

NEW JERSEY STATE PLANNING COMMISSION P.O. BOX 820 TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08625-0820

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

LT. GOVERNOR TAHESHA L. WAY SECRETARY OF STATE

THOMAS K. WRIGHT CHAIRMAN

WALTER C. LANE
Acting Executive Director/Acting Secretary

State Planning Commission/Monmouth County Negotiation Session
July 16, 2025 – 11:30 a.m./July 28, 2025 – 9:00 a.m.
Zoom Video Conference
Meeting ID: 841 7257 1202

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84172571202

## **AGENDA**

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Purpose of Negotiation Session

Walter Lane, Acting Executive Director

- 3. Public Participation during Negotiation Session
  - Walter Lane, Acting Executive Director
- 4. Negotiation of County Cross Acceptance Response Items
  Appendix A
- 5. Negotiation of Statewide Policy Items
  Appendix B
- 6. Public Comment

## Appendix A - Monmouth County Cross Acceptance Response Items

PSDRP GOAL/SECTION	PSDRP PAGE	CAR PAGE	DESCRIPTION		County/NE AGREE/DISAGREE
			The need for additional Emergency Services generated by new development should be addressed.		
General				Monmouth County	
			Restructure the narrative of each of the 10 aspirational goals to make them more impactful. For each section, there should		
			first be a discussion of why the issue is a priority for the state, followed by a set of goals that reflect what success would look		
			like, followed by a list of strategies for planners to employ in order to reach the specified goals.		
General		15		Monmouth County	
			Tourism is a major economic factor in Belmar and elsewhere along "the Shore." Should be discussed in	,	
Economic Development	17	7 M15	economic development section.	Belmar	
			Location Appropriateness: Many of the newly built or proposed affordable housing developments are located within Planning		
			Areas 4A, 4B, and even PA-5. Municipalities located entirely or primarily within these Planning Areas face a significant		
			challenge: they are expected to meet third and fourth round affordable housing obligations in areas not designed or planned		
			for intense infrastructure, high-density development, or large-scale groundwater discharge into sensitive watersheds. These		
			municipalities often have no other viable location options.		
Housing	23	3 4	1	Monmouth County	
		1	the New Jersey State Development and Redevelopment Plan (NJSDRP) should provide clear guidance on balancing affordable		
			housing development with environmental constraints.	1	
Housing	23	3 5	5	Monmouth County	
			The current Housing Element of the State Plan omits any discussion of the intersection between housing and healthcare,		
			including the state's efforts with the "Housing First" model.		
			If the Plan aims to promote forward-thinking, integrated, and equitable planning strategies, it should		
			acknowledge and build upon programs like the Hospital Partnership Subsidy Program. The Plan needs to recognize the		
	2.0		connection between housing security, long-term community stability, and public health as important components to achieving	1	
Housing	23	3 16	holistic community well-being.	Monmouth County	
			The State Plan would need to include an evaluation of risks (such as major storms, flooding, housing unaffordability, and		
			economic opportunities) and identify local and regional actions that the County could take to create a more sustainable,		
			resilient, and vibrant future while considering impacts to environmentally vulnerable and transportation disadvantaged.		
Infrastructure	30	0 5	5	Monmouth County	
			To better meet local needs, it is recommended that the Preliminary State Plan Infrastructure Goal be more expansive in the		
			passage related to wastewater treatment infrastructureThe NJSDRP does not adequately support the need for updating		
			current wastewater systems to accommodate future needs, or the expansion of capacity where the population is expected to		
			increase, or the limitations that should be placed on privately maintained, independent water treatment systems intended to		
			accommodate large scale developments in conflict with conserving and protecting rural and/or environmentally sensitive		
Infrastructure	30	0 5	lands.	Monmouth County	
iiiiasti ucture	30	<del>`                                     </del>	The State Plan should call for identifing and addressing barriers to mobility and provide shareable		+
		1			
Infrastructura	34	۔ ا	strategic recommendations for overcoming mobility challenges. This matter relates directly to equity, social mobility, health	Monmouth Country	
Infrastructure	30	5	and safety, community resilience, and economic development.	Monmouth County	
		1	Alignment between State Plan Area intentions and actual Development Induced Infrastructure: The WQM plan strives to		
		1	balance population growth with environmental protection, and proposed changes are reviewed for consistency with various		
			pertinent land use policies, zoning regulation, and infrastructure planning. It is noted that NJDEP works in coordination of the		
		1	Monmouth County to review each application. The State agency should continue to work closely with, but independent of		
		1	Monmouth County. This strategy of "appropriateness of placement" regarding future sewer service areas is threatened by the		
		1	siting of large-scale residential sites in Planning Areas 4, 4b, and 5 to accommodate the State's municipal affordable housing		
Infrastructure	30	0 5	mandates.	Monmouth County	
			Additionally, within Infrastructure, the conversation about warehouses or industrial facilities and their need for infrastructure	.,	
		1	infusion is mute in the State Plan. Warehouses bring in a large influx of workers and infrastructure may need to be improved		
Infrastructure	30	ء ام	for transportation networks, or public services.	Monmouth County	
ann astructure	30	<del>`</del>	, ,	iviolilloutil Coulity	+
		1	Utilities Infrastructure: The Plan should address aging infrastructure beyond transportation, particularly utilities that need		
		_[	policy support and state investment, such as upgrades to electrical grid, retrofitting equipment, resilient utility infrastructure.	L. ,	
Infrastructure	30	0 17	7	Monmouth County	

	<del>                                     </del>	Investment Prioritization Infractructure ungrades should be prioritized based on public health and safety. For exemple	T T
Infrastructure	30	Investment Prioritization: Infrastructure upgrades should be prioritized based on public health and safety—for example, 17 replacing lead water pipes and resilience in locations vulnerable to the effects of climate change.	Monmouth County
iiii asti actule	30	Affordable Housing and Environmental Impact: The state's affordable housing mandates create new infrastructure demands.	Informitional Country
		The Plan should consider how these requirements impact natural systems, particularly large amounts of groundwater disposal	
Infrastructure	30	17 in rural and environmentally sensitive areas.	Monmouth County
mi astractare	- 30	Water Protection and Treatment: The protection of groundwater and surface water, essential sources of drinking water, must	inioinioutii county
		be raised as a concern. The Plan should link development to needed investments in water and sewer treatment facilities,	
		improving capacity, efficiency, and containment - replacing	
Infrastructure	30	17 components that could fail resulting in environmental contamination.	Monmouth County
		Connecting Suburbs to Jobs: The Plan should propose strategies and give examples on how the state proposes how	
		jurisdictions could retrofit and link dispersed, post-WWII suburban developments to specific employment centers.	
Infrastructure	30	17	Monmouth County
		The long-term shift toward remote and hybrid work has not been fully integrated into discussions about regional	,
1		transportation planning or housing policy. In particular, there has been limited attention paid to how changes in commuter	
Infrastructure	30	18 behavior are reshaping demand for public transit and influencing infrastructure needs.	Monmouth County
		Broaden Pedestrian Planning: Instead of focusing solely on areas around train stations, pedestrian circulation improvements	,
1		should extend to:	
		Bus-oriented development areas	
		☐ Isolated clusters of commercial properties and their connection to each other and nearby residences	
Infrastructure	30	18 🗵 Cultural and entertainment destinations and surrounding supportive land uses	Monmouth County
		Clarify Mixed Transportation Concepts: The paragraph that combines the reuse of abandoned rights-of-way (ROWs), high-	, i
		occupancy vehicles, and pedestrian/bicycle infrastructure needs better cohesion. These topics should be presented with a	
Infrastructure	30	18 clear and unifying purpose or goal.	Monmouth County
		There needs to be a conversation in the State Plan about how centering agricultural resources is as important as centering the	, i
		built environment. These resources require a critical mass of land in a location proximate to each other to maintain their	
Revitalizing & Recentering	35	6 economnic viability long term.	Monmouth County
<b>5 5 5</b>		the plan only dedicates one paragraph to "Carefully reevaluate local land use policies," and two related to reducing the burden	, l
		of parking. In the case of Monmouth County and its 53 municipalities addressing recentering in this piecemeal way will not	
		keep up with the demand for construction in suburban and rural areas. Additionally, making a priority to develop streamlined	
		review processes may be misappropriated and applied to unwanted single use greenfield development, including those	
		proposed in environmentally sensitive areas. A holistic approach is needed throughout the state to limit the development of	
Revitalizing & Recentering	35	7 sprawl.	Monmouth County
		The plan should also consider local traffic issues and ensure that state policies don't interfere with town efforts to revitalize	, i
Revitalizing & Recentering	35 N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Eatontown
		Although the CRS program is crucial to the implementation of best practices in climate resilience, it is not mentioned within	
Climate Change	39	8 the NJSDRP.	Monmouth County
		Climate change will continue to impact shore communities unmitigated and property, and potentially, lives will continue to be	
		lost if robust action is not taken. State guidance and assistance would allow for more municipalities to participate in the NFIP	
Climate Change	39	8 CRS program, creating a safer, more resilient shore.	Monmouth County
		Climate Change: Reference to the Stressors Map is not in the appendix or state website.	
Climate Change	39	9	Monmouth County
-		Deer management/hunting should be mentioned somewhere in the Habitat Preservation and Restoration Priorities of the	
		Natural and Water Resources Goal. Deer are one of the biggest threats to our local conservation and restoration efforts.	
Natural and Water Resources	43	9	Monmouth County
		The County recommends a final review of scenic roadways before they are included in on the State Policy	
Historic & Scenic Resources	51	11 Map as HCS to verify that they still meet the definition of scenic.	Monmouth County
		it is not clear what "Encourage voluntary, speedy documentation of archaeological finds" means. Clarification on what is	
1		meant by voluntary is important.	
Historic and Scenic Resources	52	16	Monmouth County
	52	<del></del>	

r				
		The Equity element isn't broad enough in its conversation about marginalized groups and only offers a "appropriate action" to		
		be taken without offering much in the way of substantial guidance.		
		While it is important to recognize the needs of rural populations, equity must be addressed comprehensively. The absence of		
		broader representation in this discussion reduces a complex, intersectional issue to a narrow lens centered on a		
		predominantly white demographic. If the state is serious about advancing equity, the Plan must reflect the full spectrum of		
		communities affected by historically repressive policies—and actively propose strategies to help all marginalized groups thriv		
Equity	55	16	Monmouth County	
		"The only land in New Jersey that is located outside the Pinelands and designated as a Military Installation is the Picatinny		
		Arsenal in Morris County." This needs to be revised.		
SPPM	78	15	Monmouth County	
		the current draft fails to consider land uses in the vicinity of the bases. Supporting the military's mission by diminishing		
		potential future land use conflicts between the public and military for both safety and security reasons should be identified at	ı	
SPPM	78	15 objective of the State Plan.	Monmouth County	
		If a specific best management practice or state guideline exists, then the Plan should cite the reference to this practice to		
		establish expectations. If one does not exist, the Plan should provide references to best industry practices, relevant case		
		studies, or emerging guidance. This does not apply only to decarbonization practices (pg. 40), but for other concepts posited,		
		such as "urban forestry principles" (pg. 44), "carrying capacity" (pg. 46), etc.		
various	40, 44, 46	16	Monmouth County	
		Identify preserved farms on the State Plan Map to reveal regional "centering" of farmland preservation investments over time		
Mapping	N/A	19	Monmouth County	
		Naval Weapon Station Earle, the New Jersey National Guard Training Center in Sea Girt, and the Sandy Hook Coast Guard		
		Station should be included in in the Military Installations Classification, not the current the Environmentally Sensitive Area (PA	١-	
Mapping	N/A	19 5).	Monmouth County	
Mapping	N/A	19 Identify "Areas in Need of Redevelopment" as primary investment areas on the State Plan Policy Map.	Monmouth County	
		All Open Space in Monmouth County to be shown as PA-8: All County owned open space and parkland should be identified as		
Mapping	N/A	19 PA-8 along with any municipal parklands conveyed to the state on our official open space layer.	Monmouth County	
Mapping	N/A	19 Include Designated State Scenic Byways on the New Jersey State Plan Map	Monmouth County	
		CN1: The draft State Development and Redevelopment Map should be corrected to delineate Block 56.01 in the south east		
Mapping	N/A	21 corner of the Township as PA5.	Colts Neck	
Mapping	N/A	21 E1: Monmouth Mall Area in Need of Redevelopment	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	22 E2: Existing Downtown Area in Need of Redevelopment	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	22 E3: Filming Overlay Zone	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	22 E4: Identify as Historic District.	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	22 E5: ROSI – Maxwell Street Playground	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	23 E6: ROSI Wampum Lake Park	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	23 E7: ROSI Wolcott Park	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	23 E8: ROSI Bliss Price Arboretum	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	24 E9: ROSI Capilupi Tract	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	24 E10: ROSI Husky Brook Park	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	24 E11: ROSI 80 Acres Park	Eatontown	
Mapping	N/A	24 FT1: 1147 Burke Road - now owned by NJ DEP.	Freehold Twp	
Mapping	N/A	25 FT2: 1155 Burke Road [Blk 91, Lot 49] now owned by NJ DEP	Freehold Twp	
Mapping	N/A	25 FT3: 55 Turkey Swamp Road [Blk 92, Lot 47] - now owned by NJ DEP	Freehold Twp	
Mapping	N/A	25 FT4: 100 Cottrell Rd [Blk 102, Lot 93] - now owned by NJ DEP	Freehold Twp	
Mapping	N/A	26 FTS: 28 Cottrell Rd [Blk 102, Lot 40] - Now owned by NJ DEP	Freehold Twp	
Mapping	N/A	26 FT6: 305 Hendrickson Rd [Blk 102, Lot 46] - Now owned by NJ DEP (Green Acres)	Freehold Twp	
Mapping	N/A	26 MT1: Node for Lincroft Business District	Middletown	
Mapping	N/A	26 MT2: 100 Schultz Drive Redevelopment Area	Middletown	
Mapping	N/A	27 MT3: 325 Highway 36 Redevelopment Area	Middletown	
Mapping	N/A	27 MT4: Circus Liquors Redevelopment Area	Middletown	
Mapping	N/A	27 MT5: Half Mile Road Redevelopment Area	Middletown	
Mapping	N/A	28 MT6: Municipal Complex Redevelopment Area	Middletown	
Mapping	N/A	28 MT7: North Middletown Redevelopment Area	Middletown	
Mapping	N/A	28 MT8: Port Belford Redevelopment Area	Middletown	
iviahhilig	IV/A	20 Junto. Fort Bellotu Nedevelopinent Area	IVIIUUIELUWII	

Mapping	N/A	29 MT9: River Centre South Redevelopment Area	Middletown
Mapping	N/A	29 MT10: Provided ROSI Map, identify as parkland.	Middletown
Mapping	N/A	29 MT11: Node for Campbell's Junction Business District	Middletown
Mapping	N/A	29 R1: NJDEP Park - Assunpink Preserve	Roosevelt
Mapping	N/A	30TF1: Not park areas.	Tinton Falls
Mapping	N/A	30 TF2: Change PA5/PA2 boundary to include all of Willowbrook development in PA2.	Tinton Falls
Mapping	N/A	30 TF3: Include residential developments around Sam Drive, Daniel Court & Hockhockson Road in PA2	Tinton Falls
Mapping	N/A	31 TF4: There is no park here. Change to PA2.	Tinton Falls
Mapping	N/A	31 TF5: Include new Enclave at Shark River housing development in PA-2. They installed sewer.	Tinton Falls
Mapping	N/A	31 WI: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, CONTIGUOUS TO LARGE PARK	Wall
Mapping	N/A	31 W2: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, CONTIGUOUS TO LARGE PARK	Wall
типрриц	14/7	W3: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, FARMLAND OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, CENTER OF TOWN WHERE MASTER PLAN	1100
Mapping	N/A	32 CALLS OUT PRESERVING RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL CHARACTER	Wall
аррв	1.17.1	W4: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, FARMLAND OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, CENTER OF TOWN WHERE MASTER PLAN	110
Mapping	N/A	32 CALLS OUT PRESERVING RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL CHARACTER	Wall
Mapping	N/A	32 W5:	Wall
Mapping	N/A	33 W6: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, WETLANDS, ALSO TWO PARCELS ON COUNTY TARGET FARMS LIST	Wall
типрринд	14/7	W: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, SOILS OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, WETLANDS, ON COUNTY TARGET FARM LIST, NEAR	110
Mapping	N/A	33 CENTER OF TOWN WHICH MASTER PLAN CALLS OUT FOR RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL CHARACTER	Wall
аррв	1.17.1	WS: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, SOILS OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, CENTER OF TOWN WHERE MASTER PLAN	110
Mapping	N/A	33 ENCOURAGES RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL CHARACTER	Wall
аррв	1.17.1	W9: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, SOILS OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, CENTER OF TOWN WHERE MASTER PLAN	110
Mapping	N/A	33 ENCOURAGES RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL CHARACTER	Wall
тифриц	14/7	W10: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, SOILS OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, CENTER OF TOWN WHERE MASTER PLAN	110
Mapping	N/A	34 ENCOURAGES RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL CHARACTER	Wall
аррв	1.17.1	W11: USDA PRIME FARMLAND SOILS. SOILS OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, CENTER OF TOWN WHERE MASTER PLAN	110
Mapping	N/A	34 ENCOURAGES RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL CHARACTER	Wall
Mapping	N/A	34 W12: USDA PRIME FARMLAND, SURROUNDED BY STATE PARK	Wall
Mapping	N/A	35 W13: USDA PRIME FARMLAND, SURROUNDED BY STATE PARK	Wall
Mapping	N/A	35 W14: FARMLAND OF UNIQUE IMPORTANCE IN WETLANDS SURROUNDED BY WETLANDS AND OPEN SPACE.	Wall
Mapping	N/A	35 W15: FARMLAND OF UNIQUE IMPORTANCE IN WETLANDS SURROUNDED BY WETLANDS AND OPEN SPACE.	Wall
	11971	W16: SOME FARMLAND OF UNIQUE IMPORTANCE, SOME WETLANDS ADJACENT TO ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS	1
Mapping	N/A	35	Wall
Mapping	N/A	36 W17: PRIME FARMLAND SOILS, IN MIXED AREA	Wall
ιτιαρριιίδ	IV/A	30 WEET THERE THE SOLD, HE WINED AREA	TYUII

## **Appendix B: Statewide Policy Issues**

PSDRP GOAL/SECTION	PSDRP PAGE	DESCRIPTION	JURISDICTION	NOTES	AGREE/DISAGREE
General		Add definitions requested from public comment to glossary			
General		Formatting of document will be done for revised draft final			
General		Clarifying language requested from public comment will be added to revised draft final	State		
Pollution and Environmental Cleanup	48	Waste Management and Recycling: add language on illegal dumping	State		
Comprehensive Planning	61	Remove the term "Areas of Critical State Concern" and incorporate concepts into a revised Special Resource Area defintion and policy.	State		
Comprehensive Planning	62	Recognize the following as Special Resource Areas: The Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, The Skylands Region, The Sourland Mountain Region, The Great Swamp, Peter J. Barnes III Wildlife Preserve, and Rancocas Creek.	State		
Comprehensive Planning	62	Special Resource areas be mapped on the State Plan Policy Map.	State	Currently, Special Resource Areas are not mapped.	
Comprehensive Planning		Plan Endorsement: The process of pursuing Plan Endorsement/Center Designation by a municipality and the benefits for receiveing endorsement are not balanced.	State	While Plan Endorsement is in the Plan generally, the specifics of endorsement are in the Guidelines and Benefits documents, respectively.	
State Plan Policy Map		Planning Areas: remove adjacency criteria.	State	Example: a PA2 will not need to be next to a PA1.	
State Plan Policy Map		Planning Areas: remove land greater than 1 sq. mile criteria.	State		
State Plan Policy Map	77	Designate Parks, Open Space, and Natural Areas as an official Planning Area	State	The 2001 Plan does not consider Parks, Open Space, and Natural Areas a Planning Area.	
State Plan Policy Map		Create a new Planning Area that reflects developed areas that are subject to current and future climate risk.	State	Example: PA1B and PA2B	
State Plan Policy Map		Create a new Planning Area that recognizes a Rural Planning Area (PA4) that has development.	State	Example: PA4C	
State Plan Policy Map	78	Centers: Addition/reintroduction of Cores within Centers	State	This concept was introduced in the 2001 Plan and removed in the Preliminary Plan.	
State Plan Policy Map	78	Centers: Revise the defintion of Center.	State		
State Plan Policy Map/Comprehensive Planning	78	Centers/Plan Endorsement: Remove Center Designation expirations	State	Centers/cores/nodes expire after 10 years unless you are one of the few permanent centers.	
State Plan Policy Map	78	The State Plan should include a list of identified Centers	State		
State Plan Policy Map	76	Critical Environmental Site: if the land greater than 1 sq. mile criteria is removed that CESs should become critical environmental areas.	State	CESs in the 2001 Plan were meant for areas less than 1 sq. mile.	
State Plan Policy Map	76	Separate Critical Environmental Site and Historical Cultural Site (HCS)	State		
Implementation		Implement the State Plan as a guide.	State	SPC received many comments on having the State Plan not impose on local zoning and regulation changes.	
Implementation		Strengthen language regarding coordination between the State Plan, State Agencies, and municipalities/Counties.	State		