restaurant, Mr. Dorram, did you have any additional meetings with Mr. Guerrera?

A I had, two years subsequent to that, approximately two years subsequent, in 1970, a meeting with him.

Q All right. Do you recall the purpose of that meeting and where it took place?

A Yes. The purpose of the meeting was to demand the ten per cent contribution which we did not make in the intervening years. The place of the meeting was at an inn along Route 206 in Hillsborough, north of Amwell Road and south of Somerville.

Q Now, what was the conversation at this meeting, to the best of your recollection, sir?

A Well, there were four persons present at this meeting. We were sitting at the bar of the inn. On my left was sitting the then mayor, Bill Musa. On my right was sitting John Guerrera, a committeeman and chairman of the sewer authority. And on his right, two seats from me, sat Bob Hardgrove, planning board member.

The gist of the conversation was that John Guerrera told me that the time has come for us, meaning Boorman and

Dorram, Incorporated, to do as everyone else did, namely make our ten per cent contribution. He told me roughly that he felt that now he had the majority on the council, on the zoning board of adjustment and on the planning board and things were going to be conducted his way. And Bill Musa added his own comments to the same respect. He told me quite simply that it took a lot of money to finance the family and the administration, and for that purpose such contributions were necessary.

He also said that he anticipated that in the subsequent following year the Planned Unit Development Ordinance would be enacted into ordinance form and that as of then the planning consultants fees would be considerably more substantial than at the time we held the discussion.

Q Well, sir, you say he indicated to you that it was imminent that a planned unit development would be put in Hillsborough: is that correct?

A That's what he said. And he also said that unless we would make a contribution, we would lose our current job with the Township of Hillsborough and that all he had to do was pick up the telephone on the next morning and call anyone of half a dozen good planners who would be glad to accept our position.

He also added that the demands were not personal directed against me in person or Dean, but that this was

the system and this had--requirement had to be met; that our services were satisfactory, but they had to continue on these terms.

Q Mr. Dorram, up to this point in time had your firm done any work for Hillsborough on a planned unit development? A Yes, sir, we had been working on it for several years at that point.

Well, to clarify my comment, we made the original recommendations for planned unit development and part of the master plan, and then as part of our subsequent services we drafted and presented a draft of the ordinance to the planning board with numerous amendments.

Q Well, what did Mr. Guerrera mean when he said that the planned unit development would be enacted and there would be additional fees?

A Well, sir, the draft of the ordinance stipulated that applicants for planned unit development projects were to pay considerable filing fees. The purpose of those filing fees was to defray the cost to the planning board, hence to the taxpayers, so that these applications wouldn't be ultimately processed at the cost to the taxpayer but at that of the applicant, and the consultant's fees, considerable professional services were anticipated and are customary in such cases in the reviewing and practicing of such applications, and, of course, the filing fees were designed to defray

such expenses.

Q Mr. Dorram, did you have any further conversation with Mr. Guerrera with regard to your status as planning consultant to the town?

A Well, there was a brief conversation, still at the bar after the conversation which I just told you about, which indicated that there was no-no room for compromise; it was an either/or situation, and that was the end.

Thereafter there were no further discussions.

Q Yes, sir. A I might not have mentioned it, but I have categorically refused making such payments as demanded, any payments.

EXAMINATION OF MR. BOORMAN BY MR. O'CONNOR:

Q Mr. Boorman, if I might return to you briefly, did you have any other conversations with Mr. Guerrera or any other individual in Hillsborough with regard to a ten per cent payment?

A Not that I recall.

Q Would you briefly explain to us, sir, what the planned unit development proposal was, what it consisted of?

A Yes. This was to be an ordinance under the provisions of the State Planned Unit Development Act under which the locality, in effect, sets up a new kind of zone under which there can be a combination or a range of different kinds of developments, such as one-

Boorman 52.

family homes, and town houses, and apartments and shopping centers arranged as a planned community instead of arranged according to separate zoning districts.

The state law also sets up a procedure for the submission of applications for such developments and their review by the planning board and the conducting of public hearings.

The normal zoning requirements of fixed yards, and setbacks, and densities and street widths and such are allowed to be suspended so that the community can be flexibly planned as a unit.

In the ordinance which was proposed for the township, this was to be applied in the central section of the township, which followed the recommendations of the master plan and would have encouraged such a combination of apartments and other kinds of housing and neighborhood shopping and so forth.

Q Well, would it be a fair statement, Mr.

Boorman, that such a master plan as your firm contemplated

for Hillsborough could, in many instances, have a substantial

effect on the value of certain parcels of land which might

be included or excluded from your plan?

A Yes, that's certainly true. At the time when we prepared the master plan and later at the time when we proposed the Planned Unit Development Ordinance, the bulk of

Boorman 53.

	ľ
1	
	l
2	
3	
4	-
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

the land in question was zoned for one-family homes and one-acre lots, and under our proposal many more housing units per acre would have been allowed, which, of course, would have a great effect on increasing the value of the land.

Q During the period of time within which you were preparing the master plan, sir, did anybody ask you to either include or exclude any parcels of land?

A Not while we were preparing the master plan, no.

Q Well, at any time did anybody ask you to do it?

A No.

Q Let me rephrase that.

A No.

Q Strike that, please.

Mr. Boorman, did anybody attempt in any way
to influence you with regard to the preparation of the master
plan?

A No, not in regard to the preparation
of the master plan. The only instance where there was
direct pressure, I would say,--now, of course I can't speak
for how the decisions of the planning board were arrived
at. For example, the planning board did determine to change
the--to make a chance in the boundaries of the PUB area
from what we originally recommended, and we went along
with their idea for changing the boundary because it seemed
to make sense. Now, I don't know why the members in particular

2

3 4

5

7

8

б

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

were interested in that change, so I can't say that that's pressure.

But there was a separate instance in which there was pressure brought to approve a proposed garden apartment project.

And what was that influence, sir, and by whom was it exerted? This was in November of either 1968 or 1969. It took place at the League of Municipalities Convention in Atlantic City, and at that time a variance application had been submitted for a garden apartment development on the south side of Amwell Road and had been referred to the planning board for review and a recommendation. We had prepared a review, or were in the process of preparing a review in which we pointed out the differences between the proposed project and the zoning standards for garden apartments, which we had previously prepared for the board, and at the Atlantic City meeting my partner and myself were called into a private meeting at a hotel room, which, I believe, had been engaged by Mr. Harvey, the engineer for the planning board. And Mr. Harvey was present and Mr. Guerrera and Mr. Jesionka, the developer of the apartments, and a couple of other people. And we were strongly urged to make a favorable report to the planning board, and it was indicated that this would affect our continued employment with the board,

Well, you say it was urged. By whom was it 1 urged, sar? 2 By Mr. Guerrera and others 9 0 present also. 3 Do you recall the identity of any of the 4 other individuals that might have urged your favorable 5 look upon the application? 6 Well, Mr. Harvey and Mr. Jesionka. 7 Jessie who, sir? 8 Å Jesionka. That's spelled j-e-s-1-0-n-k-a. 9 And he, sir, was the builder who was apply-10 ing for a variance to build the garden apartments? 11 Yes, that's correct. 12 Well, at that point in time, then, would it 13 be a fair statement that your preliminary opinion was to 14 recommend against the granting of that variance? 15 Yes, that's correct. And after the meeting our 16 opinion was still unchanged. We submitted an unfavorable 17 report to the planning board, which subsequently disapproved 18 the application, or recommended against it. And that, in 19 turn, resulted in, or was followed by, the rejection of 20 the application by the zoning board of adjustment. 21 I see. Prior to your attendance at that meet-22 ing with Mr. Dorram, had you indicated your opinions to 23 either Mr. Guerrera or Mr. Harvey with regard to the applica-24 tion? I don't recall. I will refer A 25

other persons in the room at the time, but I do not remember

Prior to your going to that meeting, did you indicate your

unfavorable opinion with regard to the variance to any of

the people that were in that room? In other words, did

And who was that, sar?

they know what your opinion was?

Yes, they did.

by question to you, Mr. Dorram, is this:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

who they were,

A That was--that included the members of the planning board, the applicant, and the township engineer, of course.

Q Well, then, within the municipality of
Hillsborough at that time, can I say it was common knowledge that your firm was going to recommend against
granting the variance?

A We had no choice.
Yes, that was common knowledge.

Q And it's your opinion that the people that were present at that meeting knew that you were not inclined to recommend the variance?

A That is correct again, and they tried to persuade us for a variety of reasons to expedite the matter. Time was of the essence. I recall much discussion about that. And an approval was requested.

EXAMINATION OF MR. BOORMAN BY MR. O'CONNOR:

testified that at that meeting it was indicated to you that your decision on that variance would ultimately affect your employment by the Town of Hillsborough; is that correct?

A Yes, I believe that is so. I don't recall the language that was used, but that was the impression that I had.

Q Well, do you recall who intimated this to you or stated it directly? A Well, that

	58.
1	would have been Mr. Guerrera, who was, of course, on the
2	nlanning hourd
3	Q And again you refused to acquiesce in their
4	
5	Q And to the best of your knowledge, sir, this
6	
7	it was 1968 or 1969. I know it was in November because
8	
9	held and
10	Q However, A I know that it
11	and the state of t
	was after the meeting at the Town House that I had with
12	Mr. Guerrera along with Mr., Dorram.
13	Q If you were given an opportunity, sir, to
14	examine your records, you could pinpoint the date, though,
15	I would take it? A Probably. I'm not sure.
16	Yes, yes, I'm sure we could, because we would have the
17	records as well as the books on this apartment development.
18	Q All right. So, directing your attention, now,
19	sir, to the period of time between the meeting in Atlantic
20	City and the ultimate termination of your employment or
21	your representation of the town, did anything else of
22	substance happen with regard to yourself and any other
23	officials of the Township of Hillsborough?
24	A No, not myself. My partner kept coming back from
25	meetings of the planning board with reports of harassment

25

and opposition by Mr. Guerrera and other members of his party.

00490130059

EXAMINATION OF MR. DORRAM BY MR. O'CONNOR:

Q Mr. Dorram, if I might address a question to you, sir. A Yes, sir.

Can you relate any specific instances -- now, I'm directing your attention to the time period between the meeting in Atlantic City and the termination of your representation of the town. Can you relate any specific instances where you had additional problems with the town officials? No. I do not recall any single particular incident as such. But over an extended period of time there developed a situation whereby John Guerrera with his comments in either belittling me, ridiculing me or outright disagreeing with recommendations which I would submit to the planning board has made it increasingly more difficult for me to be of service to that board. and I used to have increasingly more doubts about the value of my services because gradually I felt my effectiveness was thus eroded and undermined, But there was no single incident other than what I have talked about before,

Q Mr. Dorram, would you please, sir, relate to the Commission the circumstances under which your representation of Hillsborough was terminated, sir?

party.

9 0 4 9 0 1 3 0 0 5 9

3

EXAMINATION OF MR. DORRAM BY MR. O'CONNOR:

Q Mr. Dorram, if I might address a question to you, sir. A Yes, sir.

and opposition by Mr. Guerrera and other members of his

Can you relate any specific instances -- now, I'm directing your attention to the time period between the meeting in Atlantic City and the termination of your representation of the town. Can you relate any specific instances where you had additional problems with the town No, I do not recall any single officials? particular incident as such. But over an extended period of time there developed a situation whereby John Guerrera with his comments in either belittling me, ridiculing me or outright disagreeing with recommendations which I would submit to the planning board has made it increasingly more difficult for me to be of service to that board, and I used to have increasingly more doubts about the value of my services because gradually I felt my effectiveness was thus eroded and undermined, But there was no single incident other than what I have talked about before.

Q Mr. Dorram, would you please, sir, relate to the Commission the circumstances under which your representation of Hillsborough was terminated, sir?

)

4

5

7

8

6

9

11

10

13

12

14

16

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1	A Well, that was approximately two or three months
2	after the meeting at the tavern on Route 206, which I
3	mentioned. At the end of a monthly planning board meet-
4	ing, which is a public hearing, at the time after the pub-
5	lic had left and, I believe, the planning board secretary
6	had been dismissed by the board, John Guerrera had made
7	an announcement, asked the planning board members to stay
8	for just one more minute, he had an announcement to make,
9	and the announcement was that the boys have decided on the
10	township committee one or two nights before that the ser-
11	vices of Boorman and Dorram were unsatisfactory and, hence,
12	terminated within thirty days, and that the township
13	committee thereafter was going to interview consultants
14	to take our place,
15	Q Were you given any specific reason for the
16	termination of your contract?
17	A There were no other reasons given than those I
18	mentioned,
19	Q Did you attempt to find out from any members
20	of the municipal government exactly what was wrong with
21	the services you were providing?
22	A No, sir, there was no such attempt made.
23	
24	EXAMINATION OF MR. BOORMAN BY MR. O'CONNOR:

25

Did you, Mr. Boorman, make any such inquiry?

MR. DORRAM: No, I have not made that. 1 Neither, to my knowledge, has my partner made any. 2 MR. O'CONNOR: 3 (Whereupon, there is a brief pause.) 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, I wonder if we could 5 excuse you for about five minutes. б Mr. McDonald--is he still out in the hall? 7 I believe, sir, he would like to make a statement 8 prior to leaving. 9 Will you come forward, then, and take the 10 microphone? 11 I might add that under our procedure set 12 up in the State of New Jersey, whenever a person 13 is called as a witness before the State Commission 14 of Investigation, that particular witness, under 15 our procedure, is given an opportunity to make a 16 closing statement, and we have asked Mr. McDonald 17 if he would wish to do so and he has replied in 18 the affirmative. 19 So, Mr. McDonald, would you go ahead and 20 make your statement, sir, 21 MR, McDONALD; Yes, sir, 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Try to lean into the mike, 23 please, 24 MR. McDONALD: Better? 25

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

THOMAS J. OMC 4 BONA ND, having been previously sworn according to law by the Officer, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE WITNESS: There's two things I want to say. Number one is, the shopping center as proposed it was never brought out that this also had gone through the county planning board and had tentative county planning board, subject to dedication of the land for the roads.

But getting back to the planning and zoning, we're hitting something that's near and dear to my heart and something I have to work with every day.

And I think I would like to get it into the record that under the way our planning boards and our planning and zoning is set up today, all it does is leave open doors for exactly the reason we're here today.

As long as you have a municipal official who can hold more than one position in a municipality, you're leaving the doors wide open. As long as every county judge has the right to say, 'Well, we believe that this is a good thing, but we believe in

the municipality and rule in their favor," then

1049013000 g

you get back to the municipality and you have a

planning board or a board of adjustment and a mayor

and council, which is fine, theoretically, with one

exception; the people that have to make the decisions,

unfortunately, are not qualified to make the

decisions.

Going back to my testimony earlier this morning, a perfect example is the fact that the two gentlemen who just left here wrote the original master plan and carried the use we wanted to use. I turned over a copy of the city planner's recommendation of three pages in favor of what we wanted to do. The planning board approved it one hundred per cent, which I documented this morning, and then the mayor and council, of which none of them are qualified engineers, planners, zoners or anything else, had the authority to turn it down. And unfortunately, when I take it to the courts, they say to you, 'Well, we believe in home rule. Therefore, even though it ought to be, we have to rule in favor of the municipality."

Gentlemen, as long as we have this system, we're going to have hearing after hearing after

24

25

hearing, and I see no other way except to change the system. Put some people on these boards that are qualified. At least, if nothing else, instead of jamming up our courts with all these cases for planning and zoning, have a county appeal board or some place that we can go outside of the courts with people who know what they're doing.

Now, I'm not throwing stones at people who legitimately serve on a planning board or zoning board. I think with what knowledge they have they try to do on the basic part a good job. But it just doesn't work. I'm talking from fifteen years of knocking my head against the wall. All you got to do is get one element of politics involved in anything and you're dead. What's good for the municipality has absolutely no bearing on what you're trying to do. Now, we have a self-defeating system. It's got to be changed.

That's my statement, gentlemen.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. McDonald.

(Witness excused.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, gentlemen.
Mr. Bertini.

EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BERTINI:

O I'll ask either of you gentlemen, was any other public official in Athe Town of Hillsborough involved in any way with these conversations in this situation that you have just described other than Mayor Guerrera?

A (By Mr. Boorman) Well, as Mr. Dorram indicated, there were two other members of the township committee present in his meeting in 1970 at which the request for the contribution was made.

A (By Mr. Dorram) Well, Mayor Musa was present at the meeting in 1970 and so was Bob Hardgrove, who thereafter, several weeks afterwards, apologized to me and said he wouldn't have been present would he have known that the other two would put the pressure on me and work me over the way they have.

Q And what was the position of the second man that you have mentioned in the community?

A (By Mr. Dorram) Bill Musa took the very same position as John Guerrera had and had said the same statements, or words to that effect.

Bill Musa, Hardgrove is it?

Dorram) Bob Hardgrove. He didn't contribute to the conversation at all.

5

б

Q Now, can you describe with some degree of precision the words that Mayor Guerrera used when he asked for ten per cent of the fees? Can you tell us his words with some degree of accuracy?

A (By Mr. Dorram) Well, that's difficult. I thought
I have done my best. But it was a dialogue in which he
made it very clear that it was for us to make our contribution to the municipal family and that they, in turn, would
take care of us.

EXAMINATION BY THE CHAIRMAN:

Q While Mr. Bertini's looking through his notes, what kind of fees were you talking about being paid as consultants to Hillsborough Township? What kind of money did you earn for a year, on the average?

A (By Mr. Boorman) Prior to 1968 when we prepared the master plan, this was done for--under a lump-sum contract, which was between 20 and \$25,000, I believe around 23,000, which included a period of about a year and a half. After that time, after the completion of the master plan when we went onto the continuing planning program, our regular annual retainer was in the amount of \$5,000 and

б

we received extra amounts from time to time for extra work, and I would say the total probably amounted to 6 or \$7,000 a year. It was anticipated that once the Planned Unit Development Ordinance was adopted, that the annual amount would probably increase to perhaps 15 or \$20,000.

- Q How big an area did this PUD encompass in square miles or acres, however you can measure it?

 A I don't recall specifically. Probably between one and two square miles. Probably between 600 and a thousand or 1200 acres.
- Q What type of population as far as people living in the dwellings did it encompass?

A Well, our proposal was for a smaller number of people than the--than under the terms of the ordinance as it was later adopted. Our ordinance, I think, called for something like fifteen or twenty persons per acre, while the ordinance as adopted was something like thirty persons per acre.

population is that at the present time there are about 6,000 units of apartments which have been applied for in this PUD area, which would result in a population of perhaps 15,000, and this doesn't include the entire area of the PUD. So, we're talking about a substantial population, perhaps 15 to 20,000.

1	Q Is the PUD that you drafted the one that's
2	in effect now in Hillsborough now?
3	A No. There was substantial changes made when it
4	was actually adopted. The most important change was in
5	the direction of increasing the density, the units per
6	acre, and of changing the controls so that the development
7	of exclusively apartments was encouraged, whereas under
8	our proposal that would have been more of an application
9	of one-family homes and town houses as well as apartments.
10	Q Well, who succeeded you as the municipal
11	planner? A Robert Strong of Princeton.
12	Q And did he, as far as you know and to the
13	best of your knowledge, finish up the plan that was enacted
14	A I don't know the part that he played in it, but I
15	know that these revisions were made after we left and
16	incorporated in the ordinance which was subsequently
17	adopted.
18	Q Do you know when the ordinance was adopted?
19	A Yes. It was under Ordinance 70-5, and I believe
20	the date shows in the zoning ordinance. Yes, it was
21	adopted on May 26th, 1970.
22	Q And when were your services terminated by
23	the township? A It was before that,
24	that time. Earlier in 1970.
25	Q Sometime in the spring?

A Yes, the spring or late winter, perhaps February or March.

I wonder if you could give the Commissioners a little bit more detail as to the conversation at the League of Municipalities meeting in Atlantic City, you know, really fill us in as to who said what and so forth and where it took place, a little more detail?

Hall, it was at the hotel room of the Chalfonte Haddon Hall, which had been reserved, I believe, by Mr. Harvey, the township engineer, and my partner and I always attend these conferences in the fall where we headed round tables at the planning and zoning session and so forth. And we were told to come to this meeting in the late afternoon after the planning and zoning session was over.

Q Who told you to come to the meeting?

A I believe it was Mr. Guerrera.

at the room and found several others present, and we were told that the reason that they had called us in was to discuss the proposed Claremont Apartments and to see what we could do to expedite and approve the project.

And it was explained that this was important to the township because it was the first garden apartment project and, also, because a municipal utilities authority had recently been formed for the purpose of providing a

24

25

municipal sewer system and that this would be the first, or one of the first, Substantial customers for the utilities authority and that it was highly desirable for the township to have this project expedited and approved. And Mr. Guerrera and Mr. Jesionka spoke about the project and they questioned us about the grounds for our objections, and they tried to persuade us that our objections were not very serious, and they strongly suggested and asked that we submit a favorable report to the planning board.

Well, specifically, what was your objections to the proposal as planners? (By Mr. Dorram) Mr. Chairman, prior to this discussion in Atlantic City we had prepared for the Hillsborough Planning Board a garden apartment ordinance, and this ordinance stipulated the conditions under which garden apartments would be approved within the township. In other words, a landowner couldn't come to the building inspector and just get a permit to build apartments. And, of course, these conditions are a matter of record and part of the zoning ordinance then. But the conditions spelled out the required setbacks from the public roads; side yards; rear yards: usable open space was defined, a percentage of which was required; the height; the distance between buildings; the density per acre and so forth.

Now, what appeared at that time was that the

application by Adam Jesionka had difficulty meeting with these requirements which I'm referring to, which were already adopted in ordinance form. That was the law of the land at that time. And, therefore, at that meeting at Mr. Harvey's suite at the hotel in Atlantic City we were told that it would benefit the common good and the town if this application would be processed expeditiously, and the argument -- it was a fairly friendly discussion, but the argument put forth to us for consideration was that the owner of the land owns substantial additional land across the street, which he had to come back before the planning board at some other future date to get approval for on and so forth, and surely he would then make up for deficiencies which we were supposed to perhaps overlook. And to complete on said portion of the discussion, since no such favorable report came forth at that time, thereafter this garden apartment application was then submitted to the zoning board of adjustment for a variance and all the requests for a variance were granted, indeed, by the zoning board of adjustment to the applicant, and then the application sent back again to the planning board. And even with all the variances received, it failed to pass the planning board because it still didn't meet the original requirements I referred to earlier, which were in ordinance form.

1.5

Thank you. In other words, what you're really saying is that it didn't meet the standards that you had designed for the garden apartment ordinance; is that correct?

A (By Mr. Dorram) That's correct, yes, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bertini.

EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BERTINI:

Q Do you serve in any other political subdivisions of government? A (By Mr. Dorram)
Whether I have personally? Yes, sir. I have served on
the planning board in my own town of Riverdale for almost
ten years, and I served on the Mayor's Zoning Advisory
Committee and I was chairman of the Riverdale Industrial
Development Commission, maybe one or two other similar
positions.

Q Now, I take it that you have been associated with this municipality since approximately 1964 to 1970?

A (By Mr. Dorram) 1963, I believe, Commissioner, yes.

This municipality?

A (By Mr. Dorram) Yes.

And has there ever been any attempt to get a kickback from you from any other public official other than the one you mentioned?

A (By Mr. Dorram)

None other than I mentioned here today.

9

6

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

That is true, no matter what ordinances we draft and so forth.

Q Part of the discussions you had in the hotel, did any part revolve itself around an opinion situation? And when you talk about density of population, that's an opinion situation, isn't it?

(By Mr. Dorram) We were not asked for an opinion. We were told. As a matter of fact, there existed a substantial difference of opinion, which ordinarily would not be of significance, between me and John Guerrera on the PUD issue and these persisted for several years prior to the Atlantic City incident, and the issue I'm referring to is this: In the ordinance draft originally prepared by my partner, Mr. Boorman, we made a recommendation for the PUD that for each one single-family detached home to be permitted in the future PUD there be a balancing ratable of either one and a half apartment units or office or similar other ratable to balance the municipal costs. Mr. Guerrera's opinion was that, instead of one and a half, that number should be twenty or thirty. This is not a small difference of opinion I'm referring to. It is substantial.

Q In other words; you're saying the difference of opinion was so great that it cannot be classed as a difference of opinion; is that what you're saying?

A (By Mr. Dorram) Well, this, in effect, was reflected in the Glaremont application and so forth, and ultimately twenty was the figure that was drafted in the ordinance after our services had been terminated.

Q But the idea of a planned unit development is-- A (By Mr. Dorram) I didn't hear.

Q Is a planned unit development per se good or bad, in your judgment? A (By Mr. Dorram) In my judgment the concept of planned unit development is very good. But turning it merely into an apartment development on a large scale, then, is not so good.

Q If it's done by people whose motivation is the good of the community, it's a good thing; but if it's done by people whose motivation is to make an extra rich dollar it's a bad thing. Is that what you're saying?

A (By Mr. Dorram) That's true.

EXAMINATION BY THE CHAIRMAN:

Q Did you have something you wanted to respond?

A (By Mr. Boorman) Yes. I just wanted to make one observation. I think perhaps the Commissioner was asking my partner about his position with other municipalities, not only in terms of his personal position outside of our firm but also in terms of our firm being engaged, and I just wanted to set the record straight that we have been,

and are, engaged by many other municipalities in the state, 1 and to add that this is the only instance where we have 2 been engaged by a municipality where we have been faced 3 with a request like this for a direct contribution. 4 5 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BERTINI: 6 The point I want to make is exactly what you 7 said. This is the only situation where you were faced 8 with this demand? All other situations where you're 9 involved, I assume that everything went along smoothly 10 and with honesty and integrity? 11 (By Mr. Boorman) That's true, A 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I think at this stage I want 13 to ask the gentlemen to be available to resume 14 further questioning at 2:30. We might have some 15 questions. 16 We will break now till 2:30 this afternoon, 17 (Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.) 18 (After recess.) 19 THE CHAIRMAN: We'll resume the hearings now. 20 Mr. O'Connor, are you ready to proceed? 21 MR. O'CONNOR: I am, Mr. Chairman, 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 23 I want to remind the witnesses that you are 24

still testifying under oath that you originally took

4 5

EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNOR:

Q Mr. Boorman and Mr. Dorram, let me address this question to the both of you and either of you can answer it. Would you like to state, sir, for the record, as to actually how your appearance before this Commission this morning came about?

A (By Mr. Boorman)

Yes. It came about as a result of a visit to our office, at a time when I was in and my partner was away on vacation, by Mr. Richard Evans of your staff in which he asked about what had happened in Hillsborough, what our experience had been. This visit was unsolicited on our part.

Q So at no time did you ever, or your partner, ever, communicate with the Commission with a view to giving us information voluntarily?

A (By Mr. Boorman) That's correct.

Q However, is it a fair statement, sir, that you responded honestly to the questions posed to you by Special Agent Evans?

A (By Mr. Boorman)
Yes.

Q Did Mr. Evans in any way threaten or coerce you to give him answers? A (By Mr. Boorman) No, he did not.

Q And you are appearing here this morning, sir,

as a result of a subpoena having been served upon you; is that correct, sir?

A (By Mr. Boorman) Yes.

EXAMINATION BY THE CHAIRMAN:

Q I wonder if either one of you gentlemen might enlarge on the statement -- I forget which one made it -- earlier today that Mr. Guerrera had indicated that all those who were doing business with the municipality were paying a ten per cent, or making a contribution of ten per cent to the party. Could you enlarge on that to some extent; who you believed he was referring to?

A (By Mr. Boorman) He referred to the engineers working for the township. Of course, there might have been several of those, not just, say, the engineer for the planning board, but he might have been referring, as an alternative, or both, to an engineer for the utilities authority or such. He did not, to my recollection, speak of any other consultants by occupation except to refer to engineers and to all other consultants working for the township.

Did he indicate that this contribution arrangement applied to any purveyors or any others that might be rendering services, giving materials and supplies to the township?

A (By Mr. Boorman) No, I believe he was referring to people like ourselves who were providing

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

professional services or that type of service to the township. I don't recall that he indicated or implied that this related to people who were supplying goods to the township.

Did either one of you remember the name of the inn on 206 that one of the meetings took place at? (By Mr. Dorram) No. Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry, I cannot recall the name of the inn. But it's in the southbound lane of the highway about, I would estimate, maybe two or three miles north of Amwell Road intersection with 206.

Was it in the vicinity of that Palmer's (By Mr. Dorram) I'm not sure, Market? Mr. Chairman.

Would you describe what the inn looked like from your recollection? I'm trying to be able to later on conclude that you were referring to a particular meeting (By Mr. Dorram) No. the place. establishment in question is not a particularly elegant one, as I can recall. It was rather seedy and dark and had no distinguishable features other than the bar and a couple of tables, something like that.

Located close to the road?

(By Mr. Dorram) Yes, sir, indeed, close to the road. yes.

Q Did you park in front of it or alongside of it?

A (By Mr. Dorram) I'm not certain. I think in front of it, but I'm not sure about that.

Q If I gave you a name Duke Farm Inn, would that refresh your memory? A (By Mr. Dorram) It sounds familiar, but again in all sincerity I m not sure.

Q You're not sure? A (By Mr. Dorram) No.

Q I might ask, if you return along that road

206 in any of your travels you might communicate with someone on our staff, once you identify where you were, the
name of the place. A (By Mr. Dorram) That
should be no problem, yes.

THE CHATRMAN: All right. Mr. Bertini?

Well, Mr. Sapienza and Mr. O'Connor and myself,

we have concluded the questions. I wanted to now,

as we indicated earlier to Mr. McDonald, would either

of you gentlemen like to make a closing statement?

Feel free to do so.

MR. BOORMAN: I'd like to refer to one point that has to do with the practice of planning in the state. This is in relation to the New Jersey Professional Planners License Act, which I referred to earlier, under which my partner and I both hold

licenses as professional planners.

act is set up tends to have an inhibiting effect on the practice or professional planning in the sense that the name of the act is really a misnomer.

What it does is to establish an examination and licensing procedure for planners, which is fine.

But at the same time it provides that any architect, engineer or land surveyor can automatically obtain a license simply by sending in a fee and not by taking an examination or otherwise qualifying. And, in fact, a large majority of the holders of licenses have no education and in most instances very limited experience in the field of planning.

The New Jersey Chapter of the American
Institute of Planners, of which I'm a member, has
advocated the repeal of this act, and this was also
recommended by the New Jersey Professional and
Occupational Licensing Study Commission, which was
established either by the Governor or the Legislature
a year or two ago. And the repealer of the act has
been introduced in the Legislature under S-167 and
A-73.

Again, it's my opinion that the passage of this act would be a substantial benefit to the

practice of planning in the state and it would eliminate the confusion between the expertise of people who have actually studied and practiced planning and those who have not but are in related fields.

We frequently meet a situation in which persons who are architects, engineers or land surveyors will add the word "planner" after their name and will present testimony or will present recommendations, which they would not do if this label were not allowed to be applied officially by the law. At the same time there is a legitimate concern on the part of architects, engineers, land surveyors that if only what I would call planners are licensed that this might interfere with some of their practice, and for this reason I feel the best solution would be the outright repeal of the law.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Boorman.

Mr. Dorram, do you have a statement to make?

MR. DORRAM: No, sir, I have no separate statement. I subscribe to the preceding statement made by Mr. Boorman.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, gentlemen, very much

4 5

for testifying.

(Witnesses excused.)

3 0 4 9 0 1 3 0 0 2 3

THE CHAIRMAN: At this stage of the proceeding I would like to advise everyone that we had intended to proceed with three more witnesses in connection with the Hillsborough Township portion of this investigation into zoning and planning abuses. However, I was advised just a short time ago by one of our counsel, Mr. Charles Sapienza, that this morning, and I stress that, the Appellate Division of the Superior Court issued a restraining order and sealed all the various testimony and documents and so forth as pertains to these three witnesses, so that we cannot proceed with the Hills-borough witnesses at this time.

I have been advised that Mr. Sapienza is endeavoring to take this, hopefully this afternoon, to the New Jersey Supreme Court. He may or may not have enough time.

With that in mind, we will resume the hearings tomorrow morning at 10:30 A.M. with witnesses involving other municipalities and communities other than Hillsborough Township.

I was remiss earlier today in my failure, and

it was called to my attention, not to introduce 1 formally the counsel who was handling the question-2 ing today, Mr. Dennis O'Connor, and at this time 3 I will make up for that remiss. 4 Mr. O'Connor, we thank you for handling the 5 testimony, and, as I said a moment, we will resume б tomorrow morning from this chamber at 10:30 A.M. 7 Thank you. 8 (Whereupon, the hearing is adjourned to 9 10:30 A.M., Wednesday, September 20, 1972.) 10 (Whereupon, the hearing resumes on Wednesday, 11 September 20, 1972.) 12 THE CHAIRMAN: We will resume the public 13 hearings which were initiated yesterday pertaining 14 to zoning and planning abuses in the State of New . 15 Jersey. Counsel Dennis O'Connor, who handled the 16 questioning yesterday, will also handle the ques-17 tioning of witnesses this morning. 18 I would ask, Mr. O'Connor, are you ready to 19 proceed with your first witness? 20 MR. O'CONNOR: I am, Mr. Chairman. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay, sir. 22 MR. O'CONNOR: Mr. Chairman, the first witness 23 this morning is Mr. Steven D. Sica. 24 Mr. Sica, would you please stand, sir, and 25

be sworn.

STEVEN D. OSOI & A, O having been duly sworm according to law by the Officer, testified as follows:

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sica, I would advise you that in order to be properly heard in this chamber you're going to have to speak well into the microphone and maybe just an inch or so or else you might not be heard. Okay? Move your chair forward, please.

THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sica, you may recall that the State Commission of Investigation granted, on July the 12th, 1972, immunity to you.

THE WIINESS: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: And your testimony has previously been taken in executive session. The resolution indicated that you would be ordered to answer questions propounded by our counsel and immunity would be conferred upon you pursuant to Section 17 of the act creating the State Commission of

3

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Investigation, Chapter 266, the Laws of New Jersey, 1968.

I'm going to read to you, in fact, an order to answer all questions. I'll read it once very slowly, and I will ask you after that if you understand what the order says. If you do, state in the affirmative. Then I will indicate to you that all future answers which you give will bear the same immunity grant. Is that understood?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sica, you are ordered and directed by the State Commission of Investigation, pursuant to the authority granted by Chapter 266, Laws of New Jersey, Section 17, 1968, and by resolution of the majority of all the members of the Commission, as I stated, passed on July the 12th, 1972, to answer all questions. Upon compliance with this order you shall be immune from having such responsive answer given by you or evidence deriwed therefrom used to expose you to criminal prosecution, or penalty or to a forfeiture of your estate, except you may nevertheless be prosecuted for any perjury committed in such answer or for contempt for failure to give responsive answers in accordance with the order of the Commission; and

any such answer given shall be admissible against you upon any Federal investigation, proceeding or trial against you for such perjury, or upon any investigation, proceeding or trial against you for such contempt.

Now, I ask you, Mr. Sica, do you understand the order or this Commission?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready to proceed with the questions and answers?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.

THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I will direct that you are now granted this immunity and this order which I have just read in fact to you will apply to all future answers which you give. All right, sir?

THE WIINESS: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. O'Connor.

EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNOR:

Q Mr. Sica, normally we ask a witness to state his present address for the record. However, I am advised that you have, for your own reasons, removed yourself and your family from the State of New Jersey. Is that correct, sir?

A Yes, I have,

```
And would you prefer not to state your present
 1
     address for the record?
 2
                                               I would not, no.
                   Mr. Sica, I direct your attention, sir, to
 3
    the year of 1970 and ask you, sir, would you tell the
 4
    Commission what your address was at that time?
 5
           My home address?
 6
 7
                  Yes, sir,
                                       A
                                               139 Fairfield
 8
    Avenue, West Caldwell, New Jersey.
                 And in 1970, Mr. Sica, what, sir, was your
 9
    occupation?
                                 I was in the real estate bus-
10
    iness.
11
                 And where were your offices located at that
12
13
    time, sir?
                                At 515 Route 46 in Fairfield,
14
    New Jersey.
                  Now, at that time when you were located at
15
    515 was there a vacant lot next to your office building.
16
    sir?
17
                         A
                                Part of our lot was vacant, yes,
                 Did you, sir, during that point in time
18
           Q
    agree with certain individuals to perhaps engage in a
19
    venture utilizing that lot?
20
                                                     Yes. we did.
                 Would you explain to the Commission, sir,
21
    just what that venture was?
22
                                                     We com-
    templated setting up two modular houses, which would try
23
24
    to sell through the office that I was selling real estate
    from, which was in a building on the land. The houses were
25
```

contemplated to be set up just as models. We were not going to connect them up to any facilities. We were just going to be able to real the people that if they wanted to see the house that they could buy, all they had to do was come with me downstairs and I would show them around, or any one of my salesmen,

So, in other words, you were going into the modular homes sales business? A Yes.

And, sir, did you have partners in that I had two contemplated partners, One was John Steffanik, He was going to finance half of the project with me. The landlord's name was Arthur Beckwith. He was contributing the use of the land in return for a ten per cent of our net profit as rent, besides the fact that he was also a real estate salesman with my office, although he was in the plumbing business, and he was going to actually makes sales of these homes if we ever displayed them.

Well, during, sir, the planning of this business venture did you inquire or investigate into whether or not the real estate that you had earmarked was properly zoned for your purposes?

Yes, we did.

25

And was it, sir? Yes, it was.

```
Is it your statement, then, that you felt
 1
     that according to the master plan or the zoning in
 2
     Fairfield, New Jersey, you could put model homes on that
 3
     piece of real estate?
                                                 Yes, I believe so
 4
                    Well, did you, Mr. Sica, at any time receive
 5
     advice from anyone that you would have to get a variance
 б
     to do this?
                                         Yes, because there was
 7
     one factor involved, and the town in their ordinance
 8
     stipulated that any outside display of any material that
 9
     you would have for sale would require a variance.
10
                   So, in order to bring your thoughts and
11
     your plans to fruition you would then have to apply to
12
     the Township of Fairfield for a variance; is that correct?
13
            Yes,
14
                   Did you at any time, Mr. Sica, discuss the
15
     possibility of your getting a variance with any officials
16
     of the Town of Fairfield?
                                                Yes, I discussed
17
     lt,
18
                   And would you tell us, sir, who was the first
19
     town official that you discussed this possibility with?
20
            John Francavilla, the mayor,
21
                  Mr. Francavilla was then the mayor?
22
     A
            Yes.
23
                   And this is the year of 1970; is that correct?
24
            Yes,
     Â
25
```

Q Well, do you recall, sir, approximately when and where you first spoke to Mr. Francavilla?

A I don't recall exactly, but--

Q Approximately. A It could be at his home, it could be at my office, because we had several meetings in the office and I did go to his home a few times, and I met him at the town hall. It could be either one of the three places.

Q Well, as best you can recall, Mr. Sica, what was your first conversation with Mr. Francavilla with regard to this variance?

A I told him what we intended to do and I asked him it he would ascertain taking a trip with us to check into one of the plants. We were going to make a trip with an attorney friend of ours that was also interested in setting up some of these houses on a big tract of land that he was a partner in in Jefferson Township, and he happened to own a plane and he was a pilot. We were going up to Pennsylvania to look into the plant itself so we could see how the homes were being manufactured. Well, I asked the mayor to go along with us so that he could see for himself before we made any application, before we did what we did, and sort of give us his sanction or tell us what he thought about it.

I also had discussed with the mayor the fact that I

had been made aware that we could save a lot of money with pre-manufactured schools, and the Town of Fairfield was talking about putting in schools and the amount of money that was going to have to be spent was considerably more than what they could do with a pre-manufactured home. And he showed an interest in it and he agreed to come with us on the trip and we flew to Pennsylvania.

Q So the mayor, then, flew to Pennsylvania with you to look over the plant that was manufacturing the modular homes? A Yes.

Q Mr. Sica, did you invite any other officials of the Town of Fairfield to accompany you on that trip?

A I invited other officials as I talked to them about the venture and I told them that they would be welcome to go to any one of the plants and, if they were willing to go, we would pay the expense, not necessarily to this one but to any one, because there was an article in the paper about that Governor Cahill had said that the people of New Jersey, because of the housing crisis, should look into pre-manufactured homes and he mentioned that to overlook or to sort of evade the problems that we have with codes and building codes that required that inspections are made on site before walls are closed and all that, that building inspectors and town officials should go to these factories and see how the house is being manufactured and, if it's

Francavilla would have a vote on the ultimate disposition of your application?

A It was my opinion that nothing moves in Fairfield unless Mr. Francavilla gives the word, whether it was on any one of the planning boards or the board of adjustment. It was my opinion that this is the way it moved.

Q This, sir, was your opinion at this time?

A Yes.

Q Now, did there come a point in time, Mr. Sica, when you actually gave a sum of money either to a political party or to Mayor Francavilla?

Francavilla. I gave him a two-thousand-dollar check.

This was after I had discussed it with the two other partners. And then another time when I was trying to get the use of another piece of land that I was paying taxes on and couldn't do nothing with, so I gave a two-hundred-dollar cash contribution to a--the so-called chairman of the Republican Party, Mr. Intile.

Q Well, as a result of that political contribution did you clear up whatever problems you had with the real estate in question?

A No, we didn't because, first of all, in the interim while we were applying for what we were applying for there was a change in the administration. One of the members of the Republican Party

resigned and left Mr. Francavilla with himself and another Republican and two Democrats. So when we came up, we got our variance okayed by the board of adjustment, but for some reason or other the okay said that it had to be okayed by the mayor and council. I didn't understand that, but that's the way it was given to us. We always thought that a board of adjustment was the legal body and their decision was binding, but they referred it down to the mayor and council.

Q Mr. Sica, if I might interrupt you, please, sir, the Chairman has advised me, sir, that you are testifying now under a grant of immunity. You are appearing without counsel, but I must advise you at this time, Mr. Sica, that the immunity only covers responsive answers, in other words, if you're responding to a particular question that I might ask you, your answer then is cloaked with immunity. However, if you gratuitously make statements for the record not in response to a question I might ask, your immunity does not cover you.

A I see.

Q So I ask you, sir, please, to keep that in mind.

A Okay.

Q Now, I direct your attention back to your testimony a few moments ago. You said that you gave \$2,000 to Mayor Francavilla. Was that your testimony, sir?

A Yes.

1	Q Well, procedurally, though, isn't it a fact
2	that your application first had to be cleared through the
3	board of adjustment and then submitted to the town council
4	for a vote? A To my knowledge, that didn't
5	have to be necessary, but that's the way it ended up where
6	the board of adjustment okayed it subject to the mayor and
7	council okaying it.
8	Q Now, to the best of your recollection you
9	did give this check to the mayor prior to the approval
10	granted by the board of adjustment?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q Now, did you have any conversation with the
13	mayor when you gave him this check?
14	A I don't recall the words or anything, but we did
15	have a meeting when I gave him the check and I handed him
16	the check. That's all.
17	Q Well, did you tell the mayor what you expecte
18	in return for this check? A At that moment
19	when I gave it to him I don't believe we went into that.
20	Q Well, when you handed him the check, at that
21	point in time, as a result of prior conversations, was
22	there an understanding? A Sure, there was.
23	Q All right. Would you relate, please, sir,
24	for the Commission the prior conversations?
25	A Well, the prior conversation was that I had asked

25

trying to bring low-cost housing to the area and a big fight ensued over the whole thing and the mayor at the meeting when it was finally brought up did not vote on it, and neither did the other Republican member vote on it, and the two Democrats, which there were two Democrats then, they made a political football out of it. That's the only way I can put it. And there were a lot of articles in the paper and all that. Mayor Francavilla -- the two Democrats had accused me of influencing the board of adjustment because they said that I wrote a letter, which I did write a letter to them, telling them that I had taken Mayor Francavilla on this trip and that he had seen the plant and, in the words I put it, that he was favorably impressed. But they didn't mention the fact that I also had mentioned Governor Cahill in the letter, and they claimed that the board of adjustment was influenced. Mr. Sica, excuse me, Let's get back to the

questions and answers, sir.

Did your zoning, your variance application, ultimately come up before the township committee for a yote?

- You're talking about the mayor and council now?
 - Q Yes, sir, Yes,
- And what was the vote with regard to your variance application, as best you can recall?
- It was turned down, A

```
Do you know what the vote was?
 1
            Unanimous ly.
     A
 2
                   So everybody, including the mayor, voted
 3
     against your application?
                                                No, the mayor
 4
     abstained. I believe that the other Republican councilman
 5
     abstained and the two Democrats voted against it.
 6
                   Were you present, Mr. Sica, at the meeting
 7
     wherein the vote was taken?
                                                       Yes, I
 8
     was,
 9
                  Was it a public meeting?
10
            Yes, li was.
11
                   Now, you say that the mayor abstained. To
12
     your knowledge was there any particular reason for this
13
     abstension at that time?
                                         A
                                                Because he had
14
     been accused by Mr. Cupo, the Democrat councilman, of in-
15
     fluencing the board of adjustment by personally appearing
16
     at the board of adjustment meeting, and, secondly, by
17
     attending this trip with us to Pennsylvania.
18
                   And on that basis the mayor abstained from
19
     voting?
                                  Α
                                         Yes.
20
                   Do you recall the date, Mr. Sica, that you
21
     gave this check for $2,000 to the mayor?
22
            I don't, no,
23
                   To refresh your recollection, sir, when you
24
     testified before the Commission on a previous occasion you
```

```
did, according to your checkbook, ascertain the fact
 1
      that the check was dated September 10th, 1970.
 2
                               0 4 9 0 1 3 0 1 0 2
             Yes.
 3
                    Is that correct, sir?
 4
             Yes.
      A
 5
                   Do you recall at point in time when the vote
 6
     was taken on your application by the mayor and the committee?
 7
             Sometime later in September.
 8
                   Approximately September the 23rd, 1970?
 9
            Could be, yes.
10
                   Now, to recapitulate, you have testified
11
     that approximately September the 10th you did give a
12
     check in the amount of $2,000 to Mayor Francavilla?
13
            Yes.
14
                   The purpose of your giving him that check was
15
     to expedite your application for a variance; is that
16
     correct?
                                  Yes,
17
                   And you felt that in making a political
18
     contribution you could accomplish this?
19
            Yes.
20
                  Ultimately, within a matter of weeks when
21
     the actual vote was taken, your application was denied
22
     and the mayor abstained from even voting on it: is that
23
     correct, sin?
                                 Å
                                         Yes.
24
                  Now, after your application was denied, sir,
25
```

donation," because we felt I could deduct it from taxes.

25

final decision was made, and I called John Francavilla and told him that we had been given the word by the two Democrats that they wanted to get paid also, and I called him and asked him if he would hold up on the check because it seemed to me that we would have to raise more money or we would have to give \$1,000 to each party for now, because we were spending a lot of money to get these two homes—we had to pay cash for them—and he agreed. I phoned him and told him to hold up on the check because of this factor and he agreed to hold the check or wait to see what happened.

Q Well, now, you say he agreed. Now, let's be specific. Now, you did make the telephone call to the mayor prior to the September 23rd vote; is that correct?

A I believe so, yes.

Q And as best you can recall, exactly what did you say to the mayor? A I told him that after he had left the town hall the night before, that we had gone to a restaurant for a cup of coffee and at that time we were made aware by a builder in town that seemed to know quite a bit of what was happening, that came to sit with us, and he told us that we weren't going to get it; that the two Democrats knew or suspected that we had taken care of John Francavilla and that we'd have to take care of them, too.

1	Q Did the mayor at any time during this tele-
2	phone conversation in any way deny having received a check
3	from you? A O 4No; he did not! O o
4	Q Did he at any time indicate to you, sir, tha
5	the check you gave him he had destroyed?
6	A No, he did not.
7	Q Did you, Mr. Sica, electronically record this
8	telephone conversation? A Yes, I did.
9	Q And did you, Mr. Sica, make an original
10	tape of this conversation available to the State Commission
11	of Investigation? A Yes, I did.
12	Q And to the best of your knowledge, is that
13	tape still in the custody of the State Commission of
14	Investigation? A Yes, it is.
15	MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you, sir,
16	Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further of
17	this witness.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Gommissioner Diana?
19	I might have some questions, but I just
20	received a phone call, so we'll just take a five-
21	minute break and then I'll have a few questions to
22	ask.
23	(Whereupon, a brief recess is taken.)
24	(After recess.)
25	THE CHATRMAN: We'll resume the hearings now.
	·

```
Yes, I did.
1
                   So this entry was made in the normal course
2
     of business with regard to your checkbook?
3
            Yes, I did.
     A
4
                   MR. O'CONNOR: I have nothing further, Mr.
5
            Chairman.
6
7
     EXAMINATION BY THE CHAIRMAN:
8
                   Just one question. If that check wasn't
9
     returned through the bank clearing house system, did you
10
     carry that for a long time as an outstanding check? Or
11
     what did you do with it as far as your balance was con-
12
     cerned?
                                 I don't recall offhand as far
13
     as the balance was concerned, but I assumed that the check
14
     was not going to be cashed and when we didn't get the
15
     yariance, and when it all backed up on the mayor, and I
16
     don't recall exactly what my check standing was at the time.
17
     but it should have been that there was always more than
18
     that $2,000 for a while, anyhow.
19
                   So you had enough there for what, a few
20
     months, to cover that?
                                        A
                                                I believe so.
21
                   THE CHARMAN: Commissioner Diana?
22
                   Mr. O'Connor, do you have any questions of
23
            the witness?
24
                   MR. O'CONNOR: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing
```

Yes, I did, 1 So this entry was made in the normal course 2 of business with regard to your checkbook? 3 Yes, I did. A 4 MR. O'CONNOR: I have nothing further, Mr. 5 Chairman. 6 7 EXAMINATION BY THE CHAIRMAN: 8 Just one question. If that check wasn't 9 returned through the bank clearing house system, did you 10 carry that for a long time as an outstanding check? Or 11 what did you do with it as far as your balance was con-12 I don't recall offhand as far cerned? 13 as the balance was concerned, but I assumed that the check 14 was not going to be cashed and when we didn't get the 15 variance, and when it all backed up on the mayor, and I 16 don't recall exactly what my check standing was at the time, 17 but it should have been that there was always more than 18 that \$2,000 for a while, anyhow. 19 So you had enough there for what, a few 20 I believe so. months, to cover that? 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Diana? 22 Mr. O'Connor, do you have any questions of 23 the witness? 24 MR. O'CONNOR: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing 25

further, but I think we should state for the record that Mayor Francavilla will have an opportunity to make a sworn statement either orally or in writing with regard to the testimony we heard.

THE CHAIRMAN: It's very true. Earlier this morning I might have mentioned, as I did yesterday morning, it's the policy of this Commission under our Fair Procedure Act that any persons whose names are mentioned by any of the witnesses or by a counsel will be given an opportunity, prior to the closing of these hearings to make a statement. So, I'll just remind anyone here who is interested, or anybody that does pick up through the press that their name has been mentioned, will be given an opportunity to come in and make a statement.

Mr. Sica, you are excused at this time. Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Your next witness, Mr. O'Connor.

MR. O'CONNOR: Mr. Chairman, I call Mr.

Kenneth M. Kraus.

KENNETH M. KRAUS, having been duly sworn according to law by the Officer, testified as follows:

23

24

25

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kraus, as I explained to Mr. Sica, and I'll go through it, also, for you, you're going to have to, number one, speak into the microphone in order to be heard properly. In addition, you will recall that on September the 8th, 1972, the Commission adopted a resolution by a majority of the members granting you immunity as far as the answers that you gave in any of the first part of your testimony. I'm going to read intact an order for you to answer questions based upon that direction of the Commission. You will, under our proceedings, be granted immunity from any of the answers and what's derived from those answers, called the fruits, against ever being used as far as subsequent criminal action against you. Is that understood?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

THE CHAIRMAN: Now, as far as any of the questions posed by Mr. O'Conmor, you are ordered and directed by the State Commission of Investigation, pursuant to the authority granted by Chapter 266, Laws of New Jersey, 1968, Section 17, and by resolution of majority of all of the members of the Commission to answer the question. Upon compliance with this order you shall be immune from having such

11	J.12.		
1	and I ask you: What was your employment at that time?		
2	A I was a vice-president and regional manager of		
3	Pepsico Truck Rental, Inc.		
4	Q Briefly, sir, would you describe for the		
5	Commission the operation of Pepsico Truck Rental, Inc.?		
6	A We were in the truck leasing and rental business		
7	to rent out vehicles on long-term lease and on short-term		
8	lease and on short-term daily rental for moving or any		
9	other purposes people needed trucks.		
10	Q Is Pepsico Truck Rental, Inc., in any way		
11	related to the Pepsi-Cola Corpolation, do you know?		
12	A Yes, it is.		
13	Q Do you know in what way?		
14	A It's a sub of North American Van Lines, which is		
15	owned by Pepsico, Inc.		
16	Q And you were a vice-president of Pepsico		
17	Truck Rental, Inc.? A Correct.		
18	Q In 1970? A Correct.		
19	Q In approximately June of 1970, Mr. Kraus,		
20	were you endeavoring to find a location for a truck terminal		
21	in New Jersey? A Yes, I was.		
22	Q Would you relate to the Commission, sir,		
23	what steps you took to locate a site?		
24	A Well, we drove around in a car. I knew the approx-		
25	imate area I wanted to be in was in Secaucus, Carlstadt or		

```
East Rutherford and ...
1
                Did you examine various sites?
2
                              490130113
           Yes, I did.
3
           O Did you have a real estate broker on retainer
4
    showing you around? A Eventually, yes.
5
                 Would you identify the broker that you had,
6
                 A A Mrs. Sechs.
    sir?
7
                 Mrs. Sachs?
                                   A Mr. Sachs.
           0
8
                                     A Correct.
                 S-2-C-11-S?
           Q
9
                And did you ultimately, sir, decide upon
10
    a particular piece of real estate?
11
          Yes, we did.
12
              And can you locate that piece of real estate
13
                        A Well, it was in the Town of
    for us?
14
    East Rutherford on Paterson Plank Road across the street
15
    from Carolina Freight Terminal.
16
                Now, are we, sir, still in the month of June,
17
     1970, to the best of your recollection?
18
          June or early July, if I remember correct.
19
                Who owned the real estate on Paterson Plank
20
    Road in East Rutherford? A It was owned by
21
    the Plank Realty Corporation or Company. I'm not sure.
22
                 Do you recall the name? I'm sorry.
23
           It may have been "Company," not "Corporation,"
24
                 Do you recall the name of the individual
           Q
25
```

	י שנאי מידור איני שיריד איני שליי איני איני איני איני איני איני איני א
1	A As far as I know, yes.
2	Q Was he at the time? A Yes.
3	Q Do you know that his position was?
4	A I think he was chief counsel of Pepsico Transporta-
5	tion, but I'm not sure.
6	MR. O'CONNOR: May I have this marked as
7	an exhibit, please.
8	(Photocopy of lease between Pepsico Truck
9	Rental, Inc., and Plank Realty Company received
10	and marked Exhibit C-5.)
11	Q Mr. Kraus, did you have sole authority to
12	negotiate with Mr. Cohen with regard to this lease, or
13	did you have to answer to any of your superiors?
14	A No, any leases had to be forwarded down to Tulsa,
15	Oklahoma, to the home office to be finalized and signed
16	down there.
17	Q And by whom would it be finalized in Tulsa?
18	A By Mr. Fox and the attorneys in Tulsa.
19	Q To the best of your knowledge, sir, during
20	the course of your negotiations for the particular lease
21	which is now an exhibit did Mr. Fox participate with you
22	in your conversations or negotiations with H. Dick Cohen?
23	A Not in the conversations with Mr. Cohen, but he
24	participated by constant conversations with me and how to
25	proceed with the lease.

11						116,
1		Q So ul	timately,	then, the	lease was	negotiated?
2	Å.	Yes,	5mg .5mg	de de mile de	- L. L. 6	ź .
3		Q And t	hat's dat	4 9 0 1 ed August :	24th, 1970	Ż
4	A	Correct.				•
5		Q All x	ight. Di	id there co	me a point	in time,
6	sir, W	ien you attem	pred to (commence co	nstruction	7
7	A	Yes.				
8		Q Do yo	na recall	when that	was, appro	ximately?
9	À	Either Septe	ember or (October, I	'm not sur	e ezactly
10	when.			,		
11		Q Now,	at that	point in ti	me did you	have any
12	reason	whatsoever t	o believ	e that the	building t	hat you
13	had co	ntemplated en	cecting w	as not a pr	oper use o	f the
14	proper	ty?		A No,	I didn't,	
15		Q Did	ou have	any problem	, sir, whe	n y ou
16	attemp	ted to const	auct the	building?		
17	À.	Yes, I did.				
18		Q And v	what was	that proble	m?	
19	À	Well, a sub	contracto	r of my bui	lder went	down to
20	file t	he plans with	n the Eas	t Rutherfor	d Building	Inspector
21	and wa	s turned dow	- A &			,
22		Q Do y	ou know w	hat the rea	son for th	e turning
23	down w	as?	A	Not permit	ted use,	
24		Q What	steps di	d you take	once you i	ound that
25	you co	uldm't build	this bui	lding?	A	I called
	H			/		

```
the owner of the property; told him about it and told him
1
     that I was going to look into cancelling the lease.
2
                   Specifically, sir, to whom did you speak?
3
            To Mr. Cohen.
     4
              And what did Mr. Cohen say?
5
           Not to worry about it: that he would take care of it
6
     and get back to me,
7
                 Did Mr. Cohen get back to you?
8
           Yes, he did.
9
              Do you recall under what circumstances he
            Q
10
     got back to you? Was it a telephone call or a personal
11
                              It was a telephone call.
     meeting?
                          A
12
                 What did he say to you?
13
           He said it would cost some money to get the permit
14
     and told me an amount, and I told him I would have to check
15
     on it.
16
                 Did he tell you how much money?
17
            Yes.
     A
18
              How much money did he say?
19
            Initially, $2,000.
20
                   Did he tell you at that time specifically
21
     what he was going to do with the $2,000?
22
            Just that it would be used for the building inspector
23
     and the mayor,
24
                  Do you know who the mayor was in East
25
```

```
No. I don't.
    Rutherford at that time? A
1
                   Do you know who the building inspector was
2
                                        No. I don't.
     at that time?
3
                  Now, after Mr. Cohen told you he needed
4
5
     $2,000 for the mayor and the building inspector what, if
     anything, did you do within your own corporation?
6
7
            I called Mr. Fox in Tulsa and told him about the
8
     situation and told him that we needed it if we wanted to
9
     go ahead.
10
                  Well, was Mr, Fox shocked or dismayed by
                                        No. he wasn't.
11
     this information?
12
                  Well, what did he say?
13
            He says it's happened before around the country
14
     and that he would look into it and get back to me within
15
     an hour,
                  Did he get back to you within an hour?
16
           Yes, he did,
17
18
                  And what, sir, did he say to you?
19
            That a check was being cut in the amount of $2,000
20
     and being forwarded to me to be cashed.
21
                  Mr. Kraus. I show you a photocopy of a check
     drawn on the account of Pepsico Truck Rental, Incorporated,
22
23
     dated October 20, 1970, made payable to the order of
     Ken Kraus, Pepsico Truck Rental, Inc., 25 South Denton
24
25
    Avenue, New Hyde Park, New York, signed by -- perhaps you
```

	12V.		
1	Q Did he again go into any detail as to what		
2	he was going to do with the money?		
3	A Just the same thing as before; that it would be used		
4	for the building inspector and the mayor.		
5	Q Did he in any way identify the building in-		
6	spector or the mayor? A Just that the		
7	mayor was a painter and that was all.		
8	Q Did you ever hear him refer to the mayor as		
9	Mr. Plosia? A No, I didn't.		
10	Q Did you ever hear him refer to the building		
11	inspector as Mr. Zanka? A No.		
12	Q Did he indicate to you, Mr. Kraus, that		
13	he knew the mayor and the building inspector in any way?		
14	A Yes, he did.		
15	Q So at this luncheon meeting did you, in fact,		
16	then turn over the \$2,000 to him?		
17	A Yes, I did.		
18	Q Now, up to this point in time as far as		
19	Pepsico Truck Rental, Inc., was concerned it could not get		
20	a building permit to build this building; is that correct?		
21	A Correct.		
22	Q Did there come a point in time when you did		
23	ultimately set that permit? A Yes, there did.		
24	Q How long, sir, after you gave Mr. Cohen the		
25	\$2,000 did you get the permit? A I think it		
	· ·		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	was about two weeks.
2	Q Did you have to file any legal action what-
3	soever? A No, not that I know of. I
4	Q Well, had any legal steps been taken,
5	wouldn't you, in fact, been aware of them?
6	A I think I would have, and I don't know of any.
7	Q Mr. Kraus, I also place before you a document
8	which has previously been entered, and ask you if you can
9	identify that, sir. A Well, the bottom
10	is the stub of the check that we related to before, and
11	the top was a bill that we got because of the check, the
12	issuance of the check.
13	Q So, in other words, Pepsico had to somehow
14	or other enter that \$2,000 on its books?
15	A Of course,
16	Q Now, specifically referring to that document,
17	how does Pepsico Truck Rental carry the \$2,000 on its
18	books? A From this document it would be
19	charged as architectural services for the East Rutherford
20	job.
21	MR. O'CONNOR: Will you mark that, John?
22	(Photocopy of check, dated October 2, 1970,
23	received and marked Exhibit C-6.)
24	(Photocopy of voucher received and marked
25	Exhibit C-7.)

5

6 7

9

8

11

10

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. Kraus, referring to the bottom of Exhibit C-7, which you have just identified, I see Youcher Number 517 and the following legend: "Funds to be used for local promotion in the opening of the East Rutherford, New Jersey station." Do you have any idea, sir, what that language means?

A Well, it means, probably, that it's what they could think of at the time for writing the check.

Thank you. Mr. Kraus, I have before me an additional document, which I'll read to you. It's rather brief. 'For and in consideration of the services rendered to me by F. Philip Devasto Agency, 405 Paterson Avenue, East Rutherford, New Jersey, in obtaining a lease for premises known and designated as Lot 14 and Block 107 on the tax map of East Rutherford, New Jersey, between the undersigned as tenant and Plank Realty, a partnership, as landlord, a copy of which lease is attached hereto and made a part hereof, we, the undersigned, hereinagree to pay to the said F. Philip DeVasto Agency a 'finder's fee' at the rate of six per cent per annum for the duration of said lease, this payment to be made" blank "from the execution of said lease. In witness hereof we have hereunto caused presence to be signed by our duly authorized officer the 7th day of September, 1970." There is a space, sir, for someone from Truck Rental, Pepsico Truck Rental, to sign

```
I ask you, sir, have you ever seen that document?
1
     Â
             Yes.
2
                    Could you explain to the Commission Exactly
 3
     what that document represents?
 4
            Well, it was in addition to the $2,000. A finder's
 5
     fee was to be paid for getting the permit to build in
 б
     East Rutherford.
 7
                   Well, who asked Pepsico to sign an agreement
 8
     to pay a six per cent finder's fee?
 9
            Mr. Cohen,
10
                   Did, to your knowledge, in any way the Devasto
11
     Agency participate in either the lease negotiations or
12
     locating the Paterson Plank Road site?
13
            No.
14
                   When Mr. Cohen made his request to have
            Q
15
     this agreement to pay a finder's fee executed, what did
16
     you do with it, sir?
                                                I sent it down
17
     to Tulsa, Oklahoma, to be executed.
18
                   Did you talk to Mr. Fox about it?
19
            Yes, I did.
20
                   And what did Mr. Fox say about that?
21
           Well, I think it was a day later than the $2,000
     A
22
     came up and we did an additional budgeting on the location
23
     and the profitability of it, and it was no hardship for us
24
     to pay it and we felt as long as this would get the job
25
```

	Then have made to
1	Q Is there any particular reason, to your
2	knowledge, why it was never finished?
3	A Yes. It seems that it was in the Meadow Kands area
4	and it was stopped by the Meadowlands.
5	Q The Hackensack Meadowlands Commission?
6	A Right.
	Q Didn't they, in fact, rule that this was
7	not a conforming use of that real estate?
8	
9	A I don't know what the ruling was. I don't know if
10	that was it or they condemned the property.
11	Q Now, directing your attention again, Mr.
12	Kraus, to what we have marked as Exhibit C-8, the agreement
13	to pay this six per cent finder's fee, did that actually
14	go into effect? A I don't know for sure if
15	it was ever signed or not signed. I assume it was.
16	Q Well, did you ever have any subsequent
17	conversations with H. Tick Cohen about it?
18	A Yes, sometime in November or December. I'm not
19	sure when,
20	Q And what was that conversation, sir?
21	A I think it was right before or after the Meadowlands
22	Commission came into the picture he called and said that
23	they were forgetting about the finder's-fee arrangement
24	and to forget about it.
25	ned was know at the time. Mr. Kraus, that

Mayor Plosia from East Rutherford was actually a salesman in the Devasto Agency?

A No, I did not.

MR. O'COMNOR: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing

further at this time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Diana?

COMMISSIONER DIANA: No questions.

EXAMINATION BY THE CHAIRMAN:

Q I wonder if you could explain to us the land that you were going to lease, what were you going to build there, and what did you eventually build there and explain what happened to the building and so forth.

A Well, we were building--I think the site was sixtysomething-thousand square feet and we were going to build
about a 10,000-square-foot drive-through, light-maintenance
facility to do minor repairs on trucks, and washing, and
to do all the minor maintenance on all our vehicles, and
as a leasing and rental location for the area.

What happened was that the Meadowlands came in, the building was up in its shell, and condemned it, and from what I understand the building has been demolished and taken away.

Q Did you ever utilize the vacant land prior to putting up the building? A Oh, yes. We were on the vacant land from sometime in September of '70.

1	Q In other words, was that one of the reasons
2	you believe Oklahoma didn't fight signing this finder's
3	agreement; this looked Dike a good moneymaker?
4	A Well, it was making money from the day we opened it.
5	It was an excellent location for a truck rental and leasing.
6	Q And did you have the trucks just parked out
7	there in the open? A Yes, we did.
8	THE CHAIRMAN: No other questions, Mr. O'Conno
9	MR, O'CONNOR: Not of Mr. Kraus at this time.
10	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I have no questions
11	Commissioner Diana?
12	You are excused, Mr. Kraus.
13	MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mr. Kraus,
14	(Witness excused.)
15	
16	MR. O'GONNOR: Mr. Chairman, at this time,
17	with your permission, I would like to enter an exhibit
18	into the record.
19	Would you mark that, please, John.
20	(Photocopy of letter, dated September 13,
21	1972, received and marked Exhibit C-9.)
22	MR. O'CONNOR: For the record, Exhibit G-9.
23	It's a letter under date of September 13th, 1972,
24	in the matter of H. Dick Cohen, It's addressed,
25	'To Whom It May Concern: The above patient was

hospitalized from August 11 to August 25, 1972, for myocardial insufficiency and auricular fibrillation and he still is under my active care. Although he is now home working part time, I feel that it would be dangerous for him to undergo the stress of questioning before the investigative committee for at least four weeks. He needs that interval to allow his medical situation to stabilize more fully.

"Thank you."

Signed 'Robert L. Moskowitz, M.D."

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand, from Mt. O'Commor that he has no further witnesses to put on the stand this morning. I would like to read into the record a statement.

As previously noted, the Commission is under a court order restraining it from publicly taking testimony from several witnesses. Therefore, the Commission is not closing this hearing, but, rather, it is continuing the hearing pending the outcome of that litigation, and we refer to that restraining order yesterday.

The Commission at this time renews its plea to the people of the State of New Jersey to come forward with additional information that might be

brought to the attention of the public in the area of zoning and planning land uses and land development.

The Commission also would like to point out that it has not called witnesses who would be classified as public officials in this particular hearing because to do so would invoke for them the immunity provisions of the State Public Immunity Law. However under our policy and the State Fair Procedure Code, any person mentioned in this hearing has an opportunity to appear before us voluntarily and make a statement under oath. We, of course, would continue the hearings to a date when we know the litigation has been completed, and at that time we will entertain any of the persons whose names have been mentioned to appear here and give us their statement.

The Commission under the provisions of its statute and established policy will be referring the records of this investigation to the United States Attorney's Office in Newark and the State Attorney General's Office in Trenton. Considerable additional and corroborative testimony and other data is in these records but was not presented at these hearings, so as to not interfere with the considerations of the above-named offices.

This, then, for the time being concludes our

taking of testimony on the abuses, the municipal planning, zoning and land development until the aforementioned litigation is finally adjudicated. We will have absolutely no comment to make on that litigation, since, as I explained yesterday, the Court has impounded all the papers and we are not at liberty to disclose anything further than that we will have to wait and adjourn these hearings until that litigation is completed. Thank you. (Whereupon, the hearing adjourns.) 27.00

STATE OF NEW JE COMMISSION OF INVES O O 4 9 0 1 3 0 1 IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION CERTI			
O O 4 9 O 1 3 O 1 IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION			
IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION	3 1		
AT CO SECURITY TECHNICAL STATE SHOW SECURITY STATES SHOW SECURITY SHOWS SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHOW SHO	.4		
	FICATE		
	F		
ORGANIZED CRIME AND CORRUPTION IN REPO	RTER		
THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY.	E 3		
8			
9			
10			
11 I, JOHN J. PROUT, JR., a Certified Short	hand		
12 Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New	Jersey, do		
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and	hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate		
14 transcript of the stenographic notes as taken b	y me in the		
above-mentioned matter, at the place and on the date			
hereinbefore set forth.			
17			
18 If rail	47		
JOHN J. PROUT, J. Certified Shorthand	Reporter		
19 Certified Shorthand			
20 Certified Shorthand			
20			
20 21			