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Pulaski Skyway
Feasibility Assessment Study

Historic Sites Council Meeting
Today’s Agenda

- Historic Overview
- Project Overview
- Barrier Issues
  - Concrete Parapet Recommendations
  - Steel Parapet Recommendations
- Deck Replacement Options
- Lighting Standard
- Questions
Historic Overview

- Listed on National Register of Historic Places
- Achieved ASCE Landmark Status
- The advisory Board to NJ Highway Commission approved a report on August 1923 that formed the general basis for the present Route 1&9 Corridor
- Exact locations and configurations of the Skyway were not finalized until 1929
The Advisory Board outlined 5 points that defined the overall plan and construction approach:

- Use economical grades
- Elimination of curvature
- Elimination of grade crossings (street and railroad)
- Elimination of drawbridges
- Additional Safety issues
- The proposed road was to be approximately 50 ft wide, sufficient to accommodate 5 traffic lanes (2 each direction, 1 10 ft for emergency use)
- Volume at the time was estimated at 5,500 vehicles per day
Planning and Construction

- Elimination of grade crossings forced the highway on structure
- Designers decided that the ramps should enter at the middle of the highway, which was widened and divided at these entry points
- The ramp system and its development is considered of historic significance, for it was one of the first attempts to create a coherent elevated highway network
The Section of the Route 1 extension, called “the Route 25 Connection Link,” that comprises the majority of the current Pulaski Skyway was officially opened on Thanksgiving Day, November 23, 1932.
Historical Significance

- In 1932 the Route 1 Extension represented the single largest highway construction project undertaken in the US.
- The Corridor was once described as “the greatest highway project in the United States today.”
- It was also the first roadway project where public time-saving was used to justify dramatic capitol expenditures.
Crash Rates Result in Changes

- Trucks were prohibited shortly after opening due to the high number of crashes.
- Multi-car accidents were common due to lack of center barrier.
- Within a year of opening, the newspapers and public christened the Skyway "Highway of Death".
Changes After Opening

- Median barrier added in the 1950’s
- Based on 1978 Plans for Route US 1&9, Section 2AB & 5H:
  - Existing deck resurfaced with latex modified concrete
  - Aluminum safety shaped median added
  - Safety improvements made
  - Deck joints repaired
- Based on 1983 Plans for Route U.S. 1&9, Section 2AH & 5J:
  - Encasement on steel members partially removed (Jersey City)
  - Deck coated with silane surface treatment
  - Concrete substructure repairs
  - Structural steel repairs
  - Bridge painted
- 2008 Deck Overlay Contract
  - Deck repairs
  - Sealing overlay applied
Goal 1 Document Existing Corridor

A. Photographically document existing structures according to HABS/HAER Standards - Haer Documentation completed for: 1. 12th St. Viaduct, and, 2. Pulaski Skyway

B. Review of Department files for suitable historic photographs and catalogue them - Many of these have been transferred to the State Archives

C. Catalogue original contract drawings - Since 9/11, Plans for current bridge structures cannot be made public

Goal 2 Improve Physical Condition of Structures - What we are currently attempting

A. Determine extent of improvements and potential impact on character defining features

B. For actions in Preservation Plan, determine whether activity conforms to recommendations

C. Where activity had significant impact on historic integrity, conduct alternatives analysis

D. Where replacement or reconstruction required, minimize impact on historic integrity

E. Implement improvements when funding becomes available to address structural deterioration
Goal 3  Improve Traffic Safety Throughout the Corridor - *What we are currently attempting*

A. Determine required safety improvements and potential impact on character defining features
B. Where activity has significant impact on historic integrity, conduct alternatives analysis
D. Where replacement or reconstruction required, minimize impact on historic integrity
E. Implement improvements when funding becomes available to address safety upgrades

Goal 5 Improve the Physical Appearance of the Corridor
C. Provide lighting under Hoboken Ave Viaduct and on Skyway's through truss crossings of Rivers - *Part of our current proposal and will use a lighting standard that simulates the original design*
Goal 6 Improve Public Awareness of the Corridor’s Historic Significance

A. Develop slide and or audio/visual program - We currently have a consultant under contract to develop a video script.

B. Develop traveling or permanent exhibition materials - NJ DOT developed two exhibits that were on display commemorating the Pulaski Skyway. These were on display at the Newark and Jersey City Public Libraries last year.

C. Prepare nomination documents for designation as an ASCE Landmark - The Pulaski Skyway has been designated a Landmark by the American Society of Civil Engineers.
Contributing Historic Elements

- Profile and viaduct construction
- Center access ramps
- Railings and Parapets
- Concrete encasement
Structural Systems

- Removing, changing, covering structural elements not recommended
- Proper maintenance essential
- Repairs by augmenting or upgrading individual parts/features
- Replace in kind recommended. Substitute materials must convey same form, design and overall appearance

Safety Concerns

- Need for safe structure that meets code
- Investigate alternatives that minimize or reduce damage to character defining features
- Design exceptions may be warranted
Modifications/Additions

- Goal of continued use will necessitate modifications/additions, including roadway widening, ramp modifications, etc.
- Ensure minimal loss/removal of character defining features (i.e. concrete Parapets)
- Same size/scale as existing features
- Do not duplicate exact form with reproductions, but maintain compatibility with historic structure
- Make clear visual distinction between old and new
Concrete Encasement

- Rehabilitate encasement in stable condition to not impact load carrying ability
- Remove encasement where:
  - Necessary to increase load carrying capacity
  - Public safety at risk due to falling concrete
  - Badly deteriorated or unstable concrete
- Where removed, apply protective coating (paint) to match original concrete color
- Where partial removal justified, remove all encasement to maintain consistent look
Parapets/Railings

- Rehab/reuse existing Parapets/railing that are not a safety issue
- Use supplemental interior railing/modify safetywalk to maintain external visual appearance
- Remove badly deteriorated railings/Parapets if not economically feasible to rehab - use form liners suggestive of original for concrete railings
- Where replacement necessary, use railings suggestive of original

Concrete Deck Slabs

- Deck slab not technologically significant
- Deck slab not character defining element
- Rehab structurally sound decks
- Reconstruct inadequate decks
- Consider lighter decks/composite action to allow reuse of supporting members
Project Purpose and Need

- Bring the Pulaski Skyway into a state of good repair and address the structural deficiencies, mitigate to the degree practical the functional deficiencies, and improve the overall condition and safety of the roadway.

- Project Goals:
  - Maintain Skyway as a vital transportation linkage serving a large market base including trans-Hudson trips
  - Bring Skyway into State of Good Repair and address functional and operational issues to the degree practical
  - Extend useful life of Skyway
  - Improve safety for motorists on Skyway
Skyway is 18,480 Feet Long

- 118 spans on structure
- 2-550 ft. through truss main spans & 350 ft. flanking spans over Passaic & Hackensack Rivers
- 3 steel through trusses over railroad in Jersey City
- Superstructure constructed in 12 main construction sections - deck was separate contract
- Original concrete deck slab still remains
Overall Condition: Poor

Components:
- Deck: Poor
- Superstructure: Poor
- Substructure: Fair

Structurally Deficient
- Poor ratings
- Structural steel defects

Functionally Obsolete
- Poor geometrics
- Low vertical clearances

Sufficiency Rating = 2 out of 100
Series of interim projects implemented

- No. 1 - Deck repairs Spans A0 to 44 - Complete - $23M
- No. 2 - Deck repairs Spans 45 to 108 + overlay entire deck - Completion pending resolution of construction issues - $23M
- No 3. - Priority repairs from inspection report - Complete - $6M
- No 4. - Drainage protection repairs - Ongoing - $38M
- To date NJ DOT has spend $90M in repairs to Skyway
Necessary Repairs

- Deck slab needs replacement
  - Type depends on need for overnight construction
  - Will use closed drainage system (prevents structural steel damage)
- Railings/Parapets are substandard/untested
- Many steel components deteriorated
- Still evaluating existing gusset plates/rivets for capacity
- Safety concerns at interior ramp entrances
- Overall safety of roadway/width
- Substructure needs repairs
PARAPET ISSUES
Decision on railing needs to be made in conjunction with the following interconnected issues:

- Historical considerations
- Deck replacement
- Drainage and open curbs
- Safety walks/railings
- Historical/period light standards
- Structural complications/fascia girder
- Constructability/time constraints
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Existing Parapet Type

Steel

Steel

Steel

Concrete

Pipe

Fence

Concrete
- Vaulting potential
- Snagging features (bridge rail does not have smooth continuous traffic face and posts or pilasters extend more than 2 inches from the rail surface)
- Discontinuity (due to open joints)
- Suspect crash worthiness (structural and functional adequacy) of the existing railing systems
Existing Parapet Condition

Span 20 (South bridge guiderail)  
Span 42 NB
Span 104 south bridge railing near Pier 104 (Northbound Roadway)

Span B South Railing (Newark Ramp)
Existing Steel Parapet
Existing Pipe Steel Railing

Pipe Railing

KEARNY RAMP
Pulaski Skyway
CONCRETE PARAPET RECOMMENDATIONS
Existing Concrete Parapet

- Spans from the Northern terminus to Pier 44
- Majority of the railing is an open or “See-Through” aesthetic concrete Parapet
- Approximately 2,800 feet long (per fascia)
Existing Concrete Parapet

ELEVATION VIEW

Pulaski Skyway
Existing Concrete Parapet Repairs
Key Design Elements

- Comply with historic appearance of original parapet
- Meet TL-4 design criteria
- Conventional drainage system to be maintained
Proposed Concrete Parapet

IOWA BARRIER CONCRETE BLOCK RETROFIT

- Reconstruct original open or “See-Through” aesthetic concrete Parapet
- Provides a crash tested rigid concrete barrier inboard of aesthetic concrete Parapet
- Meets second preferred preservation option (“Use supplemental internal railing to maintain external appearance”)

Main Advantages
- Ease of construction
- Simple detailing
- Reduced impact to historic appearance of the structure

Disadvantage
- Loss of safetywalk
STEEL PARAPET RECOMMENDATIONS
Existing Steel Parapet

- Spans from Pier 44 to the Southern terminus
- Heavy built-up steel railing
- Approximately 15,430 feet long (per fascia)
Comply with historic appearance of original Parapet
Meet TL-4 design criteria
Conventional drainage system to be provided (closed curb system with scuppers)
Parapet options impacted by type of MPT provided (overnight construction vs. conventional construction)
Parapet interconnected with fascia
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Proposed Steel Parapet

IOWA BARRIER CONCRETE BLOCK RETROFIT

- Retains original historical steel railing
- Provides a crash tested rigid concrete barrier inboard of the existing railing
- Meets second preferred preservation option (“Use supplemental internal railing to maintain external appearance”)
- Main advantages
  - Ease of construction
  - Simple detailing
  - Reduced impact to historic appearance of the structure
- Disadvantage
  - Loss of safetywalk
Proposed Barrier Alternatives

Existing Barriers

Proposed Iowa Barrier
DECK REPLACEMENT OPTIONS
Maintenance and Protection of Traffic (MPT) during Deck Rehab

- Central MPT Issue: Maintain traffic lanes at all peak times or close lanes to speed construction
- Maintaining 4 lanes in peak periods increases construction duration and cost
  - Requires overnight construction
  - Requires precast deck systems for deck replacement
- Closing one side of bridge speeds construction & reduces costs
  - Maintain one lane in each direction
  - Conventional CIP decks can be used
  - Contractor has free reign to work around the clock
  - Results in added delays during peak periods
- Impacts of delays to the public need to be considered
Potential to widen up to 8 feet in non-thru truss areas

- Impacts Maintenance and Protection of Traffic (MPT) considerations
- Allows contractor to maintain all 4 traffic lanes during construction except in the first stage
- Provides increase in safety by providing 8’ shoulder for breakdown lane (does not add a travel lane)
- Provides long term benefit to the project to address safety and operational issues
- Improves maintenance access
Potential Widening Options
Widening Options

No Widening

Widening
Deck Replacement Options Under Review

- Assessing traffic impacts of bound or lane closures
- Assessing construction and user costs
Original Light Fixture

Pulaski Skyway
Proposed Light Fixture
Replicates Period Lighting

- Approved by SHPO
Next Steps

- Feasibility Assessment still underway
- Completion of steel strength testing
- Alternative selection
- Draft Feasibility Assessment report
Address any questions to:
David Mudge
Phone: 609-530-2987
Email: david.mudge@dot.state.nj.us