

FINAL EQUALIZATION TABLE FOR THE COUNTY OF SALEM FOR THE YEAR 2012

N.J.S.A. 54:3-18 as amended, requires the County Board of Taxation to complete its equalization of property valuation in the taxing districts before the second day of March. Pursuant to R.S. 54:3-19, as amended, one certified copy of such equalization table, as confirmed, shall be transmitted to each of the following: The Director of Division, the Tax Court of N.J. and to each Taxing District in the County.

We hereby certify this 7th day of March, 2012 that the table below reflects those items required to be set forth under R.S.54:3-17, as amended.

Attest:



COUNTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR



President STEVEN H. CALTABIANO



Commissioner BRENDA H. HALL



Commissioner G. CHRISTOPHER CONNOR



Commissioner JULIE HILES



Commissioner ANGELA SUSAN VORAS

COUNTY PERCENTAGE LEVEL OF TAXABLE VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY 100%

TAXING DISTRICT	COLUMN (1) REAL PROPERTY EXCLUSIVE OF CLASS II RAILROAD PROPERTY				COLUMN (2) MACHINERY, IMPLEMENTS, EQUIPMENT & ALL OTHER TAXABLE PERSONAL PROPERTY USED IN BUSINESS OF TELEPHONE, TELEGRAPH & MESSENGER SYSTEM COMPANIES (C.138 L.1966)					COLUMN (3) EQUALIZATION OF REPLACEMENT REVENUES UNDER P.L.1966 C.135 AS AMENDED					COLUMN (4) DEDUCT TRUE VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY EXCLUSIVE OF CLASS II RAILROAD PROPERTY WHERE THE TAXES ARE IN DEFAULT AND LIENS UNENFORCEABLE (C.168, L.1974)			COLUMN (5) CH. 441 IN LIEU	COLUMN (6) NET AMOUNT OF CALCULATIONS [COL.1(d) + COL.2(e) + COL.3(e) - COL.4(c) + COL.5] TRANSFER TO COLUMN 10 COUNTY ABSTRACT OF RATABLE
	(a) AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE	(b) REAL PROPERTY RATIO OF AGGREGATE ASSESSED TO AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE	(c) AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [COL.1(a)/COL.19(c)]	(d) AMOUNT BY WHICH COL.1(a) SHOULD BE INCREASED OR DECREASED TO CORRESPOND TO COL.1(c)	(a) AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE (TAXABLE VALUE)	(b) TAXABLE % LEVEL (LOWER OF COUNTY % LEVEL OR PRE-TAX YEAR SCHOOL AID DIST. RATIO (N.J.S.A. 54:1-35.2))	(c) AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [COL.2(a)/COL.2(b)]	(d) AGGREGATE EQUALIZED VALUATION [COL.2(c) x COL.2(b)]	(e) AMOUNT BY WHICH COL.2(a) SHOULD BE INCREASED OR DECREASED TO CORRESPOND TO COL.2(d)	(a) BUSINESS PERSONAL PROPERTY REVENUE RECEIVED DURING PRECEDING YEAR P.L.1966 C.135	(b) PRECEDING YEAR GENERAL TAX RATE	(c) CAPITALIZATION OF REPLACEMENT REVENUES IN COL.3(a) PER C.135 P.L.1966 [COL.3(a)/COL.3(b)]	(d) REAL PROPERTY RATIO OF AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE TO AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [SAME AS PRECEDING YEAR COUNTY EQUAL. TABLE COL.1(b)] PER P.L. 1971 C.32	(e) ASSUMED EQUALIZED VALUE OF AMOUNT IN COL.3(c) (COL.3(c)/COL.3(d))	(a) AGGREGATE ASSESSED VALUE (TAXABLE VALUE)	(b) REAL PROPERTY RATIO OF AGGREGATE ASSESSED TO AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [SAME AS COL.1(b)]	(c) AGGREGATE TRUE VALUE [COL.4(a) / COL.4(b)]		
1 ALLOWAY	284,223,700	98.47	288,639,890	4,416,190	627,629	98.47	637,381	627,629	0	29,017.88	2.403	1,207,569	93.35	1,293,593	0	98.47	0	-	5,709,783
2 CARNEYS POINT	723,462,500	104.36	693,237,351	(30,225,149)	1,112,173	100.00	1,112,173	1,112,173	0	276,991.53	2.331	11,882,949	105.68	11,244,274	0	104.36	0	404,680	(18,576,195)
3 ELMER	104,048,900	95.74	108,678,609	4,629,709	1,198,528	95.74	1,251,857	1,198,528	0	17,679.43	2.616	675,819	94.30	716,669	0	95.74	0	-	5,346,378
4 ELSINBORO	120,343,600	107.41	112,041,337	(8,302,263)	222,960	100.00	222,960	222,960	0	8,728.89	2.155	405,053	107.66	376,234	0	107.41	0	-	(7,926,029)
5 LOWER ALLOWAYS	211,007,600	76.77	274,856,845	63,849,245	436,054	76.77	568,001	436,054	0	14,890.67	1.268	1,174,343	70.92	1,655,870	0	76.77	0	-	65,505,115
6 MANNINGTON	222,163,200	98.47	225,615,111	3,451,911	683,136	98.47	693,750	683,136	0	94,582.75	2.334	4,052,389	98.97	4,094,563	0	98.47	0	-	7,546,474
7 OLDMANS	207,123,400	100.68	205,724,474	(1,398,926)	611,783	100.00	611,783	611,783	0	42,073.60	2.357	1,785,049	96.04	1,858,652	0	100.68	0	15,211,980	15,671,706
8 PENNS GROVE	177,470,900	100.87	175,940,220	(1,530,680)	1,728,950	100.00	1,728,950	1,728,950	0	64,363.61	3.515	1,831,113	98.69	1,855,419	0	100.87	0	297,800	622,539
9 PENNSVILLE	1,114,457,847	93.33	1,194,104,626	79,646,779	2,051,985	93.33	2,198,634	2,051,985	0	1,951,242.82	3.096	63,024,639	92.39	68,215,866	0	93.33	0	-	147,862,645
10 PILESGROVE	491,411,000	103.71	473,831,839	(17,579,161)	1,212,399	100.00	1,212,399	1,212,399	0	45,057.58	2.313	1,948,015	100.26	1,942,963	0	103.71	0	-	(15,636,198)
11 PITTSBORO	605,318,100	92.67	653,197,475	47,879,375	1,488,950	92.67	1,606,723	1,488,950	0	49,139.43	2.732	1,798,661	90.34	1,990,991	0	92.67	0	-	49,870,366
12 QUINTON	188,220,400	92.41	203,679,688	15,459,288	689,715	92.41	746,364	689,715	0	30,753.83	2.431	1,265,069	88.55	1,428,649	0	92.41	0	-	16,887,937
13 SALEM	231,568,639	107.58	215,252,500	(16,316,139)	2,455,218	100.00	2,455,218	2,455,218	0	237,464.81	3.688	6,438,851	100.96	6,377,626	0	107.58	0	201,000	(9,737,513)
14 UPPER PITTSBORO	342,226,100	103.92	329,316,878	(12,909,222)	1,354,299	100.00	1,354,299	1,354,299	0	52,123.88	2.100	2,482,090	105.83	2,345,356	0	103.92	0	-	(10,563,866)
15 WOODSTOWN	295,231,500	101.74	290,182,328	(5,049,172)	1,548,318	100.00	1,548,318	1,548,318	0	21,686.66	2.602	833,461	99.77	835,382	0	101.74	0	1,166,800	(3,046,990)
	5,318,277,386		5,444,299,171	126,021,785	17,422,097		17,948,810	17,422,097	0	2,935,797.37		100,805,070		106,232,107	0		0	17,282,260	249,536,152

A = REASSESSMENT
R = REVALUATION
E = EXCLUDES SPECIAL EXEMPTION