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# REPORT ON AN INVESTIGATION OF THE EXPERIENCE OF THE STATE POLICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF NEW JERSEY PREPARED AS OF JUNE 30, 2011 

## I. INTRODUCTION

Section 32 of Chapter 89, P.L. 1965 of the New Jersey Statutes provides that once in every three-year period the actuary shall examine in detail the mortality and service experience of the members and beneficiaries of the Retirement System. This investigation is designed to assure that the tables used for determining expected liabilities of the Retirement System are consistent with recent experience. If tables are not updated periodically, the liabilities of the System may be overstated or understated, and result in contributions either too large or too small to fund the actual accruing liabilities.

This report was prepared in accordance with Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 35 (ASOP 35). ASOP 35 provides guidance to actuaries in selecting demographic and other noneconomic assumptions (including, but not limited to retirement, mortality and mortality improvement, termination of employment and disability) for measuring obligations under defined benefit plans.

This report summarizes the Retirement System's experience for the period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2011. Experience for active male and female members were examined in total. Mortality experience among beneficiaries was examined based on gender. Please note that, in instances where the data being examined appeared inconsistent with results of previous studies or incomplete, we made no current recommendations. These items will be reviewed closely when the next scheduled study is prepared as of June 30, 2014 and proposed changes, if warranted, will be recommended at that time.

Also, we have included an examination of the current salary increase assumption in this study.

## II. EXAMINATION OF EXPERIENCE

As noted earlier, the examination this year covers the period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2011. When appropriate, we have made reference to trends that were first identified in prior studies.

The experience among active members has been compared with the experience expected according to the active service tables and retirement tables adopted by the Board of Trustees following the previous study. The actual experience among beneficiaries has also been compared with the experience expected according to the current mortality tables.

In the case of withdrawals, since the Board has adopted different rates of withdrawal for the first four years of service and for five to nineteen years of service, the data for employees with less than five years of service were tabulated separately from the data for employees with five to nineteen years of service. Similarly, incidence of service retirement were examined separately for employees with twenty, twentyone, twenty-two to twenty-four, twenty-five and more than twenty-five years of service. For purposes of the analysis of experience with respect to death and disability retirement, employees were treated as one group for each assumption.

The expected number of separations from service on account of withdrawal, death, disability and service retirement were calculated by multiplying the rates of separation used as a basis for the active service tables by the number of those exposed to risk. The actual number of those who had separated from service was then compared with the expected number. If the ratio of actual to expected is 1.000 , the tables have exactly predicted what actually occurred. If the ratio of actual to expected is greater than 1.000 , then the tables have underestimated actual experience. If the ratio is less than 1.000 , then the tables have overstated actual experience.

Finally, in accordance with the current salary increase assumption, the expected salaries of those members who remain in service from year to year were obtained and these expected salaries were compared with
the actual salaries. Again, a ratio of actual to expected of 1.000 would indicate that actual salary increases were identical to anticipated increases while a ratio greater than 1.000 indicates that salaries have increased faster than anticipated and a ratio less than 1.000 indicates that salaries have increased slower than anticipated.

## (A) ACTIVE PLAN EXPERIENCE

The first portion of this section contains a summary of active plan experience, which examines the following rates:

- Withdrawal Rates
- Ordinary Death Rates
- Accidental Death Rates
- Ordinary Disability Rates
- Accidental Disability Rates
- Service Retirement Rates
- Salary Increase Rates

TABLE 1

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## WITHDRAWALS

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Withdrawals | Expected <br> Withdrawals | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Five |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years of Service | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 25 | 524 | 1 | 2.89 | 0.3460 |
|  | 30 | 793 | 8 | 4.35 | 1.8391 |
|  | 35 | 249 | 4 | 2.34 | 1.7094 |
|  | 40 | 31 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1,597 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recommendation: No change.

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Withdrawals | Expected <br> Withdrawals | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Five to Nineteen |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years of Service | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 25 | 35 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 30 | 946 | 0 | 3.79 | 0.0000 |
|  | 35 | 1,295 | 0 | 1.29 | 0.0000 |
|  | 40 | 1,359 | 4 | 2.08 | 1.9231 |
|  | 45 | 507 | 0 | 1.01 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 53 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 4,196 | 5 | 8.17 | 0.6120 |

Recommendation: No change.

TABLE 2

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS <br> FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## DEATHS - ORDINARY CAUSE

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 25 | 531 | 0 | 0.20 | 0.0000 |
|  | 30 | 1,670 | 0 | 0.65 | 0.0000 |
|  | 35 | 1,456 | 1 | 0.82 | 1.2195 |
|  | 40 | 1,502 | 1 | 1.35 | 0.7407 |
|  | 45 | 2,223 | 0 | 2.73 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 1,055 | 1 | 1.78 | 0.5618 |
|  | 53 | 100 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 70 | 0 | 0.17 | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 8,607 | 3 | 7.91 | 0.3793 |

Recommendation: No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Males with ages set back 3 years). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 25 | 28 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.0000 |
|  | 30 | 69 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.0000 |
|  | 35 | 88 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.0000 |
|  | 40 | 65 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.0000 |
|  | 45 | 72 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 23 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.0000 |
|  | 53 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 348 | 0 | 0.23 | 0.0000 |

Recommendation: No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

TABLE 2

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

DEATHS - ACCIDENTAL CAUSE

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males and Females Combined | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 25 \\ & 30 \\ & 35 \\ & 40 \\ & 45 \\ & 50 \\ & 53 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 559 \\ 1,739 \\ 1,544 \\ 1,567 \\ 2,295 \\ 1,078 \\ 102 \\ 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 0.84 \\ & 0.77 \\ & 0.78 \\ & 1.55 \\ & 0.94 \\ & 0.05 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0000 <br> 0.0000 <br> 1.1905 <br> 0.0000 <br> 0.0000 <br> 0.0000 <br> 0.0000 <br> 0.0000 <br> 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 8,955 | 1 | 5.17 | 0.1934 |

Recommendation: No change.

TABLE 3

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Disability | Expected <br> Disability | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due to Ordinary Causes | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 25 | 120 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.0000 |
|  | 30 | 1,263 | 1 | 1.09 | 0.9174 |
|  | 35 | 1,399 | 1 | 2.97 | 0.3367 |
|  | 40 | 1,561 | 4 | 3.83 | 1.0444 |
|  | 45 | 2,205 | 3 | 6.98 | 0.4298 |
|  | 50 | 705 | 5 | 3.59 | 1.3928 |
|  | 53 | 51 | 0 | 0.34 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 31 | 1 | 0.22 | 4.5455 |

Recommendation: No change.

| Type | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Disability | Expected Disability | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Due to Accidental Causes | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 25 | 559 | 2 | 0.14 | 14.2857 |
|  | 30 | 1,739 | 2 | 0.90 | 2.2222 |
|  | 35 | 1,544 | 3 | 2.99 | 1.0033 |
|  | 40 | 1,567 | 3 | 3.24 | 0.9259 |
|  | 45 | 2,295 | 6 | 4.90 | 1.2245 |
|  | 50 | 1,078 | 3 | 2.44 | 1.2295 |
|  | 53 | 102 | 0 | 0.28 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 71 | 0 | 0.21 | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 8,955 | 19 | 15.10 | 1.2583 |

Recommendation: No change.

TABLE 4

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE <br> SERVICE RETIREMENTS

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Retirements | Expected <br> Retirements | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Twenty Years <br> of Service | 40 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45 | 180 | 2 | 1.40 | 1.4286 |
|  | 50 | 33 | 0 | 3.60 | 0.0000 |
|  | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0.66 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 1 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0000 |  |
|  | Total | 285 | 2 | 5.70 | 0.3509 |

Recommendation: No change.

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Retirements | Expected <br> Retirements | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Twenty-One Years |  |  |  |  |  |
| of Service | 40 | 73 | 0 | 0.36 | 0.0000 |
|  | 45 | 376 | 0 | 1.88 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 85 | 1 | 0.42 | 2.3810 |
|  | 53 | 7 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 3 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |

Recommendation: No change.

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Retirements | Expected <br> Retirements | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Twenty-Two to Twenty- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Four Years of Service | 40 | 35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 45 | 1,092 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 475 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 53 | 36 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 54 | 22 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 0.0000 |

Recommendation: No change.

TABLE 4
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SEPARATIONS
FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## SERVICE RETIREMENTS

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Retirements | Expected <br> Retirements | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Twenty-Five Years <br> of Service |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 45 | 90 | 50 | 36.00 | 1.3889 |
|  | 50 | 132 | 59 | 52.80 | 1.1174 |
|  | 53 | 9 | 6 | 3.60 | 1.6667 |
|  | 54 | 6 | 5 | 2.40 | 2.0833 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 237 | 120 | 94.80 | 1.2658 |

Recommendation: Increase the rates for all ages.

| Type | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Retirements | Expected <br> Retirements | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over Twenty-Five |  |  |  |  |  |
| Years of Service | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.0000 |
|  | 45 | 50 | 15 | 12.50 | 1.2000 |
|  | 50 | 300 | 98 | 90.00 | 1.0889 |
|  | 53 | 48 | 16 | 14.40 | 1.1111 |
|  | 54 | 39 | 21 | 21.45 | 0.9790 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 437 | 150 | 138.35 | 1.0842 |

Recommendation: No change.

TABLE 5
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED
ACTIVE SALARY INCREASES

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | Actual Salary from <br> Previous Year |  |  |  |  | Actual |  | Expected | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | $\$ 10,042,037$ | $\$ 10,439,867$ | $\$ 10,589,328$ | 0.986 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | $103,751,461$ | $107,731,170$ | $109,405,916$ | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | $134,444,231$ | $139,957,025$ | $141,771,442$ | 0.987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | $143,809,354$ | $146,898,403$ | $151,646,964$ | 0.969 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | $204,414,714$ | $207,198,141$ | $215,555,316$ | 0.961 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | $167,723,107$ | $169,970,164$ | $176,864,016$ | 0.961 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greater than 52 | $27,151,602$ | $27,465,276$ | $28,631,364$ | 0.959 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\$ 809,660,046$ | $\$ 834,464,346$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 791,336,506$ | $\$ 0.970$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recommendation: Decrease the assumed future salary increases from $5.45 \%$ per annum to $3.45 \%$ per annum for fiscal year ending 2012 through fiscal year ending 2016 and $4.70 \%$ per annum for fiscal years ending 2017 and thereafter.

## (B) INACTIVE PLAN EXPERIENCE

The second portion of this section contains a summary of inactive plan experience, which examines the following rates:

- Service Retirement Mortality Rates
- Beneficiary Mortality Rates
- Disability Mortality Rates

TABLE 6

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF DEATH AMONG HEALTHY RETIRED MEMBERS

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 48 | 92 | 1 | 0.1181 | 8.4674 |
|  | 50 | 542 | 1 | 0.9795 | 1.0209 |
|  | 55 | 906 | 2 | 2.4355 | 0.8212 |
|  | 60 | 1,040 | 5 | 5.0438 | 0.9913 |
|  | 65 | 1,245 | 8 | 11.0882 | 0.7215 |
|  | 70 | 817 | 9 | 12.6873 | 0.7094 |
|  | 75 | 362 | 4 | 9.9880 | 0.4005 |
|  | 80 | 459 | 19 | 21.5756 | 0.8806 |
|  | 85 | 217 | 23 | 16.8132 | 1.3680 |
|  | $90$ | 50 | 11 |  | 1.7278 |
|  | Greater than 92 | 6 | 2 | 1.1500 | 1.7391 |
|  | Total | 5,736 | 85 | 88.2458 | 0.9632 |

Recommendation: No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Males with ages set back 3 years). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 48 | 4 | 0 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 38 | 0 | 0.0662 | 0.0000 |
|  | 55 | 22 | 0 | 0.0554 | 0.0000 |
|  | 60 | 5 | 0 | 0.0278 | 0.0000 |
|  | 65 | 1 | 0 | 0.0076 | 0.0000 |
|  | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | $90$ | $0$ | 0 | $0.0000$ |  |
|  | Greater than 92 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 70 | 0 | 0.1620 | 0.0000 |

Recommendation: No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

TABLE 7

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF DEATH AMONG BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED ACTIVE AND RETIRED MEMBERS

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 48 | 3 | 0 | 0.0007 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 3 | 0 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 |
|  | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0.0112 | 0.0000 |
|  | 65 | 3 | 0 | 0.0280 | 0.0000 |
|  | 70 | 1 | 0 | 0.0127 | 0.0000 |
|  | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | Greater than 92 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 12 | 0 | 0.0582 | 0.0000 |

Recommendation: No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Males with ages set back 3 years). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

| Sex | Central Age <br> of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Deaths | Expected <br> Deaths | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 48 | 35 | 0 | 0.0292 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 27 | 0 | 0.0475 | 0.0000 |
|  | 55 | 28 | 0 | 0.0814 | 0.0000 |
|  | 60 | 73 | 0 | 0.3986 | 0.0000 |
|  | 65 | 135 | 1 | 1.3529 | 0.7392 |
|  | 70 | 130 | 3 | 2.1643 | 1.3861 |
|  | 75 | 145 | 3 | 4.2997 | 0.6977 |
|  | 80 | 244 | 8 | 11.2150 | 0.7133 |
|  | 85 | 136 | 9 | 10.2796 | 0.8755 |
|  | 90 | 82 | 12 | 10.5500 | 1.1374 |
|  | Greater than 92 | 23 | 4 | 4.2785 | 0.9349 |

Recommendation: No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

TABLE 8

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF DEATH AMONG DISABLED MEMBERS

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 48 | 273 | 1 | 0.5189 | 1.9272 |
|  | 50 | 99 | 2 | 0.3546 | 5.6402 |
|  | 55 | 62 | 0 | 0.4230 | 0.0000 |
|  | 60 | 39 | 0 | 0.4889 | 0.0000 |
|  | 65 | 60 | 1 | 1.3640 | 0.7331 |
|  | 70 | 64 | 2 | 2.3344 | 0.8568 |
|  | 75 | 3 | 0 | 0.1847 | 0.0000 |
|  | 80 | 6 | 2 | 0.6951 | 2.8773 |
|  | 85 | 10 | 1 | 1.6518 | 0.6054 |
|  | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | Greater than 92 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 616 | 9 | 8.0154 | 1.1228 |

Recommendation: No change.

| Sex | Central Age of Group | Exposures | Actual <br> Deaths | Expected Deaths | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Less than 48 | 30 | 0 | 0.0479 | 0.0000 |
|  | 50 | 26 | 0 | 0.0716 | 0.0000 |
|  | 55 | 3 | 0 | 0.0118 | 0.0000 |
|  | 60 | 5 | 0 | 0.0491 | 0.0000 |
|  | 65 | 1 | 0 | 0.0134 | 0.0000 |
|  | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | Greater than 92 | 0 | 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  | Total | 65 | 0 | 0.1938 | 0.0000 |

Recommendation: No change.

## III. COMMENTS AND GENERAL RECOMMENDATION OF ACTUARY

## RATES OF WITHDRAWAL

Table 1 presents a summary of the number of exposures, actual and expected withdrawals and the ratios of actual to expected withdrawals of members with less than 5 years of service and members with 5 to 19 years of service.

The experience for members with less than 5 years of service shows there were more actual withdrawals than expected. This is inconsistent with the trend identified in the three prior studies which resulted in a general decrease in the rates in the last study. We recommend that no changes be made to the rates at this time and this assumption will be closely monitored and analyzed in detail when the next study is completed.

The experience for members with 5 to 19 years of service show that actual terminations were within an acceptable range of that expected and their incidence is quite small in relation to the total number of members who were exposed. We recommend no change to these rates at this time.

## RATES OF DISABILITY RETIREMENT

Table 3 presents the experience due to ordinary and accidental disabilities. Different benefits are payable upon disability due to ordinary and accidental causes. Therefore, experience with respect to these two causes were investigated separately.

The experience of ordinary disability indicates that actual disabilities were within an acceptable range of that expected during the measurement period and their incidence is quite small in relation to the total number of members who were exposed. We recommend no change to these rates at this time.

The data for accidental disabilities indicates that actual accidental disability retirements were within an acceptable range of that expected and their incidence is quite small in relation to the total number of members who were exposed. We recommend no change to these rates at this time.

## RATES OF SERVICE RETIREMENT

The System provides for mandatory retirement upon attaining age 55 and voluntary retirement prior to age 55 with at least 20 years of credited service. Table 4 presents the experience for service retirements during the study period.

With regard to retirements with 20 years of service, there were 2 actual retirements compared to approximately 6 expected retirements. This is within an acceptable range and we recommend no changes to these rates at this time.

The results of the study show that there was 1 actual retirement among members with 21 years of service compared to about 3 expected retirements. This is within an acceptable range and we recommend no changes to the assumption.

No retirements were assumed for members with 22 to 24 years of service and there were no actual retirements during the measurement period. We recommend no changes in the rates since the results of the study support the continued use of the current rates.

The results of the study show that actual retirements among members with 25 years of service were about $127 \%$ of those expected. This continues a trend observed in the prior study. Due to the continued higher than expected retirement incidence, we recommend a further increase in these retirement rates.

For retirements among members with more than 25 years of service, actual retirements were about $108 \%$ of those expected, reversing the trend of the prior study. Since there is no clear pattern at this time, we
recommend no change to the assumption. We will continue to carefully monitor the experience in this assumption and determine whether changes are warranted during the next experience study.

## RATES OF SALARY INCREASE

Table 5 shows that the salary increase assumption of $5.45 \%$ per year (prior to the July 1, 2011 actuarial valuation) is higher than the actual salary increase experience during the study period. The overall actual salary experience is $3 \%$ less than that expected. The Treasurer, upon recommendation from the Directors of the Division of Pensions and Benefits and the Division of Investments, has recommended, effective with the July 1, 2011 actuarial valuation, assumed future salary increases of $3.45 \%$ per annum for fiscal year ending 2012 through fiscal year ending 2016 and 4.70\% per annum for fiscal years ending 2017 and thereafter. The Board approved this salary scale assumption at the June 20, 2012 Board meeting. We believe this is a reasonable assumption given the experience of the System.

## MORTALITY IMPROVEMENT

As noted in prior experience studies, we have seen continued and steady improvement in mortality rates over time. This trend is expected to continue into the future. In fact, ASOP 35 states that the actuary should "include an assumption as to expected mortality improvement after the measurement date." Accordingly, we recommend the use of a generational approach toward future mortality improvements for "ordinary" deaths among active members and deaths among all inactive members.

The projection of mortality improvements on a generational basis results in a separate table for each year of birth. The rates of mortality decrease as the year of birth increases. For example, a participant born in 1960 will have a higher rate of mortality at each age than a participant born in 1965. The mortality table for birth year 1965 will have five more years of mortality improvement than the table for birth year 1960 .

To create this dynamic mortality table, we will select a base mortality table that represents the current experience of the plan. Each year after the measurement date, this base table will be projected with an additional year of improvement. The resulting generational mortality table will better reflect expected future
mortality improvements compared to a static table and should decrease the losses experienced by the plan over time.

We recommend the use of projection scale AA in the projection of the mortality tables.

The following table demonstrates the impact of the generational mortality improvement. It compares the expected age at death for members of various ages before and after incorporating the recommended mortality projections. The base table is the current mortality assumption for male members retired on account of service retirement which is the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Male Mortality Table with ages set back 3 years.

| Age at Measurement Date | Expected Age at Death (Males) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Future Mortality <br> Improvement | Generational Mortality <br> Improvement |
| 50 | 83.6 | 85.7 |
| 55 | 83.9 |  |
| 60 | 84.3 | 85.6 |
| 65 | 85.0 | 85.6 |
|  |  | 8.9 |

## RATES OF DEATH AMONG ACTIVE MEMBERS

The experience for ordinary and accidental death are presented in Table 2. Since different benefits are paid upon ordinary and accidental death, the mortality experience with respect to these two causes of death were investigated separately.

The experience for ordinary death indicates there were 3 actual male deaths during the measurement period compared to 7.91 expected male deaths and no actual female deaths compared to 0.23 expected female deaths. We recommend the continued use of the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females as the base mortality assumption at the measurement date. The base mortality table will then be projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA.

For accidental death, there was 1 actual death compared to the approximately 5 expected during the study period. However, since this represents less than $.1 \%$ of the group exposed, no changes are recommended at this time.

## RATES OF MORTALITY AMONG SERVICE RETIREMENTS

Table 6 summarizes the mortality experience with respect to members retired on account of service retirement. The experience indicates that the number of actual deaths were about $96 \%$ of that expected for male retirees, which is within an acceptable range. For female retirees, there were no actual deaths during the three-year period, which is also within an acceptable range. Therefore, we recommend using the current mortality tables (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females) as the base tables at the measurement date with respect to members retired on account of service retirements. This base table will be projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA.

## RATES OF MORTALITY AMONG BENEFICIARIES

Table 7 presents the mortality experience for beneficiaries in receipt of a benefit. The results indicate that there were no actual deaths among the relatively small population of male beneficiaries. Actual deaths among female beneficiaries were within a reasonable range of that expected. Therefore, we recommend using the current mortality tables (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females) as the base tables at the measurement date. These base tables will be projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA.

## RATES OF MORTALITY AMONG DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

Table 8 summarizes the mortality experience for disability retirements. The data indicates that actual deaths are in line with expectations. Therefore, we recommend using the current mortality tables (RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with ages set forward 5 years for both males and females) as the base tables at the measurement date with respect to members retired on account of disability retirements. In addition, we recommend zero future mortality improvement for disabled members.

## IV. SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ASSUMPTIONS

As noted earlier, the experience investigation for the period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2011 indicates the need for certain changes in the tables used for determining expected liabilities of the System. The proposed changes are summarized as follows:

## Rates

## Proposed Changes

Withdrawal

- Less than 5 years of service No Change
- Five to Nineteen years of service

> No Change

Death

- Ordinary
- Accidental

No Change *
No Change
Disability

- Ordinary

No Change

- Accidental

No Change
Service Retirement

- 20 years of service

No Change

- 21 years of service

No Change

- 22 to 24 years of service
- 25 years of service
- Greater than 25 years of service

No Change
Increase
No Change
Salary Increase
Decrease **
Inactive Mortality

- Male and Female Service Retirements No Change *
- Male and Female Beneficiaries of Deceased Active and Retired Members
- Disability Retirements

No Change *
No Change

* No change to the base mortality tables (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables for Males and Females with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females). However, these base tables will be projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA.
** Effective with the July 1, 2011 actuarial valuation.

The following tables give a comparison of the present, actual and proposed rates of separation from active service and rates of mortality for retired members at quinquennial ages.

In addition, we have prepared graphs that illustrate the actual, current and proposed (if applicable) rates for each assumption. Please note that the experience for certain assumptions, such as accidental death, which has a large population and a rather small incidence, does not graph well because of the relative size of the numbers.

TABLE 9

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## WITHDRAWALS

LESS THAN FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |  |
| 25 | 0.00500 | 0.00191 | 0.00000 |
| 30 | 0.00500 | 0.01009 | 0.00500 |
| 35 | 0.00825 | 0.01606 | 0.00500 |
| 40 | 0.00000 | 0.09677 | 0.00825 |
| 45 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 50 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 53 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 54 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
|  |  | 0.00000 |  |

FIVE TO NINETEEN YEARS OF SERVICE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |  |
| 20 | 0.00000 | 0.02857 | 0.00000 |
| 25 | 0.00400 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 30 | 0.00100 | 0.00000 | 0.00400 |
| 35 | 0.00150 | 0.00294 | 0.00100 |
| 40 | 0.00200 | 0.00000 | 0.00150 |
| 45 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00200 |
| 50 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.0000 |
| 53 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 54 |  |  |  |

TABLE 10

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## DEATHS

ORDINARY CAUSE
MALE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.00031 | 0.00000 | 0.00031 |
| 25 | 0.00036 | 0.00000 | 0.00036 |
| 30 | 0.00039 | 0.00000 | 0.00039 |
| 35 | 0.00057 | 0.00065 | 0.00057 |
| 40 | 0.00090 | 0.00064 | 0.00090 |
| 45 | 0.00123 | 0.00000 | 0.00123 |
| 50 | 0.00174 | 0.00093 | 0.00174 |
| 53 | 0.00214 | 0.00000 | 0.00214 |
| 54 | 0.00245 | 0.00000 | 0.00245 |

*No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Males with ages set back 3 years). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

## FEMALE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.00019 | 0.00000 | 0.00019 |
| 25 | 0.00021 | 0.00000 | 0.00021 |
| 30 | 0.00028 | 0.00000 | 0.00028 |
| 35 | 0.00047 | 0.00000 | 0.00047 |
| 40 | 0.00072 | 0.00000 | 0.00072 |
| 45 | 0.00113 | 0.00000 | 0.00113 |
| 50 | 0.00171 | 0.00000 | 0.00171 |
| 53 | 0.00221 | 0.00000 | 0.00221 |
| 54 | 0.00242 | 0.00000 | 0.00242 |

*No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

TABLE 10
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

DEATHS
(Continued)

## ACCIDENTAL CAUSE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.00020 | 0.00000 |  |
| 25 | 0.00034 | 0.00000 | 0.00020 |
| 30 | 0.00048 | 0.00058 | 0.00034 |
| 35 | 0.00050 | 0.00000 | 0.00048 |
| 40 | 0.00050 | 0.00000 | 0.00050 |
| 45 | 0.00068 | 0.00000 | 0.00050 |
| 50 | 0.00086 | 0.00000 | 0.00068 |
| 53 | 0.00050 | 0.00000 | 0.00086 |
| 54 | 0.00030 | 0.00000 | 0.00050 |

TABLE 11
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

## ORDINARY CAUSES

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.00042 | 0.00000 |  |
| 25 | 0.00057 | 0.00000 | 0.00042 |
| 30 | 0.00084 | 0.00079 | 0.00057 |
| 35 | 0.00214 | 0.00071 | 0.00084 |
| 40 | 0.00245 | 0.00256 | 0.00214 |
| 45 | 0.00318 | 0.00136 | 0.00245 |
| 50 | 0.00539 | 0.00709 | 0.00318 |
| 53 | 0.00672 | 0.00000 | 0.00539 |
| 54 | 0.00722 | 0.03226 | 0.00672 |
|  |  | 0.00722 |  |

## ACCIDENTAL CAUSES

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.00016 | 0.00000 | 0.00016 |
| 25 | 0.00023 | 0.00358 | 0.00023 |
| 30 | 0.00051 | 0.00115 | 0.00051 |
| 35 | 0.00194 | 0.00194 | 0.00194 |
| 40 | 0.00207 | 0.00191 | 0.00207 |
| 45 | 0.00214 | 0.00261 | 0.00214 |
| 50 | 0.00220 | 0.00278 | 0.00220 |
| 53 | 0.00275 | 0.00000 | 0.00275 |
| 54 | 0.00295 | 0.00000 | 0.00295 |

TABLE 12
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## SERVICE RETIREMENTS

## TWENTY YEARS OF SERVICE

| Central Age of <br> Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 0.02000 | 0.02857 | 0.02000 |
| 45 | 0.02000 | 0.00000 | 0.02000 |
| 50 | 0.02000 | 0.00000 | 0.02000 |
| 53 | 0.02000 | 0.00000 | 0.02000 |
| 54 | 0.02000 | 0.00000 | 0.02000 |

TWENTY-ONE YEARS OF SERVICE

| Central Age of <br> Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.00500 |
| 45 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.00500 |
| 50 | 0.00500 | 0.01176 | 0.00500 |
| 53 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.00500 |
| 54 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.00500 |

TWENTY-TWO TO TWENTY-FOUR YEARS OF SERVICE

| Central Age of <br> Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 45 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 50 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 53 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 54 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |

TABLE 12
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF SEPARATION
FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

## SERVICE RETIREMENTS

(Continued)
TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed <br> Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 0.40000 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 |
| 45 | 0.40000 | 0.55556 | 0.45500 |
| 50 | 0.40000 | 0.44697 | 0.45500 |
| 53 | 0.40000 | 0.66667 | 0.45500 |
| 54 | 0.40000 | 0.83333 | 0.45500 |

OVER TWENTY-FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 0.05000 | 0.00000 | 0.05000 |
| 45 | 0.25000 | 0.03000 | 0.25000 |
| 50 | 0.30000 | 0.32667 | 0.30000 |
| 53 | 0.30000 | 0.33333 | 0.30000 |
| 54 | 0.55000 | 0.53846 | 0.55000 |

TABLE 13

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED

SALARY INCREASES

|  |  |  | Proposed Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Fiscal Year Ending <br> Actual <br> Rates | 2012 through Fiscal <br> Year Ending 2016 | Fiscal Year Ending <br> 2017 and thereafter |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | $5.45 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |
| 30 | $5.45 \%$ | $3.84 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |
| 35 | $5.45 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |
| 40 | $5.45 \%$ | $2.15 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |
| 45 | $5.45 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |
| 50 | $5.45 \%$ | $1.34 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |
| Greater than 52 | $5.45 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |

TABLE 14

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF MORTALITY AMONG HEALTHY RETIRED MEMBERS

MALE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 0.00123 | 0.01087 | 0.00123 |
| 50 | 0.00174 | 0.00185 | 0.00174 |
| 55 | 0.00268 | 0.00221 | 0.00268 |
| 60 | 0.00475 | 0.00481 | 0.00475 |
| 65 | 0.00890 | 0.00643 | 0.00890 |
| 70 | 0.01618 | 0.01102 | 0.01618 |
| 75 | 0.02767 | 0.01105 | 0.02767 |
| 80 | 0.04739 | 0.04139 | 0.04739 |
| 85 | 0.08128 | 0.10599 | 0.08128 |
| 90 | 0.13732 | 0.22000 | 0.13732 |
| 95 | 0.21683 | 0.33333 | 0.21683 |

* No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Males with ages set back 3 years). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.


## FEMALE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 0.00113 | 0.00000 |  |
| 50 | 0.00171 | 0.00000 | 0.00113 |
| 55 | 0.00278 | 0.00000 | 0.00171 |
| 60 | 0.00518 | 0.00000 | 0.00278 |
| 65 | 0.00982 | 0.00000 | 0.00518 |
| 70 | 0.01686 | 0.00000 | 0.00982 |
| 75 | 0.02832 | 0.00000 | 0.01686 |
| 80 | 0.04641 | 0.00000 | 0.02832 |
| 85 | 0.07844 | 0.00000 | 0.04641 |
| 90 | 0.13207 | 0.00000 | 0.13244 |
| 95 | 0.19367 | 0.00000 | 0.19367 |

* No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

TABLE 15
COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF MORTALITY AMONG BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED ACTIVE AND RETIRED MEMBERS

MALE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 0.00123 | 0.00000 | 0.00123 |
| 50 | 0.00174 | 0.00000 | 0.00174 |
| 55 | 0.00268 | 0.00000 | 0.00268 |
| 60 | 0.00475 | 0.00000 | 0.00475 |
| 65 | 0.00890 | 0.00000 | 0.00890 |
| 70 | 0.01618 | 0.00000 | 0.01618 |
| 75 | 0.02767 | 0.00000 | 0.02767 |
| 80 | 0.04739 | 0.00000 | 0.04739 |
| 85 | 0.08128 | 0.00000 | 0.08128 |
| 90 | 0.13732 | 0.00000 | 0.13732 |
| 95 | 0.21683 | 0.00000 | 0.21683 |

* No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Males with ages set back 3 years). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.


## FEMALE

| Central Age <br> of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 0.00113 | 0.00000 | 0.00113 |
| 50 | 0.00171 | 0.00000 | 0.00171 |
| 55 | 0.00278 | 0.00000 | 0.00278 |
| 60 | 0.00518 | 0.00000 | 0.00518 |
| 65 | 0.00982 | 0.00741 | 0.00982 |
| 70 | 0.01686 | 0.02308 | 0.01686 |
| 75 | 0.02832 | 0.02069 | 0.02832 |
| 80 | 0.04641 | 0.03279 | 0.04641 |
| 85 | 0.07844 | 0.06618 | 0.07844 |
| 90 | 0.13207 | 0.14634 | 0.13207 |
| 95 | 0.19367 | 0.17391 | 0.19367 |

* No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table for Females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.

TABLE 16

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RATES OF MORTALITY AMONG DISABLED MEMBERS

| MALE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Age of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
|  | 0.00222 | 0.00366 |  |
| 45 | 0.00373 | 0.02020 | 0.00222 |
| 50 | 0.00688 | 0.00000 | 0.00373 |
| 55 | 0.01290 | 0.00000 | 0.00688 |
| 60 | 0.02235 | 0.01667 | 0.01290 |
| 65 | 0.03824 | 0.03125 | 0.02235 |
| 70 | 0.06539 | 0.00000 | 0.03824 |
| 75 | 0.11182 | 0.33333 | 0.06539 |
| 80 | 0.18336 | 0.10000 | 0.11182 |
| 85 | 0.26712 | 0.00000 | 0.18336 |
| 90 | 0.34408 | 0.00000 | 0.26712 |
| 95 |  | 0.34408 |  |

FEMALE

| Central Age of Group | Current <br> Rates | Actual <br> Rates | Proposed Rates: <br> No Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 0.00171 | 0.00000 | 0.00171 |
| 55 | 0.00278 | 0.00000 | 0.00278 |
| 60 | 0.00518 | 0.00000 | 0.00518 |
| 65 | 0.00982 | 0.00000 | 0.00982 |
| 70 | 0.01686 | 0.00000 | 0.01686 |
| 75 | 0.02832 | 0.00000 | 0.02832 |
| 80 | 0.04641 | 0.00000 | 0.04641 |
| 85 | 0.07884 | 0.00000 | 0.07884 |
| 90 | 0.13207 | 0.00000 | 0.13207 |
| 95 | 0.19367 | 0.00000 | 0.19367 |
|  | 0.29375 | 0.00000 | 0.29375 |

# The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey 

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


Withdrawals: 5 to 19 Years of Service


# The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey 

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


Ordinary Death - Male

Ordinary Death - Female

* No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables for Males with ages set back 3 years and unadjusted for Females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.


# The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey 

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


## The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


Accidental Disability


## The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


Retirement with 21 Years of Service


# The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey 

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


Retirement with 25 Years of Service


## The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


# The State Police Retirement System <br> of New Jersey 

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


* Effective with the July 1, 2011 actuarial valuation, 3.45\% per annum for fiscal year ending 2012 through fiscal year ending 2016 and $4.70 \%$ per annum for fiscal years ending 2017 and thereafter.


## The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey <br> Postretirement Mortality <br> July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011




* No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables for Males and Females with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.


# The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey <br> Postretirement Mortality 

July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011


Beneficiaries - Female


* No change to the base mortality table (RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables for Males and Females with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females). However, provide for future improvements in mortality using a generational approach based on projection scale AA.


## The State Police Retirement System of New Jersey <br> Postretirement Mortality <br> July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2011



Disability Retirement - Female


## V. COST IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED ASSUMPTIONS

The overall effect of the proposed changes would be an increase in the normal contribution and the accrued liability payment. The following chart presents a summary of the liabilities and contributions under the current and proposed assumptions as of July 1, 2011:

|  | Current | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability Additional Accrued Liability Unfunded Accrued Liability/(Surplus) | \$ 2,581,950,846 \$ $566,326,716$ | $\begin{array}{lr} \$ & 2,615,657,740 \\ \$ & 33,706,894 \\ \$ & 600,033,610 \end{array}$ |
| Funded Ratios: <br> - Actuarial Value of Assets <br> - Market Value of Assets | $\begin{aligned} & 78.1 \% \\ & 70.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.1 \% \\ & \text { 69.6\% } \end{aligned}$ |
| Recommended Contribution*: <br> - Normal Cost <br> - Accrued Liability <br> - Total Contribution | $\begin{array}{lr} \$ & 39,467,678 \\ & 50,068,225 \\ \hline \$ & 89,535,903 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 40,386,175 \\ & 53,048,209 \\ \hline \$ & 93,434,384 \end{array}$ |
| Additional Annual Contribution |  | \$ 3,898,481 |

* Recommended contribution for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013; does not reflect the phase in under Chapter 1, P.L. 2010.

The calculations were based on the same data and actuarial methods as were used in the July 1, 2011 valuation. Note that the July 1, 2011 valuation reflects the revised economic assumptions which include a 7.95\% per annum interest rate and a salary increase assumption of $3.45 \%$ per annum for fiscal year ending 2012 through fiscal year ending 2016 and 4.70\% per annum for fiscal years ending 2017 and thereafter.
VI. COMPLETE SET OF PROPOSED ASSUMPTIONS

TABLE 1

## ACTIVE WITHDRAWAL TABLES

| AGE | RATES OF WITHDRAWAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 5 Years of Service | 5 to 19 Years of Service |
| 20 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 21 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 22 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 23 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 |
| 24 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 |
| 25 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 |
| 26 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 |
| 27 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 |
| 28 | 0.00500 | 0.00400 |
| 29 | 0.00500 | 0.00400 |
| 30 | 0.00500 | 0.00400 |
| 31 | 0.00500 | 0.00400 |
| 32 | 0.00500 | 0.00400 |
| 33 | 0.00825 | 0.00100 |
| 34 | 0.00825 | 0.00100 |
| 35 | 0.00825 | 0.00100 |
| 36 | 0.00825 | 0.00100 |
| 37 | 0.00825 | 0.00100 |
| 38 | 0.00000 | 0.00150 |
| 39 | 0.00000 | 0.00150 |
| 40 | 0.00000 | 0.00150 |
| 41 | 0.00000 | 0.00150 |
| 42 | 0.00000 | 0.00150 |
| 43 | 0.00000 | 0.00200 |
| 44 | 0.00000 | 0.00200 |
| 45 | 0.00000 | 0.00200 |
| 46 | 0.00000 | 0.00200 |
| 47 | 0.00000 | 0.00200 |
| 48 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 49 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 50 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 51 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 52 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 53 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 54 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |

TABLE 2

## ACTIVE DEATH TABLES

| AGE | RATES OF DEATH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ordinary* |  | Accidental |
|  | Male | Female |  |
| 20 | 0.00030 | 0.00019 | 0.00020 |
| 21 | 0.00032 | 0.00019 | 0.00020 |
| 22 | 0.00033 | 0.00019 | 0.00020 |
| 23 | 0.00034 | 0.00020 | 0.00020 |
| 24 | 0.00036 | 0.00020 | 0.00030 |
| 25 | 0.00037 | 0.00021 | 0.00040 |
| 26 | 0.00037 | 0.00021 | 0.00040 |
| 27 | 0.00038 | 0.00022 | 0.00040 |
| 28 | 0.00038 | 0.00024 | 0.00040 |
| 29 | 0.00038 | 0.00025 | 0.00050 |
| 30 | 0.00038 | 0.00026 | 0.00050 |
| 31 | 0.00039 | 0.00031 | 0.00050 |
| 32 | 0.00041 | 0.00035 | 0.00050 |
| 33 | 0.00044 | 0.00039 | 0.00050 |
| 34 | 0.00050 | 0.00043 | 0.00050 |
| 35 | 0.00056 | 0.00047 | 0.00050 |
| 36 | 0.00063 | 0.00051 | 0.00050 |
| 37 | 0.00070 | 0.00055 | 0.00050 |
| 38 | 0.00077 | 0.00060 | 0.00050 |
| 39 | 0.00084 | 0.00065 | 0.00050 |
| 40 | 0.00090 | 0.00071 | 0.00050 |
| 41 | 0.00096 | 0.00077 | 0.00050 |
| 42 | 0.00102 | 0.00085 | 0.00050 |
| 43 | 0.00108 | 0.00094 | 0.00060 |
| 44 | 0.00114 | 0.00103 | 0.00060 |
| 45 | 0.00121 | 0.00112 | 0.00060 |
| 46 | 0.00130 | 0.00122 | 0.00070 |
| 47 | 0.00140 | 0.00133 | 0.00090 |
| 48 | 0.00151 | 0.00143 | 0.00090 |
| 49 | 0.00162 | 0.00155 | 0.00090 |
| 50 | 0.00173 | 0.00168 | 0.00090 |
| 51 | 0.00186 | 0.00185 | 0.00090 |
| 52 | 0.00200 | 0.00202 | 0.00070 |
| 53 | 0.00214 | 0.00221 | 0.00050 |
| 54 | 0.00245 | 0.00242 | 0.00030 |

* RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables for Males and Females with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females. These base tables will be projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA.

TABLE 3

## ACTIVE DISABILITY TABLES

|  | RATES OF DISABILITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE | Ordinary | Accidental |
|  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.00039 | 0.00015 |
| 22 | 0.00039 | 0.00015 |
| 23 | 0.00050 | 0.00020 |
| 24 | 0.00050 | 0.00020 |
| 25 | 0.00050 | 0.00020 |
| 26 | 0.00059 | 0.00025 |
| 27 | 0.00059 | 0.00025 |
| 28 | 0.00069 | 0.00025 |
| 29 | 0.00069 | 0.00045 |
| 30 | 0.00078 | 0.00045 |
| 31 | 0.00087 | 0.00053 |
| 32 | 0.00087 | 0.00053 |
| 33 | 0.00098 | 0.00060 |
| 34 | 0.00146 | 0.00188 |
| 35 | 0.00194 | 0.00191 |
| 36 | 0.00242 | 0.00194 |
| 37 | 0.00243 | 0.00196 |
| 38 | 0.00244 | 0.00199 |
| 39 | 0.00245 | 0.00202 |
| 40 | 0.00245 | 0.00205 |
| 41 | 0.00245 | 0.00208 |
| 42 | 0.00245 | 0.00209 |
| 43 | 0.00245 | 0.00210 |
| 44 | 0.00245 | 0.00211 |
| 45 | 0.00273 | 0.00212 |
| 46 | 0.00312 | 0.00214 |
| 47 | 0.00360 | 0.00215 |
| 48 | 0.00401 | 0.00216 |
| 49 | 0.00449 | 0.00217 |
| 50 | 0.00488 | 0.00218 |
| 52 | 0.00536 | 0.00220 |
| 53 | 0.00585 | 0.00240 |
| 54 | 0.00633 | 0.00260 |
|  | 0.00672 | 0.00275 |
|  | 0.00722 | 0.00295 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

TABLE 4

ACTIVE SERVICE RETIREMENTTABLES

| AGE | RATES OF SERVICE RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20 <br> Years of Service | 21 <br> Years of Service | 22-24 <br> Years of Service | 25 Years of Service | Greater Than 25 <br> Years of Service |
| 40 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.05000 |
| 41 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.05000 |
| 42 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.05000 |
| 43 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.25000 |
| 44 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.25000 |
| 45 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.25000 |
| 46 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.25000 |
| 47 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.25000 |
| 48 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.30000 |
| 49 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.30000 |
| 50 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.30000 |
| 51 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.30000 |
| 52 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.30000 |
| 53 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.30000 |
| 54 | 0.02000 | 0.00500 | 0.00000 | 0.45500 | 0.55000 |

TABLE 5

## SALARY INCREASES

|  | Fisal Year Ending <br> 2012 through Fiscal <br> Year Ending 2016 | Fiscal Year Ending <br> 2017 and Thereafter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 20 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 21 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 22 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 23 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 24 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 25 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 26 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 27 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 28 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 29 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 30 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 31 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 32 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 33 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 34 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 35 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 36 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 37 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 38 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 39 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 40 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 41 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 42 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 43 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 44 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 45 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 46 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 47 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 48 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 49 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 50 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 51 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 52 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 53 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
| 54 | 0.0345 | 0.0470 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

TABLE 6

## MORTALITY TABLES FOR SERVICE RETIREMENTS AND BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED ACTIVE AND RETIRED MEMBERS

| AGE | RATES OF MORTALITY |  | AGE | RATES OF MORTALITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEN | WOMEN |  | MEN | WOMEN |
| 30 | 0.00038 | 0.00026 | 70 | 0.01608 | 0.01674 |
| 31 | 0.00039 | 0.00031 | 71 | 0.01787 | 0.01858 |
| 32 | 0.00041 | 0.00035 | 72 | 0.01980 | 0.02066 |
| 33 | 0.00044 | 0.00039 | 73 | 0.02221 | 0.02297 |
| 34 | 0.00050 | 0.00043 | 74 | 0.02457 | 0.02546 |
| 35 | 0.00056 | 0.00047 | 75 | 0.02728 | 0.02811 |
| 36 | 0.00063 | 0.00051 | 76 | 0.03039 | 0.03097 |
| 37 | 0.00070 | 0.00055 | 77 | 0.03390 | 0.03411 |
| 38 | 0.00077 | 0.00060 | 78 | 0.03783 | 0.03759 |
| 39 | 0.00084 | 0.00065 | 79 | 0.04217 | 0.04151 |
| 40 | 0.00090 | 0.00071 | 80 | 0.04691 | 0.04588 |
| 41 | 0.00096 | 0.00077 | 81 | 0.05212 | 0.05078 |
| 42 | 0.00102 | 0.00085 | 82 | 0.05793 | 0.05629 |
| 43 | 0.00108 | 0.00094 | 83 | 0.06437 | 0.06251 |
| 44 | 0.00114 | 0.00103 | 84 | 0.07204 | 0.06952 |
| 45 | 0.00121 | 0.00112 | 85 | 0.08049 | 0.07745 |
| 46 | 0.00130 | 0.00122 | 86 | 0.08972 | 0.08638 |
| 47 | 0.00140 | 0.00133 | 87 | 0.09978 | 0.09634 |
| 48 | 0.00151 | 0.00143 | 88 | 0.11076 | 0.10730 |
| 49 | 0.00162 | 0.00155 | 89 | 0.12280 | 0.11915 |
| 50 | 0.00173 | 0.00168 | 90 | 0.13604 | 0.13168 |
| 51 | 0.00186 | 0.00185 | 91 | 0.15059 | 0.14460 |
| 52 | 0.00200 | 0.00202 | 92 | 0.16642 | 0.15762 |
| 53 | 0.00214 | 0.00221 | 93 | 0.18341 | 0.17043 |
| 54 | 0.00245 | 0.00242 | 94 | 0.19977 | 0.18280 |
| 55 | 0.00267 | 0.00272 | 95 | 0.21661 | 0.19451 |
| 56 | 0.00292 | 0.00309 | 96 | 0.23366 | 0.20538 |
| 57 | 0.00320 | 0.00348 | 97 | 0.25069 | 0.21524 |
| 58 | 0.00362 | 0.00392 | 98 | 0.26749 | 0.22395 |
| 59 | 0.00420 | 0.00444 | 99 | 0.28391 | 0.23139 |
| 60 | 0.00469 | 0.00506 | 100 | 0.29985 | 0.23747 |
| 61 | 0.00527 | 0.00581 | 101 | 0.31530 | 0.24483 |
| 62 | 0.00595 | 0.00666 | 102 | 0.33021 | 0.25450 |
| 63 | 0.00675 | 0.00765 | 103 | 0.34456 | 0.26604 |
| 64 | 0.00768 | 0.00862 | 104 | 0.35863 | 0.27905 |
| 65 | 0.00876 | 0.00971 | 105 | 0.37169 | 0.29312 |
| 66 | 0.01001 | 0.01095 | 106 | 0.38304 | 0.30781 |
| 67 | 0.01128 | 0.01216 | 107 | 0.39200 | 0.32272 |
| 68 | 0.01274 | 0.01345 | 108 | 0.39789 | 0.33744 |
| 69 | 0.01441 | 0.01486 | 109 | 0.40000 | 0.35154 |

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables for Males and Females with ages set back 3 years for males and unadjusted for females. These base tables will be projected on a generational basis using projection scale AA.

TABLE 7
MORTALITY TABLES FOR DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

| AGE | RATES OF MORTALITY |  | AGE | RATES OF MORTALITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MEN | WOMEN |  | MEN | WOMEN |
| 30 | 0.00077 | 0.00047 | 70 | 0.03783 | 0.02811 |
| 31 | 0.00084 | 0.00051 | 71 | 0.04217 | 0.03097 |
| 32 | 0.00090 | 0.00055 | 72 | 0.04691 | 0.03411 |
| 33 | 0.00096 | 0.00060 | 73 | 0.05212 | 0.03759 |
| 34 | 0.00102 | 0.00065 | 74 | 0.05793 | 0.04151 |
| 35 | 0.00108 | 0.00071 | 75 | 0.06437 | 0.04588 |
| 36 | 0.00114 | 0.00077 | 76 | 0.07204 | 0.05078 |
| 37 | 0.00121 | 0.00085 | 77 | 0.08049 | 0.05629 |
| 38 | 0.00130 | 0.00094 | 78 | 0.08972 | 0.06251 |
| 39 | 0.00140 | 0.00103 | 79 | 0.09978 | 0.06952 |
| 40 | 0.00151 | 0.00112 | 80 | 0.11076 | 0.07745 |
| 41 | 0.00162 | 0.00122 | 81 | 0.12280 | 0.08638 |
| 42 | 0.00173 | 0.00133 | 82 | 0.13604 | 0.09634 |
| 43 | 0.00186 | 0.00143 | 83 | 0.15059 | 0.10730 |
| 44 | 0.00200 | 0.00155 | 84 | 0.16642 | 0.11915 |
| 45 | 0.00214 | 0.00168 | 85 | 0.18341 | 0.13168 |
| 46 | 0.00245 | 0.00185 | 86 | 0.19977 | 0.14460 |
| 47 | 0.00267 | 0.00202 | 87 | 0.21661 | 0.15762 |
| 48 | 0.00292 | 0.00221 | 88 | 0.23366 | 0.17043 |
| 49 | 0.00320 | 0.00242 | 89 | 0.25069 | 0.18280 |
| 50 | 0.00362 | 0.00272 | 90 | 0.26749 | 0.19451 |
| 51 | 0.00420 | 0.00309 | 91 | 0.28391 | 0.20538 |
| 52 | 0.00469 | 0.00348 | 92 | 0.29985 | 0.21524 |
| 53 | 0.00527 | 0.00392 | 93 | 0.31530 | 0.22395 |
| 54 | 0.00595 | 0.00444 | 94 | 0.33021 | 0.23139 |
| 55 | 0.00675 | 0.00506 | 95 | 0.34456 | 0.23747 |
| 56 | 0.00768 | 0.00581 | 96 | 0.35863 | 0.24483 |
| 57 | 0.00876 | 0.00666 | 97 | 0.37169 | 0.25450 |
| 58 | 0.01001 | 0.00765 | 98 | 0.38304 | 0.26604 |
| 59 | 0.01128 | 0.00862 | 99 | 0.39200 | 0.27905 |
| 60 | 0.01274 | 0.00971 | 100 | 0.39789 | 0.29312 |
| 61 | 0.01441 | 0.01095 | 101 | 0.40000 | 0.30781 |
| 62 | 0.01608 | 0.01216 | 102 | 0.40000 | 0.32272 |
| 63 | 0.01787 | 0.01345 | 103 | 0.40000 | 0.33744 |
| 64 | 0.01980 | 0.01486 | 104 | 0.40000 | 0.35154 |
| 65 | 0.02221 | 0.01674 | 105 | 0.40000 | 0.36462 |
| 66 | 0.02457 | 0.01858 | 106 | 0.40000 | 0.37625 |
| 67 | 0.02728 | 0.02066 | 107 | 0.40000 | 0.38601 |
| 68 | 0.03039 | 0.02297 | 108 | 0.40000 | 0.39351 |
| 69 | 0.03390 | 0.02546 | 109 | 0.40000 | 0.39831 |

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables for Males and Females with ages set forward 5 years for both males and females.

