D	epar	tment	of	Edu	ıcati	on
v	epai	unent	UΙ	Ľu	u	ucau

Department of Education				T	1			1
Performance Indicators - July 2014	Frequency	Desired Trend	School Year 2011-12 (FY12)	School Year 2012-13 (FY13)	% Change	FY14 Target	FY15 Target	Notes:
STUDENT LEARNING & ACHIEVEMENT								
Math Assessment - Students Proficient or						76.0%		
Advanced Proficient	Annual	Increase	76.4%	75.7%	-1.0%	70.070	(a)	
Language Assessment - Students Proficient or Advanced Proficient	Annual	Increase	69.6%	69.7%	1.0%	71.0%	(a)	
Number of Advanced Placement Tests Taken	Annual	Increase	86,477	93,904	8.6%	93,500	97,700	
Number of Advanced Placement Tests Scored		·	62.550	60.720	0.10/	67.220	71.500	
Three or Higher High School Graduation Rate	Annual Annual	Increase Increase	63,559 86.5%	68,738 87.5%	8.1% 1.0%	67,320 88.4%	71,500 88.5%	
Priority schools implementing a high-quality	Ailliuai	Increase	80.570	67.570	1.0%	88.470	88.370	
curriculum approved by the State	Annual	Maintain	(b)	100.0%	N/A	100.0%	100.0%	
Districts implementing kindergarten readiness	A1	T	(1-)	1.00/	NT/A	20.00/	25.00/	
measure	Annual	Increase	(b)	1.0%	N/A	20.0%	25.0%	
Districts implementing K-3 reading assessment	Annual	Increase	(b)	10.0%	N/A	20.0%	25.0%	
Districts implementing instructional improvement								
system	Annual	Increase	(b)	(b)	N/A	10.0%	20.0%	
EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS	1		1		I		ı	
Local Education Agencies completing Core Implementation Requirements - Teacher								
Evaluation Evaluation	Annual	Increase	(b)	(b)	N/A	95.0%	98.0%	
Local Education Agencies completing Core								
Implementation Requirements - Principal			4.	4.)	27/4	00.004	05.00	
Evaluation Change in average cycle time for completion of	Annual	Increase	(b)	(b)	N/A	90.0%	95.0%	
teacher certification reviews	Annual	Decrease	28 days	24.5 days	-12.5%	24.5 days	21 days	
CHOICE, INNOVATION AND COMMUNITY								
ENGAGEMENT		1	1					
								Criteria for calculating high performing charter school seats was
								revised for the 2012-13 school year to
Number of Students in High Performing Charter		į,	40.0					align with the more rigorous
Schools Number of Students Participating in Inter-district	Annual	Increase	48.0%	47.5%	-1.0%	49.0%	53.0%	Performance Framework standards.
Choice	Annual	Increase	2,156	3,357	55.7%	4,680	5,163	
DISTRICT AND SCHOOL PERFORMANCE								
AND EFFICIENCY	•		1		T			
Students with Individualized Education Plans								
graduating from high school with a regular diploma	Annual	Increase	74.5%	75.9%	1.9%	76.0%	77.0%	
Students with Individualized Education Plans			, ,,,,,	, 23, 70	21,2 / 0		7,100,70	
aged 6 through 21 served inside the regular class								
80% or more of the day The count of schools that have failed to meet the	Annual	Increase	48.0%	47.5%	-1.0%	49.0%	49.5%	
75% graduation rate	Annual	Decrease	40	38	-5.0%	37	36	
RESPONSIVENESS AND SERVICE								
Districts Receiving 80% or Higher on all five								
Quality Single Accountability Continuum District Performance Reviews		Imanaga	80.0%	01.00/	1 20/	92.00/	82.0%	
NJSMART Help Desk Inquiries	Annual Annual	Increase N/A	26,570	81.0% 19,499	1.3% -26.6%	82.0% N/A	82.0% N/A	
NJSMART Inquiries returned with 24 hours	Annual	Increase	99.6%	100.0%	0.4%	100.0%	100.0%	
SCHOOL FINANCE								
School and Other Capital Project Long Range								The FY14 target is higher due to ROD
Facilities plans approved	Annual	N/A	842	1,091	29.6%	2,000	900	4 grants release.
Discontinuo Consta Control to constal de consulto	A1	NT/A	127	100	42.10/	106	106	
Discretionary Grants Contracts awarded annually	Annual	N/A	137	196	43.1%	196	196	
Entitlement Grants Contracts awarded annually	Annual	N/A	3,305	3,326	0.6%	3,010	3,010	
Total Federal Grant Dollars Administered (in			4					
Millions) Federal Grant Dollars Returned to Federal	Annual	N/A	\$861	\$833	-3.3%	\$833	\$833	
Government Grant Dollars Returned to Federal	Annual	Decrease	0.29%	0.18%	-37.9%	0.01%	0.01%	FY14 data available in January 2015
			,,,0		27.270	5.5170	3.0270	vandau j 2010

Notes: (a) With the first full scale implementation of Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers assessments in fiscal year 2015, new benchmark targets will be set by the Department. (b) Development year