## FINAL EQUALIZATION TABLE, COUNTY OF BURLINGTON FOR THE YEAR 2009

 $\sim$ 

County Percentage Level: 100% Section 54:3-18 of the Revised Statues, as amended, requires the County Board of Taxation to complete its equalization of the property valuations in the several taxing districts before March 10th. Pursuant to Section 54:3-19 of the Revised Statutes, as amended, one certified copy of such Equalization Table, as confirmed, shall be transmitted to each of the following: one to the Director, Division of Taxation, one to the Tax Court, and one to each taxing district in the County.

We Dereby certify this 13th day of March, 2009, that the table below reflects those items required to be set forth under R.S.54:3-17, as amended

ileen R. Carlos, President

toseph Andl, Vice President

Margaret M. Nuzzo, Tax Administrator

$\mathbf{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$				1	-	Barrow E. Y. Barrow Roman Carlos		2		_	
Ì				TY EXCLUSIVE OF OAD PROPERTY	CLASS II	MACHINERY, IMPLEMENTS, EQUIPMENT AND ALL OTHER TAXABLE PERSONAL PROPERTY USED IN BUSINESS OF TELEPHONE, TELEGRAPH & MESSENGER SYSTEM COMPANIES					
		(a) Aggregate Assessed Value	(b) Real Property Ratio of Aggregate Assessed to Aggregate True Value	(c) Aggregate True Value (Col.1a / Col.1b)	(d) Amount by Which Col.1a Should be Increased or Decreased to Correspond to Col. 1c	(a) Aggregate Assessed Value	(b) Taxable % Level (The Lower of the County % Level of the Pre-Tax Year's School Aid District Ratio) (N.J.S.A.54:1-35.2)	(c) Aggregate True Value (Col.2a / Col.2b)	(d) Aggregate Equalized Valuation (Col.2c * Col.2b)	(e) Amount by Which Col.2a Should be Increased or Decreased to Correspond to Col.2d	
	01: BASS RIVER TWP	197,625,600	107.15%	184,438,264	13,187,336-	1,327,381	100.00%	1,327,381	1,327,381	0	
Ε	02: BEVERLY CITY	83,811,400	54.09%	154,948,050	71,136,650	96,200	54.09%	177,852	96,200	0	
Е	03: BORDENTOWN CITY	400,255,200	104.63%	382,543,439	17,711,761-	351,264	100.00%	351,264	351,264	0	
ER	04: BORDENTOWN TWP	1,508,605,700	104.49%	1,443,779,979	64,825,721-	4,393,296	100.00%	4,393,296	4,393,296	0	
Ε	05: BURLINGTON CITY	433,402,900	54.32%	797,869,845	364,466,945	1,969,861	54.32%	3,626,401	1,969,861	0	
	06: BURLINGTON TWP	2,390,303,158	84.24%	2,837,491,878	447,188,720	4,411,793	84.24%	5,237,171	4,411,793	0	
	07: CHESTERFIELD TWP	757,781,375	107.52%	704,781,785	52,999,590-	1,090,910	100.00%	1,090,910	1,090,910	0	
	08: CINNAMINSON TWP	1,963,386,300	98.33%	1,996,731,720	33,345,420	4,348,334	98.33%	4,422,184	4,348,334	0	
	09: DELANCO TWP	496,932,100	101.66%	488,817,726	8,114,374-	547,114	100.00%	547,114	547,114	0	
	10: DELRAN TWP	1,561,461,000	83.56%	1,868,670,416	307,209,416	2,232,503	83.56*	2,671,736	2,232,503	0	
	11: EASTAMPTON TWP	522,141,060	98.06%	532,470,997	10,329,937	769,323	98.06%	784,543	769,323	0	
Ε	12: EDGEWATER PARK	309,931,000	46.26%	669,976,221	360,045,221	394,085	46.26%	851,891	394,085	0	
A	13: EVESHAM TWP	5,440,545,200	91.67%	5,934,924,403	494,379,203	22,503,815	100.00%	22,503,815	22,503,815	0	
R	14: FIELDSBORO BORO	60,101,500	103.11%	58,288,721	1,812,779-	65,050	100.00%	65,050	65,050	0	
Ε	15: FLORENCE TWP	613,844,950	49.38%	1,243,104,394	629,259,444	1,505,130	49.38%	3,048,056	1,505,130	0	
Ε	16: HAINESPORT TWP	438,762,033	47.10%	931,554,210	492,792,177	864,453	47.10%	1,835,357	864,453	0	
Е	17: LUMBERTON TWP	1,446,821,400	92.78%	1,559,410,864	112,589,464	2,245,784	92.78%	2,420,548	2,245,784	0	
	18: MANSFIELD TWP	1,307,733,800	96.10%	1,360,805,203	53,071,403	2,323,604	96.10%	2,417,902	2,323,604	0	
	19: MAPLE SHADE TWP	1,591,248,300	95.95%	1,658,414,070	67,165,770	2,175,723	95.95%	2,267,559	2,175,723	0	
	20: MEDFORD TWP	1,776,912,300	50.94%	3,488,245,583	1,711,333,283	5,060,019	50.94%	9,933,292	5,060,019	0	
	21: MEDFORD LAKES BORO	233,292,500	47.28%	493,427,453	260,134,953	277,038	47.28%	585,952	277,038	0	
Е	22: MOORESTOWN TWP	4,680,733,900	103.02%	4,543,519,608	137,214,292-	15,684,485	100.00%	15,684,485	15,684,485	0	
EU -	23: MOUNT HOLLY TWP	313,262,500	45.98%	681,301,653	368,039,153	3,444,405	45.98%	7,491,094	3,444,405	0	
Е	24: MOUNT LAUREL TWP	3,454,964,900	49.10%	7,036,588,391	3,581,623,491	7,055,887	49.10%	14,370,442	7,055,887	0	
	25: NEW HANOVER TWP	59,958,100	64.98%	92,271,622	32,313,522	765, 255	64.98%	1,177,678	765,255	0	
	26: NORTH HANOVER TWP	236.712.327	42.28%	559,868,323	323,155,996	473,019	42.28%	1,118,777	473,019	0	

E = EXCLUDES SPECIAL EXEMPTION A = REASSESSMENT DISTRICT U = INCLUDES UEZ CHAPTER 441R = REVALUATION

## FINAL EQUALIZATION TABLE, COUNTY OF BURLINGTON FOR THE YEAR 2009

.

2

County Percentage Level: 100% Section 54:3-18 of the Revised Statues, as amended, requires the County Board of Taxation to complete its equalization of the property valuations in the several taxing districts before March 10th. Pursuant to Section 54:3-19 of the Revised Statutes, as amended, one certified copy of such Equalization Table, as confirmed, shall be transmitted to each of the following: one to the Director, Division of Taxation, one to the Tax Court, and one to each taxing district in the County.

We hereby certify this 13th day of March, 2009, that the table below reflects those items required to be sets orth under R.S.54:3-17, as amended

Effeen R. Carlos, President

deseph Andl, Vice President

Margaret M. Nuzzo, Tax Administrator

				1		2 MACHINERY, IMPLEMENTS, EQUIPMENT AND ALL OTHER TAXABLE PERSONAL PROPERTY							
		F		TY EXCLUSIVE OF	CLASS II								
				DAD PROPERTY		[	USED IN BUSINESS OF TELEPHONE, TELEGRAPH & MESSENGER SYSTEM COMPANIES						
		(a) Aggregate Assessed Value	(b) Real Property Ratio of Aggregate Assessed to Aggregate True Value	(c) Aggregate True Value (Col.1a / Col.1b)	(d) Amount by Which Col.1a Should be Increased or Decreased to Correspond to Col. 1c	(a) Aggregate Assessed Value	(b) Taxable % Level (The Lower of the County % Level of the Pre-Tax Year's School Aid District Ratio) (N.J.S.A.54:1-35.2)	(c) Aggregate True Value (Col.2a / Col.2b)	(d) Aggregate Equalized Valuation (Col.2c * Col.2b)	(e) Amount by Which Col.2a Should be Increased or Decreased to Correspond to Col.2d			
Ε	27: PALMYRA BORO	314,053,470	51.74%	606,983,900	292,930,430	328,736	51.74%	635,361	328,736	0			
	28: PEMBERTON BORO	63,433,000 🗸	50.02%	126,815,274	63,382,274	528,818	50.02%	1,057,213	528,818	0			
EU	29: PEMBERTON TWP	878,226,685 /	50.64%	1,734,254,907	856,028,222	2,241,331	50.64%	4,426,009	2,241,331	0			
	30: RIVERSIDE TWP	450,854,650 🗸	81.38%	554,011,612	103,156,962	1,790,600	81.38%	2,200,295	1,790,600	0			
EA	31: RIVERTON BORO	282,909,000 🤛	101.77%	277,988,602	4,920,398-	248,007	100.00%	248,007	248,007	0			
	32: SHAMONG TWP	407.966,400	49.59%	822,678,766	414,712,366	1,083,133	49.59%	2,184,176	1,083,133	0			
	33: SOUTHAMPTON TWP	763,827,400	55.41%	1,378,500,993	614,673,593	2,109,840	55.41%	3,807,688	2,109,840	0			
	34: SPRINGFIELD TWP	443,862,429	88.39%	502,163,626	58,301,197	1,189,150	88.39%	1,345,344	1,189,150	0			
	35: TABERNACLE TWP	740,005,400 -	85.75%	862,980,058	122,974,658	1,118,777	85.75%	1,304,696	1,118,777	0			
	36: WASHINGTON TWP	125,166,500 -	100.45%	124,605,774	560,726-	492,305	100.00%	492,305	492,305	0			
	37: WESTAMPTON TWP	694,857,700 🦯	55.38%	1,254,708,740	559,851,040	1,398,525	55.38%	2,525,325	1,398,525	0			
Ε	38: WILLINGBORO TWP	1,119,465,970 🗸	51.75%	2,163,219,266	1,043,753,296	2,879,143	51.75%	5,563,561	2,879,143	0			
	39: WOODLAND TWP	170,742,660	97.61%	174,923,328	4,180,668	757,305	97.61%	775,848	757,305	0			
	40: WRIGHTSTOWN BORO	26,951,550 -	56.23*	47,930,909	20,979,359	0	56.23%	0	0	0			
	*TOTALS*	38,762,853,317		52,336,010,573	13,573,157,256	102,541,401		136,967,578	102,541,401	0			

E = EXCLUDES SPECIAL EXEMPTION A = REASSESSMENT DISTRICT

U = INCLUDES UEZ CHAPTER 441

R = REVALUATION

\*

				3				4	5	6	
		EQUALIZATIO	N OF REPLACE	MENT REVENUES	DEDUCT TRUE VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY EXCLUSIVE			C. 441	NET AMOUNT OF		
							OF CLASS II RAILR			IN LIEU	(Col.1d +
		(a) Business Personal	(b) Preceding	(c) Capitalization	(d) Real Property	(e) Assumed	IN DEFAULT & LIEN (a)	IS UNENFORCEABL (b)	E (PL 1974 C.166) (c)		Col.2e + Col.3e -
		Property Replacement Revenue Received during Preceding Year	Year General Tax Rate	of Replacement Revenues (Col.3a / Col.3b)	• •	Equalized Value of Amount in Col.3c (Col.3c / Col.3d)	Aggregate Assessed Value	Real Property Ratio of Aggregate Assessed to Aggregate True	Aggregate True Value (Col.4a / Col.4b)	In Lieu True Value	Col.4c + Col.5) Transfer to Col.10 County Abstract of Ratables
	01: BASS RIVER TWP	22,269.83	1.337	1,665,657	104.29%	1,597,140	0	107.15%	0	0	11,590,196-
Ε	02: BEVERLY CITY	23,660.37	5.226	452,743	55.70%	812,824	0	54.09%	0	0	71,949,474
Ε	03: BORDENTOWN CITY	77,708.53	2.300	3,378,632	108.84%	3,104,219	0	104.63%	0	0	14,607,542-
ER	04: BORDENTOWN TWP	125,572.51	4.160	3,018,570	49.77%	6,065,039	0	104.49%	0	0	58,760,682-
Ε	05: BURLINGTON CITY	131,446.68	3.843	3,420,418	57.52%	5,946,485	0	54.32%	0	0	370,413,430
	06: BURLINGTON TWP	335,207.85	2.289	14,644,292	81.12%	18,052,628	0	84.24%	0	0	465,241,348
	07: CHESTERFIELD TWP	35,731.91	1.638	2,181,435	111.94%	1,948,754	0	107.52%	0	0	51,050,836-
	08: CINNAMINSON TWP	285,662.44	2.083	13,713,991	104.95%	13,067,166	0	98.33%	0	0	46,412,586
	09: DELANCO TWP	159,814.54	2.048	7,803,444	105.82%	7,374,262	0	101.66%	0	0	740,112-
	10: DELRAN TWP	186,979.44	2.670	7,002,975	84.09%	8,327,952	0	83.56%	0	0	315,537,368
	11: EASTAMPTON TWP	17,258.71	2.245	768,762	99.31%	774,103	0	98.06%	0	0	11,104,040
Ε	12: EDGEWATER PARK	99,527.32	4.173	2,385,030	48.75%	4,892,369	0	46.26%	0	0	364,937,590
Α	13: EVESHAM TWP	100,223.15	4.480	2,237,124	48.10%	4,650,985	0	91.67%	0	0	499,030,188
R	14: FIELDSBORO BORO	65,648.27	5.049	1,300,223	44.91%	2,895,175	0	103.11%	0	0	1,082,396
Ε	15: FLORENCE TWP	415,378.98	3.923	10,588,299	50.84%	20,826,709	0	49.38%	0	0	650,086,153
Ε	16: HAINESPORT TWP	54,573.31	3.390	1,609,832	48.97%	3,287,384	0	47.10%	0	0	496,079,561
Ε	17: LUMBERTON TWP	90,982.00	1.971	4,616,032	95.02%	4,857,958	0	92.78%	0	0	117,447,422
	18: MANSFIELD TWP	30,529.45	1.857	1,644,020	98.85%	1,663,146	0	96.10%	0	0	54,734,549
	19: MAPLE SHADE TWP	114,045.19	2.225	5,125,627	99.13%	5,170,611	0	95.95%	0	0	72,336,381
	20: MEDFORD TWP	87,655.95	4.277	2,049,473	52.41%	3,910,462	0	50.94%	0	0	1,715,243,745
	21: MEDFORD LAKES BORO	6.492.87	5.225	124,265	48.17%	257,972	0	47.28%	0	0	260,392,925
Ε	22: MOORESTOWN TWP	469,750.47	1.842	25,502,197	106.83%	23,871,756	0	103.02%	0	0	113,342,536-
EU	23: MOUNT HOLLY TWP	157,668.24	4.875	3,234,220	45.02%	7,183,963	0	45.98%	0	2,618,339	377,841,455
Ε	24: MOUNT LAUREL TWP	153,215.94	3.768	4,066,240	50.75%	8,012,296	0	49.10%	0	0	3,589,635,787
	25: NEW HANOVER TWP	3,831.19	2.400	159,633	66.46%	240,194	0	64.98%	0	0	32,553,716
	26: NORTH HANOVER TWP	21,775.73	2.984	729,750	50.99%	1,431,163	0	42.28%	0	0	324,587,159

E = EXCLUDES SPECIAL EXEMPTION A = REASSESSMENT DISTRICT U = INCLUDES UEZ CH

U = INCLUDES UEZ CHAPTER 441 R = REVALUATION

## (CONTINUED) FINAL EQUALIZATION TABLE, COUNTY OF BURLINGTON FOR THE YEAR 2009

			3	-		4	5	6		
	EQUALIZATIO	EMENT REVENUES	(PL 1966, C.135 A	AS AMENDED)	DEDUCT TRUE VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY EXCLUSIVE OF CLASS II RAILROAD PROPERTY WHERE TAXES ARE			C. 441 IN LIEU	NET AMOUNT OF (Col.1d +	
	(a) Business Personal Property Replacement Revenue Received	(b) Preceding Year General Tax Rate	(c) Capitalization of Replacement Revenues (Col.3a / Col.3b)	Aggregate Assessed Value	(e) Assumed Equalized Value of Amount in Col.3c (Col.3c /	IN DEFAULT & LIEN (a) Aggregate Assessed Value	(b) Real Property Ratio of Aggregate	(c) Aggregate True Value (Col.4a /	In Lieu True Value	Col.2e + Col.3e - Col.4c + Col.5) Transfer to Col.10
	during Preceding Year		,	to Aggregate True Value (PL 1971,C.32)	Col.3d)		Assessed to Aggregate True	Col.4b)		County Abstract of Ratables
27: PALMYRA BORO	51,528.35	4.453	1,157,160	53.99%	2,143,286	0	51.74%	0	0	295,073,716
28: PEMBERTON BORO	10,291.63	3.000	343,054	52.44%	654,184	0	50.02%	0	. 0	64,036,458
29: PEMBERTON TWP	50,810.82	3.445	1,474,915	51.72%	2,851,730	0	50.64%	0	0	858,879,952
30: RIVERSIDE TWP	177,822.98	2.809	6,330,473	86.62%	7,308,327	0	81.38%	0	0	110,465,289
31: RIVERTON BORO	15,291.92	5.226	292,612	48.42%	604,321	0	101.77%	0	0	4,316,077.
32: SHAMONG TWP	12,907.61	3.843	335,873	50.62%	663,518	0	49.59%	0	0	415,375,884
33: SOUTHAMPTON TWP	40,674.36	3.270	1,243,864	57.15%	2,176,490	0	55.41%	0	0	616,850,083
34: SPRINGFIELD TWP	40,111.01	2.217	1,809,247	90.19%	2,006,039	0	88.39%	0	0	60,307,236
35: TABERNACLE TWP	14,853.30	2.274	653,179	86.96%	751,126	0	85.75%	0	0	123,725,784
36: WASHINGTON TWP	23,295.01	1.150	2,025,653	94.29%	2,148,322	0	100.45%	0	0	1,587,596
37: WESTAMPTON TWP	45,080.82	3.104	1,452,346	56.02%	2,592,549	0	55.38%	0	0	562,443,589
38: WILLINGBORO TWP	146,372.65	5.277	2,773,785	52.00%	5,334,202	0	51.75%	0	0	1,049,087,498
39: WOODLAND TWP	6,643.40	1.652	402,143	98.08%	410,015	0	97.61%	0	0	4,590,683
40: WRIGHTSTOWN BORO	17,947.18	2.088	859,539	79.00%	1,088,024	0	56.23%	0	0	22,067,383
*TOTALS*	3,926,242		144,576,727		190,954,848	0		0	2,618,339	13,766,730,443

.

4 \*

E = EXCLUDES SPECIAL EXEMPTION A = REASSESSMENT DISTRICT U = INCLUDES UEZ CHAPTER 441 R = REVALUATION